Remodelling Metabolic Syndrome Components to Adapt them to the Tunisian Context and to Determine a Sole Metric Criterions
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Abstract

Aims: Metabolic Syndrome (MS) is a heterogenic entity and its major limitation was the use of binary components and the dependence of some criteria to ethnic origin and/or gender. We seek to remodel MS criteria to adapt them to the Tunisian context and to determine a sole quantitative MS parameter.

Materials and methods: 592 subjects who underwent routine control were investigated for biochemical, anthropometric and clinical examination. The diagnosis of MS was based on the IDF and AHA/NHLBI definition. The computer model HOMA 2 was used to determine HOMA-β, HOMA-S and HOMA-IR. Triglycerides (TG), High Density Lipoprotein cholesterol (cHDL), Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP), HOMA-IR and Waist Circumference Statute (WCS) were used to calculate the different MS markers. The area under curve of ROC curves were used to compare the powers of these MS parameters.

Results: HOMA-IR was more informative about glycaemia abnormality, WCS express better android obesity, and TG/cHDL ratio characterizes dyslipidemia. (TG x WCS x HOMA-IR x SBP) / cHDL parameter was more accurate to estimate MS occurrence; its cut-off point is 106.75.

Conclusion: This marker, with sensitivity and specificity of 94.4 and 85.1 per cent, can be used either to diagnose or to predict MS occurrence.
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Introduction

Epidemiological studies have suggested an increased prevalence of metabolic syndrome (MS) in Tunisian population [1,2].

MS was originally introduced by Reaven as syndrome X or insulin resistance syndrome, is recognized clinically by the presence of three or more of these components: abdominal obesity, elevated triglycerides (TG), low high density lipoprotein cholesterol (cHDL), elevated blood pressure (BP) and high fasting plasma glucose (FPG).

MS is the concurrence of multiple metabolic abnormalities associated with the development and progression of atherosclerosis [3]. Meta-analyses found that the MS increases the risk of cardiovascular disease and mortality [4-6].

Moreover, MS can be considered as an independent risk factor for male hypogonadism [7]. In addition, all components of the MS have individually been associated with the incidence and progression of chronic kidney diseases [8].

Environmental factors such as low physical activity and inappropriate dietary habits are strong determinants of the MS. Moreover, genetic factors also contribute to the individual susceptibility to MS [9].

MS is a heterogenic entity and its major limitation was the use of binary components and some criteria depending on ethnic group and/or sex. Moreover, there was a mosaic of combinations of three to five criteria.

Our approach was to remodel MS criteria to adapt them to the Tunisian context and to determine a sole metric parameter combining MS quantitative components to be used as a screening tool either to diagnose or to predict MS occurrence.

Materials and Methods

Study population

592 subjects who underwent routine control were investigated for biochemical, anthropometric and clinical examination at the department of occupational medicine of the University Hospital of Monastir, Tunisia. Participants gave their written informed consent prior to their participation. The study was approved by the ethical committee of the Hospital.

Diagnostic criteria for metabolic syndrome

The diagnosis of MS was based on the IDF and AHA/NHLBI definition, which requires the presence of at least three of the following criteria: the central (abdominal) obesity (defined as waist circumference (WC) ≥ 94 cm in men and ≥ 80 cm in women), the raised TG ≥ 1.70 mmol/L (drug treatment for elevated triglycerides is an alternate indicator), the reduced cHDL <1.04 mmol/L in men and <1.29 mmol/L in women (or specific treatment for this lipid abnormality), the elevated Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP) ≥ 130 mmHg and/or Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP) ≥ 85 mmHg (antihypertensive drug treatment in a patient with a history of hypertension was an alternate indicator),
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and the elevated FPG ≥ 5.56 mmol/L or previously diagnosed type 2 diabetes [10].

Anthropometric measurements

Height and weight were measured according to a standardized protocol in the study population, with subjects wearing light clothing and no shoes. Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated by dividing weight in kilograms by height in square meters (kg/m²). The Waist Circumference (WC) was measured in the horizontal plane at the midpoint between the lowest rib and the iliac crest. The Waist Circumference Stature (WCS) was calculated by dividing [WC (cm)] by height (cm). Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP) and Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP) were measured to the nearest 5 mmHg with a mercury sphygmomanometer, with subjects in a supine position and having relaxed for 5 minutes.

Biochemical analysis

The blood samples of the study population were collected in the morning after a 12-hour fasting period, heparinized plasma was immediately obtained by blood centrifugation at 4°C at 3000 rpm for 15 min. All analyses were carried out on Cobas 6000™ analyzer (Roche Diagnostics Mannheim, Germany), in biochemistry and toxicology laboratory of the Hospital. Serum Triglycerides (TG), serum High Density Lipoprotein cholesterol (cHDL) and Fasting Plasma Glucose (FPG) were measured by enzymatic methods. Fasting plasma insulin (FPI) was measured by Electrochemiluminescence Immuno Assay (ECLIA).

The computer model HOMA 2 was used to determine β-cell function (HOMA-β %), insulin sensitivity (HOMA-S %), and Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) from paired fasting glucose (mmol/L) and insulin (mIU/L) concentrations [11].

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by SPSS 17.0 for Windows. Continuous

| Variables | MS (n=484) | MS+ (n=108) | p
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>41.2 (29.1-51.7)</td>
<td>38.4 (29.4-53.6)</td>
<td>0.705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender M/ F (%)</td>
<td>241/243 (49.85/50.2)</td>
<td>54/54 (50.50/50.0)</td>
<td>0.969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes [n [%]]</td>
<td>46 (9.5)</td>
<td>30 (27.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension [n [%]]</td>
<td>66 (13.6)</td>
<td>43 (39.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>120 (115-125)</td>
<td>140 (120-140)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP(mmHg)</td>
<td>80 (70-80)</td>
<td>80 (80-90)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.5 (23.4-25.8)</td>
<td>27.9 (26.8-29.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men WC (cm)</td>
<td>93 (89-95)</td>
<td>98 (96-101)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women WC (cm)</td>
<td>79 (77-86)</td>
<td>93 (90-98)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCS</td>
<td>0.52 (0.49-0.54)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.56-0.60)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.71-1.26)</td>
<td>1.93 (1.51-2.48)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men cHDL (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.10 (1.02-1.16)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.74-0.92)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women cHDL (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.45 (1.34-1.53)</td>
<td>1.10 (0.89-1.26)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG (mmol/L)</td>
<td>8.40 (4.49-5.14)</td>
<td>6.14 (4.89-8.00)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPI (mIU/L)</td>
<td>7.39 (6.58-9.06)</td>
<td>12.20 (8.54-13.13)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-β</td>
<td>102 (86-123)</td>
<td>84 (55-111)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-S %</td>
<td>105 (85-118)</td>
<td>58 (53-81)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>0.9 (0.8-1.2)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.2-1.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 1: Anthropometric and biochemical characteristics of study population.

results are expressed as median and quartile; and frequencies for qualitative variables. Comparisons among groups were assessed using the independent-sample t test for quantitative variables and Pearson's chi-square test for qualitative variables. The area under curve (AUC) of the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves was used for predicting a better marker for MS incidence. ROC curve analysis was employed to select the best cut-off points of each marker which have the highest value for MS occurrence. The pair index (1-specificity, sensitivity) was used to determine optimal cut-off points. A two-sided p<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Among the 592 subjects who were enrolled, 108 presented metabolic syndrome (MS+ ) and 484 were without (MS-). The prevalence rate of MS in our study population was 18.2%.

Table 1 shows that MS+ subjects have higher BMI, WC, WCS, SBP, DBP, TG, FPG and FPI; and reduced cHDL compared to subjects MS-. We also noted elevated IR and reduced HOMA β and HOMA S in MS+ group.

Table 2 shows that the largest AUC were obtained with HOMA-IR, followed by HOMA-β, indicating that the model with HOMA-IR was superior in estimating MS impaired glycaemia.

WCS express better android obesity independently of gender. TG/cHDL ratio characterizes dyslipidemia. SBP was more informative about hypertension.

Table 3 shows that each parameter was a significant discriminating
factor for MS and there was a significant stepwise increase in AUC of parameters with each additional quantitative MS criterion in estimating MS occurrence. The largest AUC was obtained with \((TG \times WCS \times HOMA-IR \times SBP) / cHDL\) marker indicating that this new parameter was the estimated MS occurrence (Figure 1). Its cut-off point was 106.75.

The optimal cut-off point for prediction of MS incidence in present study was with sensitivity and specificity of 94.4 and 85.1 per cent.

**Discussion**

A pragmatic approach was required to overcome the paradox of the importance of MS in public health and the practical problems of its diagnosis. The fact that the five variables are used as “present” or “absent” likely makes these screening tools less than perfect for the optimal diagnosis of the MS. Moreover, there were 243 combinations possible of MS components. The central issue was to determinate a sole combining quantitative MS parameter to use to predict MS incidence.

Glucose is the main item in MS. The balance between glucose production and utilization is regulated by a network of hormones, neural pathways, and metabolic signals. Insulin plays a pivotal role in this process. As blood glucose levels rise, impaired glucose tolerance and then type 2 diabetes develops. Thus, there is a continuous spectrum of insulin responsiveness, ranging from normal insulin sensitivity to severe IR [12]. Regarding the \(TG/cHDL\) ratio, the major quantitative change is associated with the insulin resistance [13,14] and this ratio is independent of the sex. The degree of impairment of glucose metabolism is influenced both by the insulin sensitivity of cells within the body and by pancreatic \(\beta\)-cell reserve capacity [15]. Furthermore, the end of insulin sensitivity is the beginning of insulin resistance. However, to establish a sole MS specific criterion, we multiplied this ratio by WCS, which was more accurate to estimating MS occurrence than WC or BMI. In addition, waist to stature ratio is more strongly associated with cardiovascular risk factors than other simple anthropometric indices [16].

Many studies demonstrated the relationship between IR, obesity, and dyslipidemia [17-19]. Moreover, we multiplied this previous equation by HOMA-IR, who was superior in estimating impaired glycaemia and by SBP that express better hypertension in MS.

This new parameter \((TG \times WCS \times HOMA-IR \times SBP) / cHDL\), was more accurate marker to estimating MS occurrence. In fact, this metric tool, with sensitivity=94.4 and specificity=85.1 per cent can be used either to diagnose or to predict MS incidence.

To confirm our funding, another analysis ought to be conducted in Tunisia.

**Conclusion**

In Tunisian context, a sole quantitative parameter combining quantitative metabolic syndrome criteria may be used as a screening tool to diagnose MS which is accompanied by a higher cardiovascular risk.

**References**


---

**Table 3:** Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC) curves analysis to establish the best metabolic syndrome parameter cut-off points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MS Parameters</th>
<th>AUC (95% C I)</th>
<th>(p)</th>
<th>Cut-off point</th>
<th>%Sensitivity</th>
<th>%Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TG/cHDL</td>
<td>0.908 (0.878-0.938)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>78.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((TG \times WCS) / cHDL)</td>
<td>0.926 (0.900-0.952)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>75.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((TG \times WCS \times HOMA-IR) / cHDL)</td>
<td>0.942 (0.923-0.961)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>84.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((TG \times WCS \times HOMA-IR \times SBP) / cHDL)</td>
<td>0.956 (0.940-0.973)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>106.75</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>85.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MS: Metabolic Syndrome; AUC: Area Under Curve; CI: Confidence Interval; TG: Triglycerides; cHDL: High Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol; WCS: Waist Circumference Stature; HOMA-IR: Insulin Resistance; SBP: Systolic Blood Pressure

**Figure 1:** ROC curves of MS parameters for MS occurrence.
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