The Effect of Combining Fish Oil and Vitamin C on Airway Inflammation and Hyperpnea-Induced Bronchoconstriction in Asthma
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Abstract

Purpose: To compare the effects of two mono-therapies (fish oil and vitamin C) alone and in combination, on airway inflammation and the bronchoconstrictor response to eucapnic voluntary hyperpnea (EVH) in asthmatics.

Methods: Sixteen asthmatic subjects with hyperpnea-induced bronchoconstriction (HIB) entered the study on their usual diet (pre-treatment, n=16) and then randomly assigned to receive either active vitamin C tablets (1.5 g) and placebo fish oil capsules (n=8) or active fish oil capsules (3.2 g EPA/2.0 g DHA) and placebo vitamin C tablets (n=8) taken for 3 weeks. Thereafter, all subjects (combination treatment; n=16) underwent a further 3-week treatment period consisting of active vitamin C tablets and active fish oil capsules taken daily (NT01057615).

Results: HIB was significantly inhibited (p<0.017) by fish oil, vitamin C and combination treatment compared to pre-treatment (usual diet); percent fall in post-EVH forced expiratory volume in 1-sec was 18.8 ± 5.7%, 9.7 ± 5.4%, 10.5 ± 10.2% and 10.7 ± 9.3% on the usual diet, fish oil, vitamin C and combination treatment respectively. All three treatments, compared to the usual diet, were associated with a significant reduction (p<0.017) in the fraction of exhaled nitric oxide and bronchodilator use, and improvement in asthma symptom scores and exhaled breath condensate pH. There was no significant difference between treatment groups for any dependent variables.

Conclusion: While fish oil and vitamin C supplementation are both effective in attenuating airway inflammation and HIB, combining these two nutrients does not confer a greater anti-inflammatory effect or suppression of HIB than either intervention alone.
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Introduction

Approximately 25 million Americans have asthma, a chronic inflammatory disease resulting in airway inflammation, hyperresponsiveness, and narrowing [1]. One complication of this disease that can affect up to 90% of asthmatics is exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (EIB) [2]. The presence of EIB may indicate that an individual’s asthma is not being adequately managed, and therefore it is of clinical importance to evaluate the effectiveness of asthma therapies [3].

Traditional pharmacologic approaches to managing asthma do not always provide adequate protection against EIB [4], which may be due to patients’ variable responses to medications or side effects of the drugs. Thus, patients may seek out alternatives to asthma medications. Since it has been suggested that the prevalence of asthma may be related to dietary factors, numerous nutritional strategies have been examined that may impact the severity of asthma and EIB, such as a high ratio of omega-6: omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) or a low antioxidant intake [5,6].

Marine oil supplementation appears to be a promising approach to managing EIB [7,8] and hyperpnea-induced bronchoconstriction (HIB) [9,10]. Fish oil is composed of the omega-3 PUFAs eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), which can cause dual inhibition of cyclooxygenase-2 and 5-lipoxygenase (5-LO) pathways for metabolism of arachidonic acid (AA), an omega-6 PUFA. This would result in less biologically active pro-inflammatory mediators than the analogous arachidonic acid pro-inflammatory mediators [11] and a subsequent attenuation of airway inflammation and EIB in asthmatic individuals [12]. In addition, a new class of mediator families derived from fish oil, the EPA-and DHA-derived resolvins (RvE1 and RvD1), and the DHA-derived protectin (PD1) [13] and maresins [14], which act locally, and possess potent anti-inflammatory novel bioactions, may also play an important role in mitigating airway inflammation.

Various nutritional interventions have been shown to be effective in mitigating EIB. Fish oil supplementation has been shown to effectively reduce EIB in elite athletes without concurrent asthma [8], as well as in adults with asthma [7]. It has also been determined that fish oil and the leukotriene receptor antagonist montelukast each prevent HIB to a similar extent [10]. Similarly, vitamin C supplementation has been evaluated as a nutritional approach to managing asthma since it has been shown that adults with asthma have lower levels of plasma ascorbic acid (vitamin C) compared to healthy, non-asthmatic adults [6]. Supplementation with an antioxidant, such as vitamin C, may be beneficial for asthmatics because they have enhanced production of reactive oxidant species (ROS), which can damage the airway epithelium and cause airway inflammation [15]. A meta-analysis investigating vitamin C as a treatment for asthma determined that vitamin C has demonstrated only limited effectiveness [16]; however, this may be due to the incongruent protocols for supplementation and...
the different asthma bronchoprovocation tests used between studies. However, a more recent meta-analysis examining the effectiveness of vitamin C on EIB concluded that given the low cost this antioxidant, and positive findings of an attenuation of EIB following supplementation, patients with EIB should be encouraged to supplement their daily diet with vitamin C [17]. In support of the findings from this recent meta-analysis [17] we have previously shown [18] that a two-week, 1500 mg/day regimen of pharmaceutical grade vitamin C, significantly reduced exercise-induced airway narrowing and airway inflammation in asthmatic adults with EIB.

Given that asthma is known to be a multi-faceted disease, it is possible that a nutritional approach incorporating multiple nutrients (“polypill” approach) will be more effective than any one nutritional supplement alone in attenuating EIB. In other words, simultaneously targeting various inflammatory pathways with multiple nutrients may result in a more pronounced reduction in EIB than using a single nutrient approach.

Therefore the purpose of this study was to determine whether the combination of fish oil and vitamin C supplementation offers increased protection against airway inflammation and hyperpnea-induced bronchoconstriction (HIB) compared to each intervention alone. It was hypothesized that fish oil and vitamin C supplementation taken in isolation will be effective in the diminution of airway inflammation and HIB in asthma, and that the two treatments combined will confer even greater protection.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

Thirty seven subjects were recruited to this study from a university and community setting, and seventeen were excluded for either not meeting the inclusion criteria (n=9) or declined to participate further (n=8). While twenty subjects entered the study trial, only data from sixteen subjects (9 male, 7 female; aged 23.4 ± 1.1 yr., height 1.72 ± 0.47 m, 78.4 ± 21.4 kg), with both asthma and hyperpnea-induced bronchoconstriction (HIB), were used in the data analysis (Figure 1).

All subjects had physician diagnosed mild-to-moderate persistent asthma with a resting forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) greater than 60% of predicted, and greater than a 10% decrease in the FEV1 following dry gas hyperpnea, which is a surrogate for an exercise challenge test used to diagnose EIB [19]. An advantage of using EVH over exercise as the bronchial provocation challenge is that a higher ventilation rate can be achieved during the EVH test, without the need to exercise at a high level, and allows for greater control of ventilation and inspired air conditions [20].

A control group of healthy, non-asthmatic subjects was not

---

**Figure 1:** CONSORT flow of participants through the study diagram.
included in this study design as it has been previously reported that fish oil supplementation does not significantly affect pulmonary function or inflammatory mediators in individuals without asthma or EIB [8]. Subjects were not allowed to take asthma maintenance medications during the study other than their prescribed short-acting β2-agonists (e.g. albuterol), which could be used ad libitum except for 12 hours prior to reporting to the laboratory for testing. In addition, subjects reported to the laboratory having abstained from exercise for 24 hours and caffeine/alcohol for 8 hours respectively prior to the laboratory tests.

One subject ceased taking their maintenance medication (fluticasone propionate and salmeterol), with physician approval 4 weeks before starting the study; no other subjects were taking asthma maintenance medications at the time of enrollment. Subjects were asked not to take nutritional supplements containing vitamin C or fish oil at the time of enrollment or during the study. Subjects were instructed to limit their fish consumption to one meal per week and to avoid vitamin C rich foods for the duration of the study. Exclusion criteria for the study included pregnancy or a history of diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, bleeding disorders, delayed clotting time, or seizures. The study was approved by the Indiana University Institutional Review Board (protocol # 091000751) and was registered as a clinical trial with clinicaltrials.gov (study # NT01057615). Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects prior to enrollment.

Study design

This study was conducted a randomized, double-blind, parallel group, placebo-controlled trial over the course of 3 weeks followed by a single group trial (both groups combined) for a further 3 weeks. Each subject underwent a 2-week run-in period preceding the trial when baseline measures (usual diet) were performed. Testing was conducted during the run-in period and at the conclusion of each 3-week treatment period, and at the end of the 2-week washout period.

Subjects entered the study on their usual diet (n=16) (phase 1) and then were randomly divided into one of two groups. The two parallel treatment groups (phase 2) consisted of either vitamin C capsules containing a total of 1500 mg of pharmaceutical grade ascorbic acid (NOW Foods, Bloomingle, IL) and placebo fish oil capsules containing soybean oil (n=8) taken daily, or placebo vitamin C capsules containing sucrose and active pharmaceutical grade fish oil capsules (Nordic Naturals, Watsonville, CA) containing a total of 3.2 g EPA and 2.0 g DHA taken daily (n=8). Subjects then underwent a 2-week washout period after which they did not take any capsules. After the washout period, all subjects (n=16) took both the active vitamin C and fish oil capsules for a further 3 weeks (phase 3).

An independent investigator having no contact with the subjects and no involvement in data collection or analysis used a computerized random number generator (http://www.randomizer.org/form.htm) to create the randomization sequence which was stratified by sex with a 1:1 allocation using a fixed random blocks size of two. The active fish oil and ascorbic acid capsules were identical in appearance to their respective placebo counterpart. Identical packages of capsules were numbered by the independent investigator. After the principal investigator obtained the subject’s consent, the independent investigator was asked to provide the allocation assignment for the subject. A list of subject numbers and randomized allocation numbers were kept confidential by the independent investigator, and revealed to the principal investigator only after data collection and initial data analysis were completed.

Bronchoprovocation was elicited with the aid of a eucapnic voluntary hyperventilation (EVH) test at the end of phase 1, 2 and 3. Prior to, and following the EVH test, the fraction of exhaled nitric oxide (FENO), exhaled breath condensate (EBC) pH (FENO and EBC pH are non-invasive markers of airway inflammation) and pulmonary function were measured in that order. Subjects were asked to record daily peak flow, short-acting β2-agonist use, and asthma symptoms scores daily throughout the course of the study. Two 24-hour dietary recalls were conducted during each 3 week phase of the study to gauge any changes in nutrient intake over the course of the study. Compliance with supplementation was assessed by counting the pills returned by the subjects at their second and third laboratory tests.

Eucapnic voluntary hyperventilation

The EVH challenge, which requires subjects to breathe cold, dry air at a rapid rate, is the preferred method that the International Olympic Committee recommends for identifying athletes with EIB [19]. Subjects were asked to breathe, while wearing nose clips, through a non-rebreathing two-way valve (Hans Rudolph, Inc., Kansas City, MO) connected to a reservoir bag continually filled with 21% oxygen, 5% carbon dioxide, and balance nitrogen from a compressed gas tank containing less than 3 mg H2O.L-1 air [10]. Subjects were instructed to breathe for 6 minutes at 85% of their maximal voluntary ventilation as estimated by 30x their resting FEV1 [19]. In order to verify the ventilatory rate, the investigator continuously monitored each subject’s ventilation rate during the EVH challenge (Vmax 22 Metabolic Measurement Cart, SensorMedics, Yorba Linda, CA).

Pulmonary function tests

Pulmonary function was measured pre-EVH and post-EVH at 5, 10, 15, and 20 minutes using a calibrated computerized pneumotachograph spirometer (Vmax 22 Metabolic Measurement Cart, SensorMedics, Yorba Linda, CA). In accordance with American Thoracic Society recommendations [21], at all pre- and post-EVH time points each subject performed three acceptable spirograms, of which the largest and second largest forced vital capacity (FVC) and FEV1 values did not vary by more than 0.15 L [22], and the best FEV1 and FVC maneuver kept for analysis. The maximum percentage fall in FEV1 for the baseline (pre-EVH) was calculated using the following equation: (Pre-EVH FEV1–lowest post-EVH FEV1)/(Pre- EVH FEV1). In addition, the bronchoconstrictor response to the EVH airway challenge was assessed as the area under the curve of the percent fall in post-EVH FEV1 plotted against time for 20 min (AUC0-20) using trapezoidal integration.

Fraction of exhaled nitric oxide

Before and following the EVH challenge, the measurement of the fraction of exhaled nitric oxide (FENO) was recorded using a restricted exhaled breath protocol (NOA 280 Nitric Oxide Analyzer, Accurate NO Breath Kit, Thermal Mass Flowmeter, NO Analysis Software Version 3.21, Sievers Instruments, Boulder, CO) following ATS guidelines [23]. Accordingly, subjects were instructed to inhale maximally to their total lung capacity and immediately exhale against expiratory resistance at a rate of 50 ± 10 mL/s for at least 6 seconds to produce a nitric oxide plateau lasting at least 3 seconds; real time feedback was provided visually on a computer screen. Subjects performed this maneuver while wearing nose clips with at least 30 seconds of rest between each trial [10]. The FENO was recorded as the mean of three exhalations with the individual FENO values within 10% of the mean FENO [23].
Exhaled breath condensate pH

EBC samples were collected with a specially designed condensing chamber (ECoscreen, Jaeger, Hoechberg, Germany) using ATS/ERS guidelines [24]. The EBC protocol required subject to wear nose clips, and to breathe normally through a mouthpiece connected to a non-rebreathing valve, whereby exhaled breath entered a condenser system, which was set at a temperature of -20°C, providing immediate sample freezing. Exhaled breath was collected for 10 min prior to and intervals (1-10 min) following the EVH challenge. The pH of the non-deaerated EBC was measured immediately following collection with an Orion2 star pH meter (Thermo Scientific, Beverly, CA). It has been shown that EBC pH measurements collected by the ECoScreen are repeatable and reproducible [25].

Symptoms, short-acting β2-agonist use and peak flow measurements

Subjects were instructed to rate their asthma symptoms by filling out a symptoms diary every day throughout the study. This diary was adapted from Santanello et al. [26] and contained four questions concerning daytime symptoms with a 7-point scale and one question concerning nighttime symptoms with a 4-point scale. Symptom scores were calculated for each subject by averaging the mean score from each day [26]. Subjects were asked to record their short-acting β2-agonist use (rescue medication) by marking down the number of puffs taken per day throughout the duration of the study in log books provided to them. Electronic peak flow meters (PiKo-1, Ferraris Medical, Louisville, CO, USA) were given to each subject to measure their morning and evening peak expiratory flow throughout the duration of the study. Subjects were instructed to perform the peak flow maneuver by inhaling fully to total lung capacity and then exhale forcefully through the flow meter according to manufacture instructions. Subjects were instructed to record the best of three trials upon waking and before going to bed.

Nutrient intake and compliance

To evaluate nutrient intake during the study, 24-hour dietary recall data were collected for each subject using the nutrition data system for research (NDSR) dietary assessment computer program from the Nutrition Coordinating Center at the University of Minnesota (St. Paul, MN) [27]. Subjects were called by telephone twice during each phase of the study to be interviewed about which food and beverages they consumed in the previous 24-hour period. These telephone calls were made unannounced so that subjects could not change their eating habits in anticipation of the interview. Adherence to the treatment was monitored by asking the subjects to return any unused capsules. For the purpose of the study a compliance of ≥ 90% was considered acceptable [10].

Data Analysis

Data was analyzed with SPSS version 18.0 statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Normality of data was assessed using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and Levene’s test was used to check for homogeneity of variance between groups. A repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze the data (within-subject) at baseline (n=16) and for the single (n=8) and combination treatment (n=16). Mauchley’s test was conducted to determine if sphericity was violated; if it was, the repeated measures ANOVA was corrected using a Greenhouse-Geisser adjustment factor. Pairwise comparisons, with a Bonferroni adjustment (used to maintain an overall type-I error rate of 5%) were used to isolate differences in group means; this was accomplished by dividing the probability value by the number of pairwise comparisons, and thus significance was held at p ≤ 0.017. As a check, differences between the usual diet and the combination treatment values were analyzed using a paired t-test (one-tailed) with a Bonferroni adjustment made to the p-value (p<0.017) to account for the multiple tests, while an unpaired t-test was used to analyze differences between the two single treatment arms. The Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to compare symptom scores and bronchodilator use during the trial. Data are expressed a mean ± SD, and their 95% confidence interval (CI).

A statistical power analysis was used to determine the number of subjects required to show a significant difference between groups. In separate studies, we have previously examined the efficacy of fish oil or ascorbic acid supplementation on airway inflammation and EIB [10,18]. Based on the maximum percent drop in FEV1 following an exercise challenge in these subjects, it was determined, with the aid of the G*Power 3.0.5 program (Universität Kiel, Germany), that a minimum of 7 subjects per group would be needed to show a significant difference between baseline (usual diet) and post-supplementation pulmonary function for a statistical power of 0.80. Since it was hypothesized that the combination treatment would be more effective than either intervention alone, it was expected that fewer subjects would be needed to show a significant difference.

Results

Subjects

Bronchodilator use (average number of puffs/doses taken per day) was significantly reduced on the fish oil diet (0.03 ± 0.08 puffs) compared to the usual diet [0.94 ± 1.2 puffs; p=0.005; (mean difference), 1.0 ± 1.2 puffs; 95% CI, 0.005 to 2.0 puffs], and significantly reduced on the vitamin C diet (0.08 ± 0.18 puffs; 95% CI, 0.12 to 2.2 puffs). In addition, bronchodilator use was significantly reduced on the combination therapy (0.08 ± 0.13 puffs) compared to the usual diet (p<0.004; 0.86 ± 1.2 puffs; 95% CI, 0.214 to 1.497 puffs). However, no significant differences were observed between the usual diet and vitamin C diet (0.09 ± 0.18 puffs), or between the three interventional diets (p>0.017).

A significant improvement in mean asthma symptom scores during the 3 week treatment periods was observed on the fish oil diet (0.81 ± 0.5; p=0.005; Δ, 0.57 ± 0.96; 95% CI, 0.02 to 1.37), vitamin C diet (0.87 ± 0.5; p=0.008; Δ, 1.2 ± 1.3; 95% CI, 0.008 to 2.37) and combination therapy (0.92 ± 0.67; p=0.011; Δ, 0.74 ± 1.3; 95% CI, 0.043 to 1.43)) compared to the usual diet (1.65 ± 0.93). However, there was no significant difference (p>0.017) between mean asthma symptom scores between the three interventional diets.

No significant differences were found in morning or evening peak flow [(morning: 432.6 ± 38.7 L/min; usual diet; 439.6 ± 35.4 L/min, fish oil diet; 443.7 ± 36.7 L/min, vitamin C diet; and 456.2 ± 34.9 L/min, combination therapy) (evening: 437.2 ± 39.7 L/min; usual diet; 454.2 ± 32.5 L/min, fish oil diet; 451.4 ± 36.8 L/min, vitamin C diet; and 443.6 ± 34.1 L/min, combination therapy)].

Pulmonary function and ventilation rate during EVH

There was no significant difference (p>0.017) between groups (usual diet, fish oil supplementation, vitamin C supplementation or combination treatment) in baseline (pre-EVH) pulmonary function (Table 1). The maximum percent fall in post-EVH FEV1, FVC and FEF25-75% as a result of diet are shown in Figures 2-5 respectively.
Prior to the treatment interventions (usual diet), the maximum percent drop in post FEV1, FVC and FEF25-75% was 18.8 ± 5.7%, 11.2 ± 6.6% and 33.6 ± 11.1% respectively. However, there was a significant reduction (p<0.017) compared to the usual diet, in the maximum percent drop in post-EVH FEV1, FVC and FEF25-75% to 9.7 ± 5.4% (p<0.017), compared to the usual diet, in the maximum percent drop in post-EVH FEV1, FVC and FEF25-75% to 9.7 ± 5.4% (p=0.001; Δ, -6.6 ± 6.8%; 95% CI, -12.7 to -0.7%) and to 18.3 ± 9.8% (p=0.005; Δ, -10.6 ± 8.6%; 95% CI, -17.8 to -3.4%) respectively on the fish oil diet, to 10.5 ± 10.2% (p=0.016; Δ, -9.8 ± 10.5%; 95% CI, -18.6 to -1.1), to 5.1 ± 4.3% (p=0.014); Δ, 6.3 ± 6.5%; 95% CI, -11.7 to 0.9%) and to 18.2 ± 13.1% (p=0.015; Δ, -20.1 ± 21.0%; 95% CI, -37.7 to -2.5%) respectively on the vitamin C diet, to 10.7 ± 9.3% (p=0.007; Δ, -8.1 ± 11.7%; 95% CI, -14.4 to -1.9), to 4.1 ± 3.1% (p=0.0006; Δ, -7.1 ± 7.2%; 95% CI, -10.9 to -3.3%) and to 20.1 ± 14.1% (p=0.006; Δ, -13.5 ± 18.8%; 95% CI, -23.5 to -3.5%) respectively on the combination treatment. However, there was no significant difference (p>0.017) in the maximum percent drop in post-EVH FEV1, FVC and FEF25-75% between the treatment groups.

The bronchoconstrictor response to EVH as determined by the AUC0-20 for FEV1 was significantly greater (p<0.017) for pre-treatment (usual diet) (352.8 ± 42.4) compared with the fish oil diet (227.3 ± 39.6; min; p=0.002; 126.0 ± 38.7; min; 95% CI, 98.4 to 176.4; min), vitamin C diet (247.5 ± 43.6; min; p=0.001; Δ, 105 ± 41.7; min; 95% CI, 74.6 to 147.8; min), and combination treatment (218.4 ± 48.9; min; p=0.004; Δ, 134.1 ± 43.9; min; 95% CI, 102.6 to 184.7; min). However, no significant difference (p>0.017) between the three post-treatment groups was observed for AUC0-20 post-EVH FEV1. Minute ventilation during each of the four EVH trials did not significantly differ (p>0.05) between diet [usual diet: 81.1 ± 10.6 L/min (61.1 ± 10.9% MVV); fish oil diet: 83.4 ± 12.5 L/min (62.7 ± 11.0% MVV); Vitamin C diet: 86.1 ± 12.2 L/min (64.8 ± 6.5% MVV); Combination treatment: 79.2 ± 11.7 L/min (60.2 ± 11.5% MVV)].

Definitions of abbreviations: FVC, forced vital capacity; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1-s; FEF25-75%, forced expiratory flow at 25-75% of FVC. Values reported are mean ± SD. There was no significant difference (p>0.017) for pulmonary function values between diets.
Exhaled breath condensate pH and fraction of exhaled nitric oxide

There was no significant difference (p>0.017) between the dietary groups for pre-EVH EBC pH. However, there was a significant difference between the pre- and post-EVH EBC pH values for the usual diet (p=0.009; Δ, + 12.8 ± 32.2; 95% CI, 30.6 to 51.1), fish oil diet (p=0.003; Δ, -19.1 ± 33.3; 95% CI, -4.7 to -47.0), vitamin C diet (p=0.006; Δ, -30.7 ± 27.9; 95% CI, -4.8 to -56.5), and combination treatment (p=0.002; Δ, -19.7 ± 24.6; 95% CI, -6.0 to -33.3) (Figure 5). Furthermore, there was a significant difference (p<0.017) between the usual diet post-EVH FENO and the post-EVH FENO for the fish oil diet (p=0.009; Δ, 22.5 ± 49.1; 95% CI, -12.1 to -63.5), vitamin C diet (p=0.011; Δ, -43.8 ± 44.6; 95% CI, -2.6 to -85.1), and combination treatment (p=0.005; Δ, -31.2 ± 46.0; 95% CI, -5.7 to -56.7). No significant differences (p>0.017) were observed for post-EVH FENO values between the fish oil diet, vitamin C diet and combination treatment.

Nutrient intake and compliance

Subject compliance to the treatments was assured by finding that pill counts conducted at the end of each treatment period reflected that capsules were consumed on a regular basis. Compliance as estimated from return-tablet count was high (median, 99%). Whilst the usual diet was expected to vary between subjects, mean daily nutrient intake [i.e. total carbohydrate (g), total protein (g), total dietary fiber (g), vitamin C (mg) vitamin E (mg), lycopene (mcg), arachidonic acid (g), EPA (g), DHA (g), linoleic acid (g), linolenic acid (g) sodium (mg), zinc (mg), selenium (mcg), and caffeine (mg)] of the subject’s diet did not significantly differ (p=0.05) during the course of the study between the treatment regimens.

Discussion

To our knowledge this is the first study to investigate the effectiveness of a “polypill approach” (several nutrients in combination) versus a single nutrient approach on airway inflammation and HIB in asthmatic individuals. The present study has shown that a diet supplemented with fish oil or vitamin C were both effective in attenuating the bronchoconstrictor response to dry gas hyperpnea and airway inflammation in asthmatic patients. However, when these two nutrients were combined no further reduction in HIB or airway inflammation was observed. All three treatments significantly reduced short-acting β2-agonist use and asthma symptoms, reduced airway hyperresponsiveness as evidenced by a reduction in FEV1, FVC and FEF25-75% post-EVH, and reduced the severity of HIB as measured by the AUC0-20. Fish oil and vitamin C supplementation taken in isolation, and combined, significantly reduced the maximum fall in post-EVH FEV1 by approximately 48.3%, 43.5% and 43.3% respectively, by approximately 57.9%, 54.1% and 63.7% respectively for FVC, and by 45.5%, 45.8% and 40.2% respectively for FEF25-75%. The degree of protection provided by the fish oil supplementation in the present study is similar in magnitude to a previous study from our group [10] that demonstrated that 3 weeks of fish oil, rich in the omega-3 fatty acids eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), reduced the maximum drop in post-EVH FEV1 by approximately 49% in asthmatic subjects with HIB. The protective effect of fish oil supplementation on the bronchoconstrictor response in the present study is also similar in magnitude to a recent study [9] that demonstrated that a patented extract of stabilized lipids from the New Zealand Green Lipped Mussel, P. canaliculus, which contains up to 91 fatty acids including EPA and DHA [28], reduced the maximum fall in post-EVH FEV1 by approximately 57%. In addition, in the present study, the degree of protection provided by the vitamin C supplementation on the post-EVH FEV1 (~43.5%) is similar in
magnitude to a previous report from our group [18] that showed approximately a 50% improvement in post-exercise FEV1 in asthmatic subjects following 2 weeks of vitamin C supplementation.

Airway pH is an important determining factor of the FENO concentration post-EVH indicating attenuation in airway inflammation. Previous studies have shown that FENO can be used as an indirect marker of asthmatic airway inflammation [31], and have shown a relationship between FENO levels and EIB [32]. Previous works by Schubert et al. [33] and our group [9,10] has shown that omega-3 fatty acid supplementation can reduce FENO levels in patients with atopic asthma and HIB respectively. Likewise, we have previously shown [18] that 2 weeks of 1500 mg/day of vitamin C supplementation can mitigate FENO levels in asthmatic subjects with EIB.

EPA and DHA can both influence inflammatory signaling by causing dual inhibition of the cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) and 5-lipoxygenase (5-LO) pathways for metabolism of arachidonic acid (AA). It has been shown that 5-LO product formation in the lung capillary bed is critically dependent on intravascular precursor fatty acid supply, with EPA representing the preferred substrate compared with AA [34]. EPA can inhibit release of AA derived eicosanoids, such as pro-inflammatory 4-series leukotrienes (LTs) and 2-series prostaglandins (PGs), and cytokines from airway inflammatory cells [35], and be metabolized to the less potent 5-series LTs and 3-series PGs [34]. Eosinophil’s, mast cells, basophils, and alveolar macrophages have all been shown to directly synthesize the 4-series Cysteinyl-LTs, which can increase vascular permeability and contract smooth muscle cells, causing bronchoconstriction, and may directly increase eosinophilic airway inflammation [7]. Although DHA may have similar anti-inflammatory effects as EPA, it does not act by direct competition with AA. DHA can decrease the release of AA from membrane phospholipids by decreasing phospholipase A2 activity [36], and decreasing the responsiveness of toll-like receptor-4 to LPS, thereby suppressing nuclear factor-kappaB activation and subsequent inflammatory gene transcription [37].

Along with their direct effect on AA metabolism, EPA, DHA and AA can be transformed into a variety of inflammatory mediators, termed oxylipins, and which involve a number of enzymatic pathways, including 5-, 12-, and 15-LO, COX-2, cytochrome P450 and soluble epoxide hydrolase. EPA is a precursor to the E-series of inflammatory resolution phase products (resolvins), which have potent anti-inflammatory properties, with RvE1 having beneficial effects in a murine model of asthma [38,39]. The anti-inflammatory DHA-derived lipid mediators include the D-series resolvins (RvD1-4), docosatrienes and protections [13]. Levy et al. [40] have shown that injecting mice with protectin D1 (PD1) decreased airway hyperresponsiveness, and that adding DHA to homogenized murine lung tissue ex-vivo yields a significant increase in PD1 concentration. In addition, Levy et al. [40] demonstrated that during a human asthma attack patients had significantly lower levels of PD1 in EBC compared to healthy individuals.

Therefore, it appears that increasing membrane EPA and DHA levels will provide an anti-inflammatory benefit in asthma, although each fatty acid may act via different metabolic pathways. The majority of studies investigating the effects of omega-3 fatty acids on asthma/ EIB have either employed fish oils rich in EPA or oils which contain a heterogeneous blend of EPA and DHA. However, we have shown [41] that EPA has a far greater effect than DHA in decreasing the production of pro-inflammatory mediators from human asthmatic alveolar macrophages treated ex vivo, and those 3 weeks of 4.0 g/day of DHA supplementation has no effect on airway inflammation or HIB in asthmatic patients [42]. Interestingly, Schuster et al. [43] recently showed that, in a murine model of asthma, a diet enriched with DHA increased airway hyperresponsiveness and airway inflammation compared with a diet enriched with both EPA and DHA.

We have previously shown in elite athletes with EIB [8] that 3 weeks of fish oil supplementation (3.2 g EPA and 2.0 g DHA per day) increased tissue phospholipid omega-3 fatty acid concentration, and with a significant suppression of urinary and blood eicosanoids (LTE4, 9a,11β-PGF2, and LTB4, respectively) and pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF-α and IL-1β). In a follow-up study [7] we found that sputum differential eosinophil, neutrophil, lymphocyte and macrophage cell counts and sputum supernatant concentrations of pro-inflammatory eicosanoids (LT4-LTe4 and PGD2), and cytokines (TNF-α, IL-1β) were significantly attenuated, and LTB5 generated from activated polymorphonuclear leukocytes increased, on a 3 week fish oil diet in asthmatic subjects with EIB. In addition, we have shown [10] that 3 weeks of fish oil supplementation can reduce EBC concentrations of cysteinyl-LTs and urinary 9a,11β-PGF2 in asthmatic patients with HIB.

The data from the present study and our previous observations [7,8,10,41] strongly suggest that dietary supplementation with fish oil can suppress airway inflammation in asthmatic subjects, and elite athletes, with EIB and HIB. Of interest, Williams et al. [44] recently published preliminary data, in abstract form, demonstrating that a low) dose 1.8 g EPA and 1.3 g DHA was as effective as a (double) dose of 3.7 g EPA and 2.5 g DHA given daily for 3 weeks in attenuating the bronchoconstrictor response to an EVH challenge in EIB subjects.

Previous studies have indicated that there may be a connection between vitamin C in the diet and asthma. It has been established that asthmatics tend to have lower serum antioxidants, including vitamin C, and that low vitamin C intake can be associated with asthma severity [6,45], which suggest that asthmatics may have an antioxidant deficiency and/or increased oxidative stress that requires higher levels of reactive oxygen/nitrogen species (ROS/RNS) scavenging antioxidants. In asthmatic, cytokines released from activated inflammatory cells can initiate ROS/RNS generation by pulmonary macrophages, interstitial cells and leukocytes infiltrating lung tissue [46]. Excess ROS/RNS may overwhelm antioxidant defense, and consequently lead to bronchoconstrictor mediators responsible for EIB. The genes for these inflammatory mediators are regulated by redox-sensitive transcription factors nuclear factor (NF) – kappa (κ) B and activator protein (AP)-1 [47]. NF-κB has been shown to up-regulate the gene for iNOS resulting in increased FENO [48], and has been implicated in the up-regulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines, and the release of pro-inflammatory eicosanoids, such as cysteinyl-LTs.
and prostaglandins [48]. Significantly, it has been demonstrated that vitamin C blocks TNF-α-mediated activation of NF-κB [49].

It has been shown in one study [50] that vitamin C supplementation may have a modest corticosteroid sparing effect in asthmatic patients, and in other studies a decreased bronchial reactivity to methacholine following ascorbic acid supplementation has been observed [51,52]. However, not all studies support these findings in asthmatic patients, with no beneficial effect of ascorbic acid supplementation observed in asthmatics as assessed by spirometry [53], no acute bronchodilator effect following a histamine challenge 60 min after ascorbic acid supplementation [54], no change in spirometry, airway conductance and antigen-induced bronchospasm following 7 days of supplemental ascorbic acid [55], and no beneficial effect of 16 weeks of ascorbic acid supplementation on pulmonary function, airway responsiveness to methacholine, morning and evening peak flow rates, symptoms scores and bronchodilator use [56]. Indeed, a recent meta-analysis [16] recently concluded that there was not enough evidence to provide a robust assessment on the use of ascorbic acid in the management of asthma. To date, three placebo-controlled interventional studies investigating the effects of vitamin C on EIB have been published [18,57,58], and the pooled relative effect estimate of these three studies indicates a 48% reduction (95% CI, 33% to 64%) in the post-exercise FEV1 following vitamin C supplementation compared to placebo. Thus, it seems plausible that antioxidant supplementation could be effective in reducing the effects of this disease, and there is evidence that vitamin C plays a role in lung function. Vitamin C deficiency has been shown to increase the level of the bronchoconstrictor PGE2 [59]. Indomethacin has been shown to antagonize the effect of vitamin C on methacholine-induced bronchoconstriction in humans [60]. Thus, the effects of vitamin C may be partially mediated by changes in PG metabolism. We have previously shown [18] that 2 weeks of vitamin C supplementation (1.5 g/day) can reduce post-exercise FENO and urinary LTC4-E4 and 9α, 11β-PGF2 concentration, which are both potent bronchoconstrictors.

Many intervention studies in asthma, EIB and HIB have focused on single nutrient supplements, when it is plausible that a combination of nutritional supplements may prove to have a more protective effect. This hypothesis is supported by studies showing protection against ozone-induced bronchoconstriction by a combination of vitamins C and E compared to placebo in adult asthmatics [61], and by a combination of vitamins C, E and β-carotene in non-asthmatics [62]. These two studies [61,62] did not include single nutrient groups, for comparative purposes, and so it is not clear whether the effect is due to the combination of nutrients. However, Biltagi et al. [63] have shown that a combination of omega-3 fatty acids, vitamin C and zinc supplementation given for 6 weeks to 60 children with moderate persistent asthma, was more effective than either intervention alone in improving lung function and reducing sputum LTC4-E4 levels and inflammatory cell counts. To our knowledge the present study is the first to compare the single use of either omega-3 fatty acids or vitamin C to the combination of these supplements. Although both omega-3 fatty acids and vitamin C alone significantly attenuated airway inflammation, the combined treatment of these two nutrients did not provide a greater anti-inflammatory effect or suppression of bronchoconstriction that either treatment alone. This observation runs contrary to our hypotheses that the combination of these two nutrients, compared to each nutrient in isolation, would confer greater protection against airway inflammation and HIB in asthma. Without the measurement of relevant oxidative stress and inflammatory markers in exhaled breath it is difficult to pinpoint the reason as to why we saw no additional benefit with the combination treatment. However, a possible explanation for this observation may relate to the fact that while fish oil results in a decreased capacity of inflammatory cells to synthesize COX-2 and 5-LO-derived pro-inflammatory eicosanoids [64,65] via inhibition of the transcription factor NF-κ B, vitamin C has also been shown to modulate the activation of NF-κ B, and the subsequent release of pro-inflammatory mediators [49]. Therefore, it is plausible that either the dose of fish oil or vitamin C was optimal, such that when these nutrients were combined no additional effects on airway inflammation and pulmonary function were possible. It should be noted that since we have previously shown that 3.2 g/day EPA, ~ 2.0 g/day DHA and 1500 mg/day ascorbic acid are effective in reducing airway inflammation and the severity of EIB/HIB in elite athletes and asthmatic patients [7,8,10,18], it was decided to use these supplement dosages in the present study.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that both fish oil and vitamin C supplementation are both effective in ameliorating airway inflammation and HIB in asthmatic individuals, while treatment with fish oil and vitamin C in combination does not provide a greater anti-inflammatory effect or suppression in bronchoconstriction than either intervention alone. It is important to recognize that the subjects in the present study were asthmatics with documented HIB, and therefore the conclusions drawn from this small proof-of-concept study should not be generalized to all individuals with asthma.
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