Solid State Fermentation of Carinata (Brassica carinata) Meal Using Various Fungal Strains to Produce a Protein-Rich Product for Feed Application
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Abstract

In this study, the efficacy of several fungal strains to reduce GLS (GLS) content and enhance protein content during solid state fermentation (SSF) of carinata meal was evaluated. Solid state fermentation of hexane extracted (HE) and cold pressed (CP) carinata meals were performed at 50% moisture content at 30°C for 168 h. The fungal strains evaluated in this study preferred HE carinata meal compared to CP meal due to the low level of residual oil in the HE meal. Among the various fungal strains evaluated, Neurospora crassa NRRL-2352 was found to be the preferred strain, with a maximal relative increase in protein content of 17.3% and 15.2%, for HE and CP carinata meal, respectively. Solid state fermentation of HE carinata meal by N. crassa yielded an end-point protein content of ~50%, (db). This process also resulted in the complete reduction of GLS in HE and CP meals (0 mg/g GLS). Of all the treatments evaluated in this study, SSF of HE carinata meal by N. crassa is preferred. Utilizing this treatment for carinata meal led to the formation of a protein-enriched product with no residual GLS content that has great potential for feed application.
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Introduction

The ever-increasing global population and the consequential burden placed on the food supply necessitate renewable and sustainable sources of protein in food sources. Thus, there is a considerable amount of research currently being conducted to develop sustainable solutions for high-protein products from renewable resources. For example, patents have recently been filed for a process creating protein concentrate from canola meal and for SSF processes for producing protein concentrates from renewable resources [1,2]. Brassica carinata is a domestic plant commonly grown in the Ethiopian highlands, Spain, California and Italy, that can be cultivated in a rotation with cash crops to enhance agricultural sustainability by diversifying crop rotations, which disrupts pest and weed cycles [3]. Brassica carinata has also been established as a drought and heat tolerant oilseed crop in Canada [4]. The oil extracted from B. carinata can be utilized as vegetable oil. Additionally, these oils can be converted into jet fuel and biodiesel via existing technologies [3,5].

Following oil extraction, the residual defatted meal could be used as a protein source for nutritional applications. The protein-rich defatted meal cannot be used for human nutrition, as it contains a high level of cellulose, phytates, and GLSs (GLS), which are considered anti-nutritional components [5]. The defatted meal is generally used as an organic fertilizer or animal feed, due to the anti-nutritional components previously mentioned. One strategy to increase the nutritional value of feedstocks containing high levels of GLS is to utilize a fungal fermentation process to increase the protein yield at a low cost [6]. Some fungal strains can also metabolize anti-nutritional factors into single-celled proteins (edible microbes with a high nutritional value) [7].

One group of anti-nutritional chemicals present in defatted carinata meal is GLSs. GLSs are secondary metabolites that occur in all Brassica-originated feeds and fodders, and provide protection against insects, animals, and disease [8]. Approximately 120 different GLS have been identified, and all contain a β-D-thioglucose group, a sulphonated oxime moiety, and a variable side-chain derived from methionine, tryptophan, or phenylalanine [8,9]. GLS are stored in plant tissues, and when these tissues are disrupted, glucose is cleaved from GLS by myrosinase, which is then converted into nitriles, thiocyanates and isothiocyanates [10]. The content and composition of GLS varies based on plant species, agronomic practices, and climatic conditions [8]. GLS ingestion by animals leads to several deleterious effects: reduced palatability, decreased growth, and decreased production [8]. These toxic effects occur when the compounds are consumed in high doses, thus, feed containing GLS have a reduced economic value [11]. The toxic effect from GLS is dependent on the animal that ingests the chemical. GLS ingestion in poultry increases mortality, and decreases egg production and weight [8]. GLS ingestion can be fatal to pigs; and cause deleterious...
effects on growth function and thyroid function of fish [8,10,12].

While there has not been much research conducted on carinata for feed application, oilseeds similar to carinata (i.e., camelina and canola) have been investigated. Previously, camelina meal was investigated for its nutritional value for beef cattle. It was determined the oilseeds could be used as a substitute for some protein-rich feedstuffs which are present in ruminant provisions [13]. Our research group previously utilized various fungal strains to increase the protein content of canola meal and evaluated the oilseed’s potential as an animal feed [9]. In that study, it was determined that cold-pressed (CP) canola meal fermented by *Trichoderma reesei* NRRL 3653 was the preferred treatment. The protein content of the meal in these trials increased from 36.1% to 44.4% in hexane extracted (HE) meal and 38.6% to 47.5% in CP meal. This treatment also led to a decrease in GLS content, from 60.6 µM/g to 1.0 µM/g. In that study, the fungal strains metabolized GLS and other products into non-toxic compounds (CO₂, H₂O), which increased the nutritional value of the canola meal and created a more digestible product [10]. This study showed that certain fungal strains can convert carbohydrates and fiber from canola meal into protein-rich biomass, while also degrading GLS via fungal metabolism or thermal degradation [10]. The similarities between canola meal and carinata meal led us to hypothesize that the fungal strains used in that study could also be utilized to increase the nutritional value of carinata meal.

Typically, fermentations take place either in submerged or solid state environments. Solid state fermentations have been used commonly to produce animal feed and enzymes. In a previous study, numerous substrates (agro-industrial residues) and microorganisms (yeast, bacteria and fungi) were used to evaluate microbial enzyme production from SSFs [14]. Multiple fungal strains have also been used to determine the number of industrial enzymes that can be produced via SSF [14]. There are several advantages of using SSFs compared to submerged fermentations. Solid state fermentations replicate the natural environment that fungi can more readily adapt to [15,16]. Solid state fermentations also have a reduced water activity, have lower drying costs, and typically smaller incubation vessels are used, which reduces industrial processing costs [10,17]. Thus, the purpose of this study was to: 1) evaluate the ability of several fungal strains to produce a protein-rich product from carinata meal utilizing SSF fermentations, and 2) determine if these fermentations lead to a decrease in the anti-nutritional compounds, GLS.

**Materials and Methods**

**Feedstocks**

In this study, two types of carinata meals were used: 1) hexane extracted (HE) provided by Agrisoma BioSciences (Ottawa, Ontario, Canada) and 2) cold pressed (CP) obtained from Dakota Lakes Research Farm (Pierre, SD, USA). Prior to use, both meals were ground to a size of 2 mm (sieve size) via knife mill (FitzMill model # S-DAS06, Elmhurst, IL, USA) and were stored in a sealed bucket at room temperature.

**Cultures and inoculum preparation**

The fungal strains used in this study were provided by the USDA National Center for Agricultural Utilization Research (Peoria, IL, USA) or were contaminants isolated by our research group in previous studies (Table 1). For long-term maintenance, strains were stored on potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates and stored at 4°C. To inoculate seed cultures, square sections of PDA containing the fungal strains were removed with a sterile inoculating loop and transferred to 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 100 ml of sterile glucose yeast extract (GYE) (5% glucose and 0.5% yeast extract) and incubated at 30°C for 150 rpm for ~72 h. Flasks were stopped with a foam stopper and covered in aluminum foil. Growth media for each culture was adjusted to an optimal pH (Table 1) by using 10 M sulfuric acid or 10 M sodium hydroxide prior to inoculation.

**Solid state fermentation of cold-pressed and hexane extracted carinata meal**

SSFs of CP and HE canola meal were conducted in 500 ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 100 g canola meal. The initial moisture content of CP and HE meals was 6.66% and 7.94%, respectively. Both meals were adjusted to a final moisture content of 50% by adding water, and the pH was adjusted to optimal conditions for each organism by adding either sulfuric acid (10 N) or sodium hydroxide (10 M). Flasks were then stopped with foam plugs and autoclaved at 121°C for 40 min. Once cooled to room temperature, flasks were inoculated with 5 ml of the seed cultures and incubated static at 30°C for 168 h. Visual observations of physical characteristics were recorded daily. After 168 h of fermentation, final pH was recorded and samples were recovered for further analysis. Solids were dried at 80°C for 48 h in a convection oven and were stored at room temperature for future protein, moisture, sugar, and GLS determination. All of the trials in the study were performed in triplicate.

**Analytical methods**

**Moisture content:** The moisture content of the carinata meal was determined using the American Association for Clinical Chemistry (AACC) method by drying ~1 g sample at 80°C for 24 h in a hot air oven [18].

**Protein measurement:** The following methodology was adapted from Croat et al. [19]. The total protein content of dried samples was analyzed using a LECO model FP 528 (LECO Corp., St. Joseph, MI, USA), which combusted the samples and measured the total nitrogen gas content in the sample (AOCS Method 990.03). Approximately 0.25 g of a dried sample was placed in tin foil cups and was combusted to measure the total nitrogen content of the sample. Protein percentage was then calculated from the nitrogen content of the combusted sample using a conversion factor of 6.25.

**GLS determination:** For GLS standards analysis, a freshly prepared sinigrin (SINIG) standard (Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO, USA) was prepared on a molar concentration basis. Serial dilutions were prepared to make a standard curve and to determine the lower detection limit. GLS concentrations in these standards were determined via a SINIG calibration curve on an nM/mAbs basis. Concentrations of carinata GLS in the samples were calculated from a standard curve

---

**Table 1:** List of fungal strains and optimal pH of the growth media.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aureobasidium pullulans</td>
<td>NRRL-58522</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aureobasidium pullulans</td>
<td>NRRL-Y-2311-1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichoderma reesei</em></td>
<td>NRRL-3653</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusarium venenatum</td>
<td>NRRL-26139</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paecilomyces variotii</td>
<td>NRRL-1115</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhizopus microsperes var. oligosporus</td>
<td>NRRL-2710</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurospora crassa</td>
<td>NRRL-2332</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucor circinelloides*</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pichia kudriavzeii</em></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These organisms were contaminants isolated from prior research and identified via 15s RNA analysis [29].

---

---
of freshly prepared SINIG on a nanomoles injected basis. A standard curve prepared from serial dilutions of pure glucocamelinin was also used.

For high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis, 0.25-0.50 g of ground defatted canola meal was placed in a capped vial with 2-5 ml methanol. The vials were sonicated for 15 min and then incubated at room temperature overnight. The samples were again sonicated briefly and a portion of this extract was filtered through a 0.45 µm filter into an auto-sampler vial. For GLS quantification, a modification of an HPLC method developed by Betz and Fox was used. The extract was run on a Shimadzu (Columbia, MD, USA) HPLC system (two LC 20AD pumps; SIL 20A autoinjector; DGU 20As degasser; SPD-20A UV-VIS detector, CBM-20A communication BUS module) running under the Shimadzu LCsolutions Version 1.25 software. The column was a C18 Inertsil reverse phase column (250 mm × 4.6 mm; RP C-18, ODS-3, 5µ with a Metaguard guard column) (Torrance, CA, USA). GLS were detected via monitoring at 237 nm. For canola GLS analysis, the initial mobile phase conditions were 40% methanol/60% 0.005 M aqueous tetrabutylammonium bisulfate (TBS) at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. After injection of 15 µl sample, the initial conditions were held for 2 min, and then developed up to 35% methanol over 20 min, then up to 50% methanol over another 20 min and finally up 100% over another 10 min.

GLS content of the samples were also analyzed according to the methodology of Berhow et al. [21]. Samples were run on a LITQ-XL Orbitrap MS (a linear ion trap), coupled to a high precision electrospray ion trap mass spectrometer (MS) (LITQ XL, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with an Ion Max electrospray ionization (ESI) source, coupled to an ACCELA series HPLC (ACELLA 1250 UHPLCS pump, ACCELAA1 HTCL cool stack auto-injector, and an ACCELAA 80 Hz photodiode array detector) (Thermo Scientific). These instruments were operated under Thermo Scientific Xcalibur 2.1.0.1140 LC-MS software. The MS was calibrated at least weekly with a standard calibration mixture recommended by Thermo Scientific and the signal detection was optimized by running the auto-tune software as needed. The MS was run with the ESI probe in the negative mode. The source inlet temperature was 300°C, the sheath gas rate was set at 50 arbitrary units, the auxiliary gas rate was set at 3 arbitrary units, and the sweep gas rate was set at 2 arbitrary units. The mass spectral resolution was set at 30,000, the spray voltage was set at 3.0 kV, and the tube lens was set at -100 V. Other parameters were determined and set by the calibration and tuning process of the instrument. The column used was a 3 mm × 150 mm Inertsil reverse phase C-18, ODS-3, 3 µ column (GL Sciences, Torrance, CA, USA). The initial solvent system was either 15% or 40% methanol and 80% or 60% with 0.25% formic acid at a flow rate of 0.25 ml/min. After injection (1 µl), the column was held at the initial conditions for 5 min, then developed with a linear gradient to 100% methanol over 60 additional min. The column effluent was monitored at 237 nm in the photodiode array detector.

Statistical analyses

To determine if statistical differences existed among the treatments (fungal strains and pretreatment methods), one-way analysis of variances (ANOVA) was performed using the R statistical software program and the ‘ agricolae’ package [22,23]. If significant differences were detected among the treatment means (α=0.05), then a Tukey’s test was performed. The Tukey’s test determined among which treatments the statistical differences occurred. One-way ANOVAs were used to evaluate protein content and GLS of the treatments in this study. All the trials performed in this study were performed in triplicate.

Results and Discussion

Solid state fermentation of cold-pressed and hexane extracted caninata meal

In this study, the efficacy of several fungal strains to produce protein-rich products from caninata meal via SSF was evaluated. In addition, the effect of these fermentations on the GLS content of the meal was elucidated via GC-MS (Figure 1). The filamentous fungi Trichoderma reesei (NRRL-3653), Fusarium venenatum (NRRL-26139), Mucor circinelloides, Aurobasidium pullulan (NRRL-58522) and Aurobasidium pullulans (NRRL-Y-2311-1) were chosen for this study due to their ability to produce cellulose degrading enzymes [10]. The yeast Pichia kudriavzevii was also evaluated in this study due to the strain's potential probiotic effect [10] (Figure 2).

Figure 2A shows a comparison of the end-point total protein content [% dry basis (db)] and Figure 2B shows a comparison of the change in protein (%) during the fermentation for each combination of fungal strain and meal type (HE vs. CP) evaluated in this study. In these figures, different lower case annotate that a statistically significant difference was detected among the means using a Tukey’s test. All SSFs of HE caninata meal had significantly higher protein content than any SSF of CP caninata meal, regardless of the fungal strain utilized in the fermentation.

The composition of the HE and CP meal used in this study, prior to fungal fermentation, varied in terms of the protein and residual oil content. Total protein contents of HE and CP caninata meal were 41.92 and 35.38% (db), respectively. Residual oil content of the CP meal (~20%, db) was substantially higher than the HE meal [1-2%, (db)]. After fungal fermentation, the average protein content of HE caninata meal ranged from ~44-50% (db) depending on the fungal strain utilized. The mean protein content of fermented CP caninata meal ranged from [35-40% (db)] and the protein content in these trials increased ~14%. The relatively poor performance of the fungal fermentation in CP meal compared to HE meal may be due to the presence of high levels of the residual oil in CP meal. It is known that high concentrations of oil can be inhibitory to microbial growth [24].

Among the various fungal strains evaluated during HE meal fermentations, N. crassa and P. variotti resulted in the highest protein content of the strains evaluated (~50%, [db]). These strains led to a 17%, (db) increase in total protein content. T. reesei had the next highest increase in protein content [13%, (db)]. Of all the CP treatments evaluated, N. crassa yielded significantly more total protein and had a higher percent change in protein than all other CP treatments evaluated in this study. Although the fungal metabolism of solid substrates is dependent on various factors, it is well established that the microbial conversion process depends largely on the number of hydrolytic enzymes released by the fungal strains during the fermentation process [25]. Therefore, the increase in protein content of the fermented meal in this study can likely be attributed to the fungal conversion of oligosaccharides and fiber into cell mass. Although there are several reports available on the fungal conversion of soybean and canola meals using Aspergillus, Trichoderma, Rhizopus and other microbial genera, to the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to evaluate the fungal metabolism of caninata meal to maximize its utilization for feed application [25].

These results provide evidence that of all the treatments evaluated in this study, HE caninata meal is the preferred substrate and N. crassa is the preferred fungal strain to utilize for solid-state fungal fermentations.
Figure 1: GC-MS chromatograms with GLS peaks from defatted carinata seed meal (A) and HE carinata seed meal fermented with M. circinelloides (B).

Figure 2: Comparison of end-point total protein content (% db) (A), and change in protein content (%) during a trial (B). Different lower case letters annotate a statistical difference occurred among the means determined by a Tukey’s test.
of carinata meal.

Carinata contains high concentrations of GLS, and SINIG constitutes virtually all of the GLS in the oilseed. GLS are anti-nutritional compounds that decrease the economic value of feeds, thus reducing the concentration of GLS in carinata meal would have a substantially positive impact. Advancements in breeding techniques have resulted in the development of carinata varieties with reduced concentrations of GLS, however, the seeds still contain enough GLS (80 µM/g) to have a negative economic impact [26]. The GLS content of the HE and CP carinata meal used in this study was 16.2 (45.2 µM/g) and 15.3 (42.7 µM/g) mg GLS/g, respectively. Sinigrin was the only GLS quantitated via GC-MS in these trials. Both the pretreated meals contained substantially less GLS than carinata [26]. However, neither of these meals could be included in the animal diet without decreasing the nutritional value of the feed from these toxins. Fungi produce a vast array of small bioactive compounds termed secondary metabolites, including antibiotics, pigments, and mycotoxins [27]. Mycotoxins are toxic fungal secondary metabolites common in agricultural products including antibiotics, pigments, and mycotoxins [27]. Mycotoxins are an array of small bioactive compounds termed secondary metabolites, including antibiotics, pigments, and mycotoxins [27]. Mycotoxins are toxic fungal secondary metabolites common in agricultural products [28]. N. crassa has not been shown to possess secondary metabolism, except for carotenoids and melanin. However, the genome contains several putative genes for secondary metabolite production [27]. It is promising that N. crassa is not known to produce mycotoxins, but it would be worthwhile to investigate further.

A future direction of this work is to evaluate mycotoxins produced by N. crassa and to determine if any deleterious effects on the nutritional value of the feed from these toxins. Fungi produce a vast array of small bioactive compounds termed secondary metabolites, including antibiotics, pigments, and mycotoxins [27]. Mycotoxins are toxic fungal secondary metabolites common in agricultural products [28]. N. crassa has not been shown to possess secondary metabolism, except for carotenoids and melanin. However, the genome contains several putative genes for secondary metabolite production [27]. It is promising that N. crassa is not known to produce mycotoxins, but it would be worthwhile to investigate further.

Conclusion

In this study, several fungal strains were evaluated for their ability to increase protein content and metabolize GLS during SSFs of carinata meal.
meal. The goal of this work was to improve the nutritional composition of HE and CP carinata meal. We determined that fungal fermentations of HE carinata meal consistently yielded higher end-point protein contents, regardless of the fungal strain utilized. Of the fungal strains evaluated in this study, *N. crassa* and *P. variotti* yielded significantly more end-point protein than all the other fungal strains during SSFs of HE carinata meal. Regardless of the pretreatment method (HE or CP), carinata meal fermented by *N. crassa* resulted in the complete reduction of GLS (0 mg/g SINIG). Solid state fermentation of HE carinata meal utilizing *N. crassa* yielded an end-point total protein content of ~50%, (db) and a GLS content of 0 mg/g GLS, thus was the most promising treatment evaluated. This study provides strong evidence that HE carinata meal fermented with *N. crassa* has potential for feed application.
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