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Abstract
The reservoir properties of over six hundred sand units penetrated by four exploratory oil wells (Zy-01, Kt-01, Ks-01 & Kd-01) in the Nigerian sector of the Chad Basin Northeastern Nigeria was carried out using the PRIZM GeoGraphix software. Results of the evaluation show that eighty-seven sand units have good reservoir properties for all the wells. Their sand thicknesses are between -1 m and -160 m while averages of effective ($\phi_E$), density ($\phi_D$) and sonic porosities ($\phi_S$) are between 10 & 41%, 10 & 54% and 10 & 89% respectively. These porosity results are fair to very good. Average shale volume content (Vshl) is between 23% & 67%, these values are beyond the acceptable limit in reservoirs. The averages of permeability (k) and fluid saturation (Sw & Sh) are between 15md & 7477 8md, 11% & 71% and 29% & 89% respectively. The permeability values are therefore moderate to excellent. Bulk volume water (BVW) is between 0.028 & 0.188, suggesting very fine to silty grained sands. A comparison of the values of apparent water resistivity ($R_wA$) with water resistivity ($R_w$), suggest imprints of hydrocarbon in these sands. Also a further comparison of water saturation of flushed zone ($S_xO$) with the Archie’s water saturation ($S_wA$), moveable hydrocarbon index (MHI) and moveable oil saturation (MOS) revealed possible hydrocarbon mobility in the flushed zone by invading drilling fluid. Calculated temperatures and pressures of the wells show increasing trend with depth. Geopressures were encountered at some shale beds within the wells. The sand units of the wells with the good reservoir properties correlate fairly well along Ks-01, Kt-01 & Zy-01 wells. This study has shown that some of the sand units in the study wells have favourable reservoir properties that will enable the generation, accumulation and preservation of hydrocarbons, especially within Ks-01 and Zy-01 wells. However, the very high values obtained for Vshl requires that further estimation of SwA be carried out using other methods and models for a better understanding of the hydrocarbon potential of the Basin. Furthermore, drilling to deeper depths is strongly recommended due to the very favourable reservoir properties observed at deeper depths for Ks-01 and Zy-01 wells respectively.
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List of Abbreviations and Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sp</td>
<td>Spontaneous potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td>Gamma Ray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSFL</td>
<td>Micro spherically focused log RT – Archie-predicted true resistivity SwA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWMS</td>
<td>modified Simandox (1963) equation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sw</td>
<td>Water Saturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Hydrocarbon Saturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swr</td>
<td>saturation moveable hydrocarbon index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rfo</td>
<td>flush zone resistivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwa</td>
<td>apparent water resistivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ro</td>
<td>Resistivity of water filled formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swr</td>
<td>Ratio Water Saturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rw</td>
<td>water resistivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SxO</td>
<td>Water saturation of the flushed zone (MHI) moveable hydrocarbon index (MHI) v/v – Volume/Volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOS</td>
<td>moveable oil saturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROS</td>
<td>hydrocarbon saturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmf</td>
<td>resistivity of mud filtrate (Rmf) SN – short normal log</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ild</td>
<td>Deep Induction Resistivity log</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD</td>
<td>total depth KB – Kelly bushing k – Permeability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHID or ($\phi_D$)</td>
<td>Density Porosity PHIS or ($\phi_S$) – Sonic Porosity PHAVE – Average Porosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHIE or ($\phi_E$)</td>
<td>Effective Porosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHIR – Resistivity derived Porosity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DiffCal – Differential Calliper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp – Temperature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRES – Pressure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Introduction

To achieve successful hydrocarbon exploration and production targets in the inland Basins of Nigeria requires that detailed and systematic studies and analyses of available geoscientific data be heightened. Recently the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) launched a renewed effort to acquire additional data and carryout further exploration work on the Nigerian end of the Chad Basin based on the reports of the discovery of hydrocarbons on the other side of the structurally related contiguous Chad Basin in Cameroon, Chad and the Niger republic. Crude oil search began in the Nigerian sector
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Basin were used for this evaluation. The log data are digitized in ASCII format at 0.125 m intervals. Reservoir properties characterizations are useful models of reservoirs that are pertinent to the knowledge of the ability of the reservoir to store and transmit hydrocarbons. They are also useful in simulating the behavior of the fluids within the reservoirs under different sets of circumstance and to find the optimal production techniques that will maximize production [9].

Geologic Setting

The Chad Basin is a large intracratonic Basin in central West Africa and one-tenth (about 21%) of its surface area lies in Northeastern Nigeria (Figure 1). It covers an area of approximately 2,500,000 km² [8] extending over portions of Niger Republic, Chad, Sudan and Northern parts of Cameroon and Nigeria (Figure 2). The origin of the Chad Basin is believed to be related to a series of Cretaceous and later rift system in central and West Africa that expanded during the separation of the African and South American plates [1,7]. Pre-Santonian Cretaceous sediments were deposited within the rift system. The Nigerian end of the Chad Basin lies between latitudes 11°N & 14°N and longitudes 9°E & 14°E, covering Borno, parts of Yobe and Jigawa states of Nigeria [10]. Sediment thickness in the Basin is believed to be more than 4,650 km and comprises marine and continental deposits made up from the oldest; the Bima Sandstone which is sparsely fossiliferous, poorly sorted and medium to coarse grained, a transitional calcareous Gongila Formation, Fika Shale, Kerri Kerri and Chad Formations in that order (Table 1). Also commonly occurring are crystalline rocks of granite, gneisses, mica schists, basalts and minor basic and acidic intrusives [8].

Methodology

The digital log data used for this study included the gamma ray, caliper, density, sonic and resistivity logs. The requisite environmental corrections were applied to the logs and the formulas below were inputted into the user define equation (UDE) of the PRIZM GeoGraphix software and used for the evaluation.

a. Density Porosity
   \[ \text{PHID} = \frac{(\text{RhoM} - \text{RHOB})}{(\text{RhoM} - \text{RhoF})} \]

b. Sonic Porosity (Wyllie); Enter Compaction Coefficient below, Cmp = 1.0
   \[ \text{PHIS} = \frac{1}{\text{Cmp}} \times \frac{(\text{DT} - \text{DTma})}{(\text{DTfld} - \text{DTma})} \]

c. Average Porosity from Density Porosity and Sonic Porosity
   \[ \text{PHIAVE} = \frac{(\text{PHID} + \text{PHIS})}{2} \]

d. Shale volume content
   \[ \text{Vshl} = \min(1, \max(0, (\text{GR} - \text{GRcln}) / (\text{GRshl} - \text{GRcln}))) \]

e. Effective Porosity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pliocene – Miocene</td>
<td>Chad Formation</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coniacian – Santonian</td>
<td>Fika Formation</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turonian</td>
<td>Gongila Formation</td>
<td>2350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albian – Cenomanian</td>
<td>Bima Sandstone</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Generalized well lithology for the Nigerian sector of the Chad Basin (Adapted from Nwankwo et al. [7]).

This study, therefore, x-rays the reservoir properties of sand units penetrated by four wells in the Nigerian end of the Chad Basin with a view to ascertaining if those properties are good enough to support hydrocarbon generation, preservation and accumulation. About twenty-eight log curves from four exploratory oil wells ks-01, Kt-01, Zy-01 & Kd-01 (Figure 1) drilled in the Nigerian end of the Chad Basin.
Modified Simandoux SwMS: Requires, PhiE[], Vshl[] & RT[] if

\[ \text{SwA} = \frac{\phi_w}{\phi_t} \]

Pay = \phi_t > \phiCutoff \text{ and } SwMS < SwCutoff

Pay Flag with Porosity and Water Saturation Cutoffs

Differential Caliper

\[ \text{Bulk Volume Water} = \phi_t \times \text{Volume} \]

Environmental corrections of Temp & PRES Temperature °C,

Degrees C & Depth in Meters

\[ \text{Temp} = 17.5 + 0.02 \times \text{DEPTH}\]

x. ressure in Mpa, Mudwt in kg/m3, Depth in Meters

\[ \text{PRES} = \text{Mudwt} \times \text{DEPTH} / 10000 \]

The results generated from the evaluation were thereafter posted as signatures or wiggles on the PRIZM display. The quality control/assurance (QC/QA) measures taken to minimize errors were the comparison of the results obtained using the software with those obtained from both formulae and charts. The results obtained from the software agreed closely with those obtained from formulae and charts.

Results and Discussion

The log packages run for the study wells are partially complete. For instance the Sp, MSFL, neutron and dip-meter logs are not available. Visual examination of the gamma ray log curves for the study wells show a fairly constant low to moderate values through most of the sand units, indicating that the sands are somewhat shaly. Also, gamma ray signatures of the sands have predominantly cylindrical and serrated shapes, suggesting probably braided fluvial type environment, distributary channel-fill, submarine canyon-fill, evaporate fill, distal deep marine slope and/or carbonate shelf margin depositional environment [11,12]. The resistivity readings are moderate to high in some sand intervals and show evidence of invasion. The caliper curves show evidence of mudcake on the walls of the porous and permeable sands for all the wells. This is based on the results obtained from differential caliper estimation which are conspicuously negative. The caliper logs also show relatively constant hole diameter and no significant differential enlargement. Consistency of hole diameter indicates that log measurements are reliable. The digitized and interpreted log curves for some of the sand units of the four wells is presented in Figures 3 and 4, while the coordinates, total depth (TD), Kelly bushing (KB), elevation (m) and spud date for the study wells (Zy-01, Kt-01, Ks-01 & Kd-01) is contained in Table 2.

These abnormally high values of shale content in the sands of the Chad Basin can adversely affect the determination of in-place hydrocarbon volume, prediction of reservoir production rate(s), estimation of effective porosities, permeability and Archie-predicted true resistivity (Rt). Also, in shaly sands, where shales and clays contribute to electrical conductivity, Archie-derived water saturation (SwA) is sometimes pessimistic. However, the modified [14] equation for evaluating Sw in shaly reservoirs was used as an alternative to the Archies equation. Other estimations for porosity and permeability were equally compensated for the effect of the shales in the sands [15]. The scatter plot of Vshl against gamma ray log reading for the sand units of the wells (Figure 6) correlate reasonable well with each other. A direct relationship exists between the plotted Vshl and the gamma ray log reading for the sands. The gamma ray log readings for the sands did not exceed 90API and

PHIE[] = PHIAVE[] * (1-Vshl[])

g. True Resistivity

RT[] = 1.67 * ILD[] - 0.67 * SN[]

h. Archie Water Saturation

SwA[] = (a * Rw / (ILD[] * PHIAVE[]^m)) * (Vshl[Rshl]/(2*PHIE[]^m/(a*Rw*(1-Vshl[]))))

Modified Simandoux SwMS: Requires, PhiE[], Vshl[] & RT[] if

SwMS[] = (sqrt( (Vshl[]/Rshl)^2 + 4*PHIE[]^m/(a*Rw*(1-Vshl[]))) ) *(1/n)

i. Water Saturation of the Flushed Zone

x SoPhiH[] = (1 - SwMS[]) * PHIAVE[] * <Step>

j. Apparent Water Resistivity

\[ \text{Rwa} = \frac{\text{ILD}[]}{\text{PHIAVE}[]^m} \]

k. Hydrocarbon Saturation

\[ \phi_h = (1 - \text{SwMS}) \]

l. Moveable Hydrocarbon Index

MHI[] = (SwMS[] / SoXo[])

m. Residual Oil Saturation

ROS[] = 1.0 - SoXo[]

n. Moveable Oil Saturation

MOS[] = SoXo[] / SwMS[]

o. Resistivity of Water filled formation

\[ \text{Ro} = a \times \frac{\text{Rw}}{\text{PHIAVE}[]^m} \]

p. Ratio Water Saturation

\[ \text{Swr} = (\text{SN}[] / \text{ILD}[]) / (\text{Rmf} / \text{Rw}) \times 0.625 \]

q. Differential Caliper

\[ \text{DiffCal}[] = \text{CALI}[] - \text{Bit Size} \]

r. Pay Flag with Porosity and Water Saturation Cutoffs

Pay[] = PHIAVE[] > PhiCutoff and SwMS[] < SwCutoff

s. Hydrocarbon Pore Thickness if Pay Exists

\[ \text{If (Pay[])} \text{ Then HydPhiTh[]} = (1 - \text{SwMS[]}[]) \times \text{PHIAGE[]} \times <\text{Step}> \]

Else HydPhiTh[] = 0

\[ \text{t. Bulk Volume Water} = \phi_t \times \text{Volume} \]

\[ \text{u. Hydrocarbon Pore Volume} = \phi_h \times \text{Volume} \]

\[ \text{SoPhiH}[] = (1 - \text{SwMS}[][]) \times \text{PHIAGE}[] \times <\text{Step}> \]

\[ \text{v. Timur Permeability} = (100 \times \text{PHIE}[]^2.25 / \text{SwIrr})^2 \]

\[ \text{w. Environmental corrections of Temp & PRES Temperature °C,} \]

Degrees C & Depth in Meters

\[ \text{Temp} = 17.5 + 0.02 \times \text{DEPTH}[] \]

x. ressure in Mpa, Mudwt in kg/m3, Depth in Meters

\[ \text{PRES} = \text{Mudwt} \times \text{DEPTH} / 10000 \]

The results generated from the evaluation were thereafter posted as signatures or wiggles on the PRIZM display. The quality control/assurance (QC/QA) measures taken to minimize errors were the comparison of the results obtained using the software with those obtained from both formulae and charts. The results obtained from the software agreed closely with those obtained from formulae and charts.
calculated Vshl is up to 70%. Calculated Vshl greater than 100% are spurious and may be related to poor logs [9,16].

The density and sonic log readings were used to estimate porosity. Column plots of average effective, density and sonic porosities against depth (Figures 7-9) for the sands of the wells are fair to very good for hydrocarbon accumulation [9,17,18]. Further investigations on the porosities of the sands have revealed for instance in Ks-01 well that the deeper (>2900 m) sand units have good to very good porosity indices. Plots of porosity versus depth (Figure 10) for all the wells show that porosity decreases with depth and also varies with lithology [19,20]. Negative density porosity values were observed in some of the wells indicating probably the presence of anhydrites or other forms of heavy minerals [21]. This may be true if we consider the geology of the area which is characterised by basement rocks. The scatter plot of density porosity against sonic porosity for the sand units (Figure 11) revealed dispersed points and also showed that density porosities are much less than the sonic porosities, the difference in the result of these two components may probably signify fractures [22]. This is further corroborated by the low density log readings and higher porosity values than the sonic log readings observed on the log display (Figures 12 and 13).

Permeability values is between 15md & 7477.8md for the sand units of the study wells (Figure 14) and are therefore moderate to excellent for hydrocarbon production [23]. The Permeability values for Ks-01 & Zy-01 wells at deeper depths (>2500 m) show predominantly good to very
The average values of moveable oil saturation (MOS) are slightly high, moved especially in a formation with good porosity and permeability. Less than 0.7v/v for sandstone indicates that hydrocarbon has been moved especially in a formation with good porosity and permeability. A further evidence to show that invasion is very shallow. Therefore, the SwA and SwMS are considered a good value for the zones actual water saturation estimation.

The average values of apparent water resistivity (Rwa) are greater than the average values of water resistivity (Rw), indicating probably presence of hydrocarbon in some of the sands of the study wells. Water saturation of the flushed zone (SxO) was used as an indicator of hydrocarbon mobility. For most of the sands in the study wells SxO values are much greater than the Archie’s water saturation values (SwA) and the modified Simandox water saturation (SwMS) values, implying that probably hydrocarbons in the flushed zone have been moved by invading drilling fluids [24]. A further evidence to show that hydrocarbon mobility occurred in the sands of the wells was the use of the moveable hydrocarbon index (MHI) which showed values of less than 0.7v/v for most of the sands in the study wells. Asquith and Krygowski [24] have also suggested that values of MHI equal or greater than 1.0 indicate that hydrocarbons were not moved whereas MHI less than 0.7v/v for sandstone indicates that hydrocarbon has been moved especially in a formation with good porosity and permeability. The average values of moveable oil saturation (MOS) are slightly high, (b/w 0.3 & 2.7v/v) signalling another evidence of hydrocarbon mobility in the formation. The averages of residual hydrocarbon saturation (ROS) are negative. This result is quite disturbing if we consider the good results obtained for MHI and MOS. ROS is expected to be low (ROS) are negative. This result is quite disturbing if we consider the good results obtained for MHI and MOS. ROS is expected to be low (ROS) are negative. This result is quite disturbing if we consider the good results obtained for MHI and MOS. ROS is expected to be low (ROS) are negative. This result is quite disturbing if we consider the good results obtained for MHI and MOS. ROS is expected to be low (ROS) are negative. This result is quite disturbing if we consider the good results obtained for MHI and MOS. ROS is expected to be low (ROS) are negative. This result is quite disturbing if we consider the good results obtained for MHI and MOS. ROS is expected to be low (ROS) are negative. This result is quite disturbing if we consider the good results obtained for MHI and MOS. ROS is expected to be low (ROS) are negative. This result is quite disturbing if we consider the good results obtained for MHI and MOS. ROS is expected to be low.

The correlation of the subsurface sands of Ks-01, Kt-01 and Zy-01 wells (Figure 17) broadly define an anticlinal geometry at deeper depths. Sands at shallower depth tend to flatten out. The correlation also shows

Table 2: Showing the Coordinates, Total depth (m), K.B, Elevation (m) and Spud date for the study wells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN/ Wells</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>Total Depth (m)</th>
<th>Kelly Bushing (K.B) (m)</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
<th>Spud date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Zy-01</td>
<td>E or X: 321924.600000 (Longitude); N or Y: 1362806.100000 (Latitude)</td>
<td>3375</td>
<td>303.7</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>Jan., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – Kt-01</td>
<td>E or X: 323521.030000 (Longitude); N or Y: 1372072.100000 (Latitude)</td>
<td>2950</td>
<td>299.52</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>Dec., 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – Kd-01</td>
<td>E or X: 307750.000000 (Longitude); N or Y: 1372500.000000 (Latitude)</td>
<td>3050</td>
<td>306.45</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>Dec., 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – Ks-01</td>
<td>E or X: 314088.400000 (Longitude); N or Y: 1359838.500000 (Latitude)</td>
<td>4665</td>
<td>303.7</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>Apr., 1986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average values of water resistivity readings as flush zone resistivity (Rxo) instead of the log reading of the microspherically focused logs (MSFL) which was not available. Although, SN can be used in the absence of MSFL, it tends to read fluid resistivity that is a mix of Rw and Rmf especially if the formation were invaded. The latter reason is most likely the problem for the negative values for ROS.

The correlation of the subsurface sands of Ks-01, Kt-01 and Zy-01 wells (Figure 17) broadly define an anticlinal geometry at deeper depths. Sands at shallower depth tend to flatten out. The correlation also shows
that the sands are continuous within the wells. This is evident from the similar gamma ray log signatures found in all the wells. Thicker sand bodies are observable within Ks-01 well relative to the other two wells. Lateral pinch-out and juxtaposition of the sands is observed more at the deeper parts of the wells. The suspected pinch-out may support a case for stratigraphic traps in the Basin and the juxtaposed sands may have provided drainage for generated hydrocarbons to migrate. It is also possible that the juxtaposed sands in the deeper sections of the wells is the outcome of post Santonian effects [25].

Implications of the results for hydrocarbon generation and preservation in the Basin

The three most essential elements of reservoir properties are porosity, permeability and fluid saturation. The overall average porosity and permeability values of the sand units with the good reservoir properties for the four wells (Kd-01, Ks-01, Kt-01 and Zy-01) are within limits that are required for hydrocarbon generation,
interformational velocity analysis (DIVA) is advocated. MHI and MOS have revealed hydrocarbon mobility in the sands of the wells, although, commercial discovery of hydrocarbons is yet to be made in the Basin. Further analysis on the Basin using 2D/3D seismic data is suggested.

Conclusion

The digital log data used for this study were evaluated using the PRIZM GeoGraphix software, a windows based geology and geophysics (G&G) software. On the strength of the evaluations, the following summary and conclusions are deduced:

(1) Eighty-seven (87) sand units with good reservoir properties were delineated and their thicknesses range between -1m and -160m

(2) Shale volume content in the sand units is abnormally high and may give incorrect results for in- place hydrocarbon volume and reservoir production rates. It may also cause reduction in the effective porosity values, lower permeability values and alter the Archie-predicted true resistivity results. However, these effects were compensated for, by the final equations that were used in the evaluations.

accumulation and preservation. The predominantly fair permeability results, if we consider the entire evaluation, are perhaps as a result of the silty nature of the sands. The results further show that sediments within deeper depths have better reservoir properties relative to the shallower parts. Correlation of the wells has revealed that sands within Ks-01 well are thicker and better developed. Also, sands within the deeper parts of the Basin are somewhat juxtaposed suggesting probably block faulting and post Santonian effects. The juxtaposed nature of the sands may have provided avenue for the drainage of generated hydrocarbons. Stratigraphic traps are also suggested in the Basin in addition to other trapping mechanisms based on the observed pinch-out of the sands. Further studies on this using seismic data, velocity track analysis (VTA), ground water simulation method and differential
(3) The bulk volume water (BVW) estimation has shown that the sands of the Basin are fine grained to silty. Porosity versus water saturation plots have shown that some sand units are at irreducible water saturation and some are at semi-irreducible water saturation.

(4) The overall porosities and permeabilities of the sand units of the study wells are within limits that are required for hydrocarbon accumulation and preservation. However, permeability estimations in some sands are poor and excellent in others. Perhaps, the silty nature of most of the sands may probably have contributed to the poor permeability observed in some of the sands. The deeper sand units were observed to have good and better reservoir quality relative to other shallower sand units.

(5) The Archie’s water saturation and the modified Simandoux water saturation estimations have revealed depths where water is most likely to predominate.

(6) Evidences on hydrocarbon mobility exist in the sands of the study wells. However, the only exception is with the values obtained for ROS. This could be as a result of using the SN log reading instead of the MSFL reading as input parameter for RxO.

(7) The correlations between Ks-01, Kt-01 & Zy-01 wells have revealed that anticlinal structures exist at deeper depths as well as juxtaposed sand bodies. Also thicker sand bodies are observed in Ks-01 well relative to the other wells. There is evidence to show that sand bodies are continuous within the wells, and in some cases these sand bodies tend to die-out before reaching the other well, suggesting probably sand pinchout.

(8) Drilling to deeper depths is strongly recommended due to the very favourable reservoir properties observed at deeper depths for Ks-01 and Zy-01 wells. Also the drilling should concentrate within the location of these wells.
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Figure 17: Correlation of wells Ks-01, Kt-01 and Zy-01 using the XSection application of the GeoGraphix software


