Through the Eyes of a General Surgeon; Why Surgical Specialists in Sub Saharan Africa Need to Train in General Surgery First

Aruyaru Stanley Mwenda
Aga Khan University Hospital, Nairobi Surgery, Kenya

Corresponding author: Aruyaru Stanley Mwenda, General Surgery Resident, Aga Khan University Hospital, Nairobi Surgery 3rd Parklands 30270 Nairobi, Nairobi 00100, Kenya, Tel: +254 720 921 267; E-mail: arumwesta@gmail.com

Rec date: May 7, 2014, Acc date: July 15, 2014, Pub date: July 26, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Mwenda AS. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

The world burden of surgical disease is disproportionate to the supply of health professional with surgical skills. This disproportion is more pronounced in the developing countries due to low numbers of trained personnel and high burden of disease. Surgical subspecialisation is gaining momentum leaving general surgery with few staff. As a result, the provision of essential surgical care, usually a responsibility of the general surgeon remains below par. In order to bridge this gap, not only do we need to train more general surgeons, it will be productive that all specialists are trained as general surgeons first as this will give them an overall understanding of general surgical needs and the agility to respond to a general surgical emergency even when they are practicing as specialists.
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Introduction

What defines a good surgeon? Is it the quality of training (degrees and certificates) or the outcome of his operations? While colleagues might consider both, to patients and the general public, it is surely the latter.

No wonder all surgical residency programmes will insist on case logs as part of the assessment for a surgical resident during training. The is founded on two premises; First, the more you operate, the better you become. In other words the ‘cutting time’ or ‘cutting hours’ is to surgeons what flight minutes are to pilots. Of course it is accepted now that it’s more of the quality of cutting time, not the quantity that really matters [1].

Second, it is easier and more applicable to ‘read around’ a patient. The theory makes practical sense when what is in literature is applied to the patient. It becomes more difficult to forget the learned steps of a procedure as the knife meets the skin. This in a nutshell has been the philosophy of surgical training since its birth in the Halstead era to present day formal residencies [2,3].

Formal surgical training began with general surgery training. Now residents enter subspecialty training directly or transit through general surgery enroute to subspecialty training. Deveney et al [4] observe that up to 80% of general surgery residents join subspecialty fellowships as soon as they are done with general surgery. Whether general surgery adequately prepares residents for a subspecialty fellowship is a matter of debate in the scientific world [5].

What started as a noble urge to supply adequate specialists and subspecialists to provide tertiary surgical care has now been decried as denying the rural population the all-important general surgeon [1,4]. This is a concern world over. It is even more of a concern when you think of the sub Saharan Africa.
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Why Surgical Specialists Must Begin by Training as General Surgeons

Kenya, and by extension sub-Saharan Africa, is yet to hit the required number of general surgeons to the meet the population need for surgical care. But just like in the developed world, the trend in surgical training is now towards subspeciality training. There are direct surgical emergencies though [author's anecdotal experience]. Surgical training is now towards subspecialty training. There are direct surgical emergencies though [author's anecdotal experience].

It is good to specialise as this improves the quality of care to world standards (from the human resource perspective). However, we still need the general surgeon to handle general surgical cases at the district level. Elective surgical cases are delayed during the referral chain attrition because of change of mind or financial fortune along the way, joining subspecialty fellowships immediately upon graduation. As such, while the west is crying because the once saturated field of general surgery is now threatened with extinction [4], Kenya and her sub Saharan counter parts cry because they are losing on general surgeons even before they have had enough of them [10,13].

The presence of a general surgeon is not guaranteed in our district hospitals. In fact there are centres where all surgeons have specialised and there is no one to run theatre lists labelled ‘general surgery’. This is in spite of the same surgeons doing general surgery procedures for their part time private patients- they are trained general surgeons in any case. They are available for consultation in case of dire general surgical emergencies though [author’s anecdotal experience].

On this premise therefore, it is safe to assume that the presence of a specialist surgeon who has a background of general surgery training is an added advantage to our health facilities. These specialists are able to identify and respond to a general surgical emergency (general surgeons are themselves trained in the management of several sub speciality emergencies) and intervene or advise the general physician appropriately.

Can we therefore have curricula that ensure everyone must train as a general surgeon before subspeciality fellowship? This will help bridge the deficit of general surgeons in the general population in two ways. First, in the interlude between general surgery and subspeciality fellowship, the staff will work as a general surgeon. This would take a year or two, just to wait to be licensed as a general surgeon. During this time, the general surgeon will offer advanced service akin to what medical interns provide for one year before recognition as medical officers. Secondly, the transit to subspecialisation may undergo attrition because of change of mind or financial fortune along the way, and in this way an extra general surgeon is added to the workforce.

After all subspecialisation is not always the better option and does not always result in better patient outcome [11]. It has been associated with boredom, high surgeon to patient ratio, high cost of care and inadequate cover for surgical emergencies (e.g. trauma) [1].

Conclusion

General surgery as a specialty is losing grip as more and more surgeons opt for subspecialisation. As a result, surgical care in rural Kenya and other Sub Saharan African countries continue to be neglected. If the specialist surgeons are trained in general surgery first, they can provide invaluable advise to the medical officers who carry out most surgeries at the district hospital as well as overseeing the overall surgical care provision at their centres of practice in case a general surgeon is not available. It will be productive to have a system that ensures surgeons train in general surgery before subspecialisation as this gives them cross cutting knowledge especially in terms of supervision of surgical care and reaction to surgical emergencies.
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