Situational Awareness and Emergent Response Systems in the Context of Stages of Clinical Deterioration in the Hospital
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Background

Situation Awareness (SA) refers to an individual’s “perception of the elements in the environment within a volume of time and space, the comprehension of their meaning, and the projection of their status in the near future [1]”. SA failures have been very well studied in other high risk industries such as aviation that require an exceptionally high performance in a dynamic environment where safety is the primary emphasis [2]. Poor SA was found to be attributable to 88% of aviation accidents involving human errors [2]. Similarly, thousands of patients die every year in the United States due to preventable safety events [3]. Lack of SA and thereby inability to respond to critical situations in a timely manner is one of the leading causes for these safety events in health care. A shared understanding within the clinical team on current status and what’s going to happen next is very important for timely intervention [4]. Hospitals employ a variety of systems to enhance this understanding and trigger appropriate emergent response for a critically ill patient.

Trajectory of Clinical Deterioration

Clinical deterioration in the hospital may empirically be divided into three stages (Figure 1). The final stage, which could be called a ‘catastrophic’ stage, manifests with significant derangement in vital signs and eventually leads to cardiopulmonary arrest and death. Before patients reach this catastrophic stage, there is a stage of ‘rapid decline’ with end organ damage and physiological derangements. Prior to this a stage of ‘vulnerability’ exists where a combination of factors related to the patient, the condition they are suffering from and the treatments rendered may align ominously putting them at very high risk for entering the stage of rapid decline and then onto catastrophic stage. The sooner we identify a patient on the trajectory of clinical deterioration the higher the chances of rescue. Described below are the commonly used examples of solutions currently in place in the context of these stages of clinical deterioration.

The Catastrophic Stage: Code and Rapid Response Teams

The rescue outcomes of a patient in an arrest situation depend on prompt and effective chest compressions, defibrillation, assisted ventilation and appropriate medical treatment. In-hospital code teams were developed and widely adopted to respond emergently to a cardiac arrest. Although calling a code gets appropriate resuscitation resources to patient’s bedside immediately, it may be too late to rescue the patients from this state [5]. This led to development of rapid response teams (RRTs) which are similar to code teams but can be summoned much earlier in the deterioration trajectory as soon as an impending cardiac arrest or other catastrophe is identified. Prior research has shown that RRTs reduce occurrence of cardiac arrests outside the ICU [6,7] and post-operative morbidity and mortality [8]. However, a recent prospective large randomized control trial involving 21 hospitals [9] and a meta-analysis of 18 studies involving 1.5 million admissions [10] did not show strong evidence for mortality reduction.

The ‘Rapid Decline’ Stage: Early Warning Systems

The need for an objective triggering system which identifies patients who may be entering rapid decline stage much earlier during the hospital course led to the development of track and trigger systems such as early warning scores. Morgan et al developed an early warning score based on patient’s vital signs [11]. Stenhouse et al modified the scoring system and added urinary output to develop a modified early warning score (MEWS) [12].

Figure 1: Three stages of clinical deterioration in a hospitalized Patient
Numerous studies have validated MEWS and have shown that elevated MEWS predicts increased cardiac arrests [13], ICU admissions [14] and mortality [13,15]. These studies have led to development and implementation of treatment protocols that focus on early response for patients with high MEWS. The impact of these early response systems had been variable. In the study by Stenhouse et al., where help was sought from intensive care team when appropriate for MEWS of 4, lowered APACHE II score on admission to intensive care unit was noted suggesting early response to clinical deterioration [12]. A study by Albert et al noted 33% reduction in cardiac arrest calls but 50% increase in rapid response team calls [16]. However, in a prospective study of 1695 acute medical admissions by Subbe et al, all patients with MEWS>4 were referred for critical care outreach team review, the outcomes of death, ICU admission and cardiopulmonary arrests have not changed significantly compared to an observational cohort of patients prior to intervention [17]. In another study Moon et al noted reduction of in-hospital mortality and in-hospital CPR after implementation of MEWS and critical care outreach services [18].

Early warning systems based on physiologic signs such as MEWS as described above may fail to identify many patients because of either rapid deterioration or infrequent measurement of vital signs and other physiologic parameters. A systematic review of various physiological track and trigger warning systems which looked at 36 papers that evaluated 25 systems showed their sensitivity and positive predictive value to be very low [19].

The 'Vulnerability' Stage: Nursing Judgment

Recognizing patients who are at high risk for deterioration is the most important part of SA. These patients may need escalation of care like frequent monitoring, transfer to higher level of care, consultation with specialists etc. Determining this risk requires analyzing multiple pieces of information. Although complex predictive models which are difficult to implement are being studied, the heuristics and experiential knowledge of the nurses and clinicians may play much more important role in calling attention to these patients.

The four most essential elements needed for recognition of potential for clinical deterioration are 1) Sensing- Ability to seek and gather appropriate data, 2) Perception- Collating this data into meaningful information, 3) Comprehension- Understanding the impact of the information, 4) Projection- Ability to use the information to think ahead and identify that patients are headed into catastrophic stage. Structured nurse rounding strategies like hourly track and trigger warning systems which looked at 36 papers that evaluated 25 systems showed their sensitivity and positive predictive value to be very low [19].

Conclusion

Improving situational awareness in the hospital is a complex task and requires ingenious reengineering of the care processes and communication. Although each of the solutions described in the article are useful, no single solution is effective at bringing significant reduction in morbidity and mortality outcomes. Rather, a synergistic combination of interventions that address each stage of clinical deterioration and employ both objective and subjective criteria for identification of these patients will be more effective. Understanding the distinct nature of the stages of clinical deterioration as described above will help clinical nurses and nursing leaders in designing successful solutions in this area. The readers should carefully consider the current strategies in place within their organization, evaluate any potential gaps in the culture and processes in addressing all stages of clinical deterioration and develop an appropriate action/communication plan to bridge these gaps.
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