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Abstract

Background: Allergy to cow’s milk is the most common food allergy in infant and young children. Symptoms of a milk allergy reaction can be mild like rash or severe, such as anaphylaxis. The aim of this study was to assess the value lactalbumin and lactoglobulin specific IgE in diagnosis of cow’s milk protein allergy.

Design and Methods: This study was carried on 70 subjects classified into the following groups: Group 1: Include 50 patients with suspected cow milk protein allergy. Diagnosed by presence of chronic diarrhea with history of recent introduction of cow milk and positive elimination test. Group 2: Include 20 ages and sex matched apparently healthy subjects, their ages were ranged between (8-18) months. All individuals included in this study were subjected to full history taking, clinical examination, complete blood count and determination of serum total IgE, lactoglobulin and lactalbumin specific IgE which were carried out by ELISA technique.

Results: The diagnostic accuracy of lactoglobulin IgE in diagnosis of protein allergy was (84%), with sensitivity (78%), specificity (100%), positive predictive value (100%) and negative predictive value (65%) at cutoff point of 0.345 IU/ml. While, the diagnostic accuracy of lactalbumin IgE in diagnosis of protein allergy was (83%), with sensitivity of (84%), specificity (80%), positive predictive value (91%) and negative predictive value (67%) at cutoff point of 0.335 IU/ml.

Conclusions: Lactalbumin and lactoglobulin specific IgE assay are important in diagnosis of cow milk protein allergy and their combination may give better diagnostic accuracy than total IgE assay.
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Introduction

Food allergy is defined as an adverse health effect arising from a specific immune response that occurs reproducibly following exposure to a given food [1].

Allergy to cow’s milk protein (CMP) is an immunologically mediated reaction to one or more of the milk proteins. These proteins include caseins and whey proteins [2].

The immunological mechanisms that lead to the development of cow’s milk protein allergy is not still clarified. There are different mechanisms that contribute to the pathogenesis and the main two described mechanisms at the basis of this disease refer to immediate or delayed response [3].

Cow milk allergy can be further split into IgE and non-IgE (mostly cellular) mediated. While IgE-mediated reactions are well recognized with validated diagnostic tests, the non IgE-mediated immune reactions are not so well defined and more difficult to recognize [4].

IgE-mediated allergy is associated with atopic manifestations such as urticaria, angioedema, vomiting, diarrhea, eczema, rhinitis, and anaphylaxis. Non-IgE-mediated allergy is associated with symptoms including gastro-esophageal reflux, vomiting, constipation, hemosiderosis, malabsorption, villous atrophy, eosinophilic proctocolitis, enterocolitis, and eosinophilic esophagitis [5].

There is no one symptom pathognomonic of CMPA; it can present with an array of symptoms affecting different organ systems typically the skin, respiratory, and gastrointestinal tracts with many infants developing symptoms in more than one organ system [7].

There are a number of confirmatory tests which can add value when diagnosing CMPA [8].

Specific IgE testing helps to confirm diagnosis in IgE-mediated allergy, and prick tests can be used to add value to the diagnosis [5].

The aim of this study was to assess the value of serum levels of total IgE, lactalbumin specific IgE and lactoglobulin specific IgE in patient suspected to have milk protein allergy.

Subjects and Methods

Subjects

This study was carried on 70 subjects, 50 patients with milk protein intolerance and 20 apparently health persons. They were 31 females and 39 males with age ranging from (8-18) month. The patients were attendants of out-patients clinic and inpatient of pediatrics Department.
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IgE-mediated allergy is associated with atopic manifestations such as urticaria, angioedema, vomiting, diarrhea, eczema, rhinitis, and anaphylaxis. Non-IgE-mediated allergy is associated with symptoms including gastro-esophageal reflux, vomiting, constipation, hemosiderosis, malabsorption, villous atrophy, eosinophilic proctocolitis, enterocolitis, and eosinophilic esophagitis [5].

The prevalence of food allergy (FA) varies from 6% to 8% in children, and it is currently increasing in many countries. Among all food allergens, cow’s milk is one of the most common and often the first food introduced in the infant diet, even during breastfeeding. Cow’s milk allergy (CMA) affects approximately 2.5% of children and may occur early in life, even during the neonatal period [6].

*Corresponding author: Eman AE Badr, Medical Biochemistry Department, Faculty of Medicine- Menofia University, ShebinElkom, Menoufia, Egypt, Tel: 01090365171; E-mail: ebadr2014@gmail.com

Received January 28, 2015; Accepted February 24, 2015; Published March 03, 2015


Copyright: © 2015 El-Sebay HM, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.
Menofia University Hospital during the period from April 2013 to January 2014. They were classified into the following groups:

**Group 1**: Include 50 patients with suspected cow milk protein intolerance. They were 21 females and 29 males with mean age 11.36 ± 3.46 months. Diagnosed by presence of chronic diarrhea with history of recent introduction of cow milk and positive elimination test.

**Group 2**: Include 20 ages and sex matched apparently healthy subjects. They were 10 females and 10 males with mean age 10.60 ± 3.56 months.

After approval of the local ethical committee and informed consent from each one, patients who were selected scheduled to undergo a sheet was taken to all patients subjected.

**Methods**

All patients were subjected to the following:

- **History taking including: History of the disease**: Onset, duration, presence of prior episodes of diarrhea and its association with introduction of cow milk and history of breast feeding. Family history of milk allergy or any other type of food allergies.

- **Complete clinical examination**: Measurement of the weight and height of the infant to know if the infant growth is retarded or not. Search for signs of dehydration (as sunken eyes, thirst and delay in return of abdominal skin fold) to assess the severity of diarrhea and vomiting. Examination of the skin for any urticarial rash. Auscultation of the chest wheeze to know if the respiratory tract is involved or not.

- **Laboratory investigations** were measured for both patients and controls including: Complete blood picture, Serum total IgE, lactalbumin specific IgE, lactoglobulin specific IgE, total proteins and albumin levels.

**Samples collection**

Five milliliters (ml) of venous blood were taken from each subject and divided as follows: 2 ml were put immediately in an EDTA tube for complete blood count (CBC), while the remaining 3 ml were put in a plain tube, left to clot for 30 minutes at room temperature then subjected to centrifugation for 10 minutes at 4000 rotation per minute (rpm) and the serum obtained was put in several aliquots, stored at -80°C until the time of assay.

**Assay methods**: Complete blood picture was measured with Pentra-80 automated blood counter (ABX– France – Rue du Caducee– Paris Euromedecine–BP-7290.34184 Montpellier–Cedex 4.)

- Serum total IgE was determined using solid phase enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay [9]. The kits provided by Chemux Bioscience, USA.

- Lactalbumin and lactoglobulin specific IgE was determined using a cellulose disc-based enzyme allergosorbent test (EAST) [10]. The kit provided by RIDASCREEN Germany.


**Statistical analysis**

The data collected were tabulated & analyzed by SPSS (statistical package for the social science software) statistical package version 20 on IBM compatible computer. Quantitative data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (X ± SD) and analyzed by applying t test for comparison between two groups of normally distributed variables, while for comparison between two groups of not normally distributed variables Mann-Whitney Test was applied. Qualitative data were expressed as number and percentage (No & %) and analyzed by applying chi-square test and for 2×2 table and one cell has expected number less than 5 fisher’s exact test was applied. Spearman correlation was used for no normally distributed quantitative variables or when one of the variables is qualitative. ROC curve was used to determine cutoff points, sensitivity and specificity for quantitative variables of interest.

**Results**

The result of the present study are represented in Tables 1-6.

The results show no significant statistical difference among the two studied groups regarding age and gender distribution (not shown).

There was a significant statistical difference between the studied groups regarding family history, immediate, respiratory and skin symptoms. While non-significant difference as regarding breast feeding (Table 1).

There was a significant increase of WBCs count, eosinophil % total IgE, lactalbumin specific IgE and lactoglobulinspecific IgE in group I when compared with group II. Also it shows significant decrease of hemoglobin levels and serum total proteins in group I when compared with group II and non significant statistical difference regarding other parameters (Table 2).

The diagnostic accuracy of total IgE in diagnosis of protein allergy was (59%), with sensitivity of (44%), specificity (95%), positive predictive value (96%) and negative predictive value (40%) at cutoff point of 50.05 IU/L. The diagnostic accuracy of lactoglobulin IgE in diagnosis of protein allergy was (84%), with sensitivity (78%), specificity (100%), positive predictive value (100%) and negative predictive value (65%) at cutoff point of 0.345 IU/mL. While, the diagnostic accuracy of lacto albumin IgE in diagnosis of protein allergy was (83%), with sensitivity of (84%), specificity (80%), positive predictive value (91%) among the studied groups.

**Table 1**: Statistical comparison of history and clinical data suggestive of CMA among the studied groups.
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There was a significant statistical difference between positive and negative cases of total IgE and lactoglobulin specific IgE in infant suggestive of cow milk allergy according to family history. While, there was a significant statistical difference between positive and negative cases of total IgE in infant suggestive of cow milk allergy according to WBCs count, eosinophil %, lactoglobulin IgE and lactalbumin IgE and a significant statistical difference between positive and negative cases of lactoglobulin IgE in infant suggestive of cow milk allergy according to eosinophil % and total IgE. RBCs count and lactalbumin IgE. Also, there was a significant statistical difference between positive and negative cases of lactalbumin IgE in infant suggestive of cow milk allergy according to eosinophil %, total IgE and lactoglobulin IgE while, other parameters show a non-significant statistical difference (Table 6).

Discussion

The prevalence of CMA in children living in the developed world is approximately 2 to 3%, making it the most common cause of food allergy in the pediatric population [13]. Specific IgE testing helps to confirm diagnosis in IgE-mediated allergy, and prick tests can be used to add value to the diagnosis. Vandenplas et al. [7], but a combination of the 2 tests is not necessary for the diagnostic workup.

This study assess the value of lactoglobulin and lactalbumin specific IgE in diagnosis of CMPA.

In the present study neither the age nor the gender was significant. Age range from 6 month to 18 month with mean of 11.36 month and male to female ratio was 1:3:1. This is agree with the studies of Castro et al., [6], Topal et al., [14], Van den Hogen et al., [15], Robert et al., [16].

In the present study the positive family history of allergic diseases was present in 40% of cases. It is also significant in relation to lactoglobulin specific IgE and total IgE.

This agrees with the study of Sirasuda et al., [17] who found that, fifty-two percent of parents had atopic diseases. While the study of Mowszet et al., [18] stated positive family history of allergy in only 11%.

A genetic basis for atopic disease is supported by twin studies which show that allergies such as asthma, eczema, and hay fever correlate more highly in monozygotic than dizygotic twins irrespective of whether the monozygotic twins were raised together or apart [5].

CMPA can induce a diverse range of symptoms of variable intensity in infants. It is helpful to differentiate between the “immediate” (early) reactions and “delayed” (late) reactions. Immediate reactions occur from minutes up to 2 hours after allergen ingestion and are more likely to be IgE mediated, whereas delayed reactions manifest up to 48 hours or even 1 week following ingestion. Combinations of immediate and delayed reactions to the same allergen may occur in the same patient [19].

In the current study the presence of respiratory symptoms present in 36% of cases. The immediate symptoms and the skin symptoms were present in 48% and 54% of cases respectively.

This is in accordance with the studies of Skripak et al., [20], Castro

Table 2: Statistical comparison of laboratory parameters among studied groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory parameters</th>
<th>Cutoff point</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Positive predictive value</th>
<th>Negative predictive value</th>
<th>Diagnostic accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total IgE (IU/ml)</td>
<td>50.05</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactoglobulin</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactalbumin</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Diagnostic validity of total IgE, lactoglobulin and lactalbumin specific IgE (IU/ml) in diagnosis of protein allergy cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combinations of specific IgE antibodies</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Positive predictive value</th>
<th>Negative predictive value</th>
<th>Diagnostic accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combined total IgE and lactoglobulin</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined total IgE and lactalbumin</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined lactoglobulin and lactalbumin</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined total IgE, lactoglobulin and lactalbumin</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Diagnostic validity of combinations of specific IgE antibodies in diagnosis of protein allergy cases.

and negative predictive value (67%) at cutoff point of 0.335 IU/ml (Table 3).

The diagnostic accuracy of combined total IgE and lactoglobulin was 77% with sensitivity of 88%, specificity of 50%, positive predictive value of 81% and negative predictive value 63%, the diagnostic accuracy of combined total IgE and lactalbumin was 76% with sensitivity of 92%, specificity of 35%, positive predictive value of 78% and negative predictive value 64%, the diagnostic accuracy of combined lactoglobulin IgE and lactalbumin was 87% with sensitivity of 90%, specificity of 80%, positive predictive value of 92% and negative predictive value 76%. While, the diagnostic accuracy of combined total IgE, lactoglobulin IgE and lactalbumin was 76% with sensitivity of 92%, specificity of 35%, positive predictive value of 78% and negative predictive value 64% (Table 4).

Table 5: Diagnostic validity of total IgE, lactoglobulin and lactalbumin specific IgE (IU/ml) in diagnosis of protein allergy cases.
Table 5: Comparison of family history and history of symptoms suggestive of CMA in infants with total IgE-positive and negative cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory parameters</th>
<th>Total IgE</th>
<th>Lactoglobulin IgE</th>
<th>Lactalbumin IgE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive (n=22)</td>
<td>Negative (n=28)</td>
<td>Positive (n=39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>NO.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>NO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history:</td>
<td>P1&gt;0.05</td>
<td>P1&lt;0.001</td>
<td>P2&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast feeding:</td>
<td>P1&lt;0.05</td>
<td>P1&lt;0.001</td>
<td>P2&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate symptoms:</td>
<td>P1&lt;0.05</td>
<td>P1&lt;0.001</td>
<td>P2&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory symptoms:</td>
<td>P1&lt;0.05</td>
<td>P1&gt;0.05</td>
<td>P2&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin lesions:</td>
<td>P1&lt;0.05</td>
<td>P1&gt;0.05</td>
<td>P2&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P1 between total IgE positive and negative cases
P2 between lactoglobulin specific IgE positive and negative cases
P3 between lactalbumin specific IgE positive and negative cases

Table 6: Comparison of laboratory investigations in infants with total IgE, lactoglobulin and lactoalbumin specific IgE positive and negative cases.
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In the present study the diagnostic validity of combined levels of total IgE and specific IgE showed that the combination of lactalbumin and lactoglobulin specific IgE was the best as sensitivity was 90%, specificity was 80%, positive predictive value was 92%, negative predictive value was 76% and diagnostic accuracy was 87%.

It can be concluded that lactalbumin and lactoglobulin specific IgE are important in diagnosis of cow milk protein allergy and their combination may give better diagnostic accuracy. Total IgE has lesser diagnostic use in milk protein allergy. The level of lactoglobulin and lactalbumin specific IgE are related to family history, immediate symptoms and eosinophil %.
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