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Abstract

The use of cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging for assessing and evaluating cardiovascular pathologies is growing rapidly. This non-invasive, non-ionizing technology has multiple advantages compared with the X-ray or gamma ray derived technologies.

For instance, CMR is a non-invasive and sensitive tool for detecting islands of fibrosis. Cardiac fibrosis may occur in both ischemic and non-ischemic cardiac pathologies. This finding has a negative impact on sudden cardiac arrhythmic death.

A correlation has been found between CMR data and histopathologic findings. The presence of this pathology among normal looking fibers indicates the presence of non-functional areas that may evoke malignant arrhythmia, and contribute to deterioration in cardiac mechanical function.

By using this non-invasive modality, the role of cardiac fibrosis as a potential source for cardiac arrhythmia and LV dysfunction can be better evaluated and managed. This finding may serve as a useful tool for risk stratification of patients with borderline indication for primary AICD implantation.
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Introduction

As a result of rapid technological progress, including advancements in hardware, software, and the development of Late Gadolinium Enhancement Imaging (LGE) in the late 1990s, cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) has been established as an important method in the diagnosis of cardiovascular disease, including ischemic (by identification of even small subendocardial infarcts) and Non-Ischemic Cardiomyopathies (NICM) [1].

Many clinical and experimental studies have demonstrated the superiorit of CMR over imaging modalities such as nuclear medicine or transthoracic echocardiography [2]. It has been emphasized that the particular strengths of CMR are: the ability to overcome anatomical limitations such as a poor acoustic-window and comprehensive answer to various aspects of cardiac diseases [3-8]. The absence of imaging radiation during the examinations, is especially important in pediatrics and adult congenital heart disease, where repeated assessment is required [9].

It is accepted by most researchers that challenges for future development of the role of CMR in clinical routine will include not only technical improvement, but also optimized cost-efficiency and extended education and training [2]. There is an abundance of articles concerning the utility of CMR, which is well established in Europe and in North America [10], for patient risk stratification and its potential role in important management decisions, such as cardiac resynchronization therapy and defibrillation placement [11].

The relative prevalence of NIDC is 1:2500 among adult population. Patients with NICM at higher risk for sudden cardiac death (SCD) who will benefit from Internal Cardioverter Defibrillation (ICD), remains a major challenge in clinical cardiology [12]. This entity is also associated with significant morbidity and mortality (up to 20% in 5 years) due to progressive heart failure and SCD [13].

Due to the relatively common prevalence of the disease, the cost of ICD implantation, the inherent ICD Implant risks, and the risk (clinical and psychological) of inappropriate shocks [13], the current American College of Cardiology / American Heart Association Guidelines state that the ICD therapy is indicated for primary prevention in patients with NIDC with ejection fraction (LVEF) ≤ 35%, who are in the New-York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class II or III [14].

It should be noted that the rate of appropriate discharge in those patients is only 5.1% per year, which limits the use of this approach. It was also noted that most of the patients with NIDC who died from SCD had a normal ejection fraction [15,16].

Cardiac fibrosis has a significant role in the mechanism of arrhythmia generation and heart failure pathophysiology. The edit value of cardiac fibrosis detected by CMR in evaluating and managing this group of patients is discussed.

Materials and Methods

A literature search focusing on cardiac fibrosis detected by CMR...
was undertaken in PubMed for the period of 2003-2014. The utility of this technique and its potential application in sudden cardiac death was screened and presented.

Cardiac fibrosis

In heart failure, fibrosis of the heart replace viable myocytes creating potential substrate for arrhythmia generation. Gulati, et al. evaluated the idea that the presence of fibrosis (scar) within the left ventricle would add a prognostic accuracy to left ventricular ejection fraction in assessing the risk of SCD in patients with NIDC [13,17].

A prospective longitudinal evaluation of 472 patients with NIDC was conducted by them in a single center in London, England, between the years 2000-2008. CMR imaging with Late Gadolinium Enhancement (LGE-CMR) was used to determine the presence or absence of ventricular midwall fibrosis.

The presence of LGE correlated histologically with the presence of fibrosis, resembling previous data [18]. In this study, the presence, location and extent of fibrosis were assessed. A multivariate analysis found that the presence of midwall fibrosis was highly significant risk factor for the primary endpoint of total mortality, and to a lesser extent, the percentage of this phenomenon.

In another study, Neilan et al (from Boston) had shown similar data [18]. In a recent study from Padova, Italy, it was shown that IV-LGE is a powerful and independent prediction of malignant arrhythmopropensity[19].

Summary and Conclusions

In summary, there is agreement among researchers about the potential utility of LGE-CMR for assisting the risk of SCD and subsequent ICD implants. All agreed that a single-center studies need confirmation by large multi-center trials, before generalized use of this new modality can be recommended.
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