Resin-bonded Bridge: Conservative Treatment Option for Single Tooth Replacement
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Abstract
Resin-Bonded Fixed Partial Dentures (RBFPDs) were introduced to dentistry around 40 years ago. The typical design of resin-bonded fixed partial denture is characterized by conservation of tooth structure of abutment compared to fixed treatment. This article presents a case report of a patient who reported with missing maxillary central incisor. Multiple treatment options are available for replacement of missing tooth. Use of conventional fixed partial denture in such a situation is criticized because modern dental practice revolves around the principle of preservation of tooth structure. So in such cases resin bonded fixed partial denture is best treatment option.

Keywords: Adhesive; Acid etching; Resin bonded fixed partial denture

Introduction
Era of adhesive dentistry dates back to 1955 when Dr. Buonocore introduced enamel acid etching and resin bonding [1]. It not only improved the restorative dentistry but also opened new paths in preventive dentistry. Many enhancements followed this like direct restorations with resins, bondable brackets in orthodontics, and fissure sealants in prophodontics.

In 1973, Dr. Rochette of France introduced the idea of bonding a cast metal bar to the lingual surfaces of periodontally involved anterior teeth for splinting purposes using the acid-etch technique and unfilled resin cement [2]. The cast metal splint had perforations made with sloping walls to permit attachment to the resin cement through mechanical interlocking. This idea was applied by Howe and Dench in 1977 to a specially designed partial denture to the enamel of abutment teeth in the anterior segments of the mouth [3]. They used the perforated metal framework design and modified the technique by adding a pontic to replace a missing anterior tooth. This technique provided a Fixed Partial Denture (FPD) tooth replacement with minimal tooth preparation.

Thompson et al. refined the framework by electrolytically etching non-precious castings to produce a microscopically roughened surface for providing suitable mechanical retention to the tooth structure through an adhesive luting cement [4,5]. This etching process was first described by Dunn and Reisbick [6] and Tanaka et al. [7] (The resin provided mechanical linkage between the micropores of the alloy and the enamel).

Since that time, a number of significant modifications to this original design have improved its longevity in the oral environment, and currently Resin Bonded Fixed Partial Dentures (RBFPDs) are considered by many to be a viable alternative to conventional fixed partial dentures. Resin bonded bridges are a minimally invasive option for replacing missing teeth [8-10]. Preparation designs for RBFPDs are strictly limited to the enamel and may comprise palatal veneer preparations, proximal boxes, vertical grooves, guiding planes, or pinholes in the cingulum area [11].

This case report describes the use of RBFPD as a valuable treatment plan in restoring smile and oral functions with minimal biological cost.

Clinical Report
A 17 years old boy reported to the Department of Prosthodontics, Mullana with esthetic disturbance due to missing left maxillary central incisor (Figures 1 and 2). When replacing an incisor, the dentist has the following options: (1) an implant-supported single crown, (2) a conventional Fixed Partial Denture (FPD), or (3) a Resin-Bonded Fixed Partial Denture (RBFPD) [12]. Patients were also recommended for orthodontics treatment owing to his malocclusion but due to economic reasons and time, he refused for this treatment option. So, conservative and cost effective treatment was planned i.e. resin bonded fixed partial denture.

Before preparing the teeth, a diagnostic wax-up was done on study
models. The classical principles for conservative supra-gingival tooth preparations for a resin-bonded fixed partial denture i.e. minimally invasive lingual preparation limited to enamel (0.5 mm), resistance form (lingual chamfer and proximal groove) and maximum covering of the lingual surface area were followed.

A complete final impression of the arch was made with double mix single step technique using polyvinyl siloxane impression material (putty and low viscosity, Aquasil, Dentsply/Caulk, Milford, DE) with metal stock tray. The impression was poured with die stone and cast was obtained. The stone cast was mounted on semi adjustable articulator along with opposing arch. The pattern of retainer and the pontic were fabricated using inlay wax (blue inlay wax, Bego). The pattern was invested and then casting was done. The framework so fabricated was checked on the mounted cast.

At the try-in appointment, the complete seating of the frameworks, marginal adaptation, pontics' form and gingival pressure, esthetics and occlusion were assessed. The bridge was sent to the laboratory for final porcelain polishing and metal sandblasting.

At the final appointment, a dual-cured resin luting agent (Panavia F 2.0, Kurary Co. Ltd, and Osaka, Japan) was used for cementation. Tooth surface is etched with K Etchant Gel for 10 seconds. ED Primer II is applied to the prepared tooth surface and tooth is air dried gently. Panavia F 2.0 paste is prepared by dispensing equal amount of A paste and B paste and is mixed for 20 seconds. The mixed paste is applied to metal surface of RBFPD and is cemented to the abutment teeth and is light cured for 10 seconds. The excess paste from the margins is removed and then, paste is allowed to cure using OXYGUARD II (Kuraray). After 3 minutes OXYGUARD II was removed with water and is light cured for 10 seconds. The bridge was sent to the laboratory for final porcelain polishing and metal sandblasting.

This case report is an example of the type of clinical situation, the clinicians can encounter in their practice. In such situations, the practitioners to evaluate the clinical condition over time, while offering the patient acceptable restorations. The predictability and longevity of this prosthetic design is less than conventional fixed bridges, but they are less expensive and have low biological cost [15]. Moreover, they offer good esthetics, easy cleaning, less biological damage and no chance of having an undetected debonded retainer with decay underneath it [16]. According to the literature, the success rate for 2-unit cantilevered resin-bonded restorations with a follow-up of at least 2 years is about 95% [17]. Now a day’s all ceramic RBFPDs (IPS Empress 2) are being used for the replacement of the missing teeth because of its minimally invasive technique that does not discolor the abutment teeth [18]. The quality of life of people wearing this type of bridge is, moreover, no different than that of those with implants or fixed partial dentures [19].

**Discussion**

This case report is an example of the type of clinical situation, the clinicians can encounter in their practice. In such situations, a total management plan should include dental and oral assessment, evaluation of the patient's complaints, needs, and socioeconomic status.

This treatment modality represents a conservative, esthetically pleasing and rapid solution of a missing tooth when implant placement and/or fixed treatment are not feasible because of financial, social or time restrictions [13,14]. This type of conservative treatment allows practitioners to evaluate the clinical condition over time, while offering the patient acceptable restorations. The predictability and longevity of this prosthetic design is less than conventional fixed bridges, but they are less expensive and have low biological cost [15]. Moreover, they offer good esthetics, easy cleaning, less biological damage and no chance of having an undetected debonded retainer with decay underneath it [16]. According to the literature, the success rate for 2-unit cantilevered resin-bonded restorations with a follow-up of at least 2 years is about 95% [17]. Now a day’s all ceramic RBFPDs (IPS Empress 2) are being used for the replacement of the missing teeth because of its minimally invasive technique that does not discolor the abutment teeth [18]. The quality of life of people wearing this type of bridge is, moreover, no different than that of those with implants or fixed partial dentures [19].

**Conclusion**

This case report presents all the beneficial aspects of choosing this treatment option over the other keeping in view all the clinical and patient conditions. The RBFPDs have undergone significant developments, although the basic advantage of conservation of tooth structure has remained. Their use in carefully selected cases following appropriate preparation designs and cementation procedures can result in long-lasting restorations and a viable treatment modality.
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