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Abstract
This study investigates the underlying factors contributing to the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) adoption in Nigeria. The diversity of responses to IFRS adoption is a phenomenon that requires empirical investigation to understand the reasons why some companies adopt IFRS other do not. Previous studies have investigated preparers of financial statements’ compliance with IFRS. However, there is a dearth of research on the influence of cultural factors on IFRS adoption. Little has heretofore been done to examine cultural variables as determinants of IFRS adoption. This study applies a self-administered survey instrument to elicit data from four major cities in Nigeria. The analysis involves applied logistic regression to estimate the relationship between the covariates and the companies’ decisions to adopt IFRS. The results indicate companies’ professionalism, transparency, flexibility, secrecy, uniformity and statutory control are significant factors impacting IFRS adoption at different magnitudes. For example, a company that considers IFRS will increase the level of financial statements transparency is more likely to maintain some levels of secrecy. The study identifies that IFRS adoption can only be successful when accountants develop the relevant technical expertise in IFRS requirements prior to the implementation. Consequently, there is a need for more practical training in IFRS accounting valuation, recognition, measurement and disclosure of financial information to users of financial statements.
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Introduction
Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) became an important topic of interest after 1973 when variation in accounting practices and financial information asymmetry became sources of concern for accounting professionals and investors [1]. The concern grew as the globalisation of businesses continually evolved. Following the European Union (EU) implementation of IFRS, other countries such as Australia, New Zealand, Canada, South Africa and Nigeria have made changes to the Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAPs). However, accounting researchers are concerned whether the implementation of IFRS, a product of a monopolistic accounting standard setter is the right decision towards a global accounting practice, since accounting is related to culture and culture is dynamic across jurisdictions and within countries [2-4].

In 2010, the Financial Reporting Council of Nigeria (FRC) implemented IFRS for all publicly accountable companies [5]. The IFRS requirements led to the variations in the responses to the changes in accounting standards. Some companies adopted the IFRS while other did not. Consequently, some listed companies were deleted from the Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE) due to non-compliance with the NSE X-compliance initiative. The X-compliance report is the NSE initiative to ensure that listed companies comply with the requirements for listing on the stock exchange, including compliance with the accounting standards [6].

Non-listed companies were also required to adopt IFRS effective from January 2013 [7]. The requirement for non-listed companies in Nigeria to adopt IFRS is one of the uncommon accounting regulations among the IFRS jurisdictions. However, IFRS can be adopted for different purposes, especially for companies that aim to be listed on an international stock exchange [8-10].

International Financial Reporting Standards implementation in Nigeria resulted in two outcomes, namely IFRS adoption and non-adoption. The dichotomous reaction to IFRS adoption in Nigeria is contrary to the FRC purpose of implementing IFRS. In other words, IFRS were implemented to bring economic benefits to companies in Nigeria. The non-compliance with IFRS adoption led to the FRC warnings of sanctions, deletion of companies from the NSE and, as an extreme measure, deregistration from the Registrar of Companies in Nigeria.

However, the factors that influence the variability in the decisions to adopt IFRS are not well understood. Gray [3] discussed the influence of cultural factors on accounting practice. Borker [2] also suggests that cultural factors are determinants of IFRS adoption. There is a dearth of knowledge on the extent to which these cultural variables influence companies’ decisions to adopt IFRS.

This study aims to identify the significance of cultural factors on IFRS adoption among publicly accountable companies in Nigeria. The findings are discussed with reference to the impact of culture on the accounting system. This research is expected to contribute to our understanding of the factors inhibiting or enhancing IFRS adoption. The sections that follow include the theoretical background, followed by the data and methodology in section 5, the empirical results in section 6 and the conclusion and implications of the study in section 7.
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Theoretical Background

International accounting standards have been inductively and deductively researched by different scholars. In some cases, inductive and deductive research approaches were combined to investigate the factors contributing to international accounting standards adoption and the heterogeneous responses to changes in accounting systems [11].

A number of theories address how companies respond to changes in accounting systems. For example, agency theory illustrates how company management selects and applies accounting standards to the managers’ advantage, as opposed to providers of capital, stemming from the underlying benefits in management performance and compensation agreements. Unlike agency theory, legitimacy theory “is a generalised perception or assumption that the actions of an entity are desirable, proper, or appropriate within socially constructed norms, values, beliefs, and definitions” [12]. Legitimacy theory elucidates how preparers of financial statements apply accounting standards to provide quality financial statements that represent the economic reality for stakeholders’ financial information.

Other theories, such as positive and normative accounting theories which explain preparers of financial statements reactions to changes in accounting policies, are somewhat confined to cultural theory [2,11]. Borker demonstrated how cultural theory dimensions can explain the success or failure of IFRS adoption in different countries [13]. For example, Borker [13] explained that a financial reporting environment with a professional accounting orientation will be more successful in IFRS adoption than countries with statutory control [2].

The following sections explain the links between cultural theory and companies’ responses to changes in the accounting system.

Linking cultural theory with IFRS adoption


The Hofstede cultural dimensions were developed from the “eclectic analysis of data, based on theoretical reasoning and correlation analysis” of survey data [14]. Cultural orientation was illustrated from 116,000 questionnaires from seventy countries with over 60,000 respondents. Four dimensions were developed and assigned to each of the countries, linking the dimensions with the demographic, geographic, economic and political factors of a country [15].

Gray [3] uses Hofstede dimensions (Figure 1) to illustrate how the national environment can influence accounting systems. Gray extends Hofstede model to investigate the relationship between culture and accounting system. Gray developed four accounting value dimensions using accounting literature and theoretical reasoning. Gray discussed the impact of national culture on accounting practices and the type of accounting system that may exist in different national environments as illustrated in Figure 2. Gray [3] identified professionalism, statutory control, conservatism, optimism, secrecy, transparency, uniformity and flexibility as determinants of accounting systems.

Borker [2] suggested that Gray cultural dimensions can be used as a baseline to investigate the factors contributing to IFRS adoption among companies. This indicates a company accounting orientation can be identified and compared to the IFRS profile to understand the likelihood of IFRS adoption. The relationship between the companies’ cultural factors and IFRS adoption are discussed in the following sections (Figure 2).

Professionalism versus statutory control: Professionalism versus
statutory control indicates the use of professional judgment to determine accounting values rather than compliance with strict statutory prescriptions. In other words, this dimension has to do with a culture that uses self-judgement to determine accounting values versus a culture that prefers statutory prescription for accounting practice [2,3]. It is widely believed to be the motive for developing IFRS such that preparers of financial statements are able to use their professional knowledge of accounting to determine what accounting values are [8,11,16]. The professionalism versus statutory control view is logical and consistent with Pricope [17] identification of a hypothesis to empirically test impact of the relationship between professionalism and statutory control on IFRS adoption.

**H1:** The higher a company ranks in terms of professionalism and the lower it ranks in terms of statutory control, the more highly it will rank in terms of IFRS adoption.

**Conservatism versus optimism:** Conservatism versus optimism explains the cautious behaviour of financial statement preparers in accounting measurement due to unknown future consequences. This is consistent with an earlier study by Watts and Zimmerman [18] about political cost and fear of utility cost of assets. This dimension was found to be strongly significant with Hofstede [14] uncertainty avoidance. In an environment of uncertainty where the future is difficult to predict, conscious measurement and reporting of accounting values follow the conservative dimension of a company’s accounting system [3,19]. In contrast, optimism about future economic benefits associated with complying with certain accounting standards could lead to positive decisions on a company’s compliance. Following the link between conservatism versus optimism and accounting systems, it is important to examine the empirical relationship between these variables and IFRS adoption. Therefore, these cultural dimensions are tested as follows:

**H2:** The higher a company ranks in terms of optimism and the lower it ranks in terms of conservatism the more highly it will rank in terms of IFRS adoption.

**Secrecy versus transparency:** Secrecy versus transparency explains the confidentiality in accounting disclosure as opposed to transparency. The motivations for this behaviour are best explained in the Watts and Zimmerman [18] study on the increasing and decreasing behaviour of accountants for higher or lower accounting ratios and profit signalling.

One of the reasons IFRS were implemented in Nigeria was to ensure transparency in the financial statements. This is intended to give investors the confidence on the reliability of the financial statements from Nigerian companies [7]. Given the level of economic development in Nigeria and possible political interference in the accounting system, it is assumed that the presence of secrecy would be expected in this environment [20-22]. Considering these assumptions, it is reasonable to expect that secrecy and transparency to impact companies IFRS adoption. Therefore, the influence of secrecy and transparency on IFRS adoption is tested as follows:

**H3:** The higher a company ranks in terms of transparency and the lower it ranks in terms of secrecy the more highly it will rank in terms of IFRS adoption.

**Uniformity versus flexibility:** Uniformity versus flexibility explains a preference for uniform accounting standards between companies and the application of prescribed accounting standards over time as opposed to flexibility. Flexibility is a preference for the application of accounting standards on an individual basis according to the circumstances of the financial transactions. If companies in Nigeria, resist IFRS adoption that ensures flexibility in terms of recognition, measurement and disclosure, a uniform accounting system is therefore expected to be the best accounting practice among Nigerian companies. This study empirically tests the extent uniformity and flexibility impacts companies IFRS adoption as follows:
H4: The higher a company ranks in terms of flexibility and the lower it ranks in terms of uniformity the more highly it will rank in terms of IFRS adoption.

Methodology and Data

The sampling frame covered companies in Nigeria. A random sample was applied to select publicly accountable companies. The sample included listed and non-listed companies.

The total listed companies in Nigeria as of September 2013 were 202 (International Monetary Fund, 2013) [23]. However, the exact number of non-listed companies that are active in Nigeria could not be determined accurately. Therefore, the unknown number of registered companies in Nigeria made it impossible to determine the population of non-listed companies. Owing to the practical difficulties in determining the sample size for the study, Anderson et al. [24] and Cochran [25] sample estimation technique was applied to estimate the minimum number of companies required to complete the questionnaires. The questionnaires were completed by the companies’ Chief Financial Officers (CFO) and senior accounting staff responsible for the accounting systems.

Names and addresses of listed companies were extracted from the NSE website. The names of non-listed companies were obtained from the Directorate of Accounting Standards for the Private Sector at the FRC head office in Ikeja, Lagos Nigeria. The survey was conducted from November 2014 to January 2015.

The companies’ cultural traits questions consisted of five-point Likert scales (1 strongly disagree and 5 strongly agree) while multiple choice questions were used to elicit data about the companies’ characteristics based on previous studies [26–28].

Some previous studies such as Shiab [29], Barde [30], Clements et al. [31], Zakari [32] and Faraj and Firjani [33] found company size, ownership structure, audit type and company listing status to influence IFRS adoption. Thus, these factors apply to this model as control variables. The independent and control variables including the priori signs are presented in Table 1.

Empirical model

The study utilised logistic regression analysis. The discrete dependent variable IFRS_Adop measured whether a company adopted IFRS or had not adopted IFRS. The model dependent variable was based on the question asked in the questionnaire: Has your company complied with IFRS adoption? The model of the company’s decision to adopt can be implicitly written under the general form:

\[
\Pr (\text{IFRS adoption}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}
\]

Where

\[z = \beta_0 + \beta_1 FLEX + \beta_2 UNIF + \beta_3 Sec + \beta_4 OPT + \beta_5 PROF + \beta_6 STAT + \beta_7 \text{Auditor Type} + \epsilon
\]

An Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) was utilised to extract similar factors and scale down a large number of items and the scores of the items representing each construct were then averaged. Following this, we used the mean values of the variables in the logistic regression analysis.

Empirical Results

The empirical model on how company’s cultural factors impact IFRS adoption is based on eight factors solution. These include professionalism, statutory control, optimism, conservatism, transparency, secrecy, flexibility and uniformity obtained from the EFA. The results include the maximum likelihood (ML) estimates, t-values, the marginal effects and summary statistics of the model.

The descriptive statistics of the variables used in the model are shown in Table 2. On an average, each mean in the summated scale variables is between 3 and 4 while the control variables are between 0 and 0.5.

The Pearson R coefficients are presented in Table 3. The Pearson R coefficients appear to exhibit positive correlations between IFRS adoption and flexibility, professionalism, audit type, and companies listing status. Contrary to the study hypotheses, the correlation matrix indicates negative correlations between transparency, ownership structure, optimism and IFRS adoption. It is expected these factors would have positive relationships with IFRS adoption. However, the results indicate otherwise. Other factors included in the study are consistent with the a priori description of the cultural factors.

The logit results presented in Table 4 identified the cultural factors influence on decisions to adopt IFRS among the Nigerian listed and non-listed companies. The significant factors include FLEX, TRANS, STAT_CON, PROF, UNIF and SEC. Further, only the AUD_TYP and OWN_TYP are statistically significant among the control variables.

Flexibility and uniformity dimension

Table 4 shows the flexibility (FLEX) coefficient is positive and statistically significant at 1 percent level. This implies that the more flexible a company’s accounting system is, in relation to transactional activities and financial reporting, the greater the probability that the company will adopt IFRS. Consequently, companies that have accounting systems that are less flexible will consider IFRS non-adoptable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Priori Sign</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FLEX</td>
<td>Flexibility (summed scale)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANS</td>
<td>Transparency (summed scale)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPT</td>
<td>Optimism (summed scale)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROF</td>
<td>Professionalism (summed scale)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIF</td>
<td>Uniformity (summed scale)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONS</td>
<td>Conservatism (summed scale)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC</td>
<td>Secrecy (summed scale)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUD_TYP</td>
<td>Auditor Type (If the company external auditor is international, 0 otherwise)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COM_SIZE</td>
<td>Company Size (1 if the number of shareholders is greater than 10, 0 otherwise (less than 10 shareholders))</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COM_LIS_STA</td>
<td>Company Listing Status (1 if the company is listed, 0 otherwise)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWN_TYP</td>
<td>Ownership (1 if the company is a non-family owned company, 0 otherwise)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\epsilon)</td>
<td>Error term</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Definition of the variables (for the logit model).
Souza et al. [34] state that the flexibility in IFRS policies impacts IFRS adoption. However, flexibility in accounting practice could be a motivating factor for some companies, while a prohibitive factor to others that have experiences in uniform accounting practice. This is most likely when the companies have conservatively applied uniform accounting standards prior to IFRS adoption [35].

In terms of the extent of the flexibility factor that impact on IFRS adoption, the result indicates a unit change in the company's flexibility in accounting practice will most likely increase IFRS adoption by 3.9 percent (see Table 4). Flexibility in accounting practice implies applying a particular accounting measurement method in a given situation related to the objective of the financial report instead of following a
prescribed method that does not allow changes in financial reporting. Alternatively, flexibility factor can be explained in terms of applying discretionary recognition, measurement and disclosure in financial reporting [36,37].

There is no doubt accounting practices are determined by organisational culture [38], but the development of IFRS in the globalisation of accounting practices suggest a change from uniform to flexible approach in financial reporting [39]. The Nigerian accounting system has been practiced as a uniform financial reporting system where the policies and procedures are strictly followed. Variability in financial reporting based on the differences in financial transactions appears to be given secondary consideration.

The Uniformity (UNIF) coefficient in accounting practice is negative and significant at the 1 percent level. The results show that a unit increase in the companies’ strictly standardised accounting system, has the likelihood to decrease the level of IFRS adoption by 2 percent. Uniformity in accounting is one of the companies’ cultural factors contributing to IFRS non-adoption. The impact of uniformity is significant and consists of -0.020 marginal effect.

Uniformity in accounting practice can be interpreted in two ways i.e., from relatively strict inter-company to inter-temporal uniformity [3]. The interpretation of the uniformity effects on IFRS adoption is based on the Gray Framework. Under uniformity, accounting practice is perceived to be uniform across the companies being regulated in the same business environment. The result shows the contrast between the companies’ attitude for strict control in their accounting practices and companies’ discretion in recognition, measurement and accounting disclosure. This finding is consistent with a previous study where uniformity in accounting practice was found to be associated with IFRS non-adoption. For example, Salewski and Zülch [40] reported that German listed companies were challenged by the lack of uniformity in IAS 19. The companies were found to be resistant to changes from the GAAP accounting regime to IFRS.

There is support for uniform accounting system in eliminating the “moral hazard problem” in financial reporting [36,41]. The lack of value relevance of financial statements from uniform accounting practice shows the need to change from uniform to more qualitative accounting system. Consequently, accounting value should be determined based on situational analysis of financial transactions [42]. Such analysis which is part of IFRS orientation poses a challenge to companies with limited familiarity with qualitative analysis and inadequate analytic tools to determine accounting values in some business environments such as Nigeria.

**Transparency versus secrecy dimension**

The transparency (TRANS) coefficient is negative and significant at the 5 percent level suggesting that the more transparent the IFRS financial statements, the greater the likelihood companies will not adopt IFRS. Transparency in financial reporting implies the companies’ willingness to disclose their accounting transactions to the users of financial information (Table 4).

The logit results show that transparency in financial reporting has a negative association with IFRS adoption. The impact of transparency is relatively high. Increase in IFRS financial statements level of transparency appears to decrease the likelihood of IFRS adoption.

The direction of the a priori hypothesis sign for transparency is different from the estimated result which suggests that the companies are concerned about the level of transparency in their financial statements. While it would be expected that transparency factor would positively influence financial statements preparers’ decisions to adopt IFRS, the finding from this study indicates otherwise [2,3,13,37,43,44].

For example, Gray [3] found that preparers of financial statements are more open and publicly accountable about their financial information in some business environments than others. In that case, transparency should determine the likelihood of adopting different types of accounting standards. Borker [13] also states that IFRS adoption “increases stability, stewardship, accountability and transparency at both the company and government institutional level”. According to this view, companies are more likely to adopt IFRS because of the perception that IFRS enhances transparency in financial reporting. However, the negative correlation and significance of transparency indicates that the companies in this study are less transparent, less open and have a weaker publicly accountable approach which is different from IFRS profile [13]. The finding also differs from Tsalavoutas and Dionysiou [44] which reported that IFRS adoption is associated with companies level of transparency, meaning that non-adopters are less likely to be transparent in their financial reporting.

One of the reasons for IFRS adoption in Nigeria is the perception that IFRS increases financial reporting transparency [7,45–47]. In the former Central Bank of Nigeria report on IFRS implementation, it was stated that IFRS adoption is “expected to enhance market discipline and reduce uncertainties which limit the risk of unwarranted contagion” [48]. However, the implementation of IFRS for the purpose of transparency in financial reporting is a significant challenge to Nigerian companies due to the individualism orientation of the business environment as classified in Hofstede [49].

The negative significance of transparency in the model appears to confirm the culture of secrecy in commercial transactions exhibited by preparers of financial statements [50]. Adoption of IFRS would thus pose a significant challenge to Nigerian companies.

The secrecy (SEC) coefficient is negative and significant at the 1 percent level. The result implies that the greater the companies are concerned about disclosure of financial information to stakeholders, the less likelihood they will adopt IFRS.

Secrecy involves companies maintaining strict confidentiality of their financial information. It can vary depending on the ownership of the companies and the management system which is similarly linked to concerns about political cost, labour union agitation and contractual covenants [19,51,52].

Vashishtha [53] found that companies are more interested in secrecy and maintain some reservation in adopting certain accounting standards when a violation of contractual covenants occurs. Further, an earlier study by Wallace [54] reported how management type determines whether a company maintains secrecy in financial statements and how the Nigerian socioeconomic environment encourages such practice owing to the weak legal system.

The result indicates that a change in the companies’ secrecy in disclosing financial information will change the likelihood that the companies will not adopt IFRS. The significance of the secrecy coefficient in this study can be interpreted as “a preference for confidentiality and the restriction of disclosure of financial information to those who are closely involved with its management as opposed to a more transparent, open, and publicly accountable approach” [55]. The
The challenges faced in IFRS adoption by countries classified as highly professional in Hofstede have minimal impact on IFRS adoption [26,66,67]. However, the correlation between professionalism and IFRS adoption in the empirical result is consistent with other studies, where professionalism is found to be associated with IFRS adoption [56,65,68]. For example, Houge et al. [65] found a positive relationship between professionalism and IFRS adoption. This was attributed to consultancy services by the Big 4 audit firms that exhibit professionalism in determining what constitutes accounting values.

**Optimism versus conservatism dimension**

The optimism (OPT) coefficient is insignificant, meaning that there is no sufficient evidence to conclude there is a relationship between the companies’ optimism about future business opportunities and IFRS adoption (Table 4). The optimism of control (CONS) coefficient is insignificant, meaning that there is no sufficient evidence to conclude there is a relationship between perceived control and IFRS adoption. This is based on the negative coefficients of the conservatism factor.

The companies’ ownership (OWN_TYP) coefficient is positive and significant at the 1 percent level. The result indicates that non-family owned companies are more likely to adopt IFRS. Under ownership structure and IFRS adoption, it was discussed in Chen and Nowland [69] that companies that are not family owned are more likely to adopt IFRS. Further, the findings suggest new directions for international accounting researchers drawing from the negative coefficients of the conservatism factor.

**Implication and Conclusion**

Our study examined the effects of organisational culture on IFRS adoption among Nigerian companies. We empirically investigated the relationship between companies’ willingness to adopt IFRS and cultural factors, consistent with Gray and Borker [3,13]. The study also includes companies’ characteristics in predicting the likelihood of IFRS adoption.

The results show that cultural factors and corporate characteristics are important factors in explaining the decisions by companies to adopt IFRS. The results of the study provide insights for accounting regulators and companies to improve financial reporting systems and enhance compliance with IFRS in the de facto and de jure accounting practices. Further, the findings suggest new directions for international accounting researchers drawing from the negative relationship between transparency and IFRS adoption.

Previous studies showed that cultural factors do not influence IFRS adoption, but the company characteristics such as size influence the decisions by preparers of financial statements to adopt IFRS [31]. After controlling for company size effect in the empirical model, this study identified significant relationships between a set of cultural factors and the companies’ willingness to adopt IFRS.

Further, the study also confirms Perumpral et al. [71] that culture is one of the obstacles to international accounting standards adoption.
The study however, identified the aspects of cultural factors that influence IFRS adoption different from previous studies. Earlier studies stated the possible influence of culture on IFRS adoption without any specific reference to the aspect of culture that influences IFRS adoption [32,33]. Based on our findings, not all aspects of culture positively or negatively impact IFRS adoption. For example, the literature believed that the greater transparency in IFRS financial statements the more likely companies will adopt IFRS [72]. The empirical results challenge this perception. The negative significance of transparency in this study implies that the impact of culture can vary depending on the financial reporting environment.
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