Role of Multidetector CT in Evaluation of Polytrauma Patients
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Abstract

The management of polytrauma is based on the principle that the care provided to trauma patients in the first few hours can be absolutely critical in terms of predicting long term recovery and that good trauma care involves getting the patient to right place in right time for the right treatment. Managing critically injured patients are challenging. It is very important to assess the overall severity in terms of site and extent of injury. Earlier radiographs, conventional CT scanners and focused assessment with sonography for trauma (FAST) used to be very useful adjuncts in management of polytrauma. Nowadays with the advent of state of art multidetector CT (MDCT) scanners the scenario has improved. Most of the centers dealing with trauma have a clear protocol of MDCT for management. There are concerns regarding cost, radiation and whether “CT first protocol” should be employed. Here we review the role of MDCT in assessment of patients with polytrauma and its current status.
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Introduction

Trauma is the leading cause of death in age group less than 45 years. In trauma, time is one of the most crucial factors in predicting prognosis. Outcomes greatly improved when critical interventions are provided within the golden hour following injury. Whole Body Multi-Detector Computerised Tomography (WBMDCT) can decrease this critical time and increase survival [1]. Emergency CT plays a major role in diagnostic workflow in the evaluation of patients with polytrauma [1,2]. These patients usually have simultaneous injuries to several anatomic regions or organs [1,3]. MDCT scanners are widely used because they rapidly produce high-resolution scans of large areas, offering short examination times for multiple body regions under emergency conditions [3]. Such examinations most often include the head, cervical spine, and thorax to pelvis. Role of MDCT in head trauma remains unparalleled for reasons like its widespread availability and capability to reveal skull fractures, primarily because it is a fast and efficient method to triage the patients with treatable conditions mandating urgent surgical intervention such as extra axial hematomas, mass effect, herniations of brain, hydrocephalus, and midline shift and hence preventing secondary brain injury. MDCT angiography is an important tool to screen patients with suspected vascular injury. CT cisternography is very sensitive in detecting a CSF fistula. CT provides panoramic access in imaging of facial trauma to assess entire skeletal scaffold with intriccate details. MDCT is a very sensitive modality to reveal and quantify pulmonary contusion which also helps in predicting development of subsequent ARDS. It is also superior in detection of tracheobronchial injuries and pulmonary lacerations which are more often caused by penetrating injuries. CT can detect small pneumothoraces, which are occult on radiographs, which may become potentially life threatening when the patient is on positive pressure ventilation. Contrast enhanced MDCT has now almost completely replaced DPL (diagnostic peritoneal lavage) as principle diagnostic modality for evaluation of abdominal trauma. CT can help in selecting patients requiring operative management. It can identify majority of patients who can be managed non-operatively, by identifying the extent of visceral injury. MDCT is markedly superior to radiographs in musculoskeletal injuries, especially in areas of complex bony anatomy owing to its spatial resolution and multiplanar reconstruction techniques. Furthermore, it also provides useful information about adjacent soft tissue and vascular injuries. It is considered as a problem solving modality in this regard and particularly used in evaluation of fractures of tibial plateau, ankle joint and epiphyseal trauma.

CT Findings in Polytrauma Requiring Surgical or Percutaneous Intervention are

1. Major vascular injuries
2. Active haemorrhage
3. Unstable spinal fractures
4. Diaphragmatic rupture
5. Pancreatic injury with ductal involvement
6. Injuries of the mesentery or hollow viscera.

Clearly there are many abnormalities that might be detected on whole body MDCT in the severely injured patient and protocols should be designed to image these as clearly as possible. Protocols should be same across networks so that repeat scanning is not required where transfer is necessary. A MDCT protocol should be agreed across the trauma network to ensure consistency [4]. The protocols used may vary among different trauma set up depending upon unit geography and resources available. We usually follow the guidelines outlined by Royal College of Radiology [4].

In polytrauma the usual protocol which we follow incorporates the following techniques

- Segmented Whole body CT scan:
- CTA Chest
- Portal Phase Abdomen and Pelvis.
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management is based on a multidisciplinary approach characterized by the transfer of patients with minimal movement [17]. Since polytrauma CT suites should be near the emergency departments ensuring quick access to the general hospitals. However, it is recommended that all newly built units near the emergency departments especially in small district hospitals are preferred [9]. An important current controversy is on direct whole body MDCT for trauma patients: the focus on time. J Trauma 66: 658-665.

Wurmb’s study showed direct whole-body CT reduced the diagnostic interval from a median of 70 to 23 minutes & definitive management plan interval from another median of 82 to 47 minutes [10], Houshian identified about one-thirds (31.4%) of missed injuries needed operation [11]. Rieger also found MDCT had higher diagnostic accuracy than conventional imaging [12]. In the retrospective multicentre study of the registry comprising of 1494 (32%) provided whole-body CT out of 4621 patients of the German Trauma Society, Huber-Wagner et al. [2] showed that the relative reduction in mortality based on Trauma and Injury Severity Score (TRISS) and Revised Injury Severity Classification (RISC) Score were respectively 25% and 13%. Multivariate analysis identified that whole-body CT was a significant independent predictor for survival. New CT scanners can also decrease the radiation dose [13] but there is conflicting concern of the induced cancer rate and hence the irradiation ALARA (as low as reasonably achievable) principle together with the risk-benefit balance should be observed and the dilemma will require further research for delineation [14-16]. The main limitation of MDCT at present is cost. It needs significant amount of investment to adequately locate and refurbish CT suites near emergency department enabling simultaneous resuscitation of critically injured patients. It is not always financially viable to relocate existing CT suites or built new ones near the emergency departments especially in small district general hospitals. However, it is recommended that all newly built CT suites should be near the emergency departments ensuring quick transfer of patients with minimal movement [17]. Since polytrauma management is based on a multidisciplinary approach characterized by a coordinated interaction between trauma surgeons, anaesthesiologists and radiologists, members of all involved disciplines need adequate teaching. Guidelines and algorithms contribute to optimize the early management [18].

Assessment of Patients of Polytrauma we can Conclude

1. There is no universally accepted standard protocol

2. WBMDCT identifies blunt polytrauma related injuries which require intervention

3. Possible pitfalls like improper technique, variants, and artefacts should be kept in mind

4. Indiscriminate use of Whole Body CT for patients with minor injuries is not justified

5. Due to data explosion remove unnecessary series (bone, lung algorithm reconstruction)

6. Excessive radiation and unnecessary studies should be avoided. Use automated exposure control.

7. Use low dose for extremities CTA, arterial and delayed images.

MDCT is currently imaging modality of choice in polytrauma and accurately answers most of the aspects of trauma care. It further helps in optimal selection of management protocol for the patient and preventing unnecessary surgeries.
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