The Influence of Drug Loading on Caveolin-1 Mediated Intracellular Internalization of Doxorubicin Nanomicelles in vitro
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Abstract

Despite the considerable progress made in the field of anticancer nanomedicine, the influence of the physicochemical characteristics of the nanoconstructs on their internalization and cytotoxicity towards specific cancer cells remains to be fully understood. The aim of this in vitro study was to examine the factors influencing the potency of styrene maleic acid (SMA-Dox) micelles loaded with doxorubicin in a range of 4.4% to 28.4% using breast cancer and prostate cancer cell lines. A significant difference in cytotoxicity relative to micelle loading was observed and correlated with the expression level of caveolin-1. The expression level of caveolin-1 has previously been associated with advanced, aggressive cancer subtypes. For example, SMA-Dox micelles were shown to co-localize with caveolin-1 in PC3 cells and this co-localization was disrupted by treatment with the caveolin-1 inhibitor, genistein. The results of this study are indicative that the micelle loading and cellular expression of caveolin-1 modulates the relative cytotoxicity of nanoconstructs of different loading against breast and prostate cancer cell lines in vitro. The expression level of caveolin-1 is a factor to consider when developing nanoconstructs for the treatment of breast and prostate cancers.
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Background

The use of nanomedicine for the treatment of cancer has been a promising field for the past 25 years but, despite promising efficacy in animal studies, only nine nanomedicines have successfully transition to the clinics and approved for the treatment of various cancers [1]. A potential explanation for this disappointing clinical translation is the lack of understanding of the relationship between the physicochemical characteristics of the nanomedicine and the characteristics of the tumour.

Doxorubicin is a member of the anthracycline group and is one of the most potent and widely used anticancer agents. Doxorubicin has been approved for the treatment of a broad range of cancers including breast, prostate, lymphoma, sarcoma and leukaemia [2]. The proposed mechanisms by which doxorubicin induces cell death in cancer cells includes the rapid intercalation of doxorubicin to DNA, perturbation of topoisomerase II activity, formation of DNA adducts and inhibition of DNA methyl transferase [3]. Furthermore, the generation of free radicals induces damage to cell membranes through lipid peroxydation, oxidative stress and DNA and protein damage which promote apoptosis mediated cell death [4]. Unfortunately, the cytotoxicity of doxorubicin as the majority of anticancer agents lacks specificity to cancer cells and leads to severe side-effects. This nonselective cytotoxicity is the main limitation to the use of higher doses. Doxorubicin is indiscriminately distributed via simple diffusion to different organs and tissues [5], and produces dose-limiting cardiac toxicity due to oxidative stress damaging the cardiac muscle [6]. In contrast, encapsulation of doxorubicin into nanomedicine increases its molecular weight and modified its pharmacokinetic profile. Approved nanomedicines formulations such as Doxil and Myocet show reduced cardiotoxicity relative to free doxorubicin [7,8]. (Figure 1)

Encapsulation of doxorubicin into a nanomedicine carrier also allows the drug to accumulate preferentially in tumor tissue, a
phenomenon known as the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect [9]. As tumors develop, the cancer cells stimulate angiogenesis and promote vascular permeability. The emerging blood vessels show abnormal morphology including wide endothelial gaps, lack of pericytes, and smooth muscle layer, resulting in a high vascular permeability of dilated, tortuous tumor vessels [10,11]. These abnormalities allow the extravasation of large molecular weight constructs into the tumor tissue while the absence of functional lymphatics allows the constructs the be retained in the tissue [12]. However, the clinical efficacy of nanomedicines has been lower than expected, a paradox which suggests alternate variables need to be considered with respect to nanomedicine application.

Our laboratory has formulated doxorubicin micelles using styrene maleic acid (SMA) as a carrier. The micelles had a weight/weight loading of 4.4, 14.5, and 28.4% doxorubicin and were assessed based on their relative toxicity towards breast and prostate cancer cell lines. This cytotoxicity was compared to cellular parameters such as the level of caveolin-1 expression.

Methods

Materials and Methods

SMA, (MW 1,600), foetal bovine serum, N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDAC) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Ltd. (Auckland, New Zealand). Antibodies were purchased from Cell Signalling Technology (Boston, USA). Antibodies used were: Caveolin-1 (D46G3) XP® Rabbit mAb #3267, Clathrin Heavy Chain (D3G6) XP Rabbit mAb #4796, early endosome antigen 1 (EEA1) (C45B10) Rabbit mAb #3288 and β-Tubulin Antibody #2146.

Cell culture

PC3, MDA-MB-231, DU145 and MCF-7 cells were obtained from the American type culture collection (ATCC), Manassas USA and all experiments were conducted with cells below passage 30. Cell lines were maintained in complete growth media DMEM/Ham's F12 supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM L-Glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin, 100 units/ml of streptomycin and 2.2 g/l of NaHCO3. For all procedures, cells were harvested using TrypLE Express (Life Technologies, Auckland, New Zealand) and were maintained at 37°C in humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2.

Synthesis

Micelles were synthesized as described previously [13]. Briefly anhydrous SMA (10 mg/ml) was adjusted to pH 5.0 and N-(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)-N'-ethylcarbodiimide (EDAC) and doxorubicin was added to the solution. The pH was maintained at 5.0 until stabilization which indicated that all Maleic acid groups had reacted with the EDAC in order to allow interaction of the styrene moieties with the drug. Following stabilization, the pH was then raised to 5.0 to remove the EDAC and allow the micelle to form. The solution was then adjusted to pH 7.4 and the solution was filtered 4 times through a 10,000 Da cutoff membrane to filter out excess doxorubicin, EDAC and salts. This solution was lyophilised. 4.44% micelles were synthesized with a target loading of 10% and utilized 180 mg of SMA, 180 mg of EDAC, and 20 mg of doxorubicin. 14.5% micelles were synthesized with a target loading of 20% and utilized 160 mg of SMA, 160 mg of EDAC and 40 mg of doxorubicin. 28.4% micelles were synthesized with a target loading of 40% and utilized 120 mg of SMA, 120 mg of EDAC and 80 mg of doxorubicin. Loading was determined by disrupting the micelles in 90% DMSO and measured compared to a standard curve of doxorubicin measured at 479 nm.

Characterisation

Size and charge: SMA-Dox micelles (5 mg/ml) were solubilized in water. All measurements for size distribution and zeta potential were performed using the Malvern ZEN3600 Zetasizer nano series (Malvern Instruments Inc., Westborough, MA). All measurements were conducted in triplicate from three independent experiments.

Drug release: Micelles were dissolved in PBS (pH 7.4) at a concentration of 1 mg/ml and placed in a 12,000 kDa cutoff dialysis membrane. The micelles were dialysed against pH 7.4 PBS at 37°C, 75 RPM for 96 hours. At the indicated times, 2 ml of the solution outside the bag was removed and the absorbance read at 479 nm before the PBS was replaced into the tube. Quantification of the percentage of release was determined by the ratio of the absorbance of the outside bag at defined time point over the absorbance inside the bag at t=0. All experiments were performed in triplicate. Percentage release is reported as mean ± standard error.

In vitro Cytotoxicity

MDA-MB-231 and MCF7 human breast cancer cell lines, and DU145 and PC3 human prostate cell lines, were seeded into 96-well plates and incubated for 24 hours. Doxorubicin was applied at the concentrations indicated and the cells were incubated for 72 hours. Following the incubation the cells were fixed using trichloro-acetic acid (TCA). Cell number was determined using the sulforhodamine B assay [14].

The concentration required to decrease the cell number by 50% (IC50) was determined by non-linear regression using Prism software. Experiments were performed in triplicate and from three independent experiments.

Intracellular internalisation of micellar formulations

MDA-MB-231, MCF7, PC3, and DU145 cells (8×104 cells per well) were seeded in 6-well plates and treated with 100 µM doxorubicin equivalent when the cells reached approximately 80% confluency. After 6 hours, the cells were trypsinized and prepared for fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) [15]. Briefly, media was removed; cells were trypsinized, washed two times with PBS and re-suspended in FACS binding buffer (Hepes 10 mM pH 7.4, NaCl 140 mM, CaCl2 5 mM). Internalization was measured by the inherent fluorescence of doxorubicin.

Western Blot

PC3, MDA-MB-231, MCF7 and DU145 cells (4×104 cells per well) were seeded in 12-well plates in 1 ml of complete growth media and incubated for 36 hours. Cells were lyzed in buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8), 150 mM NaCl, and 1% Triton X-100, 1% SDS, 1 mM sodium fluoride, 200 µM sodium orthovanadate, and protease inhibitors (1 µg/ml leupeptin, 1 µg/ml aprotinin, 1 mM PMSF). The lysates were sonicated and centrifuged at 12,000 RPM for 8 min, and the clear supernatant was transferred to Eppendorf tubes. The protein content was quantified via a bicinchoninic acid assay, normalized and subjected to polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Protein expression was analyzed by western blot using specific antibodies for caveolin-1, clathrin, (EEA1), and β-tubulin as previously described [16].

Immunofluorescence Microscopy

Immunofluorescence was performed as described previously [17].
The size ranged from 10.68 to 14.59 nm (Table 1) indicating that the lowest loading showing the highest solubility (Table 1). Furthermore, free doxorubicin. The micelles were all highly soluble in water with the encapsulation by the comparison of spectra of the encapsulated versus free doxorubicin. The drug was not altered by the micelles producing statistically significantly increased cytotoxicity relative to the lower loading micelles. The micellar formulation of doxorubicin results in a reduced potency and efficacy compared to the free drug (Figure 3). The 28.4% loading micelle showed the highest efficacy against all cell lines examined relative to the lower loading micelles. However, the pattern of cytotoxicity observed in the cell lines varied significantly. In MDA-MB-231 cells, the different micelles show statistically significant differences only when comparing the 4.4% to the 28.4% and no significant difference is observed between the 14.5% and the 28.4%. Similarly, in PC3 cells no statistical significance is observed between the 14.5% and the 28.4% micelles while the 4.4% loaded micelles are significantly different from both the 14.5% and 28.4% micelles. In DU145 and MCF7 cells the relative potency and efficacy of the different loading micelles are significantly divergent with the higher loaded micelles producing statistically significantly increased cytotoxicity relative to the lower loading micelles (Figure 3).

In vitro cytotoxicity

The micellar formulation of doxorubicin in a reduced cytotoxicity patterns in the different cell lines, we compared the relative cellular expression of proteins involved in endocytosis (caveolin-1, clathrin, early endosome antigen 1 (EEA1), and β-tubulin), by western blot (Figure 5). The western blot showed that clathrin expression was slightly higher in the breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB-231 and MCF7 than the prostate cancer cell lines PC3 and DU145 but that did not explain the differences observed in cytotoxicity nor did EEA1 expression. However, the difference in caveolin-1 expression between the cell lines was substantial. MDA-MB-231 cells showed very high expression of caveolin-1, PC3 cells showed median expression, DU145 cells showed low expression and MCF-7 cells showed undetectable caveolin-1 expression at this exposure time.

Table 1: Characterization of SMA-Dox micelles.
The three different SMA-Dox micelles were synthesized and characterized with respect to their loading, size, charge, solubility and release rate at physiological pH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loading (%)</th>
<th>Charge (mV)</th>
<th>Micelle Solubility (mg/ml)</th>
<th>72 h Release rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>10.68</td>
<td>-0.054</td>
<td>112.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>-0.064</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.4%</td>
<td>14.59</td>
<td>-0.055</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Release rate of doxorubicin from SMA-Dox was evaluated using a dialysis in PBS, pH 7.4, over a period of 96 hours. Data is expressed as mean ± SEM (n=3). * P < 0.005 relative to 14.5% and 28.4%, †P < 0.005 relative to 28.4%.

PC3 cells (20,000 cells/well) were seeded on glass slides and incubated for 36 hours before being pretreated with genistein (200 µM) for one hour before being treated with SMA-Dox (25 µM) or treated with SMA-Dox (25 µM) for 6 hours. Cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature, washed with PBS, and permeabilised in 0.2% Triton-X100 in PBS for 5 min, followed by incubation with 1% bovine serum albumin in PBS for 1 hour. The cells were then incubated with anti-caveolin-1 and anti-clathrin antibodies (5 µg/ml in PBS/bovine serum albumin, as above) overnight at 4°C, then washed four times with PBS, followed by incubation with fluorescein-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (10 µg/ml in PBS/bovine serum albumin) for 1 hour at room temperature. The cells were washed four times with PBS, and the coverslips were mounted using Gel/Mount aqueous mounting medium (Fisher, Pittsburgh, PA). The images were taken using a Nikon H600L fluorescent microscope.

Statistical analysis

The data was analysed using Graphpad Prism with a multiple t test analysis. Significance was set at p ≤ 0.005.

Results

Characteristics

In the current study, we generated three doxorubicin micelles with a loading of 4.4, 14.5 and 28.4% as determined by spectrometry at 479 nm. We also confirmed that the drug was not altered by the encapsulation by the comparison of spectra of the encapsulated versus free doxorubicin. The micelles were all highly soluble in water with the lowest loading showing the highest solubility (Table 1). Furthermore, the size ranged from 10.68 to 14.59 nm (Table 1) indicating that the micelles were of sufficient size to be used in vivo as the macromolecules will escape renal filtration to remain in the circulation for a prolonged time compare to the free doxorubicin and accumulate preferentially in tissues with large fenestration such as the tumor based on the EPR effect. The charge of the micelles was near neutral (Table 1) which will be conducive to preventing unwanted opsonisation within the circulation.

Drug release rate

As shown in Figure 2, the loading of the SMA-Dox micelles significantly altered the release profile of doxorubicin. The lowest loading of 4.4% doxorubicin showed the highest release rate, releasing more than 50% of the doxorubicin load following four days incubation. In contrast, the highest loading of 28.4% doxorubicin showed less than 15% release following four days incubation. The micelle with a loading of 14.5% had a release of 24% following four days incubation. It is clear that there is an inverse relationship between the loading and the release rate of the drug from the micelles.

In vitro cytotoxicity

The internalization of SMA-Dox micelles of different loading were compared to free doxorubicin in four different cell lines and measured by FACS after 6 hours (Figure 4). In PC3 cells (Figure 4A) the uptake of the micelles is higher than in the DU145 cell line (Figure 4B). In MDA-MB-231 cells the uptake of micelles is higher than in MCF-7 cell lines. In all cases the internalization of free doxorubicin was higher compared to micellar SMA-Dox regardless of loading. This is to be expected as internalisation of micelles is a time and energy dependent process as it requires endocytosis while the delivery of doxorubicin to the cell is mediated by diffusion.

Western blot

To associate the main endocytosis pathways on the observed cytotoxicity patterns in the different cell lines, we compared the relative cellular expression of proteins involved in endocytosis (caveolin-1, clathrin, early endosome antigen 1 (EEA1), and β-tubulin), by western blot (Figure 5). The western blot showed that clathrin expression was slightly higher in the breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB-231 and MCF7 than the prostate cancer cell lines PC3 and DU145 but that did not explain the differences observed in cytotoxicity nor did EEA1 expression. However, the difference in caveolin-1 expression between the cell lines was substantial. MDA-MB-231 cells showed very high expression of caveolin-1, PC3 cells showed median expression, DU145 cells showed low expression and MCF-7 cells showed undetectable caveolin-1 expression at this exposure time.
Immunofluorescence microscopy

In order to determine if caveolin-1 and doxorubicin micelles co-localized, immunocytochemistry was employed (Figure 6). Internalised doxorubicin micelles showed co-localization with caveolin-1 positive vesicles while pre-treatment for 1 hour with genistein, an inhibitor of the caveolin mediated endocytosis, significantly reduced the amount of intracellular doxorubicin and abolished the co-localisation of caveolin-1 positive vesicles and doxorubicin. This suggests that the differential cytotoxicity observed in these cell lines is dependent on the level of expression of protein implicated in the endocytic process and their capacity to endocytose macromolecules.

Discussions

The SMA micellar system, developed by Greish and Maeda [18], offers a variety of advantages due to its high versatility. The SMA micelle gives the potential to achieve a wide range of drug loading depending on the characteristics privileges, as this parameter will also precondition a slow or a fast release of the drug from the micelle [19-21]. This system differs from most micellar system engineered where the drug release rate shows pronounced biphasic characteristics [22-25]. We generated three SMA micelles with doxorubicin loadings of 4.4, 14.5 or 28.4% (Table 1). Lower loading of the micelle resulted in increased solubility and a higher percentage of drug released (Table 1). The observed stability of micelle with higher drug load could be explained by the formation of a stronger hydrophobic core dictated by the interactions between the styrene moiety and doxorubicin [18]. Nonetheless, all micellar formulations showed stability characteristics suitable for the cancer treatment by engineering a macromolecule which size and release rate will ultimately decrease adverse effect to normal tissue and enabling its accumulation in the tumor, a process which usually requires more than 6 hours to be achieved [26].

Relative to free doxorubicin, the toxicity of micellar doxorubicin was reduced in all cell lines (Figure 3). Free doxorubicin enters the cell via diffusion or active transportation by the solute carrier family 22 member 16 (SLC22A16) [27] while micellar doxorubicin must be endocytosed, a time and energy dependent process that limits the uptake of drug into the cell. It must be noted, however, that in vitro assessment of cytotoxicity don’t account for in vivo parameters such as biodistribution of the drug so, although the efficacy of SMA-Dox is reduced relative to free doxorubicin, this pattern is expected to be reversed in vivo as

---

**Figure 3:** Cytotoxicity of doxorubicin micelles against MCF7, MDA-MB-231, DU145 and PC3 cells following 72 hours of treatment. Cell number was determined using the sulforhodamine B assay. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (n=3). * P < 0.005 relative to 14.5% and 28.4%, † P< 0.005 relative to 28.4%. Non-linear regression and IC$_{50}$ values determination was performed using GraphPad Prism. Cells were treated with various concentrations of the free drug and SMA-Dox micelles for 72 h.
Figure 4: FACS analysis of the effect of loading on intercellular internalization of different loadings of SMA-Dox in four cancer cell lines. Uptake of SMA-Dox was higher in PC-3 cells than in DU145 cells. In MDA-MB-231 cells there appears to be a higher degree of micelle uptake then in MCF-7 cells.
The distinct cytotoxic pattern suggests that the difference between the cell lines may be able to be explained by different uptake of the micelle. The western blot highlights the heterogeneity of caveolin-1 expression but not clathrin or EEA1 expression between the cell lines (Figure 5). This presents a possible mechanism for the cytotoxic patterns observed (Figure 1). For instance, MDA-MB-231 cells which display the highest expression of caveolin-1, show minimal difference between the potency and efficacy of the micelles relative to loading. This high caveolin-1 expression could indicate that the MDA-MB-231 cells are able to uptake the micelles rapidly and so, regardless of loading the maximal efficacy is achieved at each concentration. In DU145 and MCF7 cells, the expression of caveolin-1 is low and so the cells are not able to take up a large quantity of micelles, resulting in the cytotoxicity being dependent on the loading of the micelle as the cells are unable to take up a sufficient quantity of the micelles to reach maximum efficacy.

This conclusion is supported by the finding shown in Figure 6, where caveolin-1 positive vesicles overlaid the internalized SMA-Dox. Inhibition of caveolin-1 by genistein abolished the formation of caveolin-1 positive vesicles, decreasing the quantity of internalised doxorubicin and dissociating the co-localisation of caveolin-1 and doxorubicin.

The maximal efficacy induced by the treatment appears to be dependent on the sensitivity of the cells to free doxorubicin and overall uptake of the micelles. The resistance of cells to doxorubicin is dependent on a wide variety of factors including, but not limited to, the relative expression of P-glycoprotein (an efflux protein), caspase 1 (an apoptosis mediator), heat shock proteins and CYP27A1 (a drug metabolising enzyme) which vary in expression from cell line to cell line [31,32]. For example MDA-MB-231 cells show a low efficacy of free doxorubicin with 18% of cells surviving at the highest free doxorubicin concentration (4 μM). Therefore, although MDA-MB-231 cells show high caveolin-1 expression and so high uptake of SMA-Dox, the relatively low sensitivity to the free drug was reflected in the low sensitivity to the micellar drug. Conversely, although MCF7 cells show a high degree of sensitivity to free doxorubicin, the efficacy of the SMA-Dox is low which may be due to the low expression of caveolin-1 resulting in insufficient quantities of SMA-Dox being taken up to elicit comparable cytotoxicity.

The association of micelle uptake with caveolin-1 expression gives important information for the selection of the types of tumours that will be suitable for treatment using macromolecules for drug delivery. For example, basal-like subtype breast tumors, represented in our study by MDA-MB-231 cells, show an increased expression of caveolin-1 than the luminal subtype [33] which is represented in our study by the MCF7 cell line. For this reason treatment of basal-like breast cancer subtype with SMA micelles is likely to be more effective than the treatment of luminal A type tumours.

The establishment of caveolin-1 as the mechanism for uptake of the SMA micelles appears to be made more significant to clinical application via the observations of the role of caveolin-1 in the clinic. While caveolin-1 expression is often considered to play a tumor suppressive role in vitro and during early tumour development, the expression of caveolin-1 in later stages of tumour development is correlated with metastasis and chemoresistance [34]. Nanomedicines that are taken up via caveolin-1 will therefore be preferentially taken up in cells with high caveolin-1 expression, the same cells that are developing metastasis and allowing the tumour to grow despite chemotherapeutic treatments [34].

Further, the identification of caveolin-1 as the endocytic mechanism has been observed for other doxorubicin encapsulating nanomedicines, due to the EPR effect [28]. For example, Doxil, a commercially approved doxorubicin nanomedicine, has previously been shown to have a higher IC50 value than free doxorubicin due to the EPR effect [28]. For example, Doxil, a commercially approved nanomedicine, has previously been shown to have a higher IC50 value than free doxorubicin due to the EPR effect [28]. For example, Doxil, a commercially approved nanomedicine, has previously been shown to have a higher IC50 value than free doxorubicin due to the EPR effect [28].
for uptake of SMA micelles in the examined tumor cell lines contradicts reports suggesting that uptake mechanism is determined by size. For example, it has been suggested that uptake through clathrin-mediated endocytosis is typical for particles <200 nm [35] and ~60 nm [36] in size, far in excess of the 10.68-14.5 nm size of the micelles assessed in this study. Furthermore, endocytosis has been shown in other studies to be dependent on factors as diverse as size, charge, shape, porosity and surface chemistry [37-41]. The degree of caveolin-1 expression varies between the different tumor cell lines and was shown to influence nanoconstructs' internalization and activity. This specification of the uptake mechanism of nanomedicines is frequently overlooked and may potentially contribute to nanomedicines being applied to tumour types to which their use is not suited. Careful understanding of cell specific interaction with nanomaterials is crucial for achieving a satisfactory biological outcome of nanoconstructs and can improve their potential for clinical application.
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