Resistance in *Candida albicans*: Exploring the Cell Wall Barrier by Proteomics
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**Abstract**

The incidence of candidiasis has dramatically increased and bloodstream infections due to different species of *Candida* are becoming a prime cause of morbidity and mortality in different types of immunocompromised patients. Azole and echinocandin drug resistance accounts for the dramatic increase in incidence of nosocomial bloodstream candidiasis found in recent years. Cell wall constitutes the barrier between the yeast and the host and resistant strains change the proteome of this compartment. In the last decade different proteomic platforms have been applied to study cell wall and markers of resistance to drugs have been pointed out. Modulation of these proteins seem to suggest that although resistance is based on a specific mutation able to counteract the toxicity of the antifungal drug, a set of other molecular modifications takes place contemporary or subsequently the establishment of the resistance and seems to support the viability of the resistant yeast. Profiled proteins by proteomics may be valuable in design therapy using classical antifungal along with complementary drugs able to abolish pathways that strengthen the resistance and attenuate virulence of the mutated cell.
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**Introduction**

*Candida albicans*, anemorphic opportunistic human pathogen yeast, is the most prominent cause of oro-pharyngeal, vaginal and invasive candidiasis in man [1]. In particular, oro-pharyngeal infections are very common in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected individuals and patients with acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS), while deep-seated infections are frequent in neutropenic patients under chemotherapy [2,3].

Currently available therapies reside on antifungal drugs belonging toazole and echinocandin families that interfere with different aspects of fungal metabolism.

In *Candida*, azole antifungals target protein Erg11p involved in the biosynthesis of ergosterol, the main sterol of the membranes of the fungal cell. This protein is located at the inner face of the membrane of the smooth endoplasmic reticulum, engaged in the synthesis of lipids, including oils, phospholipids and steroids. Erg11p, a member of the cytochrome P450 family, is a hemoprotein that has an iron atom as prosthetic group, whose function is to fix the dioxygen necessary for the enzymatic activity [4]. Echinocandin drugs are lipopeptides that inhibit glucan synthase, which is responsible for the biosynthesis of β-1,3-D-glucan, a major structural component of fungal cell wall [5]. The echinocandin target, β-1,3-D-glucan synthase, is a fungal-specific multi-subunit enzyme complex comprised of Rho, a GTP-binding protein, which helps regulate the overall activity of glucan synthase and a catalytic subunit Fks encoded by three related genes, FKS1, FKS2, and FKS3 [6].

**Candida** resistance to antifungal drugs

The raise of resistant strains to these drugs account for the dramatic increase in incidence of nosocomial bloodstream candidiasis found in recent years[7]. Acquired resistance in *Candida* has been described and underlying mechanisms have been characterized for both azoles and echinocandins. For the azoles, three different mechanisms have been described: 1) mutations of ERG11 that reduce the binding affinity of the protein to azole antifungals. These mutations are not randomly distributed over the ERG11 gene but are preferentially clustered within three regions [4]; 2) overexpression of ERG11, leading to an increase of the intracellular concentration of the target protein. Two independent mechanisms drive ERG11 overexpression: the former is ERG11 gene duplication while the latter depends on the regulation of the ergosterol biosynthesis pathway; 3) overexpression of efflux membrane transporters for azole drug that carrying azole outside the cell decreases their intracellular concentration. Two families of drug efflux transporters can be distinguished in yeasts [4]: the ATP Binding cassette (ABC) transporters, encoded by the genes CDR1 and CDR2 in *Candida albicans* and the major facilitator superfamily (MFS) transporter encoded by MDRI gene [8].

In the individual isolate these mechanisms often act in concert leading to stepwise increases in minimal inhibition concentration (MIC) and broadening of theazole resistance spectrum.

For the echinocandins only target gene mutations of β-1,3-D-glucan synthase are responsible for resistance in isolates and produce modification of the catalytic Fks subunit (Fks1 and Fks2) of glucan synthase [9]. Unlike azole antifungal agents, echinocandins are not substrates for multidrug transporters [10]. Echinocandin resistance is conferred by characteristic amino acid substitutions in Fks subunits [11], which induce elevated MIC values (10–100 fold) and reduce the sensitivity of glucan synthase (IC50) to drug by 50- to 3,000-fold [12].
Characteristic mutations in FKS genes are prominently associated with reduced clinical response [13].

**Molecular studies of Candida cell wall**

To better understand at molecular level the organization of the fungal cell once drug resistance has been established, cell wall represents a first choice as this compartment constitutes her barrier between the yeast and host [14]. This essential organelle maintains cell shape strengthening its structure, limits permeability thereby retaining periplasmic proteins and protects from host degrading enzymes. The cell wall is mainly composed of beta-1,3- and beta-1,6-glucans and by small amount of chitin long with proteins that can be grouped in three main classes: i) glycosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored proteins (GPI-CWPs), the majority of them mannosylated, and covalently linked to the beta-1,6-glucan through the GPI anchor; ii) proteins with Internal Repeats (PIR) directly linked to the beta-1,3-glucan; iii) CWPs lacking a covalent attachment to the polysaccharide matrix [11,15].

Studies for identification of CWPs that change their expression in resistance strains may be useful to determine biological markers associated to drug resistance with a diagnostic value and to find out antigenic epitopes that can be used to evoke antibodies able to contain Candida invasion. Furthermore, determination of the functional properties of differently expressed CWP may highlight metabolic pathways involved in sustaining the virulence of the yeast. A suitable strategy to study modulation of cell wall proteins in experimental resistance strains and clinical isolates is represented by the proteomic approach that can yield a complete comparative picture of the differential expressed proteins between sensitive and resistant strains.

Different proteomic platforms have been applied to study different topics of Candida albicans as reported in 137 published in PubMed in the last decade (crossing terms: proteomics and Candida albicans). Out of these 137 papers, are indeed focused on cell wall structure [16,17], metabolism [18], surface immunogenic proteins and proteins enriched in the cell wall [19] and conveyed outside in extracellular vesicles [20]. The limited number of articles, despite the significance and importance of this compartment in Candida metabolism, may reside on the difficulty of preparation and purification of the cell wall proteome since most of the proteins, GPI-CWP and PIR are covalently linked to the glucan moiety. In particular scant information are still available on changes of cell wall proteome in antifungal resistant strains.

Thanks to a 2D-electrophoretic based proteomic platform, two proteins, bgl2p [21] and rhd3/ppa29 [22], whose expression clearly increase on the cell wall in micafungin resistant strains have been recently identified and up to now they represent the main cell wall markers in the resistance to this antifungal drug. Bgl2p is an endo-1,3-glucanase and glucanosyltransferase that introduces 1,6-linkages in 1,3-glucan chains and participates in cross-linking of the glucan component of the cell wall. Bgl2p has also been found in exosomes released from Candida albicans [20]. Deletion of BGL2 does not obviously affect cell morphology, whereas its over-expression is harmful for cell viability. It is noteworthy that an increase of bgl2p has been observed also in fluconazole resistant strains indicating a beneficial role of this protein probably to favour the re-arrangement of cell wall in both resistant strains. Antibodies against this protein represent an accurate diagnostic biomarkers in patients with systemic candidiasis along with anti-wall phosphoglycerate kinase antibodies and high seropositivity to anti-bgl2p modulate anti-wall enolase response lowering risk of fatality in these patients [22].

Rhd3/ppa29, the latter biomarker of micafungin resistance, has been recently identified by us [23]. For this protein, a functional role has not yet been assigned. However, deletion of gene attenuated the pathogenicity and diminished the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines by the host indicating a peculiar role of this protein in the virulence of the fungus [24]. An up-regulation of this protein occurs in presence of iron while it is down-regulated during the yeast to hyphae transition. Rhd3/ppa29 increase is peculiar of the resistance to micafungin since in fluconazole resistant strains there is not any increase of this marker on the cell wall. This different response indicates aspecific molecular adaptation regarding Rhd3/ppa29 levels on the cell wall of resistant cells to the two antifungal drugs.

Modulation of these proteins seem to suggest that although resistance is based on a specific mutation able to counteract the toxicity of the antifungal drug, a set of other molecular modifications takes place contemporary or subsequently the establishment of the resistance and seems to support the viability of the resistant yeast cell. More sensitive and quantitative proteomic approaches will be helpful in widening the list of proteins involved in the re-organization of the cell in echinocandins and azoles resistance. Identification of these proteins may help in defining the molecular processes involved in resistant strains fitness. These new technologies could be applied also to identify down-regulated protein that, if antigenic, could allow the fungus to escape immunogenic response.

**Conclusion**

Profiled proteins modulated in resistant strains may be valuable in design therapy using classical antifungal along with complementary drugs able to abolish pathways that strengthen the resistance and attenuate virulence of the mutated cell. This strategy may succeed in overcoming Candida albicans invasion mainly in immunocompromised patients where resistant strains to both azoles and echinocandins frequently occur.
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