abstract

The aim of this study was to identify the effect of somatotype and body composition variables on leg explosive power of college level men students. The sample consisted of 500 young college students, divided into two groups: athletes (N=250) undertaking Bachelor of Physical Education course whose mean age 23.86 ± 0.36 years; and non-athletes (N=250) college students who do not take part regular physical activities and mean age 22.16 ± 0.88 years. The somatotype was assessed using the Heath & Carter method. Assessing body composition of the subject various anthropometric measurements were taken. Sargent vertical jump test was used to measure leg explosive power. The measures were compared between the two groups using the Student t-test for independent samples. The two groups differed significantly (p ≤ 0.01) in terms of body weight, % body fat, lean body mass, % skeletal muscle mass and somatotype. The findings of the present study showing that athlete have higher mean values in leg explosive power (p ≤ 0.01) than non-athlete. The leg explosive power was positively significantly (p ≤ 0.01) correlated with % skeletal muscle mass, lean body mass, mesomorphy and ectomorphy components of somatotype; on the other hand body weight, height, % body fat, body surface area and endomorphy component of somatotype significantly (p ≤ 0.01) negatively correlated. In conclusion, somatotype and body composition variables are important factors in determining leg explosive power.
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introduction

Somatotyping has a relatively long tradition in human biology. Since the early development of Sheldon’s somatotyping system, researchers have studied the relation of somatotype and body composition to physical performance [1]. About 25% to 65% of the variance in physical fitness tests could be explained by somatotype in adult sportsmen [2,3]. Power is the product of force applied on the athlete and the velocity of the athlete [4,5]. The best way to test the explosive power is to find how much of C.G can be raised in standing jump. This can be determined by measuring the difference between a person’s jumping reach and his standing reach, with his arm fully extended upward.

Vertical jump is commonly used as an index of the power for the lower limb or explosive leg power [6-9]. Vertical jumping ability is an important fundamental skill for many athletic activities. Vertical jump height is a measurement that coaches, health care professionals, and strength and conditioning professionals frequently use [10] as an objective functional measurement. Many coaches consider vertical jump as an essential skill contributing to higher performance in numerous sports, including football, basketball, diving, and volleyball [11,12].

Some authors consider vertical jump performance a measurement of muscular power of the lower extremity [13-15], whereas others see the vertical jump as a measurable coordinated activity [16-20]. Vertical jump is a frequently assessed athletic skill used to measure improvement of an athlete’s capabilities throughout a specific training program [21].

The performance of the athlete in the vertical jump is closely related to biomechanics. Velocity, force, acceleration and momentum are the biomechanical principles involved in any type of vertical jump [22,23]. Apart from the biomechanical and physiological factors, anthropometric and body composition characteristics also play significant roles in vertical jump performance.

Studies on anthropometric and body composition characteristics have been carried out by a number of researchers [5,16,21,24-28]. However, only a few studies on the performance of college level students in leg explosive power have ever been published. Therefore, the objective of this article was to determine the influence of somatotype and body composition characteristics on leg explosive power. The other aim was to compare leg explosive power in young athlete and non-athlete of college level men students.

material and methods

The present study was conducted on 250 athletes and 250 non-athletes (total 500) young college levels male students (age range 18-25 years). Athletes were completed one year Bachelor of Physical Education (B.P.Ed) course and took part in obligatory physical activities under their course of study and non-athlete students were not participated regular physical activity. The subjects were selected from nineteen colleges located in nine different districts of West Bengal in India irrespective of their caste, religion, dietary habits and socio-economic status. The age of the subjects were calculated from the date of birth as recorded in their institution. The investigation
received ethical approval from the Visva Bharati University Research Degrees Ethics Committee.

The anthropometric measurements were carried out using standard instruments and in accordance with the methodology recommended by the International Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry. All the anthropometrics measurements of the subjects were taken right side of the body as per the direction of the Leon and The Koerner Foundation Study Group in 1973. Researcher was used the technical error of measurement (TEM) for evaluating the consistency, or precision, of the measure on a given variable. The TEM is the square root of the sum of the differences between measures one and two squared, divided by twice the number of subjects. The TEM provides an estimate of the measurement error that is in the units of measurement of the variable. The TEM was lower than 2% for skin folds and 0.5% for the other measurements.

The response variable was leg explosive power; the explanatory variables were height, weight, corrected thigh girth (thigh skin fold subtract from thigh girth), corrected calf girth (arm skinfold subtract from arm girth), % body fat, lean body mass, % skeletal muscle mass, % skeletal mass, body surface area and somatotype components (endomorphy, mesomorphy, ectomorphy). The height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a stadiometer. The subjects wore light clothing and were weighted to the nearest 0.1 kg using a calibrated digital scale. Researcher also examine five muscle girths (upper arm, fore arm, chest, thigh and calf) in cm, four bone diameters (humerus, bistyloid, femur and bimalleolar) in cm, and eight skin folds thickness (triceps, sub-scalpular, suprailiac, pectoral, axilla, abdominal, thigh and calf) in mm. For calculating body density of the subjects Jackson and Pollock [29] equation was adopted. The Siri equation [30] was used to convert body density to percent body fat of each participant.

Poortman’s [31] and Drinkwater et al. [32] formula was taken up for assessing skeletal muscle mass and skeletal mass respectively. Measurement of body surface area (BSA) of the subjects Mosteller’s formula [33] was used. Somatotype components (endomorphy, mesomorphy and ectomorphy) of the subjects were calculated according to Carter and Heath anthropometric method [34]. Lastly, for explosive power Sargent vertical jump test was conducted. First, confidence of appropriate physical condition were achieved, correct process of measurement were described for them and then subjects warmed up completely to perform the test. Subject stands side on to a wall and reaches up with the hand closest to the wall. Keeping the feet flat on the ground, the point of the fingertips was recorded. This is called the standing reach height. The subject then stands away from the wall, and jumps vertically as high as possible using both arms and legs to assist in projecting the body upwards. Attempt to touch the wall at the highest point of the jump. The difference in distance between the standing reach height and the jump height was the score. The best of three attempts was recorded in cm. There was a one minute resting period between each jump in order to overcome fatigue.

**Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive statistics (mean, ± standard deviation) and Student t-test for independent samples were used for compared between the athletes and non-athletes. Pearson’s correlation of coefficients was used to establish the correlations of vertical jump with other variables in athletes and non-athletes of college level students. Data were analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Science) version 17.0. A 1% level of probability was used to indicate statistical significance.

**Results**

Table 1 depicts the mean and standard deviation of the variables of athlete and non-athlete. The mean height of the college aged athlete and non-athlete is parallel, which are 168.82 ± 5.63 cm and 168.33 ± 5.59 cm respectively. Although athletes have higher mean body weight (60.44 ± 5.53 kg) than non-athletes do (58.43 ± 6.48 kg), but they have lower mean % body fat (12.37 ± 3.01 %) than non-athletes (14.36 ± 3.69 %). Athletes have higher mean corrected thigh girth (49.32 ± 2.97 cm) and mean corrected calf girth (32.52 ± 1.84 cm), as well as greater mean % skeletal muscle mass (49.79 ± 3.22 %), % skeletal mass (13.57 ± 1.34 %), lean body mass (52.90 ± 4.55 kg), body surface area (1.68 ± 0.09 m2), mesomorphy component (4.67 ± 0.88) and mean vertical jumping ability (49.13 ± 6.87 cm). In contrast, the mean value for corrected thigh girth, corrected calf girth, % skeletal muscle mass, % skeletal mass, lean body mass, body surface area, mesomorphy component, and mean vertical jumping ability of non-athletes are 47.22 ± 3.91 cm, 30.02 ± 2.31 cm, 40.35 ± 3.32 %, 13.38 ± 0.98 %,49.95 ± 5.23 kg, 1.65 ± 0.10 m2, 3.44 ± 1.23 and 42.41 ± 6.43 cm respectively.

**Table 1. Descriptive statistics and t-value of body composition, somatotype and leg explosive power of athlete and non-athlete**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Athlete</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>± S.D.</th>
<th>Non-Athlete</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>± S.D.</th>
<th>t-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthropometric Measurements</td>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>168.82</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>168.33</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>60.44</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>58.43</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>3.71**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corrected Thigh Girth (cm)</td>
<td>49.32</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>47.22</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>9.54**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corrected Calf Girth (cm)</td>
<td>32.52</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>30.02</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>18.89**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Composition</td>
<td>% Body Fat</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>14.36</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>6.58**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Skeletal Mass</td>
<td>13.57</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>13.38</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Skeletal Muscle Mass</td>
<td>49.79</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>40.35</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>4.90**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lean Body Mass</td>
<td>52.90</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>49.95</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>6.70**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Body Surface Area (m²)</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>3.51**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatotype</td>
<td>Endomorphy</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>6.17**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mesomorphy</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>5.51**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ectomorphy</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>5.42**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosive Power</td>
<td>Vertical Jump (cm)</td>
<td>49.13</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>42.41</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>11.24**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(**) indicates p<0.01
Discussion

The purpose of this study was to determine the effects of somatotype and body composition factors on leg explosive power among college level athletes and non-athletes. The finding of the present study is similar to the work done by Ostojic et al. [35], demonstrated the height and weight of a player had significantly negative correlation with vertical jump height. This result is disagreed by Aslan et al. [25] and Davis et al. [26] where they reported that there is no significant relationship between vertical jump and body height and weight. Greater thigh and calf girth has significant positive correlation with vertical jump in athletes and non-athletes. Muscle size effects force producing and jump performance. Perhaps, greater physiological cross section of muscles, contains more sarcomers contributing in muscular contractile which leads to more cross bridges foundation and finally greater force production.

% Body fat is the amount of body fat stored in the body and does not take into account the lean body mass and muscle mass. Table 2 shows that % body fat and leg explosive power have a negative association. An individual with lower % body fat definitely has a higher leg explosive power [16,24]. This is because the athletes with lower % body fat and greater power are more likely to generate greater velocity of kicking [5]. The study done by Davis et al. [26] has reported that % body fat is the best predictor of vertical jump for recreational male athletes aged between 20 to 37 years old. This result corresponds to that of Roschel et al. [5], who stated that the sum of skinfold thickness has significant negative association with vertical jump height. % Body fat is related to the work performed during vertical jump. Since work is the product of average force acting on the subject and the displacement of the jump, heavier athletes need more work to move the body to the same displacement achieved by lighter athletes [5,11]. So, in order to perform better, the coaches and dieticians should have guided the athletes to burn the body fat with proper training and dietary planning. Food consumption should be monitored so that the body fat will be flushed away without losing the nutrition.

In present study the mean somatotype of the college level athletes is ectomorphic mesomorph (2.86-4.67-3.85) on the other hand mean somatotype of non-athlete students is balanced endomorph (4.87-3.44-3.34). A higher mesomorphic ratings in athletes than the non-athlete college level students suggests that the former are more muscular than the later (Table 1). High mesomorphic ratings in athletes can be attributed to take part in obligatory physical activities under their course of study, as there is positive association between mesomorphic component and physical activity [36,37]. Mesomorphy and endomorphy components of somatotype are significantly (p ≤ 0.01) positive correlated with leg explosive power [38], whereas endomorphy component found significantly (p ≤ 0.01) negative correlation with leg explosive power irrespective of athlete and non-athlete.

Conclusions

The leg explosive power for Indian college level male athletes is significantly higher than that of their non-athlete counterparts. Body weight, corrected thigh girth, corrected calf girth, % skeletal muscle mass, lean body mass and mesomorphy component of somatotype is significantly positive association to the leg explosive power of Indian college level male athletes and non-athletes. In contrast, endomorphy component of somatotype and % body fat is an important body composition factor that has a significant negative relationship with leg explosive power of both athlete and non-athlete. Reducing the amount of body fat with proper physical training and dietary planning will be helpful to improve the leg explosive power. As the present study is examine relationships between leg explosive power with somatotype and various body composition variables in men athlete and non-athlete college aged students of few districts in India, so more research on larger area and other sex is needed to confirm or refute this finding.
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