Introduction

The main function of behavior as a way for relief any emotional pain or to support survival effort could be a psychiatric problem when it produces more destructive-effect toward any living thing included the body itself. Self-Injurious Behavior (SIB) was one of the psychiatric problems should consider as its contribution to greater number of suicide behavior in human societies. To seek problem solving of Self-Injurious Behavior (SIB) in human while avoiding ethical complexities, non-human primate models such as monkeys were commonly used [1]. Although environmental manipulations such as pairing [2] housing monkeys in outdoor pen environments [3], increasing enrichment in the form of puzzle feeders [4], and increasing cage size [5] were commonly used as techniques to decrease SIB in monkeys, but still SIB has also been reported in socially housed monkeys in zoo environments [6] and in Japanese macaques living in natural environments [7]. It seems that lack of natural or social attachment not to be the only cause of this behavior. That is why here we propose a different way called ‘pulling back’ technique. In first condition ‘A’, hair-plucking behavior decreased just by replaced it back to its original function as a plucking behavior of the grass, leafs, and insects. We hope the technique could save all species life early without any invasive treatments when their behavior failed to change from adaptation processes than fall into more maladaptive functions which harmed their self.

Method

A female pig-tail macaque (3 years old) named ‘Ayu’ had faced daily screening for medical check up through her feces by a veterinarian. A microscopic examination in local laboratory found no bacterial or another pets such as fungus or ticks on her skin. We conducted an action researched by applying an environmental analyses and then used ‘pulling back’ technique. In first condition ‘A’, hair-plucking behavior (as one of SIB) observed from an artificial individual cage about (3 × 3 × 3 m) made from iron restrictions having no partner, enrichment, or grass. It calculated by using an instantaneous sampling method (per minute in a ten minutes), six times per 6 hours in one day. It recorded by using a video camera (please see the video as supplementary material).
One day later, we applied the technique. The macaque inserted into second condition 'B' was a semi natural cage about (10 × 10 × 3 m) made from iron restrictions having plenty of grass, leaf, and insects (ants, etc.). Hair-plucking behavior observed, calculated, and recorded by using a same method as first condition 'A' (six times per 6 hours in one day) (Please see the video as supplementary material). This research was conducted in Animal Rescue Center, Tabanan Bali Indonesia.

**Results and Discussion**

In first condition 'A' (an artificial individual cage without any grass), the subject seems like has a little amount of hair on her body and then performs plucking hair (Figure 1). Here, hair-plucking behavior seems like to be a consequence or side-effect of tick-seeking behavior. Then eating the hair when tick was not reachable (Figure 2). Constantly, these behaviors observed at 100% level (10 times in a ten minutes) at first hour until 6 hours of observations. In second condition 'B' (a semi natural cage with plenty of grass, leaf, and insects), the subject performed a little of these plucking hair of 20% (2 times in a ten minutes) but plucking grass, leaf, and insects (Figure 3) and then eating it (Figure 4). The level of hair-plucking behavior was lower at the semi natural cage with plenty of grass, leaf, and insects (condition 'B') (Figure 5).

This result showed us that hair-plucking behavior had been replaced by plucking grass or leaf or ticks. It seems that plucking grass, leaf, insects or something out of body as a previous learning experience had evolved into a different form of maladaptive function as hair-plucking behavior. This excessive behavior may seem first addressed to reduce itches-effect by plucking ticks than hair. But plucking ticks would be easier when no hair exist. It meant that hair-plucking behavior were the first step done together with got the tick. The other hand, eating the hair was an alternation when the tick unreachable or it didn't exist. Although plucking behavior has a central function of feeding behavior since we knew that non-human primates used their hands to hold the food rather than other lower species, but here this behavior faced to malfunction since the behavior couldn't keep its normal function to support survival effort rather than produced serious injured-body.

**Conclusion**

These results may have a natural implication to Self-Injurious Behavior (SIB) in human. In human, a self could be a substitute-target for injurious behavior when the other one than self was unreachable. Self-Injurious Behavior (SIB) may as replacement of environmental-injurious, partner-injurious, or other-injurious. Based on these results we propose that a ‘pulling back’ technique may use to reduce Self-
Injurious Behavior (SIB) by seeking and kept the original function of the certain behavior when it failed in a certain adaptation levels in a changing world.
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