Sleep Quality in Adolescents: What's Discriminates Good from Poor Sleepers?
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Abstract

Background: The study focused on discriminating adolescents with good versus poor sleep quality.

Method: The sample consisted of 272 adolescents and a transversal design was used. The instruments assessed socio-demographic data, psychological morbidity, sleep quality, family sleep behavior, excessive daytime sleepiness, sleep habits and social skills.

Results: The results revealed that age, caffeine intake, psychological morbidity, excessive daytime sleepiness, and family sleep behavior were variables that discriminated good from poorer sleepers in terms of adolescents' sleep quality.

Conclusions: The results emphasize the role of family on sleep quality and the need to include family members in intervention programs. Programs should be sensitive to adolescents' age and gender.

Introduction

The phase of adolescence is marked by changes that occur on many different aspects of the individual's life, including changes in sleep patterns [1]. Sleep plays an active role in the brain during an individual's overall development, especially regarding restorative functions [2]. Several studies have reported a trend of insufficient and poor sleep, in adolescents [3,4]. A study that analyzed 5226 adolescents classified 20% as poor sleepers.

The study showed that the prevalence of poor sleep, increased with age, grade level, and older adolescents showed worse sleep efficiency, problems in falling asleep, and more sleep disturbances [5]. At the same time, a research that focused on disturbed sleep and associated factors, in 1221 adolescents, found that almost 35% of adolescents showed poor sleep that increased with age [4].

Psychological factors may affect sleep patterns in adolescents, interacting with biological regulatory processes, like autonomy to decide the right time to go to bed, use of technology/electronic equipment, social activities, and parental-set-bedtime [6]. It is possible to extend adolescents' sleep with parent's intervention on set-bedtime; and with the combination of "extended sleep" and "early bedtime": positive outcomes may be achieved regarding depression, fatigue and sleepiness [6].

There is a range of possible causes and consequences of sleep quality in adolescents, and psychological morbidity seems to be one important and relevant factor. Some studies have linked psychological morbidity, i.e., depression/anxiety, with adolescents' sleep.

In fact, a study that estimated the relationship between anxiety/depression and sleep, in a non-clinical child sample aged 6 to 11 years old found, through mothers' reports, that children with troubled sleep had higher odds of developing depression and anxiety [7]. Other interesting research [8] found an association between childhood sleep problems and anxiety and depression, later on, in adulthood. The authors found that adulthood's anxiety was predicted by the persistence of sleep problems in adolescence.

Another psychological variable with great relevance to sleep quality in adolescents is family functioning, although there is a lack of studies that focused on the association between these two variables [9]. A study that focused on the perceived sleep quality, health habits, and psychological factors revealed that having a good environment at home was essential for sleep quality and quantity, among adolescents [10].

As indicators of poor sleep quality, are the problems of initiating and maintaining sleep among children and adolescents that are common with varying prevalence's estimations, probably due to the methodology and design of the studies [11]. Excessive daytime sleepiness (EDS) is one of the most common clinical consequences of inadequate sleep, having a big prevalence among teenagers [12].

In fact, daytime sleepiness is closely associated with sleep habits that youngsters develop and follow during adolescence [13] and is related to mood disorders and psychological morbidity [14-16].

Several studies have shown that sleep habits and sleep quality, in adolescents, are related. In fact, sleep habits change according to age, and adjustments in sleep schedules and time spent in bed, need to be done [17]. The use of electronic equipment is an important factor that influences adolescents' sleep. Studies have shown that among the most important impairments for good sleep quality are adolescents' habits, such as watching television [18]. Adolescents with less hours of sleep, who watched television at night, also have a higher consumption of caffeine intake [19].

In fact, psychoactive substances are also related to sleep quality, in adolescents. Caffeine is one of the most consumed substance worldwide, by adults and adolescents. Caffeine is quickly absorbed,
reaching a plasmatic high in about 30 to 75 minutes when consumed orally [20]. A literature review focusing on caffeine and sleep concluded that in children and adolescents, poor sleep quality and caffeine intake is related [21].

Taking in consideration the literature reviewed, the present study focused on the variables that discriminate adolescents with good versus poor sleep quality [22]. According to the literature, we expect that psychological morbidity, daytime sleepiness and more problems with family sleep behaviors will discriminate poor from good sleepers [23]. Knowing which variables are the most important and discriminating adolescents’ sleep quality will help health care professionals to plan interventions, in this population [24].

**Method and Material**

**Participants**

Participants were 272 adolescents from two public schools, aged between 12 and 18 years old (M = 15.48, SD = 1.61). The majority of students were female (58%), with married parents (79%), with mothers (51%) and fathers (73%) consuming alcohol. Of the total sample, 31% had a daily intake of caffeine, 47% consumed beverages with caffeine and 23% consumed energetic drinks.

**Design and settings**

The study used a transversal design. The research was approved by the General Education Committee. The data were collected in two secondary public schools in the Northern region of Portugal. The participation was voluntary, and the parents signed an informed consent regarding their adolescent’s participation. The instruments were completed by adolescents, at school, during class.

The inclusion criteria were 1) age between 12 and 18; 2) being a student in the class the day of the assessment; 3) parent’s approval to be part of the study. Exclusion criteria included parent’s non-approval of the adolescent’s inclusion in the study.

**Assessments**

**Pittsburgh sleep quality index (PSQI):** This instrument assesses sleep quality during the previous month and has 19 items [25]. PSQI is composed of seven components that evaluate daytime dysfunction, sleep medication, sleep disturbances, sleep efficiency, sleep duration, sleep latency and sleep quality. In the present study only the total scale was used and the Cronbach’s alpha was 0.60.

**Modified excessive sleepiness scale (MESSA):** The instrument assesses the propensity of adolescents to fall asleep in different situations and is composed of 13 items [26]. In this study, only the total scale was used and the Cronbach’s alpha for the total scale was .78.

**Hospital anxiety and depression scales (HADS):** The instrument assesses the levels of depression and anxiety [27]. It has 14 items that give a psychological morbidity global score. There are two subscales (anxiety and depression). The cutoff score for clinical depression and anxiety is 8. In this study, only the total scale was used and the Cronbach’s alpha was 0.76.

**Howard family sleep questionnaire (HFSQ):** This scale assesses the cumulative impact of family dynamics on sleep behavior and is composed of 52 items that assess physical and cultural aspects of the family environment that influence sleep (e.g. “we eat dinner together”, “we respect each other’s needs for quiet once one of us goes to bed”, “on weekends, we have no set bedtime” [28]. In this study, Cronbach’s alpha was 0.70.

**Sleep habits questionnaire (SHQ):** This 12 item questionnaire assesses the impact of behaviors that may influence sleep in adolescents (e.g. how many times a week do you play video-games after bedtime, how many times a week do you read, study or, do homework after bedtime) [29]. In the present study, Cronbach’s alpha was 0.70.

**Data extraction/scoring**

Data was abstracted from the instruments that were scored regarding the original instructions of their authors i.e., summing the items according to the Likert scale. In the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index, Howard Family Sleep Questionnaire, and the Sleep Habits Questionnaire, a higher score indicates poor sleep quality, poor family sleep behaviors, and poor sleep habits, respectively.

Regarding the Modified Excessive Sleepiness Scale, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scales, a higher score indicates respectively the presence of excessive daytime sleepiness, and more anxiety and depression. Information regarding age, gender, the consumption of caffeine and energetic beverages was abstracted from a sociodemographic questionnaire.

**Data analysis**

A discriminant analysis was used to determine which variables differentiated adolescents with good versus poor sleep quality based on the sample median (stepwise method).

This statistical procedure determines which variables differentiate the two groups allowing to know the best predictors of sleep quality. The stepwise procedure in particular allows seeing which variables are included in the prediction of group membership (good versus poorer sleepers).

**Results**

The results showed that age, caffeine intake, psychological morbidity, daytime sleepiness and family sleep behaviors discriminated adolescents who had good versus poor sleep quality (Table 1). Low function values indicate good sleep quality (mean = -0.354) and higher function values indicate poor sleep quality (mean = 0.519). Therefore, lower values of the discriminant function belong to the good sleep quality group.

The variables that present the highest coefficient in absolute value are the most important. Therefore, adolescents with psychological morbidity (-0.619) and family sleep behavior (-0.455), i.e., less depression/anxiety and less problems with family sleep behaviors belong to the good sleepers group. Adolescents with more excessive daytime sleepiness (0.435), being older (0.370) and drinking more caffeine beverages (0.335; 0.328) belong to the poorer sleepers.

Based on the results, groups were significantly different and the discriminant function was significant (Wilk’s Lambda = 0.844; Qi2 = 44.83; p<0.000) (Table 2). The classification matrix identified 66.5% of cases as correctly classified, i.e., in group 0, 79.6% are good sleepers and in group 1, 45.5% are poor sleepers.
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Discussion

This study analyzed the differences between adolescents with good versus poor sleep quality in terms of their social demographic and psychological characteristics. The results showed that age was a discriminant variable between adolescents with good versus poor sleep quality. A meta-analysis, reviewing 41 studies about adolescent’s sleep patterns concluded that as age increased there was a trend for later sleep patterns [27]. Another study focusing on age and sleep patterns showed that older students had more depression and daytime sleepiness [28]. Older adolescents reported a few hours of sleep, when compared with two different aged groups (older teenagers and pre-teens) [29]. The results found in this study concerning age are in accordance with the majority of studies, showing that age is a determinant for adolescent’s sleep quality.

Daytime sleepiness was also an important variable for the discrimination of adolescent sleep quality. A literature review showed, through laboratory experiments, that poor sleep quality and insufficient sleep hours were related to daytime sleepiness [12]. Age and poor sleep quality were also predictors of sleepiness in children and teenagers in a recent German study [30].

The intake of caffeine and energetic beverages discriminated adolescents in terms of sleep quality. Literature has suggested that younger's sleep quality may also be related to the consumption of psychoactive substances. Adolescents with poor sleep quality had twice as much intake of stimulant substances to decrease daytime sleepiness and drank more alcoholic drinks per day than good sleepers. However, there were no differences on caffeine and energy drink consumption between the two groups [31].

On the other hand, a more recent study with 225 adolescents concluded that 79% drank caffeine and that higher intake of caffeine was negatively associated with sleep quality [32], which was corroborated by other studies [19].

Family variables were an important discriminant factor between good and poor sleep quality. In line with our results, a recent study analyzed the relationship between parents and adolescent sleep patterns and psychological functioning, finding a relationship between parents and children sleep patterns; and a relationship between stress perception, depression symptoms, family environment and subjective sleep disturbances in adolescents. Adolescents' sleep disturbances were independently predicted by stress, perceived negative parental styles and mother's poorer sleep [33]. Another study found a significant association for parents and adolescents regarding sleep patterns sleep disturbances, depression and family environment. In the face of these results, the authors concluded that there might be a bidirectional association between family function, family environment, well-being and adolescent's sleep, and that mothers and children sleep and well-being are related [34]. Our results are in accordance with this literature, emphasizing the important role of parents in promoting sleep quality with adolescents.

This study found that psychological morbidity was a very important variable discriminating adolescents’ sleep quality. In fact, several studies have shown the association between sleep problems and psychological symptoms, in adolescents [2,35]. A study analyzed the relationship between sleep and suicidal behaviors in students and concluded that sleep disturbances were associated with suicidal ideation [36]. Interestingly, after adjusting for the statistical effect of depression, this relationship ceased to exist, leading the authors to hypothesize that it was mediated by depression.

Another study that assessed sleep quality as a predictor of sleep patterns found that lower sleep quality was related to depressions self-reports [31]. An association between sleep problems and anxiety and depression was also found in another study, showing that emotional problems could be predicted by sleep problems [37]. This relationship between sleep quality and psychological morbidity seems to be bidirectional. In any case, psychological morbidity either a cause or a consequence of poor sleep quality, is an important variable to be addressed in future interventions.

### Table 1: Significant psychosocial variables that discriminate poor from good sleep quality in adolescents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>HADS</th>
<th>HFSQ</th>
<th>MESSA</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Energy Drinks</th>
<th>Caffeine Intake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good sleepers</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>0.455</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor sleepers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Matrix of the factorial structure factors that discriminate sleep quality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Good sleepers Mean</th>
<th>Good sleepers SD</th>
<th>Poor sleepers Mean</th>
<th>Poor sleepers SD</th>
<th>Wilks' Lambda</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>15.26</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>15.77</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>6.776</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeine Intake</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>5.319</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Energy Drinks</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>5.537</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS (Depression/Anxiety)</td>
<td>20.06</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>18.23</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.934</td>
<td>18.92</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MESSA (Daytime Sleepiness)</td>
<td>11.63</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>9.336</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFSQ (Family Sleep)</td>
<td>99.95</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>95.75</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>10.222</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This study emphasizes how important variables such as caffeine intake and family sleep behaviors, that are less researched, need to be addressed and incorporated in health promotion interventions regarding sleep quality, in adolescents.

Limitation

The Cronbach alpha of the Pittsburgh Sleep Questionnaire Index was acceptable but low 0.60 (total scale). Finally, the use of only self-reported instruments and the cross-sectional design are also limitations.

Conclusion/Clinical Implications

This study concluded that age, caffeine intake, psychological morbidity, daytime sleepiness and the influence of family on sleep behaviors were discriminant factors for sleep quality among adolescents. It is crucial to take these variables into consideration in health promotion programs with this population.

Psychological morbidity and family factors were important discriminating variables in adolescent sleep quality. Intervention with teenagers should, therefore, pay attention to depression and anxiety symptoms and should include the family, in order to promote better sleep quality.

Systemic intervention is crucial to promote healthy habits in the family, addressing in particular, the consumption of caffeine and other psychoactive substances, and family sleep behaviors that impacts adolescents sleep quality.

Future studies should focus on detecting poor sleep quality causes, in order to understand possible bidirectional relationships and comorbidities and use longitudinal designs to understand the influence of these variables, over time.
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