Screening Winter Wheat Lines in Nebraska for the \textit{Fhb1} Gene Using Kompetitive Allele Specific PCR (KASP)
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Abstract

Fusarium head blight (FHB) is one of the biotic stresses that cause a significant reduction in wheat grain yield and its quality. The main objective of this study is to screen a set of 53 high yielding Nebraska wheat lines (DUP15 - F6:7) for resistance to FHB using visual scoring and confirm the results using a Kompetitive Allele Specific PCR (KASP) marker for the \textit{Fhb1} gene. The experiment was conducted at Lincoln Field Station of the University of Nebraska-Lincoln. A high genetic variation was found between genotypes for their resistance to FHB. The phenotypic correlation S\textsubscript{FHB} and grain yield was -0.61\textsuperscript{**}. The results of the KASP assay revealed that only three genotypes NE15648, NE15674 and NE15569 had the predicted \textit{Fhb1} gene. KASP genotyping was a very effective technology which helped in screening valuable QTLs and associated trait markers. The three lines having the \textit{Fhb1} gene can be used in the wheat breeding program at University of the Nebraska-Lincoln to improve resistance to FHB.

Keywords: Wheat; FHB; KASP; Genetic variation; \textit{Triticum aestivum} L; Fusarium head blight.

Introduction

Fusarium head blight (FHB, caused by \textit{Fusarium spp.}) or scab is a devastating disease of wheat (\textit{Triticum aestivum} L.). The disease causes a significant reduction in grain yield, seed quality and produces the mycotoxin deoxynivalenol (DON) that affects food safety and may cause serious health problems in human and domestic animals if the mycotoxin is present [1]. The disease continues to threaten susceptible wheat cultivars where environmental conditions such as high moisture and temperature persist during the flowering period. Significant grain losses due to FHB have been recorded in the history of wheat production in the U.S. FHB caused wheat losses at 2.72 million MT in 1982 and 4.78 million MT in 1993 [2]. The growing seasons in 2007, 2008, and 2015 had the highest FHB pressure in Nebraska which caused significant economic losses to wheat production. These losses indicated that winter wheat cultivars lack appropriate FHB resistance genes. The use of susceptible cultivars and rainfall during spring has contributed to the FHB epidemics in Nebraska.

Screening and identifying resistant genes in wheat germplasm for developing resistant varieties in combination with using cultural practices and fungicides are the most effective ways to manage FHB [3]. Resistance to FHB has been classified into multiple types. Type I resistance is attributed to reducing initial infection, type II resistance prevents spread of infection within the spike and type III confers resistance to mycotoxin accumulation [4]. Type II resistance (affected by \textit{Fhb1}) has been widely used in breeding programs due to its effective performance in reducing FHB impact on grain production [5].

The advance in high-throughput genotyping methods has provided an explosion of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) sequences from plant genomes. One of the advantages of these advances is the ability to convert the target SNPs into Kompetitive Allele Specific PCR (KASP) which is cost-effective, and introgression of target traits. Moreover, KASP is used in quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping of parental populations, marker-assisted selection, and QTL fine mapping [7]. There are a lot of specific SSR, STS, AFLP, and RFLP markers that have been used to screen for the presence of the \textit{Fhb1} gene in wheat [8-12]. Although these markers are still highly used in molecular genetic studies, they are time consuming compared to the KASP technique. A wide range of species can be genotypes using KASP for various purposes (e.g. QTL mapping and GWAS). A KASP marker for the \textit{Fhb1} gene was used before for mapping the gene using QTL mapping [13].

The objectives of this study were to screen a segment of elite genotypes for the \textit{Fhb1} gene and incorporate those with \textit{Fhb1} gene in further breeding programs to improve resistance to FHB in a combination with high yield.

Material and Methods

Plant material and experimental layout

A set of 53 homozygous F3:7 lines from the preliminary yield trial (Nebraska Duplicate Nursery- DUP) were used in this study. Each line was derived from crosses between two different parents. Then, these lines were selected based on the grain yield, grain volume weight, and plant height [14]. The genotypes were sown on October 1st, 2014 in plots of 1.5 × 3 m with rows at 30 cm apart (four rows). The experiment was conducted at Lincoln Experimental Field Station of the University of Nebraska-Lincoln, USA.
Weather conditions
The 2015 growing season experienced receiving continued and above average rainfall during flowering period. The average flowering date was May 20th. The amount of precipitation in Lincoln research was 23.57 cm from May 1st to June 5th while normal precipitation is 11.17 cm during the reported period. Environmental conditions were quite conducive for FHB infection and development and 2015 was one of the worst growing seasons for FHB.

Phenotyping
The phenotyping or visual evaluation of FHB was done in the field 18 days after flowering. Susceptibility to FHB (S_FHB) was visually scored on 90 selected random heads per plot based on a scale of 1-10 where 1 is resistant and 10 susceptible [15].

DNA extraction and genotyping
DNA was extracted from the leaves of 53 genotypes using the BioSprint 96 automatic DNA extractor. The DNA concentration was diluted at 50 ng/µl in sterile distilled water to be used in KASP SNP PCR reaction. All samples were arrayed in a 96 well plate. A 10 µl reaction with 5 µl DNA from each sample was mixed with 5 µl KASP reaction mix including a 0.14 µl of Fhb1 assay mix (LGC Genomics). An Fhb1 KASP marker (wMAS000009) was ordered from LGC-Genomics, Middlesex, UK. Thermal cycling conditions were 94°C for 15 min, followed by 10 cycles of touchdown PCR: 94°C for 20 s, 65–57°C for 60 s (dropping 0.8°C per cycle), followed by 26 cycles of regular PCR: 94°C for 20 s, 55°C for 60s. The plate of samples was read by FLUO star Omega fluorescent. To determine the absence or presence of the Fhb1 gene, the allele G (presence) was labeled with HEX (red), on the other hand, the allele A (absence) was labeled with FAM (blue). To control the assay, two checks were used: Overland FHBI (positive control for the Fhb1 gene) and Gage (negative control).

Statistical analysis
Single marker analysis was performed using R to test marker-trait association using the following model:

\[ Y = \mu + f(\text{marker}) + \text{error} \]

Where Y is equal to the trait value, \( \mu \) is equal to the population mean, and f(marker) is a function of the molecular marker. All graphical presentations in this study were performed using R and Excel 2013.

Results and discussion
The phenotypic variation of FHB severity in the tested genotypes is illustrated in Figure 1a. The S_FHB ranged from 1 to 10 with an average of 7.08. Out of the 53 genotypes, 6 (11.3%), 11 (21.7%) and 37 (69%) were resistant (1-3) moderately resistant (4-6), and susceptible (7-9) to FHB, respectively. A high phenotypic variation was also observed in the yield of these genotypes (Figure 1b). The yield ranged from 249 to 1,613 g with an average of 879 g. A high negative significant correlation of \( r=-0.61^{**} \) was found between severity of FHB and grain yield (Figure 2).

The results of the screening of the 53 genotypes for the Fhb1 gene are presented in Figure 3 using KASP marker. The two alleles G and A are labelled red and blue in order to distinguish between genotypes in the current study. Out of the 53 genotypes, three (NE15674, NE15569, and NE15648) were found to carry the allele G which refers to the Fhb1 gene, while the rest of the genotypes carried the allele A (absence of Fhb1 gene).

The variation between the two groups of genotypes (G vs. A) in their resistance to FHB is presented in Figure 4. On average, the genotypes which carry the Fhb1 gene (G) showed less symptoms (SI=4.89) than that do not carry the gene (A) (SI=8.3). However, six genotypes which carry allele A (non-Fhb1 gene) showed resistance to FHB with SI ranging from 1 to 3. Those genotypes probably carry one or more other genes for resistance to FHB (e.g. native resistance) Many earlier studies reported resistance QTL on 2B and 5AL chromosomes 3A [16], and 4AL and 6DS [17]. All the markers used to detect the FHB genes are SSR, RFLP, STS, and AFLP which are less efficient compared to SNP markers. The KASP technology offers a cost-effective, quick and efficient tool for introgression of target traits [18].

FHB resistance in wheat is complex and controlled by many genes in wheat [19,20]. The three genotypes which carry the Fhb1 gene showed higher yield with 757, 1,301 and 1,309 g for NE15569, NE15674, and NE15648, respectively. Different from other genes showing resistance to FHB, Fhb1 was verified effectively in other populations and utilized in many breeding programs to improve FHB resistance in wheat germplasm [21]. Fhb1 was first identified in Sumai-3 (variety included as a positive control). Fhb1 positive alleles occurred at a comparatively low level in all populations (2-10%).

Although the three genotypes carry Fhb1, they showed moderate resistance to FHB because Fhb1 is a quantitative resistance that confers partial and durable resistance. By looking to the pedigree of these lines, it seems that NE15674 (VA06W-558/TREGO FHB//WESLEY FHB1) inherited Fhb1 gene from either TREGO FHB or WESLEY FHB1, NE15569 (NINGMAI 9415.16/SHA4/CHIL/3/NINGMAI 50/NE01643/NE03458) inherited Fhb1 gene from NINGMAL and NE15648 (NE91518 (Purple Wheat)/VA04W-474/1/(ND2928/Wesley/Wesley)F3/Wesly F3//HV9W03-539R) inherited the gene from ND2928. On other hand, the wheat lines which were not confirmed to carry Fhb1 gene had parents with no known resistant gene in their pedigree. This result suggests the reliability of KASP markers in detecting the target genes in the tested genotypes. Moreover, it is very important to identify the source of the disease resistant genes for the forgoing assumption [22]. Although the three genotypes have Fhb1 gene, they exhibited different levels of FHB resistance (Figure 2). This result is most likely due to additional background genes affecting resistance. The researchers reported that Fhb1 generally reduced FHB based on the recurrent parent with no significant effect on yield and its quality [22].

Utilizing Fhb1 in wheat breeding program is very important for conferring durable resistance to FHB. Fhb1 confers type II resistance to FHB which prevents spread of the pathogen within wheat spike [23]. Therefore, the three lines having the Fhb1 gene can be used in breeding program in order to produce cultivars providing resistance to Fhb1. It seems that these three genotype show different level of moderate resistance to FHB. Therefore, crossing between these parents could be useful to develop a resistant cultivar by transgressive segregation. For example, Bal and Shaner (1994) developed a FHB-resistant spring wheat cultivar ‘Sumai3’ by crossing two moderately susceptible parents.

In conclusion, there are many challenges and difficulties to achieve reliable and reproducible FHB infection data due to the effects of the
environment. Screening genotypes for FHB genes is the most effective way for improve FHB in wheat. The KASP technology provides reliable and accurate results to detect the target genes. This technology can be used for the marker-assisted selection by determining the characterization of genotype based on the genotypic level. The three wheat genotypes having Fhb1 gene can be integrated in breeding program to improve FHB resistant in winter wheat.

Figure 1: Phenotypic variation between genotypes in FHB index (a) and yield/plot (b).

Figure 2: Phenotypic correlation between yield and FHB index. Filled-black circles refer to genotypes that have an Fhb1 gene.

Figure 3: Results of KASP genotyping of the 55 genotypes. Red triangle refer to the positive control for Fhb1 gene, while, blue triangle refers to negative control for Fhb1 gene.
Figure 4: Phenotypic variation in FHB index between genotypes with Fhb1 gene vs non-Fhb1gene.
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