The Metabolic Syndrome in Rural UAE: The Effect of Gender, Ethnicity and the Environment in its Prevalence

Rodhan Khthir and Felyn Luz Espina

Marshall university-school of medicine, Huntington, West Virginia, USA

Abstract

Objective: The purpose of this study was to examine the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome and its individual components among multiethnic population in a rural area in the Western region of Abu Dhabi in The United Arab Emirates (UAE).

Methods: The analytic sample consisted of 575 adults (males: 309, females: 266), between the age of 22 and 65 years. The National Cholesterol Education Program’s Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP/ATP III) guidelines (with race specific abdominal circumference cutoff level) were used to identify adults who met their criteria for metabolic syndrome. Prevalence estimates were calculated for each component of the metabolic syndrome in addition to the overall prevalence of metabolic syndrome. Prevalence estimates were analyzed by sex, ethnicity and working hours.

Results: Approximately 22% of adults met the criteria for metabolic syndrome. The prevalence was 26% in Males and 14% in females, P Value <0.01. The prevalence was 16% among South East Asians (SEA), 20% among Arabs (ARB) and 26% among South Asians (SA), with P value of 0.523, 0.075 and <0.05 for ARB versus SEA, ARB versus SA, and SA vs SEA respectively. The prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among night shift workers was 25% in comparison to 19% among daytime workers (P value 0.1). The prevalence of the different components of the metabolic syndrome varied by race and ethnicity.

Conclusions: These results demonstrate that metabolic syndrome is less prevalent in rural area than inner city population in UAE which was reported to be around 40% in previous studies possibly because of lifestyle differences. The prevalence varied significantly by race and ethnicity and gender. Night shift work was associated with higher prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in our study but this was not statistically significant.
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Introduction

The metabolic syndrome is the co-occurrence of multiple metabolic abnormalities (abdominal obesity, hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, and hypertension). These metabolic abnormalities are considered metabolic risk factors for both type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Prospective observational studies demonstrate a strong association between the metabolic syndrome and the risk for subsequent development of type 2 diabetes [1-5]. The metabolic syndrome increased the relative risk (RR) for incident diabetes by 2.1-fold with the ATP III definition and 3.6-fold using the WHO definition.

Three meta-analyses, found that the metabolic syndrome increases also the risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD) (RRs ranging from 1.53 to 2.18) and all cause mortality (RRs 1.27 to 1.60) [6-8].

Current ATP III criteria define the metabolic syndrome as the presence of any three of the following five traits [9,10]:

- Abdominal obesity, defined as a waist circumference in men ≥ 102 cm (40 in) and in women ≥ 88 cm (35 in)
- Serum triglycerides ≥ 150 mg/dL (1.7 mmol/L) or drug treatment for elevated triglycerides
- Serum HDL cholesterol <40 mg/dL (1 mmol/L) in men and <50 mg/dL (1.3 mmol/L) in women or drug treatment for low HDL-C
- Blood pressure ≥ 130/85 mmHg or drug treatment for elevated blood pressure
- Fasting plasma glucose (FPG) ≥ 100 mg/dL (5.6 mmol/L) or drug treatment for elevated blood glucose

According to ATP III, a diagnosis of the metabolic syndrome is made when three or more of the risk factors. In 2009, a new cut point was suggested for South Asians and South East Asians (Chinese/Japanese population) [11].

The metabolic syndrome is becoming increasingly common. Using data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1999 to 2002 database, 34.5 percent of participants met ATP III criteria for the metabolic syndrome compared with 22 percent in NHANES III (1988 to 1994) [12,13]. Racial and gender discrepancy in the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome was described previously. For
example, the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome, as defined by the 2001 ATP III criteria, was evaluated in 8814 adults in the United States participating in the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III, 1988 to 1994) [12]. Mexican-Americans had the highest age-adjusted prevalence (31.9 percent). Among African-Americans and Mexican-Americans, the prevalence was higher in women than in men (57 and 26 percent higher, respectively).

The prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in the United Arab Emirates (UAE) was estimated to be around 40% based on a single prevalence study done in two big cities. [14]. UAE has a very high prevalence of diabetes and obesity and also has very racially diverse population.

The purpose of this study was to examine the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in the rural part of the UAE and to study the racial and gender discrepancy in the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome and its different components among multiethnic population in a rural area in the Western region of Abu Dhabi-UAE. The study examined also the association between the metabolic syndrome prevalence and night shift work one of the potential risk factor for the metabolic syndrome and other metabolic disorders.

### Methods

#### Subjects

The study is a cross-sectional prevalence study conducted over a period of 4 weeks at Madinat Zayed hospital, a secondary care rural hospital in the Western region of Abu Dhabi. The study population consisted of hospital employees and the study was done during the annual employee health campaign. The surveillance process included healthcare questionnaire, measurement of weight, Height, BMI and abdominal circumference, health examination and laboratory test in fasting state for glucose and lipids.

Height, weight, and waist circumference were all measured using standardized techniques and calibrated equipment. A certified phlebotomist drew fasting morning blood samples from the examinee’s arm for the lipid and glucose assays. Standardized techniques were used to obtain the blood pressure measurements. Abdominal circumference was measured at standing position with a measurement tape at the level of the upper border of the anterior superior iliac crest. Weight and height measurement were done by standard electronic machines that computed the BMI directly.

Pregnant females and participants with incomplete data were deleted from the analytic sample. The final analytic sample consisted of 575 adults (males: 309, females: 266), between the age of 22 and 65 years.

#### Definition of metabolic syndrome

The NCEP/ATP III revised guidelines (see introduction) were used to identify adults in the analytic sample who had metabolic syndrome. In addition, individuals who reported currently taking antihypertensive medication were classified as having high blood pressure and individuals currently taking insulin or an oral diabetic medication were classified as having diabetes. According to these guidelines, metabolic syndrome is defined as the presence of three or more of these risk factors.

BP above 130/85 was confirmed after 5 minutes. The averages of the two systolic and diastolic blood pressure readings were used for the analysis.

Regarding the cutoff level for the waist circumference used, we used 88 cm for Arab females, 102 cm for Arab males, and 80 cm for non-Arab females and 90 cm for non-Arab Males based on the updates 2009 NCEP-ATP 3 definition [11].

#### Data analyses

The prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in the whole group was evaluated and stratified by ethnicity, gender, BMI and work shift. The prevalence of the individual component of the metabolic syndrome was reported as well by ethnicity and gender.

BMI was categorized into three groups. These categories were: >25 kg/m², 25-30 kg/m², and >30 kg/m².

Results are reported for self-identified Arabs (AR), South Asians (SA) (mainly from India, Pakistan and Bangladesh) and South East Asian (SEA) (mainly from the Philippines and Indonesia).

BMI measures relative weight for height. BMI was calculated by dividing weight by height squared (kg/m²). Weight categories were created based on the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute’s classification system. The underweight and normal weight categories were combined as well as the obese and extremely obese categories because of limited sample sizes. The three categories used in this analysis were underweight and normal weight (BMI less than 25), overweight (BMI 25–29.9), and obese and extremely obese (BMI 30 or greater).

Differences in continuous data between the two groups were evaluated using the t-test. Differences between categorical data were compared using chi-square test. P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using QI Macros 2012.

#### Results

The Study population consisted of 309 males and 266 females with 269 South Asians, 195 Arabs and 112 South East Asians. The average age of the study population is 37.96. The average age for males is 37.1, female 38.66 (p=0.03). The average age for Arabs is 41.16, South East Asians is 38.77, and Asians: 34.59 (P value was <0.5 for all comparisons).

The average age for shift workers is 37.21 and for non-shift workers is 38.75 (p value 0.046).

The weight distribution varied between Arabs and other groups, with 75% of Arabs were either overweight or obese versus 35% in South Asians (p<0.01) and 39% in South East Asians (p<0.01) Figure 1. The prevalence of overweight and obesity were similar between males and females (51% in both groups) but the prevalence of abdominal obesity was 76% in females versus 34% in Males (p<0.01).
Approximately 22% (124/575) of adults met the criteria for metabolic syndrome. Abdominal obesity (53%), low HDL (34%), high triglyceride (21%) and hyperglycemia (20%) were the most frequently occurring risk factors for metabolic syndrome (Figure 2).

The prevalence of hyperglycemia was 15% (17/112) in Southeast Asians, 26% (52/195) among Arabs and 18% (47/265) among South Asians. The prevalence of HTN was 16% (18/112) in Southeast Asians, 8% (16/195) among Arabs and 28% (75/265) among South Asian. The prevalence of abdominal obesity was 63% (71/112) in Southeast Asians, 51% (100/195) among Arabs and 51% (136/265) among South Asian. For HDL abnormality, the prevalence was 20% (22/112) in Southeast Asians, 34% (67/195) among Arabs and 40% (105/265) among South Asian and the prevalence of hypertriglyceridemia was 18% (20/112) in Southeast Asians, 18% (36/195) among Arabs and 23% (62/265) among South Asians. There was a statistically significant difference between the groups except for hypertriglyceridemia (Table 1).

| Component          | South East Asians (SEA) | Arabs (ARB) | South Asians (SA) | P value  
|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------
| Hyperglycemia      | 15 %                    | 26 %        | 18 %              | 0.02   |
| HTN                | 16 %                    | 8 %         | 28 %              | 0.049  |
| Abdominal obesity  | 63 %                    | 51 %        | 51 %              | 0.039  |
| Low HDL            | 20 %                    | 34 %        | 40 %              | 0.006  |
| High Triglycerides | 18 %                    | 18 %        | 23 %              | 0.89   |

Table 1: Racial discrepancy in the prevalence of the different component of the metabolic syndrome (statistical analysis)

The prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among night shift workers was 25% (54/215) in comparison to 19% (70/360) among daytime workers. P value didn’t reach statistical significance.

Discussion

Based on the NCEP/ATP III guidelines, a little more than 20% of the adults in the study group could be characterized as having metabolic syndrome. These results demonstrate that metabolic syndrome is less prevalent in rural area than inner city population in UAE which was reported to be around 40% in previous studies possibly because of life style differences. The prevalence of metabolic syndrome varied by race and ethnicity with the highest prevalence was among South Asians in spite of having a lower prevalence of obesity than Arab population and similar weight distribution to South East Asians. This paradox is most likely related to genetic and environmental factors that predispose South Asians to higher prevalence of hypertension, hypertriglyceridemia and low HDL level at lower BMI. In our study the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome was 10% in South Asians with BMI of <23 kg/m² reaching to 58% in the same group as BMI increase in the obesity range (>30) kg/m² while in Arabs the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in normal weight population was 3%, reaching only to 36% in obese population.

It should be noted that the mean age of South Asians was lower than other Ethnic groups and this should have predicted lower prevalence rate as there is a positive association between age and the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome.

The sharp increase in the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in obese South Asians and the relatively high prevalence at normal BMI need further studies in a larger cohort and further evaluation of the current BMI cut-off levels in that population (Figure 3).
The prevalence varied significantly also by sex with significantly lower prevalence among females in spite of the very high prevalence of abdominal obesity among females and in spite of having higher mean age than males. The very high prevalence of abdominal obesity in certain racial group didn’t predict higher prevalence of the metabolic syndrome (Figure 4).

This may suggest that the current race based cut-off level need to be re-examined. While in males the correlation between prevalence of abdominal obesity and the metabolic syndrome was stronger especially in South Asians (Figure 5).
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