

# Statistical Optimization of Culture Conditions for L-Methionine Production by *Corynebacterium glutamicum* X300

Subhadeep Ganguly and Kunja Bihari Satapathy\*

Department of Botany, Utkal University, Vani Vihar, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

## Abstract

Statistical optimization was done for L-methionine production by *Corynebacterium glutamicum* X300 using Response Surface Methodology emphasizing Central Composite Design with different variables. Maximum production of L-methionine (52.1 mg/ml) was obtained with 72 h of incubation.

**Keywords:** Statistical; Optimization; L-methionine; Response surface methodology; Central composite design

## Introduction

Statistical methods are widely used for fermentation optimization, because they reduce the total number of experiments required and provide a good understanding of the interactions among different factors on the outcome of the fermentation [1]. Response Surface Methodology (RSM) is a group of mathematical and statistical techniques for the optimization of multiple variables and levels in a minimum acceptable number of experimental trials [2]. Taguchi's method has gained worldwide acceptance in the optimization of fermentation processes [1].

Adinarayana and Ellaiah used RSM for the optimization of the medium components for the production of alkaline protease by a *Bacillus* sp [3]. Balusu et al. used RSM for the optimization of medium components for ethanol production from cellulosic biomass by *Clostridium thermocellum* SS19 [4]. Shih and Shen applied RSM to optimize the production of poly  $\epsilon$ -lysine by *Streptomyces albulus* IFO 14147 [5]. Nelofer et al. optimized the process variables for L-lysine production by *Corynebacterium glutamicum* K [6]. Pandey and Banik optimized different physical parameters for alkaline phosphate production by *Bacillus licheniformis* using RSM [7].

RSM is advantageous over conventional methods as it requires less number of experiments and its suitability for multiple variable experiments and search for common relationship between different variables towards finding the most suitable conditions for the production of the desired metabolites [8-12]. This methodology could employ to optimize different physico-chemical conditions for L-methionine production.

Thus, the aim of this present investigation was to optimize different production conditions of L-methionine by the mutant *Corynebacterium glutamicum* X300 controlling different physical and nutritional parameters using RSM.

## Materials and Methods

### Microorganism

*Corynebacterium glutamicum* X300 developed by induced mutagenesis and protoplast fusion was used throughout the study [13].

### Composition of growth medium

Glucose, 20 g;  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ , 1.6 g; NaCl, 2.5 g;  $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.25 g;  $\text{MnSO}_4 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.1 g;  $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$ , 1 g;  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ , 1 g;  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 1 L and agar, 2% as a solidifying agent [14].

### Growth conditions

The fermentation was carried out using medium volume, 30 ml; initial pH 7; shaker speed, 200 rpm; the age of inoculum, 48 h; cell density,  $3 \times 10^8$  cells/ml and temperature, 30°C [14].

### Composition of basal salt medium for L-methionine production

L methionine production was initially carried out (before optimization) using the following basal salt medium: glucose, 60 g;  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ , 1.5 g;  $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$ , 1.4 g;  $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.9 g;  $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.01 g; biotin, 60  $\mu\text{g}$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 1 L [14].

### Analysis of L-methionine

Descending paper chromatography was employed for detecting L-methionine in the broth and was run for 18h on Whatman No.1 chromatography paper. Solvent system contained: n-butanol: acetic acid: water (2:1:1). The spot was visualized by spraying a solution of 0.2% ninhydrin in acetone and quantitative estimation of L-methionine in the suspension was done using a colorimetric method [14].

### Confirmatory test for L-methionine

Quantitative determination of L-methionine in the fermentation medium without purification was done following the method as described by Greenstein and Wintz. 1 ml of 5(N) NaOH, and 0.1 ml of 10% sodium nitroprusside solution, was added to 5 ml supernatant after centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 15 min. The tube was thoroughly shaken and the mixture was allowed to stand for 10 min. 25 ml of 3% aqueous solution of glycerine was added to the reaction mixture with frequent shaking over a period of 10 min. After additional 10 min interval, 2 ml of concentrated orthophosphoric acid was added drop wise to the mixture and the test tube was properly shaken. Colour development was allowed to produce for 5 min and colour intensity was measured at 540 nm in spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer Lambda

\*Corresponding author: Kunja Bihari Satapathy, Department of Botany, Utkal University, Vani Vihar, Bhubaneswar-751 004, Odisha, India, Tel: +916742567940; E-mail: [res\\_biol@rediffmail.com](mailto:res_biol@rediffmail.com)

Received December 26, 2016; Accepted January 09, 2017; Published January 16, 2017

Citation: Ganguly S, Satapathy KB (2017) Statistical Optimization of Culture Conditions for L-Methionine Production by *Corynebacterium glutamicum* X300. J Theor Comput Sci 4: 150. doi:10.4172/2376-130X.1000150

Copyright: © 2017 Ganguly S, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

68 UV VIS). The L-methionine yield was extrapolated from a standard L-methionine curve [15].

### Recovery of L-methionine from fermented broth

An inexpensive down-stream recovery process that is capable of achieving the requisite recovery yield and purity is essential for producing any metabolite. Various levels of down-stream processing are required for the existing amino acid fermentation. The general approach to designing an efficient recovery scheme for bio products has been elucidated by Chisti and Moo-Young. The production scheme must accommodate the various regulatory requirements and consider the end use application of the product. Purification of L-amino acids relies on their physico-chemical properties, particularly solubility and isoelectric point. As the first step of the down-stream recovery process, the cells are separated from the fermentation broth by either centrifugation or filtration. The cell-free broth is then passed through activated charcoal columns for decolorization. L-methionine (isoelectric pH 5.74) can be recovered from the clarified broth by adjusting the pH to 5 with sulfuric acid to convert the amino acid to its cationic form and passing the broth through a bed of Amberlite IR-120 (H<sup>+</sup>) ion exchange resin at a controlled flow rate. The process is repeated until all the L-methionine is adsorbed. Afterwards, the column is washed with deionized water and eluted with 1(M) NH<sub>4</sub>OH to recover the L-methionine. Crystalline L-methionine can be obtained by concentrating under vacuum, treating with absolute alcohol, and drying overnight at 80°C [16-18].

### Estimation of Dry Cell Weight (DCW)

The cell paste was obtained from the fermentation broth by centrifugation and dried at 100°C until constant cell weight was obtained [17-19].

**Estimation of residual sugar:** Residual sugar was determined by the DNS method as proposed by Miller [14].

**Statistical analysis:** All data were expressed as mean±SEM, where n=6, where 'n' denotes the number of experimental set up. Data were analyzed by one way ANOVA using a software Prism 4.0, considering p<0.05 as significant and p<0.01 as highly significant.

**Response surface methodology:** It consists of a group of experimental technologies, used for evaluation of relationship between different variables and measured responses. Plackett-Burmann design was used to assess the pick variables that influence L-methionine fermentation by the mutant significantly and insignificant factors were eliminated in order to obtain a smaller manageable set of variables. RSM was applied in two stages, first to trace out the significant variables for the production using Plackett-Burmann design criterion and later significant variables related from Plackett-Burmann design were optimized by a central composite design. The experimental design and statistical analysis of the data were done by using a software, prism 4.0.

**Plackett-Burmann Design (PBD):** Each variable was examined at two levels, namely a high level (+1) and low level (-1). Initial pH, volume of medium, age of inoculum, shaker's speed, temperature, cell density, period of incubation, carbon source, nitrogen source, K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, NaCl, KCl, ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, MnSO<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, biotin and thiamine-HCl were screened by conducting six experiments using Plackett-Burmann design. All experiments were conducted in six sets and mean values of L-methionine production was used for statistical analysis. The variables, which were significant at 1% level (p<0.01) from one way ANOVA were considered to have high impact on L-methionine production and were further optimized using Central Composite Design.

**Central Composite Design (CCD):** It was applied to determine the optimum levels of seven significant production parameters determined from PBD. The effects of the parameters (namely: age of inoculums, shaker's speed, temperature, cell density, glucose concentration, nitrogen concentration, K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O and biotin) on L-methionine production by the mutant were examined at levels: -2, -1, 0, +1 and +2 where  $2^{n/4}$ , where 'n' represents the number of levels of significance considered (i.e., 5). Hence 'n' was the number of variables and '0' corresponded to the central point. The level of each variable was determined by the following equation [20]:

$$\text{Coded value} = \frac{\text{Actual level} - (\text{highest level} + \text{lowest level}) / 2}{(\text{Highest level} - \text{lowest level}) / 2} \quad (1)$$

The experimental plan and the following independent variable were obtained from CCD: volume of medium, (15-35 ml), initial pH (6-8), age of inoculum (24-84), cell density (1 × 10<sup>8</sup>-6 × 10<sup>8</sup> cells), temperature (25-32°C), period of incubation (24-108 h), carbon sources (glucose, fructose, sucrose, lactose, maltose, ribose, xylose, starch, dextrin, sodium citrate, sodium acetate and glycerol), different concentrations of glucose (20-140 g/L), nitrogen sources (urea, ammonium sulphate, sodium nitrate, ammonium chloride, ammonium nitrate, diammonium hydrogen phosphate, ammonium dihydrogen phosphate, ammonium carbonate, ammonium oxalate and ammonium citrate) and different concentrations of nitrogen (1-10 g/L) in the form of ammonium sulphate.

After identification of significant variables using Plackett-Burmann design, Box-Wilson 2<sup>4</sup> factorial CCD was applied to optimize these variables. Five levels of variables were coded as [6]:

$$Z = (X - X') / d^x \quad (2)$$

[Where, Z=Code value; X=Natural value; X'=Natural value in central domain; d<sup>x</sup>=increment of X corresponding to one unit of Z]. A total number of 31 experiments with 8 axial points (α=2) and six replications was applied for Box-Wilson 2<sup>4</sup> factorial CCD. The general form of the second degree polynomial equation was applied in this present study. The equation can be presented as follows [7]:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \sum \beta_i X_i + \sum \beta_{ii} X_i^2 + \sum \beta_{ij} X_i X_j \quad (3)$$

Where, Y=Response variable; β<sub>0</sub>=Coefficient of interaction effect (offset term); β<sub>i</sub>=Linear coefficient (i<sup>th</sup> term); β<sub>ii</sub>=Coefficient of quadratic effect (ii<sup>th</sup> term); β<sub>ij</sub>=Interaction coefficient (ij<sup>th</sup> term). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and regression analysis was done using software Prism 4.0.

## Results

### Screening of variables by Plackett-Burmann design criterion for L-methionine production by *Corynebacterium glutamicum* X300

The variables which significantly affect the production of L-methionine by *Corynebacterium glutamicum* X300 were determined by Plackett-Burmann design. All the twenty one parameters such as volume of medium, initial pH, age of inoculums, shaker's speed, temperature, cell density, period of incubation, glucose concentration, nitrogen concentration (in term of ammonium sulphate), K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, NaCl, KCl, FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, MnSO<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, biotin and thiamine-HCl were examined at two widely spaced levels (Table 1).

Code	Parameter	High level (+1)		Low level (-1)	
		Level	Activity (mg/ml)	Level	Activity (mg/ml)
I	Volume of medium (ml)	35	8.8 ± 0.691	15	7.8 ± 0.881
II	Initial pH	8	7.9 ± 0.591	6	8.3 ± 0.779
III	Shaker's speed (rpm)	300	8.6 ± 0.881	100	10.8 ± 0.728
IV	Age of inoculum (h)	84	4.8 ± 0.689	24	9.6 ± 0.913
V	Cell density (cells)	6 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	11.1 ± 0.881	1 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	6.3 ± 0.812
VI	Temperature (°C)	32	9.1 ± 0.681	25	4.8 ± 0.791
VII	Period of incubation (h)	108	8.6 ± 0.883	24	1.8 ± 0.681
VIII	Glucose concentration (g/L)	104	17.6 ± 0.992	20	9.6 ± 0.681
IX	Nitrogen content (g/L)	10	22.9 ± 1.181	1	16.1 ± 0.613
X	K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> (g/L)	2.4	26.8 ± 1.631	1	23.9 ± 1.668
XI	KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> (g/L)	2.1	33.8 ± 0.691	0	25.6 ± 0.916
XII	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (g/L)	3	37.3 ± 0.619	0	34.3 ± 0.793
XIII	MgSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O (g/L)	1.8	28.1 ± 1.613	0.3	25.8 ± 0.874
XIV	NaCl (g/L)	2.4	42.8 ± 0.689	1	42.8 ± 0.613
XV	KCl (g/L)	2.4	40.8 ± 0.871	1	42.8 ± 0.932
XVI	FeSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O (mg/L)	35	29.9 ± 0.993	5	26.6 ± 1.913
XVII	ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O (mg/L)	1.7	43.1 ± 0.793	0	40.2 ± 0.661
XVIII	Na <sub>2</sub> MoO <sub>4</sub> ·2H <sub>2</sub> O (mg/L)	8	45.8 ± 0.881	0	44.2 ± 0.692
XIX	MnSO <sub>4</sub> ·4H <sub>2</sub> O (mg/L)	6	46.7 ± 0.882	0	46.9 ± 0.692
XX	Biotin (µg/ml)	100	50.1 ± 0.832	0	48.1 ± 0.661
XXI	Thiamine-HCl (µg/ml)	100	51.2 ± 0.661	0	50.8 ± 0.913

**Table 1:** Level of variables examined for L-methionine production by the mutant *Corynebacterium glutamicum* X300 using Plackett-Burmann design criterion.

Among the twenty-one variables examined, shaker's speed (III), age of inoculum (IV), cell density (V), temperature (VI), glucose concentration (VIII), nitrogen concentration (IX), K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (X), KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (XI), CaCO<sub>3</sub> (XII), MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O (XIII), KCl (XV), FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O (XVI), MnSO<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (XIX) and biotin (XX) had significant (p<0.01) effect on L-methionine fermentation by the mutant, which was obtained from one way ANOVA. The coefficient of determinant (R<sup>2</sup>) of the model obtained from regression analysis was 0.861, suggesting thereby the model could explain up to 86.1% variation of the data. Thus the production of L-methionine by *Corynebacterium glutamicum* X300 using Plackett-Burman design showed wide range of variations which implied that it required further optimization.

### Application of Box-Wilson central composite design for the optimization of L-methionine production by *Corynebacterium glutamicum* X300

The optimum level of the key variables and the effect of their interactions on L-methionine production by the mutant were further examined using Central Composite Design (CCD) of RSM.

#### The first CCD

The fermentation trials for L-methionine by *Corynebacterium glutamicum* X300 were examined by CCD using the following variables: shaker's speed (III), age of inoculum (IV), cell density (V), temperature (VI), glucose concentration (VIII), nitrogen concentration (IX), K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (X), KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (XI), CaCO<sub>3</sub> (XII), MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O (XIII), KCl (XV), FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O (XVI), MnSO<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (XIX) and biotin (XX). The highest level of L-methionine was obtained up to 52.1 mg/ml with a biomass of 28.5 mg/ml and residual sugar content of 23.8%. Table 2 depicted the results of the second order Response Surface Models for L-methionine production by the mutant, obtained by one way ANOVA.

Chi square test with a very low probability value (α<0.001) indicated that the model was highly significant. R<sup>2</sup> (0.982) indicated that the sample variation for L-methionine production of 98.2% was

attributed to the independent variables and only 1.8% of total variation cannot be justified by the model (Tables 3-5).

All total 32 experimental trials for both physical and nutritional variables were examined for the estimation of the principal effects and multi-factors interactions. The production of L-methionine was increased significantly (p<0.01) after optimization of nutritional parameters compared to the optimization of physical parameters.

#### The second CCD

Table 6 depicted the second order CCD for L-methionine production in the form of variance (ANOVA) for the quadratic model.

#### Discussion

Shih and Shen applied RSM to examine the yeast extract, glucose, ammonium sulphate and initial pH on the production of poly-ε-lysine by *Streptomyces albulus* IFO 14147 in shake-flask fermentation. They obtained both the first order with interactions model (R<sup>2</sup>=0.9660) which was more adequate than the pure first order model (R<sup>2</sup>=0.8759). They have applied CCD for the assessment of the optimum composition. Their experimental data were fitted with a second-order polynomial equation by a multiple regression analysis. The determination of coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>=0.816) and the Fisher's test (significant at upper 5%) indicated a good adequacy of the second-order polynomial model used to analyze the data [5]. Nilofer et al. used RSM to optimize the variables of L-lysine fermentation by *Corynebacterium glutamicum* AEC-2. They used Plackett-Burman design and obtained four variables (the level of sugar in molasses, ammonium sulphate, and incubation temperature and inoculum size) were proved to be significant for L-lysine production. Furthermore, CCD (2<sup>4</sup> factorial) was applied to determine the optimum levels of significant variables. A second order polynomial regression model was used to explain the experimental data. Using these models, the production of L-lysine was increased up to 2.6 fold [6]. Pandey and Banik, optimized six factors (namely: pH,

Source	Degree of freedom (df)	Sum of square	Mean square	F-value	Probe>F
Model	82.169	7	9.313	18.313	0.0016
Residual	7.321	5	0.861	16.328	0.0616
Lack of fit	70.613	2	0.331	18.792	0.0516
Pure error	0.168	3	0.062	21.613	0.0476
Total			94.316		

R<sup>2</sup>=0.9982

**Table 2:** One way ANOVA for full quadratic model used for L-methionine production by *Corynebacterium glutamicum* X300.

Trial	Factor				L-methionine (mg/ml) [mean ± SEM]	Predicted value (mg/ml)	Residual values (mg/ml)
	X3	X4	X5	X6			
1	150	48	6 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	31	13.6 ± 0.613	9.3	4.3
2	100	24	4 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	28	11.6 ± 0.998	10.1	1.5
3	100	72	4 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	25	10.8 ± 0.791	7.6	3.2
4	150	60	3 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	32	11.9 ± 0.991	9.1	2.8
5	100	36	5 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	28	13.2 ± 0.668	8.6	4.6
6	200	84	3 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	28	11.6 ± 0.792	9.3	2.3
7	150	60	6 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	27	13.3 ± 0.837	7.3	6.0
8	200	48	6 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	26	12.4 ± 0.991	8.1	4.3
9	100	48	3 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	30	14.8 ± 0.681	8.6	6.2
10	100	24	6 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	29	15.0 ± 0.927	9.4	5.6
11	150	72	6 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	32	14.2 ± 0.883	8.1	6.1
12	300	60	5 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	31	13.1 ± 0.813	7.6	5.5
13	150	84	4 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	31	13.6 ± 0.698	9.4	4.2
14	100	72	4 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	25	11.6 ± 0.735	7.8	3.8
15	300	72	1 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	29	11.3 ± 0.919	6.8	4.5
16	300	84	3 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	60	12.2 ± 0.882	9.6	2.6
17	200	84	3 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	60	13.6 ± 0.881	10.9	2.7
18	200	72	4 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	48	12.1 ± 0.802	9.3	2.8
19	300	48	5 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	96	10.8 ± 0.682	9.3	1.5
20	150	60	5 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	96	11.8 ± 0.792	7.6	4.2
21	100	48	1 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	26	11.3 ± 0.993	9.3	2.0
22	300	48	1 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	30	13.7 ± 0.813	10.6	3.1
23	150	60	5 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	30	12.6 ± 0.779	10.1	2.5
24	300	60	6 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	28	13.1 ± 0.681	6.1	7.0
25	200	72	3 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	28	14.3 ± 0.983	8.3	6.0
26	200	84	4 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	25	12.4 ± 0.779	9.6	2.8
27	200	84	4 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	26	11.7 ± 0.681	9.1	2.6
28	300	48	5 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	25	13.2 ± 0.832	10.4	2.8
29	200	48	3 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	26	11.4 ± 0.913	9.6	1.8
30	300	60	5 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	26	12.6 ± 0.872	8.3	4.3
31	200	72	1 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	30	11.3 ± 0.881	8.3	3.0
32	250	48		28	11.8 ± 0.692	7.9	3.9

**Table 3:** L-methionine production by *Corynebacterium glutamicum* X300 using significant physical parameters based on CCD.

temperature, fermentation time, orbital speed, age of inoculum and inoculums volume) for alkaline phosphate production by *Bacillus licheniformis* using RSM. They have reported that pH, temperature, fermentation time and orbital speed were significant (p<0.05) using Plackett Berman design methodology. An increase up to 1.5 fold in the production was obtained after optimization of the production using RSM [7]. Shankar et al. examined the invertase production by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* MK. The optimum levels of the key variables (orange peel, yeast extract and methionine) was applied to determine their interactions on the production using CCD and RSM. The determined coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>=0.9994) was nearer to 1 which satisfied the adjustment of the quadratic model to the experimental data [1]. Patel et al. compared between one at a time variation factors and CCD for the production of mycophenolic

acid by *Penicillium brevicompactum* MTCC8010 in a 12-day batch culture. The medium optimization using one-at-a-time variation gave 6 fold greater titer, whereas CCD gave almost 9 fold greater titer compare to the production prior to the optimization [21].

## Conclusion

The nearness of the coefficient of determinant (R<sup>2</sup>=0.9982) for one way ANOVA for full quadratic model and the same for one way ANOVA for Response Surface Quadratic Model (R<sup>2</sup>=0.9991) used for L-methionine production by *Corynebacterium glutamicum* X300 ensured the satisfactory adjustment of the Quadratic model to explain the data obtained from the present experiment. The maximum production of L-methionine (52.1 mg/ml) was obtained with 72 h of incubation.

Trial	Factor										Lmethionine (mg/ml)	Predicted value (mg/ml)	Residual Value (mg/ml)
	VIII	IX	X	XI	XII	XIII	XV	XVI	XIX	XX			
1	40	2.0	1.2	2.0	3.0	1.2	0.5	20	0.0	00	49.6 ± 0.913	46.8	2.8
2	20	10	2.3	1.9	2.0	1.8	1.0	5.0	1.6	80	41.6 ± 0.772	37.6	4.0
3	140	7.0	1.4	0.0	1.5	0.6	1.0	20	6.0	2.0	36.3 ± 0.832	31.2	5.1
4	40	7.0	1.0	1.2	2.5	0.3	0.0	35	2.5	1.6	50.1 ± 0.661	42.8	7.3
5	60	1.4	1.8	1.0	2.5	1.8	2.0	20	2.0	4.0	52.2 ± 0.992	44.6	7.4
6	40	1.0	2.0	2.1	3.0	0.3	2.0	35	3.0	4.6	50.3 ± 0.683	46.1	4.2
7	60	1.4	2.2	1.8	3.0	0.6	1.0	5.0	3.0	2.6	49.6 ± 0.813	44.3	5.3
8	120	2.0	2.1	1.6	1.5	0.3	1.0	5.0	4.5	4.1	48.2 ± 0.669	41.6	6.6
9	80	1.4	2.1	1.6	1.0	1.5	1.6	10	2.0	6.1	50.3 ± 0.832	46.4	3.9
10	40	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.2	1.7	20	4.0	4.8	46.8 ±	41.6	5.2
11	120	1.0	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.0	1.0	20	0.0	3.9	31.3 ± 0.661	28.3	3.0
12	140	4.0	1.8	1.8	0.0	1.5	1.4	10	2.0	3.3	42.8 ± 0.779	39.3	3.5
13	40	1.0	1.8	1.6	2.0	1.8	0.0	35	2.5	0.0	36.8 ± 0.681	32.2	4.6
14	40	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.5	0.6	0.5	25	2.0	0.0	41.6 ± 0.832	38.9	2.7
15	60	4.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.9	0.0	25	4.0	2.0	46.6 ± 0.881	41.3	5.3
16	80	1.0	2.3	1.6	1.5	0.9	0.0	10	6.0	5.3	48.9 ± 0.732	44.2	4.7
17	120	1.0	2.1	1.6	1.5	0.9	0.0	10	6.0	3.9	41.3 ± 0.913	38.3	3.0
18	60	6.0	2.3	1.8	1.5	0.3	2.0	10	4.0	4.6	46.2 ± 0.883	42.8	3.4
19	100	7.0	1.0	2.1	3.0	0.6	2.0	10	4.0	4.3	46.3 ± 0.961	43.1	3.2
20	140	1.0	1.0	2.1	3.0	1.8	1.5	5.0	2.5	4.1	44.8 ± 0.832	41.6	3.2



21	100	1.4	2.3	0.0	3.0	1.8	1.5	5.0	4.0	4.3	50.1 ± 0.599	46.2	3.9
22	120	9.0	1.6	0.0	2.5	1.8	1.7	5.0	2.5	3.9	40.8 ± 0.599	38.3	2.5
23	120	1.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.0	20	4.0	3.1	46.2 ± 0.832	41.6	4.6
24	140	8.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.8	1.5	25	6.0	4.8	44.6 ± 0.611	41.1	4.6
25	60	1.0	1.0	1.6	1.5	1.2	1.5	20	6.0	4.1	50.1 ± 0.432	46.2	3.9
26	60	1.4	1.6	1.2	2.0	1.5	1.0	20	2.5	3.6	39.7 ± 0.568	37.1	2.6
27	80	1.0	2.3	1.6	2.0	1.6	1.8	35	2.0	20	48.3 ± 0.662	44.2	4.1
28	60	9.0	1.8	1.4	2.5	1.4	1.5	1.6	6.0	30	41.6 ± 0.881	39.3	2.3
29	100	6.0	2.3	1.8	1.0	1.8	1.5	1.4	5.0	20	43.3 ± 0.793	40.1	3.2
30	120	2.0	2.2	1.2	0.0	1.2	1.2	1.6	6.0	10	44.6 ± 0.801	39.6	5.0
31	140	1.4	2.3	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.8	0.0	4.5	10	48.6 ± 0.872	44.2	4.4
32	60	9.0	2.1	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.5	2.4	6.0	20	40.1 ± 0.661	38.1	2.0

Table 4: L-methionine production by *Corynebacterium glutamicum* X300 using significant nutritional parameters based on CCD.

Variable	Coefficient	Standard error of mean	Computed t-value	p-value
Intercept	52.168	0.039	233.611	0.000
III	0.913	0.061	1.169	0.016
IV	0.682	0.083	7.311	0.024
V	0.366	0.072	5.316	0.136
VI	0.611	0.091	2.618	0.613
VIII	0.732	0.059	4.813	0.024
IX	0.682	0.061	6.161	0.126
X	0.399	0.033	7.133	0.311
XI	0.816	0.042	4.613	0.791
XII	0.913	0.061	19.613	0.066
XIII	0.331	0.055	11.611	0.168
XIV	-0.068	0.061	-5.613	0.002
XV	0.611	0.066	6.918	0.069
XVI	0.382	0.079	9.832	0.361
XIX	0.791	0.069	8.622	0.162
XX	0.668	0.063	6.913	0.113

Table 5: Model coefficient calculated from linear regression for the assessment of the significance of the independent variables.

Source of data	Sum of square	Degree of freedom (df)	Mean square	F-value	p-value>F
<b>Model</b>	0.841	5	0.313	1230.14	<0.0001
<b>III</b>	0.797	6	0.331	226.64	0.0046
<b>IV</b>	0.401	3	0.210	86.46	<0.0001
<b>V</b>	0.616	6	0.339	117.64	<0.0001
<b>VI</b>	0.731	5	0.361	237.81	0.0024
<b>VIII</b>	0.611	3	0.305	373.61	<0.0001
<b>IX</b>	0.383	1	0.116	423.64	0.0069
<b>X</b>	0.770	1	0.216	874.84	0.0011
<b>XI</b>	0.463	3	0.169	321.61	0.0022
<b>XII</b>	0.511	9	0.312	72.21	<0.0001
<b>XIII</b>	0.663	3	0.226	123.81	0.0013
<b>XV</b>	0.634	3	0.213	321.21	0.0014
<b>XVI</b>	0.481	5	0.161	723.61	<0.0001
<b>XIX</b>	0.514	1	0.226	54.33	<0.0001
<b>XX</b>	0.681	4	0.261	222.21	0.0017
<b>Residual</b>	1.613	4	0.246	-	-
<b>Pure error</b>	0.000	4	0.000	-	-
<b>Lack of fit</b>	1.613	1	0.661	-	-
<b>Total</b>			10.66		

R<sup>2</sup>=0.9991; Adj R<sup>2</sup>=0.739

**Table 6:** One way ANOVA for Response Surface Quadratic Model for L-methionine production by *Corynebacterium glutamicum* X300.

## References

- Shankar T, Thagamathi P, Rama R, Sivakumar T (2003) Middle East Journal of Scientific Research 18: 615-622.
- Plackett RL, Burman JP (1946) The design of optimum multifactorial experiments. Biometrika 33: 305-325.
- Adinarayana K, Ellaiah P (2002) Response surface optimization of the critical medium components for the production of alkaline protease by a newly isolated *Bacillus* sp. Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences 5: 272-278.
- Balusu R, Paduru RR, Kuravi SK, Seenayya G, Reddy G (2005) Optimization of critical medium components using response surface methodology for ethanol production from cellulosic biomass by *Clostridium thermocellum* SS19. Process Biochemistry 40: 3025-3030.
- Shih IL, Shen MH (2006) Application of response surface methodology to optimize production of poly-ε-lysine by *Streptomyces albulus* IFO 14147. Enzyme and Microbial Technology 39: 15-21.
- Nelofer R, Syed Q, Nadeem M (2008) Turkish Journal of Biochemistry 33: 50-57.
- Pandey SK, Banik RM (2010) Optimization of process parameters for alkaline phosphatase production by *Bacillus licheniformis* using response surface methodology. Journal of Agricultural Technology 6: 721-732.
- Parajo JC, Santos V, Dominguez H, Vazquez M (1995) Applied Biochemistry 55: 133-149.
- Dlamini AM, Peris PS (1997) Biopolymer production by a *Klebsiella oxytoca* isolate using whey as fermentation substrate. Biotechnology Letter 19: 127-130.
- Montgomery DC (1997) Design and analysis of experiments. John Wiley and Sons, New York, USA, pp: 427-510.
- Vazquez M, Martin AM (1998) Optimization of *Phaffia rhodozyma* continuous culture through response surface methodology. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 57: 314-320.
- Kaur P, Satyaarayana T (2005) Production of cell-bound phytase by *Pichia anomala* in an economical cane molasses medium: optimization using statistical tools. Process Biochemistry 40: 3095-3102.
- Ganguly S, Satapathy KB, Banik AK (2014) Research Journal of Pharmaceutical Dose forms and Technology 6: 252-261.
- Ganguly S, Satapathy KB (2014) Biosynthesis of L-methionine in *Corynebacterium glutamicum* X300. European Journal of Chemical Bulletin 3: 637-638.
- Ezemba CC, Anakwrnz VN, Archibong EJ, Anakkwu CG, Obi ZC, et al. (2016) methionine production using native starches and proteins in submerged fermentation by *Bacillus*. World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences 5: 2056-2067.
- Banik AK, Majumder SK (1974) Indian Journal of Experimental Biology 12: 263-265.
- Roy SK, Mishra AK, Nanda G (1984) Microbial Strains Employed for L-Methionine Fermentation: An Extensive Review. Current Science 53: 1296-1297.
- Chisti Y, Moo-Young M (1999) Biotechnology: The Science and the Business. Harwood Academic Publishers, New York, pp: 177-222.
- Shah AH, Hameed A, Ahmad S, Khan GM (2000) Online Journal of Biological Sciences 2: 151-156.
- Paul GC, Kent CA, Thomas CR (1992) Optimization of Culture Conditions for L-Lysine Fermentation by *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. Translate Chemical Engineering 70: 13-20.
- Patel G, Patel MD, Soni S, Khobragade TP, Chisti Y (2016) Production of mycophenolic acid by *Penicillium brevicompactum* - a comparison of two methods of optimization. Biotechnology Reports 11: 77-85.

## OMICS International: Open Access Publication Benefits & Features

### Unique features:

- Increased global visibility of articles through worldwide distribution and indexing
- Showcasing recent research output in a timely and updated manner
- Special issues on the current trends of scientific research

### Special features:

- 700+ Open Access Journals
- 50,000+ editorial team
- Rapid review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
- Indexing at major indexing services
- Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled
- Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Better discount for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: <http://www.omicsonline.org/submit>

**Citation:** Ganguly S, Satapathy KB (2017) Statistical Optimization of Culture Conditions for L-Methionine Production by *Corynebacterium glutamicum* X300. J Theor Comput Sci 4: 150. doi:10.4172/2376-130X.1000150