Subjects with Knee Osteoarthritis Exhibit Widespread Hyperalgesia to Pressure and Cold
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Abstract

Hyperalgesia to mechanical and thermal stimuli are characteristics of a range of disorders such as tennis elbow, whiplash and fibromyalgia. This study evaluated the presence of mechanical and thermal hyperalgesia in individuals with knee osteoarthritis (OA), compared to healthy control subjects.

Twenty-three subjects with knee OA and 23 healthy controls, matched for age, gender and BMI, were recruited for the study. Volunteers with any additional chronic pain conditions were excluded. Pain thresholds to pressure (PPT), cold (CPT) and heat (HPT) were tested at the knee, ipsilateral heel and elbow, in randomized order, using standardised methodology. Significant between-groups differences for PPT and CPT were found: OA subjects demonstrated significantly increased sensitivity to both pressure (p=0.018) and cold (p=0.003), but not to heat (p=0.167) stimuli, compared with controls. A similar pattern of results extended to the pain-free ipsilateral ankle and elbow indicating widespread pressure and cold hyperalgesia. This study found widespread elevated pain thresholds in subjects with painful knee OA, suggesting that altered nociceptive system processing may play a role in ongoing arthritic pain for some patients.
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Introduction

Studies utilizing quantitative sensory testing (QST) data suggest that widespread pressure and cold hyperalgesia are also present in a number of musculoskeletal pain disorders such as tennis elbow [1-3], back pain [4,5], fibromyalgia [6,7] and whiplash associated disorder (WAD) [8,9]. It has been suggested that there is an association between pain severity and chronicity, and the presence of cold hyperalgesia in the immediate period post whiplash injury [10] and based on the findings of a systematic review, the presence of cold hyperalgesia has been identified as an important prognostic factor for long term pain and disability in WAD [11] and tennis elbow [12]. The importance of cold hyperalgesia as a prognostic indicator in other conditions has not been extensively explored.

Osteoarthritis (OA) is one of the most prevalent musculoskeletal disorders affecting Western society and is associated with joint pain, tenderness and decreased function. It is also often anecdotally associated with exacerbations during adverse weather conditions. Over recent years QST methods have been used to evaluate various aspects of hyperalgesia in this population [13,14]. Most commonly, studies have evaluated pressure pain thresholds and reported widespread mechanical hyperalgesia in subjects with OA of the knee [13,14]. Several studies have reported that mechanical hyperalgesia extends beyond the vicinity of the OA joint indicating relatively widespread changes in nociceptive system function. Imamura et al. [14] reported reduced pressure pain threshold (PPT) and consequent pressure hyperalgesia at a number of lower extremity sites in subjects with knee OA, correlating with higher disability scores. A number of studies have also reported reduced PPT in the upper limb of subjects with knee OA compared with matched controls [13-15].

It has been hypothesised that this widespread mechanical hyperalgesia may be a sign of altered nociceptive system function and reflects centrally augmented nociceptive system processing [16]. Thus it has been proposed that even in an apparently localised musculoskeletal condition such as OA there may be significant central augmentation of nociceptive input [17,18]. This hypothesis is also supported by studies that have reported changes in other centrally mediated pain phenomena in subjects with OA. Bajaj et al. [19] reported that both the area and intensity of secondary hyperalgesia were increased in subjects with knee OA, following hypertonic saline injection into the tibialis anterior muscle. Temporal summation is also significantly facilitated in this patient group [15,17]. Studies have also found conditioned pain modulation processes to be significantly reduced in patients with OA compared with normals [20].

The presence of cold hyperalgesia has also been proposed as a sign of centrally-augmented nociceptive system processing [21]. Whilst animal models of arthritis have demonstrated increased cold hyperalgesia [22] there are few human studies that have investigated the presence of cold hyperalgesia in patients with OA. Wylde et al. [13] carried out a comprehensive evaluation of QST measures in patients with knee OA compared to matched controls. The study demonstrated widespread pressure hyperalgesia and showed no difference in heat pain thresholds but it failed to specifically evaluate cold pain thresholds leaving an important gap in our knowledge base.

Given the potential prognostic importance of evaluating cold hyperalgesia, the current study aimed to investigate the extent to which widespread pressure and cold hyperalgesia is experienced by subjects with knee OA compared to matched, healthy controls. The study also evaluated the presence of heat hyperalgesia and explored associations between cold pain thresholds, pressure pain thresholds, heat pain thresholds and self-report of pain and disability.
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Methods

Study design: A cross-sectional case-control design was used. The pressure and thermal pain thresholds of subjects with symptomatic knee OA were compared with those of a healthy, pain-free group, matched by gender, age and BMI. Thresholds were tested in randomised order at three standardised body sites, index knee, ipsilateral heel and ipsilateral elbow.

Subjects: All subjects were volunteers recruited from the general population using fliers and radio advertisements. Those in the OA group fulfilled the American College of Rheumatology clinical criteria for painful knee OA [23]. Volunteers with any history of chronic pain, fibromyalgia, neurological deficit or co-existing systemic disorder were excluded from both the OA and healthy control groups. Controls were healthy, currently pain-free individuals with no history of knee pain or OA. Controls were matched to OA subjects by gender, 5-year age band and BMI group (normal, overweight, obese). All volunteers provided written informed consent before participating in the study, which was approved by the Curtin University Human Research Ethics Committee (PHTY 42006).

Procedure: Subjects attended a single one hour test session in a temperature-controlled laboratory maintained at 24°C. Following clinical examination for intact sensory and pain pathways (warm/cold, light touch and pin-prick), all subjects completed the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Index (STAI) questionnaire, with the OA group also completing the Western Ontario and McMaster (WOMAC) Knee-specific questionnaire. Pain thresholds for pressure (PPT), cold (CPT) and heat (HPT) were tested at three test sites (index knee, ipsilateral heel and elbow) using standardised procedures and scripted instructions. Knee measurements were performed over the medial collateral ligament at the joint line [24], elbow measurements were performed over the extensor carpi radialis brevis muscle [25] and heel measurements were performed over the lateral aspect of the calcaneum [26]. For each testing modality at each site, an initial practice was followed by three recorded trials, with the mean values used for analysis. Test order was randomised between subjects for test site and stimulus modality.

Pressure threshold measures: Pressure Pain Threshold: Pressure pain threshold (PPT), was assessed using an electronic digital pressure algometer (Somedic AB, Sweden), a device that has consistently shown good reliability when applied by a skilled operator [26]. Pilot testing for the current study (n=10) showed an intra-tester Intra-Class Correlation Coefficient (ICC1,2) of r=0.93. A 1 cm² algometer probe was applied at 90° to the skin at a rate of 40 kPa/sec. Subjects were instructed to depress the hand-held control switch as soon as the sensation of pressure became one of painful pressure. This pressure reading (kPa) was recorded. Following an initial practice, three trials were recorded with the mean used for analysis.

Cold pain threshold: Cold pain threshold (CPT) was assessed using a peltier thermode (Somedic AB, Sweden) and standard method of limits [27]. The 3 × 2 cm probe was secured to each test site using light strapping to ensure even skin contact. Subjects were given several minutes to adapt to the baseline temperature of 32°C, before the device was activated. The thermode cooled at a rate of 1°/sec down to the minimum available temperature of 5°C. Subjects were instructed to depress the hand-held control switch once the cooling sensation became one of painful cold, thereby reversing the temperature back to baseline. Following an initial practice, three trials were recorded with the mean used for analysis [1].

Heat Pain threshold: Heat pain threshold (HPT) was similarly assessed using the Somedic peltier thermode and Method of Limits described above. Testing started from a baseline temperature of 32°C, increasing a 1°/sec to a maximum temperature of 50°C. Subjects were instructed to press the control switch when the sensation of warmth became one of painful heat. An initial practice was followed by three trials, with the mean used for analysis.

Additional measures: Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI): STAI was used to assess all subjects’ levels of general and situational anxiety on the day of testing. This 40 item self-report questionnaire provides a measure of both state and trait anxiety [28,29]. The STAI is widely used and has demonstrated good reliability (ICC r=0.65 to r=0.86) and validity in the context of experimental pain studies [29].

Western Ontario and McMaster University Osteoarthritis Index for the Knee (WOMAC): The WOMAC self-report questionnaire is widely used to measure pain and disability from knee OA, demonstrating good internal validity and test-retest reliability [30]. The 24 item questionnaire provides an evaluation of three variables; pain, stiffness and physical function, which can be reported separately or as a cumulative score [31].

Data analysis: SPSS (v19) statistical package was used, with the a-level set at 0.05 Independent t-tests were applied to analyse between-group differences in PPT, CPT and HPT at each test site. In order to analyse responses across modalities, a global value for each modality was calculated as the mean of all 3 sites. These values were also analysed using independent t-tests. Pearson’s Correlation Coefficients were applied to analyse possible associations between the various measures.

Results

Twenty-three subjects were recruited to the group with knee OA and subsequently matched with 23 healthy control subjects (Table 1). Proportions of male to female subjects in each group (10 male: 13 female) were exactly replicated and there was no significant group difference in mean ages (OA group 68.5 ± 8.5 years: range 55-82 years, control group 66 ± 11 years: range 50-84 years). Mean BMI values for both OA (26.94 ± 4.51) and control groups (25.61 ± 4.10) were in the overweight range, but this reflects current Australian statistics [32].

There were differences in state anxiety (t=3.52, p=0.001) and overall anxiety (t=3.45, p=0.001) between the OA and control groups (Table 1). The OA group also exhibited higher trait anxiety scores but this difference was not statistically significant (t=1.839, p=0.073). There was also no correlation between global pain thresholds and STAI scores (STAI:PPT r=0.4, r=0.313; STAI:CPT: r=0.684, r=0.090; STAI:HPT: r=-0.659, r=-0.097).

WOMAC Knee Index scores for the OA group ranged from 7-70/100 with a mean of 39/100, reflecting a community-dwelling, ambulant cohort with mild to moderate disability. WOMAC subscores showed that this cohort was more limited by stiffness than pain (46% versus 38%) although there was a significant positive correlation between stiffness and pain (r=0.001, r=0.853).

Pressure hyperalgesia

Subjects with knee OA exhibited significantly reduced pressure pain thresholds compared with matched controls, both at the index knee (t=2.57, p=0.014) and also at the ipsilateral elbow (t=2.15, p=0.037), and the ipsilateral heel (t=2.25, p=0.015, p=0.231) (Figure 1). Global PPT values were significantly reduced for the subjects with OA compared to controls (t=2.44, p=0.019).
Discussion

This study compared the mechanical and thermal pain thresholds of subjects with WOMAC-rated mild to moderate knee osteoarthritis with the thresholds of matched healthy controls. The results suggest that both widespread mechanical and cold hyperalgesia may be a feature of the pain experience for patients with OA of the knee.

Heat hyperalgesia

There were no significant differences in heat pain thresholds at the index knee (t=-0.586, p=0.56) and ipsilateral elbow (t=0.517, p=0.61) or ipsilateral heel (t=-1.49, p=0.14) (Figure 3). There was also no difference in global HPT values (t=-0.584, p=0.56).

A post hoc power analysis indicated that the study had power of 1-β=0.475 to detect a difference in HPT of 2°C between groups suggesting that an increased number of subjects would be required to detect a difference in HPT should such a difference exist.

Correlations between modalities

Significant correlations were found between global CPT and HPT (r=-0.512, p<0.001) as well as between CPT and PPT (r=-0.499, p<0.001) and HPT and PPT (r=0.538, p<0.001). Thus subjects with greater sensitivity to pressure pain, were also likely to be more sensitive to cold pain and heat pain. There were no significant correlations between global PPT (r=0.253, p=0.245) or CPT (r=0.099, p=0.654) and total STAI scores for subjects with OA. There was a significant correlation between Global PPT and total WOMAC score (r=-0.381, p=0.037) but no correlation between total WOMAC score and global CPT (r=0.265, p=0.11).

OA subjects showed widespread pressure hyperalgesia

Subjects with knee OA exhibited significantly lower pressure pain thresholds at the index knee compared with their matched healthy counterparts. This increased mechanical hyperalgesia local to an OA joint has been reported in several previous studies [13-15] and is characteristic of localised sensitisation. The current study also found increased mechanical hyperalgesia distally and proximally to the OA joint, at both the ipsilateral ankle and elbow, with OA subjects showing a 20% decrease in pressure pain threshold across all sites. This pattern of widespread mechanical hyperalgesia also reflects the findings of a number of recent studies [13-15]. Inamura et al. [14] reported significantly decreased PPT in the upper limb for subjects with knee OA. Neogi et al. [33] reported significantly increased pain sensitivity across four upper limb sites in subjects with OA of the knee. Arendt-Nielsen et al. [15] found decreased PPT at both the ipsilateral tibialis anterior muscle and extensor carpi radialis longus muscle in the
forearm of subjects with knee OA, although neither were reported as significantly different to control subjects.

**OA subjects showed widespread cold, but not heat hyperalgesia**

Subjects with knee OA also displayed significantly increased cold pain thresholds compared with pain free controls. As with pressure pain thresholds, CPTs were significantly elevated (more sensitised) both at the affected knee and also at the unaffected lower limb and upper limb sites. At each site, those with OA experienced their pain threshold at a temperature 40-47% higher than controls.

There is little available data with which to compare these results. Kosek and Ordeberg [34] reported significantly increased CPTs in subjects with hip OA prior to arthroplasty, with a mean CPT of 19.1°C, compared with 12.1°C for controls. QST studies evaluating other musculoskeletal pathologies however have also reported elevated CPT. For example, Sterling et al. [8] found a mean CPT of 17-18°C in the 21% of whiplash subjects who showed poor recovery at 6 months and 2 years post injury. Cold hyperalgesia has also been reported for subjects with fibromyalgia (mean CPT 18.6°C) [6]. Given that cold hyperalgesia is considered to be an important prognostic indicator in patients with conditions such as whiplash associated disorder it is important to have data indicating that widespread cold hyperalgesia is a feature of patients with knee OA.

In contrast to PPT and CPT, no significant difference in mean HPT was found between subjects with OA and controls at any of the tested sites. Indeed, HPT values were remarkably consistent across sites for all subjects, with less than 1°C difference between maximum and minimum values. Although thermal hyperalgesia is described as a cardinal sign of neuropathic pain syndromes, there is ambivalent evidence about its role in musculoskeletal disorders. For example, similarly to the current study, Berglund et al. [6] found that subjects with fibromyalgia showed only cold but not heat hyperalgesia, as did Sterling et al. [8] for patients with whiplash. Wright et al. [3] showed no significant difference in HPT in patients with tennis elbow. Wyld et al. [13] found no difference in HPT in subjects with knee OA. It appears that heat hyperalgesia is much less common in patients with musculoskeletal pain than cold hyperalgesia.

**Centrally driven hyperalgesia**

The finding that mechanical and cold pain thresholds are reduced at a range of sites throughout the body lends support to the notion that pain in osteoarthritis may be influenced by both local and central factors. A range of previous studies have supported this hypothesis of central sensitisation. Bajaj et al. [19] demonstrated increased intensity and area of secondary hyperalgesia in OA subjects. Other studies have reported increased temporal summation [15] indicative of altered nociceptive system processing.

The present study provides clear evidence that knee osteoarthritis is characterised by the presence of widespread pressure and cold hyperalgesia in common with a number of other musculoskeletal disorders. This finding supports the concept that whilst knee osteoarthritis has been viewed as the archetypal example of a peripheral pain disorder, even in conditions such as this there is clear evidence of widespread changes in nociceptive system function.
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