Salvage Procedure after Sternochondroplasty for Pectus Excavatum
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Abstract

Vacuum-Assisted Closure (VAC) in association to flap reconstruction is a well-established approach for treating complicated wounds.

The authors present a case of soft-tissue breakdown with hardware exposure in a patient treated with modified Ravitch procedure for pectus excavatum. VAC therapy was applied in association to multiple debridement procedures and final flap closure. This was achieved without the need for hardware removal, thus maintaining adequate skeletal fixation. To our knowledge this approach has not yet been described into the literature after sternochondroplasty procedure.

Aggressive debridement and VAC therapy before final closure may represent a new, conservative method for managing surgical complications after sternochondroplasty procedures.
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Introduction

Pectus excavatum is a depression of the sternum and of costal cartilages, with reduction in the anteroposterior diameter of the thorax. It is the most common chest wall deformity requiring surgical correction. As previously described [1,2], modified Ravitch procedure is one of the most common open approach.

Case Presentation

A 23-year-old man underwent surgical correction of a severe pectus excavatum deformity at our Institution (Figures 1a and 1b). Surgery was performed according to the reported technique. In the immediate postoperative period, a minimal bilateral pneumothorax occurred and was conservatively treated with bed rest until complete resolution. The patient was discharged on postoperative day 7. Four weeks later, the patient returned to our Hospital for the dehiscence of the wound in presence of serum. The hardware was exposed. Microbiological samples from the wound were negative. The patient was afebrile and presented no significant general symptoms. A therapy with Piperacillin/Tazobactam was started. The patient was then referred to the Plastic Surgery Unit. Multiple debridement procedures in association to VAC were advised after a multidisciplinary counsel involving infectivologists and a detailed analysis of the wound (Figure 2a).

The patient was checked every two days. Every time the wound was debrided and washed with 5 liters pulsatile jet irrigation system. Next a VAC dressing foam was applied to fill all the undermined area and the skin was sutured almost completely over the sponge. A small spot of VAC dressing foam was applied to support the suction pad to be applied. Negative pressure was set at 125 mm Hg.

This treatment allowed for a significant improvement of the local condition of the wound within the first 10 days. The effective response to VAC therapy after surgical debridement and wound irrigation led us to attempt a salvage procedure. The decision of not removing the hardware was taken and a closure of the wound was thus performed. A full thickness fasciocutaneous abdominal flap was harvested and superiorly advanced to close the defect.

The postoperative course was uneventful, and the patient was discharged 7 days after closure (Figure 1b). At the 1-year follow up, the chest wall was clinically and radiologically stable, the surgical scars were well settled, and no hardware exposure occurred. Hardware removal was then performed under general anesthesia 14 months after wound closure. At one-year follow-up after hardware removal, the patient showed no deformity recurrence, Haller index was 2.56, and stable and cosmetically acceptable scars (Figure 2b).

Discussion

VAC therapy is a well-established technical resource in managing complicated wounds, increasing arteriolar dilatation, blood flow and oxygenation. It also reduces edema and the bacterial bioburden, allowing for granulation tissue to grow and support healing [3,4].
Hardware exposure in presence of a contaminated wound usually requires generous debridement and hardware removal on a standard practice basis [4].

In the presented case, hardware removal would have determined a skeletal collapse with failure of the corrective procedure and subsequent permanent deformity. For this reason, all our efforts were to maintain the internal fixation. A literature analysis revealed no standard management of complications associated to this specific sternochondroplasty procedure. Thus, a conservative approach was considered and successfully attempted.

From the reconstructive standpoint, a number of procedures have been described to restore medial chest wall defects. These include both pedicled and free flaps, both with muscle or fasciocutaneous. The “reconstructive ladder principle” suggests the simple procedure being considered first to avoid donor site morbidity and further complications associated to more sophisticated procedures [5]. With respect to this concept, a reverse abdominoplasty flap allowed for a tension-free closure, no additional scars and a good skin match. This aspect is of great relevance when considering the high expectations of these types of young patients.

**Conclusion**

A successful salvage approach has been described. Personalized care, multidisciplinary counseling and prompt surgical planning are recommended for managing such complications. Hardware salvage and eventual stable deformity correction may be achieved by combining VAC therapy, multiple debridement procedures and flap closure.
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