Ritonavir: A Powerful Boosting Agent for Overcoming Drug Resistance in Cancer Chemotherapy
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Abstract

Purpose: A major impediment to successful drug therapy is the development of multidrug resistance (MDR). Drug resistance in HIV patients is also well known. The introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) has substantially reduced HIV resistance and fatalities. It appears that ritonavir along with another protease inhibitor regulates both drug efflux and metabolism to overcome resistance in HIV-infected patients. Therefore, we have examined a similar strategy of combining ritonavir with anticancer drugs to modulate drug efflux, metabolism and allow sufficient drug entry into tumor cells.

Methods: Cells were treated for 72 hours with anticancer drugs alone and in the presence of ritonavir. Quantitative gene expression studies, immunoblot analysis, radioactive uptake studies and assay were performed on human colon adenocarcinoma cells (LS180) cells. Cell proliferation, migration and apoptosis assays were performed on human breast adenocarcinoma (T47D) cells and prostate cancer (PC-3) cells.

Results: The overexpression of efflux transporters and metabolizing enzymes was diminished when cells were co-treated with ritonavir. [3H] Lopinavir uptake and VIVID™ assay further confirmed the functional activity of transcribed genes upon co-treatment. When the anticancer agents (doxorubicin, paclitaxel, tamoxifen or vinblastine) were combined with ritonavir, a significantly diminished cell proliferation and migration and augmented caspase activity leading to apoptosis was observed in T47D and PC-3 cells.

Conclusions: Combination therapy of anticancer drug with ritonavir may overcome drug resistance by deactivating the overexpression of efflux transporters and metabolizing enzymes. Therefore, drug regimens containing ritonavir would enhance therapeutic exposure of cancer cells to anticancer agents, potentially improving chemotherapeutic efficacy and consequently devoid of resistance.
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Introduction

Despite complex nature of cancer, tremendous advancements in cancer treatment have been made in the recent years. Chemotherapy represents the most common treatment modalities for cancer treatment. However, a major impediment to successful chemotherapy is the development of multidrug resistance (MDR) where resistance to one drug often confers resistance to several structurally and functionally unrelated drugs [1-3]. Many treatment modalities impose three to four drugs simultaneously. Patients in the early stage of cancer respond quickly, however, due to the development of drug resistance, cancer cells adapt treatment and relapse by overcoming therapy. Resistance to chemotherapy can either be intrinsic (resistance exists before the drug treatment is initiated) or acquired (resistance develops during drug treatment) [4]. Mechanisms of drug resistance are very complex. Several possible mechanisms and molecular alterations have been implicated in the development of MDR, including activation of drug transporters and metabolizing enzymes, mutation and amplification of drug targets, tumor microenvironment conditions (hypoxic conditions leading to vascularization) and genetic rewiring leading to cellular repair mechanisms (activation of DNA repair, mutant p33 and impaired apoptosis) [5].

Entry of drug molecules is dependent on their chemical nature; some drugs utilize drug-transporters/receptors while others cross the cell membrane by facilitated diffusion. A key to successful chemotherapy is the availability of drugs at therapeutic concentrations in the target cancer cells. Efflux transporters and metabolizing enzymes play a vital role in regulating intracellular drug accumulation [6]. The ATP-binding cassette (ABC) family includes almost 48 structurally related transmembrane proteins which play a key role in regulating translocation of various drugs, toxins, endogenous peptides and cellular lipids [7]. Fifteen members of these ABC transporters are associated with the development of MDR. Among these, three efflux transporters including P-glycoprotein (P-gp/MDR1), multidrug resistance protein 2 (MRP2) and breast cancer resistant protein or mitoxantrone resistance protein (BCRP/MXR) play a predominant role. In response to chemotherapy, efflux transporters are overexpressed which prevent drug accumulation by extruding therapeutic agents from the cells, resulting in sub-therapeutic concentration [8]. Also, metabolizing enzymes such as cytochrome P450 (CYP450) are induced in response to many anticancer drugs causing rapid biotransformation of active molecule and resulting in treatment failure [3]. Also, chemotherapeutic agents require enzymatic activation for biotransformation to exert
their cytotoxic effect. For example, the nucleoside drug cytarabine (extensively administered for leukemia) requires phosphorylation by deoxycytidine kinase to convert to active form cytarabine triphosphate. To reduce the drug effect, cancer cells acquire resistance by decreasing drug activation. Such resistance develops via downregulation/mutation of the respective metabolic enzyme [9-10]. Two recent review articles have discussed mechanisms and insights of drug resistance in cancer [3,11].

Two main approaches have been explored so far to overcome efflux pumps and metabolizing enzymes. These include either coadministering a drug with an efflux modulator or employing anticancer agents which are not substrates of efflux transporters. Such modulators are categorized into first, second and third generations. First generation modulators include verapamil and cyclosporine A, which requires usage of very high doses leading to undesirable side effects and toxicity. The second generation modulators such as dexteroxapamil and valspodar were found to be potent. These drugs act by inhibition of cytochrome P450 (CYP450). This change in activity leads to altered pharmacokinetics of co-administered drugs, often raising their systemic toxicity. Then, third generation modulators such as tariquidar and zosuquidar are designed with the aid of combinatorial chemistry to overcome these barriers [12-13]. These modulators act on efflux transporter at substrate binding sites. Unfortunately there are no modulators, so far identified, which can act at molecular level to prevent activation of MDR gene and metabolizing enzymes simultaneously. A novel common modulator which can inhibit the resistance mechanisms (i.e. activation of efflux transporters and metabolizing enzymes) needs to be explored for successful chemotherapy.

Drug resistance in HIV patients is also well known. Protease inhibitors (PIs) play an instrumental role in reducing AIDS-related mortality and morbidity [14]. The treatment protocol of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) involves a combination of two PIs in the dosing regimen which plays a pivotal role in overcoming drug resistance. Pharmacokinetic ‘boosting’ - particularly the use of ritonavir to enhance the concentration of coadministered PI (e.g. lopinavir) in the regimen has tremendous effect. Ritonavir is a perfect pharmacokinetic enhancer because it inhibits first-pass metabolism during intestinal absorption, prevents P-gp-mediated drug efflux and inhibits CYP3A4 in the liver [15]. Such inhibition of efflux pumps and CYP3A4 by ritonavir forms the basis for its enhancement of concomitantly administered drugs in HAART. These combinations may enable higher sustained levels of the second PI. Such regimens have been utilized to overcome resistance and allow less frequent dosing and potentially improving adherence. This combination has been clinically proven to be highly beneficial for HIV infected individuals. Increased transcription of P-gp and CYP3A4 which is regulated by pregnane X receptor (PXR) can also be controlled by coadministering with ritonavir. When ritonavir was used along with rifampicin (a strong inducer of PXR), the extent of CYP3A4 activity (synthesis) was substantially reduced compared to rifampicin alone.

To overcome drug resistance, it is necessary to achieve high plasma drug concentrations to prevent HIV replication. If the plasma concentration of therapeutic agents is not high enough, viruses continue to replicate and possibly subsidize drug resistance. However, the drug concentration should not be too high which may result in toxicity. This balance is seen in Kalastra with 400mg of lopinavir plus 100mg of ritonavir or 500mg of lopinavir plus 125mg of ritonavir along with nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor or non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor. Such dosing regimens of PIs prevent drug resistance in HIV. Among several PI combinations tested, ritonavir with another PI appears to be the most potent combination to eradicate HIV replication. Therefore, a similar hypothesis can be postulated to overcome drug resistance in cancer employing ritonavir in combination with an anticancer drug. This hypothesis has been examined in vitro to demonstrate and to predict if the antitumor activity of anticancer drugs can be enhanced by coadministration of ritonavir. Human colon adenocarcinoma (LS180) cells have been selected to study the expression levels of efflux transporters (MDR1, MRP2), metabolizing enzyme (CYP3A4) and nuclear receptor (PXR) employing vinblastine as a model drug. Various efficacy studies such as cell proliferation, migration and apoptosis have been performed using several anticancer drugs (doxorubicin, paclitaxel, tamoxifen and vinblastine) and in combination with ritonavir. Efficacy studies of such combinations were performed on human breast adenocarcinoma cells (T47D) and prostate cancer cells (PC-3) due to their aggressive phenotypic nature.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Doxorubicin, paclitaxel, tamoxifen, vinblastine and ritonavir were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich –Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). LS180, T47D and PC-3 cells were procured from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) (Manassas, VA). [H] Lopinavir (specific activity: 0.5 Ci/mMol) was purchased from Moravek Biochemicals (Brea, CA). Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium (DMEM), Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 medium, stable trypsin replacement (TrypLE® Express), non-essential amino acids and Vivid® CYP450 screening kit were obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was purchased from Atlanta Biologicals (Lawrenceville, GA). Culture flasks (75 cm² and 25 cm² growth area), 12-well plates (3.8 cm² growth area per well) and 96-well plates (0.32 cm² growth area per well) were purchased from Corning Costar Corp. (Cambridge, MA). The buffers for cDNA synthesis (Oligo dT, dNTP, MgCl₂, M-MLV reverse transcriptase), CellTiter 96® non-radioactive cell proliferation assay (MTS) master mix was obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was purchased from Atlanta Biologicals (Lawrenceville, GA). Culture flasks (75 cm² and 25 cm² growth area), 12-well plates (3.8 cm² growth area per well) and 96-well plates (0.32 cm² growth area per well) were purchased from Corning Costar Corp. (Cambridge, MA). The buffers for cDNA synthesis (Oligo dT, dNTP, MgCl₂, M-MLV reverse transcriptase), CellTiter 96® non-radioactive cell proliferation assay and ApoONE® homogeneous caspase-3/7 assay kit were obtained from Promega Corporation (Madison, WI). Light Cycler 480 SYBR I green master mix was obtained from Roche Applied Science (Indianapolis, IN). QCM™ 24-well colorimetric cell migration assay kit was purchased from Millipore (Billerica, MA). BCA protein assay reagent was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc (Rockford, IL). TRI Reagent® and all other chemicals were products of commercial grade purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification.

Cell culture

LS180 and T47D cells were maintained in T-75 flasks at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and 90% relative humidity with DMEM medium supplemented with 10% FBS (heat inactivated), 1% non-essential amino acids, 20 mM HEPES, 29 mM sodium bicarbonate and 100 µg/ml of penicillin and streptomycin. PC-3 cells were maintained in RPMI 1640, supplemented with 10% FBS (heat inactivated), 15 mM HEPES, 24 mM sodium bicarbonate and 100 µg/ml of penicillin and streptomycin. The medium was replaced every alternate day. Cells were passaged using TrypLE® Express, after reaching 80-90% confluence. Subsequently, cells were seeded at a density of 1,000,000 cells in 25 cm² flasks, 250,000 cells/well in 12-well plates and 10,000 cells/well in 96-well plates. Drug solution stocks were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and sterile filtered using 0.22 µm filters. Prior to initiation of a treatment, stocks of drug solutions were diluted in appropriate volume of the medium and final concentration
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of DMSO did not exceed 0.5% (v/v). LS180 cells were used for gene expression and functional activity studies. T47D and PC-3 cells were used for efficacy studies upon co-treatment.

Quantitative gene expression studies

LS180 cells were treated for 72 hours with vinblastine alone and in the presence of different concentrations of ritonavir. The concentration of vinblastine (0.1 µM) was determined from previously published results [16]. Ritonavir concentrations ranging from 1/8th to 4/12th of vinblastine dose were selected to determine the optimal booster dose. Following treatment, RNA was extracted from cells using TRI reagent following manufacturer’s protocol. Cells were lysed in phenol and chloroform was added for phase separation. The aqueous phase containing RNA was collected and 100% isopropanol was added to precipitate RNA. The pellet was washed with 75% ethanol and dissolved in DNase/RNase-free water. RNA concentration was quantified using Nanodrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE). Two microgram of RNA was reverse transcribed with oligoDT as template using M-MLV reverse transcriptase. The conditions for reverse transcription were initial denaturation at 70°C for 5 min, reverse transcription at 42°C for 1 h and final extension at 72°C for 5 min. For quantitative gene expression analysis, 80 µg of cDNA was amplified using SYBR-green master mix on ABI Prism 5700 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). Primers were designed with Primer Blast tool from PubMed and are summarized in Supplementary Table 1. The reactions were carried out with initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 10 sec, annealing at 55°C for 10 sec and extension at 72°C for 10 sec. PCR specificity was confirmed by melting curve analysis. Relative amount of RNA levels was normalized to the internal standard GAPDH (Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase) in each sample. Any difference in RNA levels in treated vs control samples was quantified using modified ddCT method [17-19].

Immunoblot analysis

After 72 hours treatment with different drug solutions, LS180 cells were washed with PBS (3.2 mM NaHPO4, 0.5 mM KH2PO4, 1.3 mM KCl, 135 mM NaCl) and lysed in PBS with 1% Triton X-100 and protease inhibitor cocktail maintained at pH 7.4, for 15-20 min on ice. Upon homogenization, cells were centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min and the protein lysate was collected. The amount of protein in the lysate was determined using BCA protein assay reagent. An equivalent amount of protein (25 µg) in all samples was separated with polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). The protein was then transferred onto a polyvinyliden difluoride (PVDF) membrane and blocked overnight at 4°C with 5% non-fat dry milk and 0.25% BSA prepared in 0.05 M tris buffered saline. The blot was then exposed to primary P-gp antibody (Abcam, MA) at 37°C for 4 hours. After subsequent washes, the blot was then exposed to secondary antibody-goat anti rabbit HRP conjugate (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., CA). Finally the blot was visualized for the protein band using chemiluminescence substrate (Pierce Biotechnology, IL) in chemilumager 8900 (Alpha Innotech, CA).

Uptake study

Uptake of [3H] Lopinavir was conducted to confirm the functional activity of MDR1/P-gp. This study was carried out in LS180 cells cultured in 12-well plates. After treatment with drug solutions for 72 hours, the drug solutions were aspirated and cells were washed twice with 2 ml of Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS - 30 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 7.5 mM Na2HPO4, 1.5 mM KH2PO4, 1 mM CaCl2, 0.5 mM MgSO4, 5 mM glucose and 20 mM HEPES) at pH 7.4 for 10 min. Later, uptake was initiated by adding 0.5 ml of [3H] Lopinavir (0.5 µCi/ml) into the wells. After 30 min of incubation, solution was removed and uptake was terminated with ice-cold stop solution (200 mM KCl and 2 mM HEPES) and rinsed 3X5min with the same buffer to remove the surface bound drug. Cells were lysed overnight at 25°C using lysis solution (0.1% (v/v) Triton-X in 0.3 N NaOH). Cell lysate was then quantified for radioactivity with a scintillation counter (Beckman Counter, CA). The amount of radioactivity was normalized to protein count and analyzed following previously published methods [17-19].

Vivid fluorescent assay

This assay was performed on LS180 cells cultured in 96-well plate. Cells were treated with 100 µl of drug solutions (0.1 µM vinblastine alone and in presence of 25 nM ritonavir) for 72 hours and subsequently lysed with 100 µl of lysis solution. Forty microliters of cell lysate was then added to 60 µl of master mix containing VIVID Red Substrate. The metabolite formed is highly fluorescent and dependent upon cellular CYP3A4 levels. After 30 min incubation, the fluorescent readings were monitored at an excitation wavelength of 530 nm and an emission wavelength of 585 nm by a microtiter plate reader (SpectraFluo Plus, Maenndorf, Switzerland). The readings were then normalized to protein count as described earlier.

Cell proliferative assay

This study was performed on human breast adenocarcinoma cells (T47D) and prostate cancer cells (PC-3) to determine the IC50 value (half maximal inhibitory concentration) of chemotherapeutic drugs (doxorubicin, paclitaxel, tamoxifen and vinblastine) alone, and in the presence of ritonavir. T47D /PC-3 cells were seeded in 96-well plates and cultured for 24 hours, before the initiation of treatment. Later, medium was aspirated and cells were treated with various concentrations of drug alone and in the presence of ritonavir for 72 hours. The drug solutions were then aspirated and 100 µl of serum free media was added. Subsequently, 20 µl of MTS and PMS reagents were added to the wells. After incubating for 3 hours, the quantity of formazan product was measured at 490 nm with a plate reader. The amount of formazan formed from dehydrogenase enzymes is directly proportional to the number of viable cells. Data was fitted to a transformed nonlinear regression curve analysis program (GraphPad Prism Version 4.0; GraphPad Software, Inc., CA) to calculate IC50 value.

Migration assay

This assay was performed in modified Boyden’s insert, where cells from an upper compartment were allowed to migrate into a lower compartment across the microporous membrane in the presence of a chemoattractant. Briefly, T47D and PC-3 cells pretreated with various drug solutions were serum starved for 16 hours. Later, 300 µl of cell suspension (1 million/ml) in serum free media was seeded in the upper compartment and 500 µl of 10% serum media was added to the lower compartment. This gradient in serum concentration acts as a driving force for cells to migrate. The cells that had migrated into lower compartment were then incubated with a cell stain solution, subsequently extracted using an extraction reagent and quantified by measuring the absorbance at 560 nm.

Apoptosis (caspase-3/7 assay)

This assay was used to determine the apoptotic induction of chemotherapeutic drugs alone and in the presence of ritonavir. The
assay consists of a pro-fluorescent substrate which when cleaved by caspases-3/7 produces fluorescent rhodamine. The amount of fluorescence produced is proportional to the extent of apoptosis in cells. This assay was performed in 96-well plates. After treating the cells, drug solutions were aspirated and 100 µl of serum free media was added. After this, equal volume of caspase buffer with substrate was added. The contents were gently mixed in a plate shaker for 1 min and incubated for 2 hours at room temperature. Later, the fluorescent readings were monitored at an excitation wavelength of 485 nm and an emission wavelength of 527 nm with a microtiter plate reader.

Statistical analysis

All the experiments were conducted at least in quadruplicate (n = 4), and results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical comparison of mean values was performed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or a Student’s t test. A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant and represented by an asterisk (*).

Results

Gene expression analysis

Vinblastine (0.1 µM) alone caused 7 fold enhancement of MDR1 mRNA expression in LS180 cells. Such induction by vinblastine was, however, significantly lowered in the presence of ritonavir (25 nM, 30 nM and 35 nM). An equivalent to 1/4th of vinblastine dose i.e. 25 nM concentration of ritonavir was required to overcome vinblastine mediated overexpression of MDR1 and to maintain basal level of expression (Figure 1A). Hence, this concentration was selected as optimal booster dose for all further experiments. Vinblastine (0.1 µM) exhibited 8 fold induction of MRP2 expression level which was significantly diminished in the presence of 25 nM ritonavir (Figure 1B). Vinblastine (0.1 µM) alone produced 40 fold induction in CYP3A4 mRNA levels which was completely abolished in the presence of 25 nM ritonavir (Figure 1C). Vinblastine (0.1 µM) induced overexpression of PXR-mRNA was reduced to half, when vinblastine and ritonavir were given together (Figure 1D).

Protein expression analysis

LS180 cells were treated with vinblastine alone and in the presence of optimal ritonavir dose (25 nM) for 72 hours. The relative fold induction of P-gp protein expression was determined by immunoblot analysis. Vinblastine showed very high induction of P-gp protein level which was compromised to normal level when cells were treated with 0.1 µM vinblastine along with 25 nM ritonavir (Figure 2).

Functional activity studies

Lopinavir is a well-known substrate for both P-gp and MRP2 efflux pumps [20]. To evaluate the functional activity of the efflux pumps upon treatment, uptake of [3H] Lopinavir was quantified on LS180 cells. In vinblastine treated cells, the uptake of [3H] Lopinavir was significantly lower compared to control indicating enhanced activity of efflux pumps. In cells treated with 0.1 µM vinblastine with 25 nM ritonavir, this uptake was comparable to control, showing reduced activity of efflux pumps with co-treatment (Figure 3A). To study the functional activity of CYP3A4 gene, vivid assay was performed following drug treatment. The amount of metabolite formed from the substrate is dependent on levels of CYP3A4 activity in the cells. Compared to control cells, vinblastine treated cells showed 80% increase in metabolite formation which confirms high CYP3A4 activity. However, such CYP3A4 activity was significantly diminished comparable to control, in cells co-treated with vinblastine and ritonavir. This CYP3A4 activity correlates with gene expression analysis (Figure 3B).

Cell proliferation assay

MTS assay was performed to determine inhibitory effect of
chemotherapeutic agents (doxorubicin, paclitaxel, tamoxifen, and vinblastine) alone and in the presence of ritonavir in T47D cells. IC_{50} values of doxorubicin and tamoxifen (478 ± 13 nM and 3.5 ± 1.1 μM) were reduced by almost three fold (167 ± 14 nM and 1.1 ± 0.6 μM) in the presence of ritonavir. Similarly, presence of ritonavir lowered IC_{50} of paclitaxel (3.3 ± 1.2 nM) by almost four fold (0.9 ± 0.4 nM). IC_{50} of vinblastine was observed to be 10.81 ± 1.38 nM. This IC_{50} value was diminished by seven fold in the presence of ritonavir to 1.44 ± 0.45 nM (Figure 4A-4D). These results show that ritonavir enhanced the ability of all chemotherapeutics to inhibit cell growth.

**Cell migration assay**

T47D cells pretreated with drug solutions were serum starved for 16 hours. The relative numbers of cells that migrated towards 10% serum media were estimated by a spectrophotometer. The numbers of cells that had migrated were reduced by 10 - 40% in cells treated with chemotherapeutics alone. This reduction was further enhanced to almost 1.5-2 fold in cells co-treated with ritonavir (Figure 5A-5D).

**Apoptosis (caspase-3/7) assay**

This assay was performed to determine levels of apoptosis in T47D cells in the presence of anticancer agents alone and with ritonavir. The chemotherapeutics alone induced apoptosis by approximately 3-4.5 fold and this value was further enhanced by almost two fold in the presence of ritonavir. The co-treated cells exhibited increased levels of apoptosis (Figure 6A-6D). The relative levels of cell proliferation, migration and apoptosis in T47D and PC-3 cells treated with different chemotherapeutics alone or in the presence of ritonavir were summarized in Supplementary Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

**Discussion**

Riddick DS et al. [21] indicated that drug transport and metabolism are dominant determinants of antineoplastic drug pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. Modulation of these processes should be exploited appropriately for therapeutic advantages. The primary objective of our study was to develop a strategy to overcome drug resistance and enhance antitumor activity of conventional anticancer agents. In our studies, we have selected some common anticancer agents (doxorubicin, paclitaxel, tamoxifen and vinblastine) along with a PI ritonavir to demonstrate the hypothesis that inclusion of ritonavir with these chemotherapy agents can enhance antitumor activity by circumventing efflux and metabolism.

LS180 cells have been shown to be an excellent model to predict long term drug-drug interactions mediated by inductions of efflux transporters and metabolizing enzymes [22,23]. Vinblastine is a substrate of both efflux transporters and metabolizing enzyme was chosen as a model anticancer drug. Prolonged exposure to vinblastine is known to induce efflux transporters and metabolizing enzymes [16]. Overexpression of MDR1 is considered to be a major contributor to drug resistance. In order to counteract the vinblastine mediated inductions of efflux transporters and metabolizing enzymes, ritonavir, which is a known substrate and inhibitor was selected. Dose dependent studies on LS180 cells were performed to optimize ritonavir dose and to evaluate the induction potential of MDR1 gene upon cotreatment. Presence of ritonavir at various concentrations (25 nM, 30 nM and 35 nM) demonstrated a significant lowering of MDR1 overexpression caused by vinblastine (Figure 1). The lowest concentration i.e. 25 nM which was adequate to completely counteract such overexpression of MDR1 was selected for all further studies. Treatment of LS180 cells with vinblastine alone and in the presence of 25 nM ritonavir for 72 hours, also counteracted overexpression of MRP2 (8 fold) and CYP3A4 (40 fold) (Figures 1b and 1c) and the gene expression levels almost reached to the endogenous control levels of their respective genes. PXR belongs to a nuclear receptor super family whose primary function is to sense the presence of foreign toxic substances and to respond by upregulating genes involved in detoxification and clearance of such substances. Induction of PXR by vinblastine was substantially diminished in the presence of ritonavir (Figure 1D). Results from these experiments clearly suggest that ritonavir has the ability to block vinblastine-induced overexpression of PXR and consequently MDR1, MRP2, and CYP3A4 at the transcriptional level.
To evaluate if the induction of efflux transporters and metabolizing enzyme at the transcriptional level correlates to the protein expression and activity, immunoblot and functional activity studies were performed, respectively. Immunoblot analysis confirmed the induction of P-gp by vinblastine alone. The broad protein band in the blot which appears in response to vinblastine treatment is diminished when cells were co-treated with vinblastine and ritonavir (Figure 3). This result corroborates with gene expression studies as described earlier. Also reduced intracellular accumulation of [3H] Lopinavir, a substrate for P-gp-mediated efflux, in vinblastine treated cells confirms the enhanced functional activity of transcribed efflux transporter. In contrast, unchanged [3H] Lopinavir uptake, comparable to control, in response to vinblastine plus ritonavir suggests that ritonavir can completely reverse vinblastine-mediated over activity of efflux transporter (Figure 3A). An increase in CYP3A4 activity following vinblastine treatment correlates well with the mRNA levels. Although, functional activity was elevated only by about 1.7 times compared to 40 fold mRNA induction. It appears that just 72 hours exposure may not be sufficient to generate full functional CYP3A4 activity. However, elevated activity of CYP3A4 significantly reflects with increased metabolite formation (Figure 3B). Such enhanced CYP3A4 activity was not observed when the LS180 cells were treated with vinblastine alone with ritonavir. VIVID assay results clearly suggest that ritonavir can prevent vinblastine-induced over activity of CYP3A4.

With the encouraging results from gene and protein expression analysis, three other chemotherapeutics (doxorubicin, paclitaxel, tamoxifen and vinblastine) were further tested in combination with ritonavir for different efficacy studies: cell proliferation, migration and apoptosis employing breast (T47D) and prostate cancer (PC-3) cells. Although gene and protein expression studies were conducted on LS180 cells, efficacy studies were performed on T47D and PC-3 cells due to their aggressive phenotypic nature. IC₅₀ values of all four drugs (doxorubicin, paclitaxel, tamoxifen and vinblastine) were significantly reduced by 3-7 folds in the presence of ritonavir (Figure 4A-4D, Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). In fact, IC₅₀ of vinblastine was 10.81 ± 1.38 nM for T47D cells. This value was diminished by seven fold in the presence of ritonavir to 1.44 ± 0.45 nM (Figure 4D). A similar result was found in PC3 cells also. These results clearly indicate that ritonavir in combination with chemotherapeutics can significantly enhance the antitumor efficacy and prevent proliferation of cancer cells. Recent studies have shown that PIs possess anti-proliferative activity. Ritonavir alone inhibited Akt-mediated cell proliferation in breast cancer. Anti-proliferative activity of ritonavir can also be mediated via inhibition of nuclear factor κB (NFκB) translocation and transcriptional activation [25-26]. Recently we have shown that ritonavir can block hypoxia-induced angiogenesis through inhibition of HIF-1α and VEGF [19]. Our study also indicated that ritonavir blocks HIF-1α-mediated protein translation in retinal pigment epithelial cells via inhibition of Akt phosphorylation.

Cell migration is another important cellular process involved in angiogenesis, wound healing and immune responses. Migration assay was performed to better correlate in vitro migratory potential.
Figure 5: Relative number of T47D cells migrated towards 10% serum media post treatment with chemotherapeutic drug alone and in the presence of optimized concentration of ritonavir. Values represent mean percentage of cells migrated ± SD (n=6). A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant and represented by *.

Figure 6: Relative levels of apoptosis in T47D cells post treatment with chemotherapeutic drugs alone and in the presence of optimized concentration of ritonavir. Values represent mean ± SD (n=6). A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant and represented by *.
of cancer cells to their in vivo invasive properties [27]. This assay was performed to better understand tissue repair and regeneration during cancer progression. All the four drugs, except for tamoxifen, inhibited cell migration significantly compared to control. Interestingly, cell migration was arrested by 30% in the presence of ritonavir and tamoxifen (Figure 5A-5D). Thus, both cell proliferation and migration assays further confirm potentiating activity of chemotherapeutics in combination with ritonavir.

Apoptosis is a process of programmed cell death that occurs to maintain development, homeostasis or aging [28]. A cascade of events involving multiple genes and proteins participate during apoptosis. Before the actual process of cell death proceeds by enzymes, specific signals trigger regulatory proteins to initiate apoptosis pathway. Several proteins participate in this cascade of events. Mitochondrial proteins, SMACs (small mitochondria-derived activator of caspase) deactivate SMAC binding to IAPs (inhibitor apoptosis proteins) permitting apoptosis to advance. Normally IAPs suppress the activity of cystine protease called caspases which execute final process of cell degradation. In our tests, we have observed a significant increase of caspase-3/7 activities leading to cell death in response to all 4 anticancer agents (doxorubicin, paclitaxel, tamoxifen and vinblastine). The levels of apoptosis were further enhanced when ritonavir was combined with these anticancer agents (Figure 6A-6D). Relatively, ritonavir with vinblastine caused maximum apoptosis, almost seven fold enhancement of cell death compared to control. This significant increase in apoptotic cells may be due to enhanced cellular accumulation of anticancer agents in the presence of ritonavir promoting apoptosis. Role of ritonavir in this cascade is very complex. Ritonavir modulates proteasomal peptidase activity reversibly [29]. It inhibits chymotrypsin-like activity of isolated 20S proteasomes allowing small amount of accumulation of tumor suppressor protein p53. Ritonavir has also been shown to accumulate a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21 (WAF-1), an indication of deregulation of cell-cycle progression leading to apoptosis through classical proteasomal inhibition [30]. Also, the expression of NF-kB-inducible protein p105 has been reported to be suppressed significantly by ritonavir [26]. Therefore, the inhibition of NF-kB activation by ritonavir may contribute to cellular apoptosis. Therefore, selective perturbation of proteasomal protein degradation along with inhibition of efflux transporter and CYP3A4 by ritonavir can enhance anti-tumor activity.

In summary, coadministration of ritonavir with chemotherapy agents can counter balance the overexpression of MDR associated gene expression and overcome drug resistance in cancer therapy. This promising data indicate that ritonavir is of potential interest for chemotherapy and can form the basis for further development of a clinically applicable oral formulation of vinblastine or any other anticancer drug combined with ritonavir. The process of traditional drug development takes longer time and expensive. Ritonavir is FDA approved for human use and currently indicated for HIV treatment. Therefore, its repositioning in cancer chemotherapy may provide an excellent platform to reverse and overcome drug resistance besides providing enhanced antimtumor efficacy. With such characteristics, ritonavir is expected to gain fast translational effect in chemotherapy.
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