Sealing the Gap of Vertical Root Fracture through the Root Canal
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Abstract

Objective: The objective of this retrospective study was to investigate whether preparing the fracture line through the root canal before bonding a vertically fractured root would result in improvement of periodontal inflammation.

Methods: A total of 83 teeth from 81 patients, who had been diagnosed with vertically fractured roots, and in whom the fracture gap was sealed through the root canal, were used. The fracture line was prepared using an ultrasonic tip under a microscope in the preparation group (n=60) and 4-META/MMA-TBB resin was used to bond the fractured gap. In the non-preparation group (n=23), the fracture line was not prepared. Reevaluation was done 1 to 2 months later. Patients were clinically examined for probing depth and presence or absence of sinus tract and abscesses, and radiographs were taken to gauge improvement of bone defects.

Results: Comparing the probing depth in the non-preparation group before and after treatment, there was no significant difference (p=0.13), but in the preparation group, the probing depth was significantly shallower following treatment (p<0.001). In teeth where pre-treatment bone defect occurred, disappearance or decrease of bone defect was seen in 8 out of 13 teeth (61.5%) in the non-preparation group and 33 out of 41 teeth (80.5%) in the preparation group. There was significant improvement in the preparation group compared with non-preparation group (p=0.008).

Conclusion: The results suggest that preparing the fracture line through the root canal before sealing a vertically fractured root may be effective in resolving periodontal inflammation.

Keywords: Vertical root fracture; Gap sealing; Preparing the fracture line; Adhesive resin; Periodontal inflammation

Introduction

A vertical root fracture may lead to rapid destruction of periodontal tissue. Generally, if the tooth is single-rooted, the tooth is extracted, and if it has multiple roots, the root involved is resected or hemisection is performed [1-4]. A number of studies have described efforts to clinically preserve teeth, with particular success reported with resin bonding [5-9]. Sugaya et al. used 4-methacryloxyethyl trimellitate anhydride/methyl methacrylate tri-n-butyl borane (4-META/MMA-TBB) resin to bond 23 teeth with vertically fractured roots, performing bonding either through the root canal or with the intentional replantation method, and reported after 6 to 74 months of observation that 18 (78%) of the teeth could be preserved [5]. Hayashi et al. extracted 26 teeth with vertically fractured roots, bonded the fractured roots and replanted them [8]. They reported that, after 36 months, it had been possible to preserve 69.2% of the teeth. The results described in these reports suggest that bonding is effective for vertically fractured root teeth. However, it has been pointed out that bonding through the root canal more likely results in insufficient improvement in periodontal tissue. Generally, if the tooth is single-rooted, the deeper of the two probing depths was used as the

At the same time, Kimura et al. fractured roots of beagles vertically and performed histopathological evaluation of the periodontal tissue [10]. They found that periodontal inflammation was caused primarily by bacteria proliferating in the root canal and fracture gap. There was only slight down growth of pocket epithelium and the periodontal probe only penetrated the inflammatory connective tissue. Tomita et al. on the other hand, reported that by sealing the fracture gap, the probing depth becomes shallow, and bone defects in the area around the fracture line heal [11]. In this experiment, bonding the fracture gap with resin through the root canal resulted in incomplete sealing in many cases and periodontal inflammation. Given this, it is believed that inflammation of periodontal tissue is more likely to improve if the fracture line is prepared through the root canal, infected substance is removed from the fracture gap, and a space is created into which the resin can penetrate.

The objective of the present study was to clinically investigate whether preparing the fracture line through the root canal before bonding a vertically fractured root would result in improvement of periodontal inflammation.

Materials and Methods

This retrospective study was carried out in teeth diagnosed with vertical root fracture and in which the fracture gap was sealed through the root canal at the Department of Endodontics and Periodontics, Hokkaido University Hospital between 1994 and 2012. The protocol of this study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Hokkaido University Hospital for Clinical Research. Examination items included the patients’ age, sex, the general condition of the patient, type of tooth, the initial condition of pulp tissue, fracture site, fracture region in longitudinal axial direction and probing depth before and after treatment, as well as the status of bone defects as indicated on dental X-rays (Figure 1A), and whether or not the fracture line had been prepared through the root canal. If there were fracture lines in two sites in a single tooth, the deeper of the two probing depths was used as the site for examination.
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The root canal was thoroughly cleaned using an ultrasonic device (SC4-17, ENAC 10WA, Osaka, Tokyo, Japan), and if there was no pus discharge or bleeding from the fracture gap, the fracture gap and post were bonded in the next procedure. The root canal was filled with 10% citric acid with 3% ferric chloride (Green Activator, Sun Medical, Shiga, Japan) using a syringe and the surface of the dentin was treated. After 10 seconds, a three-way syringe with a 24G cleansing needle connected was used to wash the root canal. Water in the root canal was then suctioned, and the canal was dried by mild air blow using a three-way syringe with a 24G cleansing needle. 4-META/MMA-TBB resin (Super Bond, Sun Medical, Shiga, Japan) was mixed with monomer, a catalyst, and polymer according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and the mixture was loaded into a syringe (Terumo, Tokyo, Japan) and injected into the root canal for all cases (Figure 1D). In cases where the indirect method was used, a cast post or fiber post (i-TFC fiber, Sun Medical, Shiga, Japan) with a resin core was inserted while the fluidity of the Super Bond was still high, while in cases where the direct method was used, fiber posts were inserted into the root canal filled with Super Bond (Figure 1E), and a core was prepared using composite resin (i-TFC Core Resin, Sun Medical, Shiga, Japan).

In the non-preparation group, the fracture line was not prepared, but other treatment was the same as that for the preparation group.

In both groups for which post bonding had been performed, reevaluation of the root was done 1 to 2 months later. Patients were clinically examined for probing depth and presence or absence of sinus tract and abscesses, and radiographs were taken to gauge improvement of bone defects (Figure 1F and 1G). Improvement of bone defects was classified into disappearance of bone defect, decrease of bone defect and no change or increase of bone defect.

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS Statistics Version 21 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA), and a Chi-square test, Mann-Whitney U test and Wilcoxon signed-rank test were performed.

Results

The patients in the non-preparation group comprised of 7 men and 16 women aged 55.7 ± 13.3 years (Mean ± SD). The preparation group comprised of 19 men and 39 women aged 53.7 ± 9.7 years. None of the patients had general conditions or systemic diseases influencing the treatment. For both groups, premolars comprised the largest number of teeth, with no significant differences between the two groups (p>0.05) (Table 1). One of the teeth was vital, one was non-vital but without caries, and 21 had received root canal therapy in the non-preparation group. The corresponding numbers in the preparation group were 1, 1 and 58 respectively, with no significant differences between the two groups (p>0.05).

Good oral hygiene was maintained in both groups.

In a comparison of fracture region in longitudinal axial direction, the percentage of apical region fracture was slightly larger for the non-preparation group than for the preparation group, but there was no significant difference between the two groups (p>0.05) (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Maxilla</th>
<th>Mandible</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incisor</td>
<td>Cuspid</td>
<td>Premolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-preparation group</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation group</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No significant differences between groups (Chi-square test, p>0.05)

Table 1: Types of teeth.
There was no significant difference between the two groups in terms of pre-treatment probing depth (p>0.05), but at reevaluation, the probing depth was significantly shallower following treatment compared to the non-preparation group (p<0.05). Comparing the probing depth in the non-preparation group before and after treatment, there was no significant difference (p>0.05), but in the preparation group, the probing depth was significantly shallower following treatment (p<0.01) (Figure 2).

Radiographic examination showed bone defects prior to treatment in 13 of 23 teeth in the non-preparation group (56.5%) and in 41 of 60 teeth in the preparation group (68.3%), with no significant difference between the two groups (p>0.05). In teeth where pretreatment bone defect occurred, disappearance or decrease of bone defect was seen in 8 out of 13 teeth (61.5%) in the non-preparation group and 33 out of 41 teeth (80.5%) in the preparation group. There was significant improvement in the preparation group compared with the non-preparation group (p<0.01) (Table 3). In teeth that had no bone defects prior to treatment, bone defects developed after treatment in one of 10 teeth in the non-preparation group and three of 19 teeth in the preparation group, with no significant difference between the two groups (p>0.05).

**Discussion**

Cases in which the fracture gap had widened and granulation tissue had already penetrated at the point when a diagnosis of vertical fracture was confirmed were excluded from this study. Granulation tissue may hinder the removal of infected dentin in the fracture line through the root canal, and granulation tissue, exudate, and bleeding make it difficult to achieve proper bonding of the resin when sealing the fracture gap.

The fracture line was prepared using an ultrasonic tip with a point diameter of 0.25 mm and a length of 17 mm without irrigation because irrigation water and the head of the rotary instruments such as air turbines can interfere with the field of view, so it is not possible to prepare while viewing the fracture line under a microscope. After the fracture line was prepared, the patient was excluded from this treatment method if bleeding and pus discharge into the fracture gap continued even after calcium hydroxide was applied, and there was no further improvement.

Super Bond was used for bonding the fracture gap. This resin was chosen because of reports stating that inflammation of periodontal ligament did not occur histopathologically after bonding of the fracture gap with Super Bond [11,13], and also because of a number of clinical reports [5-9] describing good outcomes when Super Bond was used to treat vertically fractured roots. Super Bond uses tri-n-butyl borane as a polymerization initiator, so when it comes in contact with moisture, radicals are generated and polymerization progresses [14]. As a result, even if moisture is present, it is still possible to obtain a high rate of polymerization and good biocompatibility.

In the preparation group, there was significant improvement of the probing depth and bone defects compared to the non-preparation group. There may be several reasons for this: it was possible to eliminate bacteria from the fracture gap by preparation; it was possible to thoroughly wash and dry the fracture gap in order to perform bonding; and Super Bond was able to fill the fracture gap more reliably. The Super Bond mixture had high fluidity and the teeth showed no percussion pain and gingival swelling after sealing the fracture gap. This may indicate that the resin did not overflow into the periodontal tissue beyond the apex or the fracture gap. The improvement in probing depth was indicated by the absence of gingival recession, which meant gain of periodontal attachment and/or reduction of penetration of pocket probe. However, in nonsurgical treatment, the possibility of attachment gain during a short period of time is small. The periodontal probe can easily penetrate into the inflamed connective tissue in marginal periodontitis [15-17]. Consequently, the probe penetrated tissue because there was inflammation of the periodontal tissue along the fracture line before treatment, but as the inflammation improved following treatment, the probe no longer penetrated tissue.

The inflammation disappeared and bone was repaired along with resolution of the fracture line infection because there was no down growth of the pocket epithelium in cases in which bone defects had improved as a result of the treatment. This is consistent with reports of animal experiments in which, if only a short time has passed since vertical root fracture, there is very little downgrowth of the epithelium, and if the fracture gap is successfully sealed, bone defects will undergo repair [11].

However, there were cases in the preparation group where no improvement in probing depth or bone defects at reevaluation was seen. These findings were probably due to incomplete sealing due to limitations in fracture line preparation accuracy, exudate and bleeding into the fracture gap that inhibited bonding and technical errors during bonding. Biofilm may have also formed on the outer root surface around the fracture line, as it does in the cementum surface around the apical foramen in apical periodontitis [18-24]. In such cases there are significant differences between groups (Chi-square test, p<0.01) (Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Disappearance</th>
<th>Decrease</th>
<th>No Change/Increase</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-preparation group</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation group</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant differences between groups (Chi-square test, p<0.01)

**Table 3: Improvement in bone defects at reevaluation.**
limitations to treatment from within the root canal, making surgical treatment such as intentional replantation necessary.

Of the 41 teeth in the preparation group in which bone defects were seen prior to treatment, complete healing was achieved in 19 teeth (46.3%) using nonsurgical treatment. It has been reported that if a tooth has already undergone root canal treatment and there is a bone defect at the apex, retreatment of the root canal has a success rate of 65.7% [25]. Removing bacteria from the fracture line and sealing the fracture gap is naturally more difficult than root canal treatment. Consequently, the success rate achieved in the present study may well be an appropriate outcome. The 1-2 month period may have been appropriate to clarify the improvement of probing depth and bone defects after preparing the fracture line through the root canal before bonding. However, the long-term follow-up results may include refracture and deterioration of the periodontal pocket and the effects of preparing and sealing of the fracture gap may vary. Further studies are needed to clarify the long-term prognosis and clinical significance. However, this method shows potential as a treatment for vertically fractured roots, because improvement was seen in many of the cases in the present study.

Conclusion

The results of the study suggest that preparing the fracture line through the root canal before sealing a vertically fractured root may be effective in resolving periodontal inflammation.
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