Restoration of Primary Canines with Porcelain Laminate Veneers: A Clinical Report
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Abstract
This article describes treatment of a young adult patient with porcelain laminate veneers for restoring unaesthetic maxillary anterior teeth with two retained primary canines. The patient had experienced an approximately two-year orthodontic treatment and had received both fixed and removable retainers for the upper arch. The patient could not afford implant supported restorations for his missing premolar teeth and was not pleased by the appearance of his smile. Using porcelain laminate veneers is a proper treatment option that could be taken into consideration in these situations.
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Introduction
There are few options for conservative restoration of malformed, but intact anterior teeth. These options include composite resin and porcelain laminate veneers [1,2]. Direct composite resin restorations present some advantages including conservative tooth preparation, simplicity, being easily changeable and being cost-effective. Nevertheless, it may not be a proper choice for restoring teeth with extensive structure damage [3]. Furthermore, some problems may be encountered such as material discoloration, fracture, recurrent caries, marginal defects and loss of restoration [4-6].

Porcelain laminate veneers are indirect esthetic fixed prostheses that their popularity is mostly related to minimal tooth preparation and desirable characteristics. They offer superior properties over composite veneers in terms of strength, color stability, resistance to abrasion, surface smoothness, and low plaque retention [7-9]. Furthermore, compared to 2.9% annually failure rate of composite resin restorations [10], porcelain veneers show failure rates of 0% to 5% over 1 to 5 years [11].

Despite numerous articles on using porcelain laminate veneers for permanent teeth [12-16], there are few ones for primary teeth [17-23]. There are some adult patients with retained primary teeth who seek esthetic and functional dental treatments. According to Robinson and Chan [21], retention of primary teeth happens in approximately 16.6% of population. The reasons for retention of primary teeth during adult life are: local pathologic conditions, impaction, transmigration or congenitally absence of permanent teeth, and also microdontia of permanent dentition [24]. It has been shown that the prognosis of retained mandibular and maxillary primary canines, and second molars is better than the prognosis of incisors and first molars [25,26]. However, it should be mentioned that root resorption would eventually occur in primary teeth even in the absence of their successors [27]. Several reasons have been suggested for root resorption of primary and permanent teeth including trauma, infection, periodontal disease, root canal therapy and invasion of neoplasm [27,28]. In an animal study using mongrel dogs, it has been shown that protection of primary teeth from occlusion forces as a form of trauma, would delay their root resorption process [27]. However, it has also been reported that adequate occlusion between a healthy retained primary tooth and its opposing tooth helps its survival for several years [29]. Unfortunately, there are no clinical predictors regarding the survival of retained primary teeth without successor [30].

Depending on primary tooth condition and treatment plan, some options have been suggested for treating retained primary teeth without their successors. The treatment options include retaining, retaining and modifying, extraction and space closure, or extraction and prosthetic replacement [21]. In this regard, some authors have suggested restoring primary teeth with resin-bonded porcelain restorations [17-23]. Primary and permanent teeth are different regarding their enamel composition and microstructure [31], which seems to affect the etching pattern and subsequently bond strength of adhesive systems used for bonded restorations. Studies have shown that retentive qualities of acid-etched primary tooth enamel are less than those of permanent tooth enamel which have been treated with the same etching procedure [32,33]. This different etching pattern is related to more pronounced aprismatic enamel layer covering the outer enamel surface in primary teeth compared to permanent teeth [32,34].

While some investigations have suggested poorer bond strengths of composite to primary enamel, it does not seem to be a problem in clinical situations [35]. Lenzi et al. have shown that the preliminary etching of enamel would enhance bonding quality of one-step self-etch adhesive bonding system in both primary and permanent teeth [36]. However, removing this prismless outer layer of enamel and also using prolonged etching times have been proven to help overcome bonding problem in primary teeth [32,33].

The purpose of this article is to present a case with retained primary canines treated with porcelain laminate veneers.

Clinical Report
An 18-year-old male with no remarkable medical history was referred for esthetic restoration of six maxillary anterior
teeth one week after finalization of active orthodontic treatment (Figure 1). The patient was willing to be treated with a fixed, yet economic prosthetic option. First and second maxillary premolars were missing bilaterally and patient had both primary and permanent canines (Figures 2A and 2B). The space between permanent canines and first molars was managed during orthodontic treatment (Figure 3), and was maintained by means of a removable retainer device. Based on clinical and radiographic examinations which indicated no periodontal problem and mobility of primary teeth, and also the patient demand, laminate veneer treatment was chosen as an alternative to their extraction.

Primary impressions of jaws were made using an irreversible hydrocolloid (Alginoplast®, Heraeus Kulzer GmbH & Co., Wehrheim, Germany) impression material. Using inter-occlusal base-plate wax (Pink Base Plate Wax, Henry Schein, NY) record and an arbitrary face bow record, diagnostic casts were mounted in a semi-adjustable articulator (Dentatus ARH, Stockholm, Sweden). After diagnostic wax-up of six maxillary anterior teeth, a transparent vacuum-formed matrix was made on a duplicated cast made of diagnostic wax-up. This matrix was used for trial mock-up with light-cured composite resin on the teeth intra-orally. This mock-up was useful for visualizing the final restoration status to both dentist and patient (Figure 4A). It also helped estimate the amount of needed enamel preparation to reach to an approximate depth of 0.3 mm in cervical to 0.5 mm in incisal areas for optimal veneer thickness (Figure 4B) [15]. Also, in order to increase restoration resistant to incisal fractures, to distribute the occlusal loads over a wider surface area, and to achieve an aesthetic incisal part of the porcelain veneer, the chosen design for incisal preparation was incisal overlap design [15]. Also, in order to increase porcelain strength and incisal edge in the primary teeth, the preparation was extended to the lingual surface [20]. Polyvinyl siloxane impression material (Zhermack Elite HD + Regular Body, Kouigo, Italy) was used in a combination with the two-stage impression technique. After tooth preparation with a light chamfer finish line at the level of gingival margin using a round-end diamond bur in a high-speed hand-piece and retraction cord (#00, Ultradent), the final impression was made. At the end of the session, temporary veneers were made by using matrix and light-cured composite resin with no etchant or bonding agent.

The final laminate veneers were made of conventional sintered feldspathic porcelain (EX-3, Noritake Co., Tokyo, Japan) of Vita A2 shade according to Vita Lumin classic shade guide. After checking veneers fitness on the prepared teeth, veneers were tried on tooth by test paste of Choice II resin cement (BISCO, Canada Co.) to select the appropriate cement color. Tooth and porcelain veneers preparations were conducted according to the instruction provided by Choice II resin cement which is a total-etch, light-cured self-adhesive cement (Figures 5A, 5B and 5C). For preparing the enamel surface of the primary teeth, the same etching procedure, which is used for permanent teeth was performed twice (37% phosphoric acid gel for 60 seconds followed by 30 second rinsing). Finally occlusal adjustments were done in order to distribute light occlusal contacts on enamel portion of palatal surfaces of two central incisors in maximum inter-cuspation position and centric relation. Also, adjustments were made to limit protrusive contacts to two maxillary central incisors and laterosive contacts to central and lateral incisors (Figure 6). The panoramic radiograph was taken after finalization of
the laminate treatment as the baseline radiographic view for detecting possible progression of root resorption of primary canines in the future follow-ups (Figure 7). One year and half later, patient did not show any failure signs and symptoms including porcelain chipping or tooth mobility due to root resorption of primary teeth at the follow-up (Figure 8A). The patient kept using his removable retainer during this time to maintain the space managed during orthodontic treatment, until he could afford implant-supported prostheses for these areas (Figure 8B).

Discussion

The purpose of reporting this case is to emphasize the possibility of involving retained primary teeth in a routine prosthetic treatment, provided they show acceptable radiographic and clinical prerequisites [16,17,20]. Achieving satisfactory long-term esthetic and functional results with laminate veneer restorations is guaranteed by an accurate treatment plan [1,2,15]. Diagnostic wax-up and mock-up procedures help visualize the approximate final results and also it helps to easily modify the final result before fabricating definitive restorations [16]. There is no evidence in the literature about survival and success rate of restored retained primary teeth. However, several articles have shown that it is an acceptable treatment decision to use resin-bonded restorations for them [17-23], at least until patient can afford more expensive and complex treatments such as their extraction and implant replacement. Using resin-bonded porcelain restorations for primary teeth necessitates small modification in the tooth surface treatment procedure, which means grinding prismless outer enamel layer away and also applying longer etching time on the enamel [32,33]. Although not proven yet, patients should be informed of the odds of beginning of root resorption after engaging a reasonably acceptable and healthy primary tooth in a dental treatment. Therefore, laminate restoration for retained primary teeth might be better to be considered as a transitional treatment option.

Summary

This paper presented restoring anterior esthetic and function in a patient with two retained primary canines using porcelain laminate veneers. It is found that meticulous treatment planning, diagnostic and clinical procedures would guarantee an acceptable final result.
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