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Abstract

Verification and validation of welding procedure specifications for X-70 grade line pipe welding was performed as per clause 8.2, Annexure B and D of API 5L, 45th Edition to check weld integrity in its future application conditions. Hot rolled coils were imported from China, de-coiling, strip edge milling, three roller bending to from pipe, inside and outside submerged arc welding of pipe, online ultrasonic testing of weld, HAZ and pipe body, cutting at fixed random length of pipe, visual inspection of pipe, Fluoroscopic inspection of pipe, welding procedure qualification test pieces marking at weld portion of the pipe, tensile testing, guided bend testing, CVN Impact testing were performed. Detailed study was conducted to explore possible explanations and variation in mechanical properties, WPS is examined and qualified as per API 5L 45th Edition.
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Introduction

Welding procedure specification is a standard guideline used to perform a welding action. A WPS is designed and issued by welding engineer and is used by qualified welding operators and welders to perform welding operation so that in each weld, required mechanical properties can be achieved. In a typical WPS, essential variables material grade, voltage (tolerance of less than or equal to 7%), current (tolerance of less than or equal to 10%), welding speed (tolerance of less than or equal to 10% for automatic welding), heat input (tolerance of less than or equal to 10%) are given in a range while variables like type of welding process, method of welding electrode diameters, polarity, type of electrode and flux, shield gas type if any used are fixed and cannot be changed once a WPS is qualified. If these are to be changed in any case, a new welding procedure specification is to be made and welding procedure qualification (WPQR) tests have to be performed [1].

Methodology and Testing

To verify mechanical properties mentioned in coil manufacturer mill test certificate, Tensile Test, CVN Impact test samples were cut from as received coil, the testing was performed as per ASTM A370 [2], the testing results are presented in Table 1 which is complying with clause 9.7, 9.8 of API 5L-45th Edition. After the verification of mechanical properties, Pipe manufacturing using verified coils was done using these steps, Hot rolled coil was charged using charging lever and then to visually inspect for any cracks occur during bending, CVN impact testing on 9 specimen on weld area and 9 specimen on Heat affected Zone area at ambient temperature and at 0 Degree Celsius was performed to measure energy absorbed in Joules during fracture termed as toughness [5]. Testing results of specimen cut from pipe are presented in Tables 1, 2 and Figures 1-4.

Results and Discussion

From the Table 1, it has been observed that tensile strength value...
### Table 1: Mechanical properties of as received coil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Identification</th>
<th>Specimen Size (mm)</th>
<th>Energy Absorbed Converted to Full Sized Specimen (Joule)</th>
<th>% Shear Fracture</th>
<th>Yield Ratio (Yield Ratio/UTS)</th>
<th>Elongation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heat No-Coil No. 1</td>
<td>10 × 5 × 55</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>&gt;85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat No-Coil No. 2</td>
<td>10 × 5 × 55</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>&gt;85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat No-Coil No. 3</td>
<td>10 × 5 × 55</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>&gt;85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Mechanical Properties of welded test specimens for welding procedure qualification record.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tensile Test Sample Identification</th>
<th>Sample Orientation</th>
<th>Test Piece Average Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Test Piece Average Width (mm)</th>
<th>Gauge Length (mm)</th>
<th>Yield Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Ultimate Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Yield Ratio (Yield Ratio/UTS)</th>
<th>Elongation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Face Bend</td>
<td>Specimen Size</td>
<td>300 × 50 mm</td>
<td>Visual Condition of specimen after bend test</td>
<td>No cracks and open to surfaces defects observed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root Bend</td>
<td>Specimen Size</td>
<td>300 × 50 mm</td>
<td>Visual Condition of specimen after bend test</td>
<td>No cracks and open to surfaces defects observed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 2: (Sequence of Picture Left to right): Universal tensile testing machine, coil tensile specimen stress-strain diagram, Automatic machine welding tensile specimen A1 stress-strain diagram, Automatic machine welding tensile specimen A2 stress-strain diagram.

### Figure 3: (Sequence of Picture Left to right): Charpy Impact test machine, CVN weld and HAZ test specimen, fractured appearance of coil CVN specimen, Fractured surface of CVN weld specimen, Fractured surface of HAZ specimen.
of as received plate was lower as compared to the results obtained from test weld test pieces. In addition to the filling material added during welding, since welding is a thermal fusion process, material which was joined through welding had to gone through heating and cooling cycles that caused the mechanical properties of the material altered as in our case from Table 2, it can be seen tensile strength of the welded specimen has been increased [6,7]. Figure 5 shows welding procedure specification issued by welding engineer and practically used by welders in this experiment, the welding procedure qualification was done through mechanical testing and the results are shown in Table 2 the results are complying with American petroleum institute 5L-45th Edition X-70 grade line pipe weld requirements hence the WPS was validated and Quality department head approved its welding procedure qualification records like the one shown in Figures 5-7.

### Conclusion

The weld metal is comparatively stronger, and the joint properties are controlled by weld metal chemical composition and microstructure. Although the welding wire is generally of a composition that matches that of the parent metal yet alloying elements are intentionally added in welding wires to improve final weldment mechanical properties major improvement in mechanical properties are observed due to the

---

**Figure 4:** (Sequence of Picture Left to right): Prepared guided bend face specimen, guided bend root test specimen, guided bent test machine, face bent specimen showing no crack appearance, root bent specimen showing no crack appearance.

**Figure 5:** Welding procedure specification.
heating and cooling cycle that a material encountered during welding process thus grain structure of the material has been refined which in result increases ultimate tensile strength and impact toughness [8]. Mechanical Testing has been done against the welding joint made

Figure 6: Welding procedure qualification record page 1 of 2.

Figure 7: Welding procedure qualification record page 2 of 2.
as per welding procedure specification, the results are complying with American Petroleum Institute requirement for weld joint of X-70 grade line pipe PSL 2 clause 9.7 and 9.8 of API 5L 45th Edition). Welding procedure specification has been validated by Quality Control department and permission to proceed has been given to the production department to continue welding practice as per approved welding procedure specification.
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