The Pharmacogenetics of Nicotine Dependence and Smoking Cessation Therapies
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Abstract

Tobacco-related diseases place a tremendous burden on health-care systems world-wide. Overall mortality for smokers is nearly three-fold higher than for similar non-smokers. This increased mortality results from higher rates of cancers, vascular disease or respiratory disease. Great strides have been made in recent years with regards to understanding the neurophysiologic pathways of nicotine addiction. While a number of pharmacologic interventions have been developed to aid patients in smoking cessation, overall success rates for long-term smoking abstinence remain disappointingly low.

A growing body of evidence suggests that a number of genetic factors might influence both the severity of an individual’s nicotine addiction as well as the potential efficacy of various treatment modalities they might employ. The neurophysiology of nicotine addiction will be discussed along with genetic variants that can impact both nicotine pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics. The role that genetic variation plays in altering the efficacy of various smoking-cessation therapies will also be reviewed along with the potential therapeutic and economic benefits of utilizing genetic testing to optimize such drug therapies.
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Introduction

Tobacco use in the United States exerts a tremendous burden each year on our health care system in terms of cost, morbidity and mortality. Based on current data, approximately 400,000 individuals die each year from cigarette smoking while another 8.6 million currently suffer from a smoking-related illness [1,2]. In terms of monetary burden, it is estimated that the annual cost of both public and private health care expenditures related to smoking is 96 billion dollars [2]. While the societal and individual benefits of smoking cessation are unequivocal, the effectiveness of various smoking-cessation therapies remain disappointingly low.

Great strides have been made in recent years with regards to our understanding of nicotine addiction pathways in the brain. Numerous pharmacological and behavioral approaches have been utilized to aid patients in their quest to stop smoking. However, these interventions have been associated with considerable failure rates and significant inter-individual variability. As our understanding of nicotine addiction improves, a growing body of evidence indicates that genetics may play an important role in both the severity of an individual’s nicotine addiction as well as variability of that individual’s response to different smoking cessation agents. Genetic variants in nicotinic receptors, nicotine metabolizing enzymes, and other central pathways related to addiction and reward have been identified that may play a role in the extent of an individual’s nicotine dependence. Numerous other genetic variants have likewise been identified that can alter an individual’s response to various smoking cessation agents and thus impact their likelihood for successful treatment. The main goal of this article is to review the current state of knowledge regarding genetic factors that can impact both nicotine addiction as well as the effectiveness of various pharmacologic agents used for smoking cessation. The presentation begins with an overview of the neurophysiology of nicotine addiction. Genetic variants in the nicotine addiction and reward pathways that can impact an individual’s addiction liability and chances for successful smoking cessation will be discussed. The second section will review the current state of knowledge related to the pharmacogenetics of nicotine replacement therapy and other smoking cessation agents. Discussion will also address the role of genetic testing in the choice of therapy, and the potential economic impact of pharmacogenetics on smoking cessation therapies.

Neurophysiology of nicotine dependence

Nicotine is a tertiary amine that exerts its effects on the nervous system by binding and activating nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) located at peripheral ganglia and in the central nervous system. Nicotine is readily absorbed into the bloodstream when inhaled as a component of cigarette smoke, it enters the alveolar spaces of the lung and is absorbed across the respiratory epithelium to rapidly enter the bloodstream. Upon entering the cerebral circulation nicotine readily...
neurotransmitter GABA has hyperpolarizing effects on dopaminergic neurons. The excitatory neurotransmitter glutamate and the desire to avoid the negative symptoms associated with mesolimbic system involved in cognition, reward-motivated behavior, and emotional memory. These regions include the prefrontal cortex, of the mesolimbic system of the brain [7,11]. The mesolimbic system is thought to be the primary mechanism for activating "reward pathways" of the brain.

Activation of reward pathways: The potential for addiction to nicotine stems from its actions on nAChRs associated with activation of the mesolimbic system of the brain [7,11]. The mesolimbic system is composed of a number of distinct brain regions connected by neural projections. Many of these neuronal connections are made by dopaminergic neurons. Increased dopamine (DA) secretion in the mesolimbic system is particularly important for the perception of reward. A growing field of data from both animal and human studies indicates that dopaminergic neurotransmission from the VTA to the nucleus accumbens is increased by numerous drugs of abuse and represents a common pathway in addiction [12].

Mesolimbic dopaminergic pathways involved in motivation and reward largely originate in the ventral tegmental area (VTA), which is located in the midbrain region of the brainstem (Figure 1). Projections of dopaminergic neurons extend from the VTA to other regions of the mesolimbic system involved in cognition, reward-motivated behavior, and emotional memory. These regions include the prefrontal cortex, the nucleus accumbens, the amygdala, and the hippocampus. Increased dopaminergic neurotransmission to the nucleus accumbens is particularly important for the perception of reward. A growing field of data from both animal and human studies indicates that dopaminergic neurotransmission from the VTA to the nucleus accumbens is increased by numerous drugs of abuse and represents a common pathway in addiction [12].

At concentrations achieved after smoking a cigarette, nicotine stimulates neurotransmission from dopaminergic neurons extending from the VTA to the nucleus accumbens [7,8,13,14]. This nicotine-induced increase in dopaminergic neurotransmission occurs primarily through an indirect mechanism involving changes in the secretions of glutamate and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA). These neurotransmitters contribute to the regulation of dopamine release from VTA neurons. The excitatory neurotransmitter glutamate promotes dopamine secretion primarily through activation of NMDA receptors on dopaminergic terminals. In contrast, the inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA has hyperpolarizing effects on dopaminergic neurons, thereby opposing dopamine secretion. Nicotine binds and activates nAChRs on glutamatergic and GABAergic neurons that synapse on VTA dopaminergic neurons. Initially, nAChR activation increases the secretion of both glutamate and GABA [15]. However, the nAChRs associated with GABAergic neurons are thought to quickly desensitize, leading to a net increase in dopamine secretion by VTA neurons due to the loss of the inhibitory effect of GABA [8,11,16-18].

In smokers, nicotine does not appear to be the only contributing factor to the activation of dopaminergic pathways of the brain’s reward system. Inhibition of dopamine inactivation by monoamine oxidase enzymes may also be important [18,19]. Monoamine oxidase (MAO) enzymes occur in two major isoforms, MAO-A and MAO-B. While both isoforms catalyze the oxidative deamination of dopamine, the MAO-B isofom exhibits greater affinity for dopamine than the MAO-A isofom. Thus, MAO-B is likely more important physiologically for the enzymatic inactivation of dopamine in the brain. In vitro and in vivo studies suggest that cigarette (tobacco) smoke contains non-nicotine components that increase dopamine levels in the mesolimbic system by reversible and irreversible inhibition of monoamine oxidase enzymes [20-23]. By blocking the degradation of dopamine in the brain, the presence of monoamine oxidase inhibitors in cigarette smoke would provide an additional mechanism to increase dopamine levels in the brain, further promoting the activation of the reward system and the development of addiction.

Neuroadaptation and dependence: Development of nicotine dependence begins with the binding of nicotine to specific nAChRs in the mesolimbic system of the brain. Nicotinic AChRs are ligand-gated cation channels that act as important regulators of neuronal excitability throughout the CNS, where they can be located at presynaptic, postsynaptic, and perisynaptic sites. Thus, nAChRs in the CNS regulate secretion of a wide range of neurotransmitters, including acetylcholine, dopamine, norepinephrine, serotonin, and glutamate, by affecting permeability of the neuronal membrane to Na⁺, Ca²⁺, and other cations [24]. In mesolimbic pathways, nAChRs enhance

![Figure 1: Schematic representation of nicotine effects on dopaminergic neurotransmission in the mesolimbic pathway.](image-url)
neurotransmission of both glutamatergic and GABAergic neurons, which have opposing effects on downstream dopaminergic neurons. However, due to the rapid desensitization of nAChRs associated with the inhibitory GABAergic neurons, the net effect is an increase in dopamine secretion in the reward pathways of the mesolimbic system.

The continued re-administration of nicotine (through smoking additional cigarettes) is likely an attempt to re-experience the rewarding effects of nicotine while avoiding withdrawal symptoms [7,10]. During the early stages of nicotine tolerance and addiction, smoking frequency is driven at the molecular level by the need to maintain specific populations of brain nAChRs in a saturated or near-saturated state [25]. However, data from *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies indicate that the nicotine intake required to avoid withdrawal symptoms and achieve this level of nAChR saturation is also sufficient to induce receptor desensitization [15,25,26]. These observations suggest that for many smokers, specific populations of nAChRs are maintained in the saturated, desensitized state in an attempt to avoid withdrawal symptoms.

A subtype-specific increase in the density of nAChRs in brains of smokers is well documented [27-29]. This upregulation of nAChRs is likely a homeostatic response to the wide scale receptor desensitization that is thought to occur in the mesolimbic pathways. As more nAChRs are produced, greater nicotine intake is required to maintain the degree of receptor saturation needed for avoidance of withdrawal symptoms. Thus, upregulation of nAChRs is thought to be an important neuroadaptation in the development of nicotine dependence.

**Withdrawal:** In the nicotine-dependent patient, the absence of nicotine makes the previously acquired neuroadaptive changes inappropriate, resulting in a biochemical imbalance in the brain [30]. Thus, after a period of abstinence from nicotine, withdrawal symptoms develop. During nicotine withdrawal, decreased dopamine signaling to the nucleus accumbens has been observed and is considered an important trigger for the onset of withdrawal symptoms [14]. In contrast, dopamine signaling to the prefrontal cortex is increased during nicotine withdrawal. This pattern of dopamine signaling has similarly been observed following exposure of animals to anxiogenic or aversive stimuli [31,32], suggesting that anxiety associated with nicotine withdrawal may at least in part be affected by changes in mesolimbic and mesocortical dopaminergic signaling. Other affective symptoms of nicotine withdrawal that are also associated with such changes in dopamine signaling in the brain include anhedonia, irritability, difficulty concentrating, and tobacco craving. Thus, alterations in patterns of dopamine neurotransmission in the mesolimbic and mesocortical systems have important roles in mediating the withdrawal syndrome.

In summary, the likelihood of developing nicotine dependence is influenced by a number of factors. Nicotine dose and the duration and frequency of nicotine exposure to brain cells have important impacts on neuroadaptation and development of withdrawal symptoms. Therefore, genetic changes that impact nicotine-induced activation of any of the brain pathways involved in addiction can influence the likelihood of nicotine dependence in an individual. Likewise, genetic changes that increase or decrease the rate of nicotine metabolism and clearance from the body also affect an individual’s risk of nicotine dependence.

**Pharmacogenomics of nicotine dependence**

**Pharmacokinetics of nicotine:** Due to the widespread use of nicotine-containing tobacco products, the pharmacokinetic properties of nicotine have been extensively studied [26,33]. Nicotine inhaled in cigarette smoke is rapidly absorbed across the respiratory membrane, producing a rapid rise in plasma nicotine concentration. Upon entering the circulation, it distributes to the tissues relatively quickly. In the average human, the distribution half-life of nicotine is approximately 8-20 minutes. Nicotine is metabolized by a number of pathways that are primarily housed in the liver, and nicotine and its metabolites are almost entirely excreted by the kidneys. Due to its rapid distribution and metabolism, the plasma half-life of nicotine is approximately two hours. A number of nicotine metabolites are readily detected in urine, with only approximately 8-10% of absorbed nicotine excreted unchanged (Figure 2). The urinary metabolites serve as indicators of the relative importance of various pathways for nicotine metabolism. Cotinine is the major urinary metabolite, representing approximately 75% of total nicotine metabolites detected in urine [26,33]. Nicotine is converted to cotinine by a short reaction pathway involving two steps. In the first reaction, a cytochrome P450 (CYP450) enzyme converts nicotine to the nicotine iminium ion. The second reaction is catalyzed by cytoplasmic aldehyde oxidase and produces cotinine. Most of the cotinine that is produced is further metabolized to products that, like cotinine, are excreted in urine. The major CYP450 enzyme responsible for catalyzing the first reaction in the formation of cotinine is CYP2A6 [34,35]. In addition to the C-oxidation of nicotine, CYP2A6 also catalyzes a later reaction in the pathway in which cotinine is converted to trans-3'-hydroxycotinine. *In vitro* data suggest that CYP2A6 is responsible for greater than 80% of cotinine formation from nicotine, with CYP2B6 responsible for most of the remaining cotinine formation [35]. In individuals producing functional CYP2A6 enzymes, other CYP450 enzymes are likely to have only minor roles in the metabolism of nicotine. However, cotinine formation by other CYP450 enzymes, such as CYP2B6, may become more important in the absence of a fully functional CYP2A6 enzyme [36].

Based on the urinary nicotine metabolite profile, other pathways of nicotine metabolism play relatively minor roles in most individuals [26,33]. After cotinine, the next most abundant primary metabolite of nicotine is nicotine N'-oxide, which composes 4-7% of total nicotine urinary metabolites, and (S)-nicotine-N'-β-glucuronide, which composes 3-5% of nicotine urinary metabolites (Figure 2). Nicotine N'-oxide is formed by the N-oxidation of the pyrrolidine ring of nicotine, a reaction catalyzed by flavin-containing monoxygenase 3 (FMO3). UGT enzymes catalyze the N-glucuronidation of nicotine to produce (S)-nicotine-N'-β-glucuronide. Other primary metabolites of nicotine each compose 2% or less of total urinary metabolites of nicotine and are not considered to be major pathways for the metabolism and inactivation of nicotine in humans.

**Polymorphisms affecting nicotine pharmacokinetics:** The pharmacokinetic parameters of nicotine allow cigarette smokers fine control over the nicotine-mediated effects that they experience [10,37]. Inhaled nicotine is rapidly absorbed to the bloodstream. The short plasma half-life of nicotine reflects its rapid distribution, metabolism, and elimination. Despite the short plasma half-life, plasma levels of nicotine can rise throughout the day, especially in individuals who smoke one or more cigarettes per hour. By altering the pattern and frequency of inhalations and the number of cigarettes smoked, smokers can titrate plasma nicotine concentrations with relative...
precision to produce the desired effects. Anything that alters the pharmacokinetic parameters of inhaled nicotine is likely to affect smoking behavior as well as the likelihood for nicotine addiction in that individual [6,38,39].

**Figure 2: Important pathways for nicotine metabolism in humans.**

The majority of nicotine is converted to cotinine, which composes approximately 75% of the total urinary metabolites of nicotine [6,27]. Cotinine is produced by a two-step process catalyzed by a CYP450 enzyme and cytosolic aldehyde oxidase. CYP2A6 is the major CYP450 enzyme catalyzing the first reaction, with about 80% of total cotinine formation dependent on the activity of this enzyme. CYP2B6 has a minor role in catalyzing the first reaction in cotinine formation, but enzyme can assume a larger role in individuals with deficiencies in CYP2A6 activity. Other pathways contributing to nicotine metabolism include the N-oxidation of nicotine by flavin-containing monooxygenase 3 (FMO3) and glucuronidation of nicotine by UDP-glucuronosyltransferases (UGT). Other pathways of nicotine metabolism have minor roles, each contributing to the formation of less than 2% of the total urinary metabolites of nicotine. About 8-10% of nicotine is excreted in the urine unchanged.

The rate at which nicotine is metabolized can influence smoking behavior and the risk of nicotine dependence [6,40-42]. Individuals with slower nicotine metabolism exhibit prolonged systemic exposure to nicotine as compared to those with normal metabolism [43]. Thus, slower nicotine elimination would be expected to reduce the frequency and intensity of withdrawal symptoms by decreasing the rate at which nicotine receptors in the brain become unoccupied. In support of this, slow metabolizers have been demonstrated to smoke fewer cigarettes than those with intermediate or “normal” levels of nicotine metabolism [39,42,44-47]. In a study by Pianezza and colleagues, individuals exhibiting slow nicotine metabolism were more common in the “never nicotine-dependent group” than in the “nicotine dependent group,” suggesting that individuals who metabolize nicotine slowly may be less likely to become nicotine-dependent [42]. In contrast, individuals who exhibit more rapid nicotine metabolism require greater nicotine intake to experience and maintain the effects of nicotine and avoid withdrawal effects [48]. This is supported by studies showing individuals with rapid nicotine metabolism smoke more cigarettes or smoke more intensely (e.g. depth of inhalation) than subjects with normal rates of nicotine metabolism [39,40].

The CYP2A6 enzyme, which catalyzes the major metabolic pathway for nicotine, exhibits a high degree of genetic variation among humans. Nearly 100 polymorphisms of the human CYP2A6 gene have been described (indexed at www.cypalleles.ki.se). At least 20 of these have been reported to be associated with altered rates of nicotine metabolism (Table 1) [27,48,49]. Individuals who express CYP2A6 variants with increased activity are described as “rapid metabolizers” of nicotine, while those expressing CYP2A6 variants with reduced activity are described as “slow metabolizers.”

The CYP2A6 gene (CYP2A6) is organized into 9 exons and occupies a 6kb region on the long arm of chromosome 19 (19p13.2). CYP2A6 is located within a 500kb CYP family gene cluster that includes CYP2A7 (with which CYP2A6 shares the greatest homology) and CYP2A13 [48,49]. The CYP2A6 allele that is considered to have “normal” enzymatic activity is CYP2A6*1A [50]. A number of polymorphisms have been identified, many of which were formed through various crossover events with CYP2A7. Some CYP2A6 polymorphisms result in changes in the coding sequence, affecting the intrinsic activity of the enzyme itself [51-55]. However, a number of CYP2A6 polymorphisms have been identified that affect total CYP2A6 activity in the cell by influencing the enzyme level present in cells rather than its intrinsic activity. This can occur when the polymorphism affects mRNA and protein levels in the cell by altering expression rates or stability of these molecules. For example, altering promoter activity [56,57] or gene copy number [40,58] can change the total level of CYP2A6 activity in a cell by changing the total amount of enzyme made by the cell. Likewise, at least one polymorphism that is speculated to affect mRNA stability has been documented [59-61]. Polymorphisms of CYP2A6 also include those in which expression is altogether absent due to all or part (e.g. CYP2A6*4 variants) of a gene being deleted [49].

Like CYP2A6, the gene encoding CYP2B6 (CYP2B6) exhibits a high degree of variation in humans. Over 30 polymorphisms in the CYP2B6 gene have been described, with in vitro or in vivo data suggesting alterations in enzyme level or enzyme activity for at least 19 of these (www.cypalleles.ki.se). However, studies evaluating functional effects of CYP2B6 polymorphisms indicate that CYP2B6 genotype does not significantly affect nicotine C-oxidation in humans with fully functional CYP2A6 [62,63]. Data from these studies are consistent with older studies reporting that CYP2B6 has only a minor role in nicotine metabolism [34-36]. While current data indicate that CYP2B6 genotype does not significantly affect nicotine metabolism in most humans, these studies have not examined CYP2B6 genotype effects in individuals with impaired CYP2A6 function. However, evidence does exist that CYP2B6 genotype may influence patient success rates in response to certain smoking cessation therapies, as discussed below [64,65]. Therefore, the effect of CYP2B6 genotype on susceptibility to nicotine dependence and likely success in smoking cessation needs further examination.

Genetic variation in other enzymes that normally represent minor pathways in nicotine metabolism can impact nicotine inactivation and clearance, particularly in the absence of a fully functional CYP2A6 allele. Comparisons of urinary nicotine metabolite profiles in patients with wild-type CYP2A6 to those with nonfunctional CYP2A6 alleles have been used to demonstrate shifts in the relative importance of different metabolic pathways. This type of analysis has been used to show increased formation of nicotine N-oxide and nicotine N-glucuronide in subjects homozygous for the CYP2A6 deletion allele (e.g. CYP2A6*4/*4) versus those homozygous for the wild type allele (e.g. CYP2A6*1A/*1A) [66]. Changes were accompanied by more than a 15-fold decrease in cotinine formation. These data suggest that FMO- and UGT-mediated metabolic pathways can become upregulated to compensate for the absence of CYP2A6 function.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>Nucleotide or Structural Change</th>
<th>Effect on Total CYP2A6 Activity</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*1A</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*1x2</td>
<td>Gene duplication (increased copy number)</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>[40,58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*1B</td>
<td>Gene conversion in 3’UTR (increased mRNA stability)</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>[59,61,206,207]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*1H</td>
<td>SNP in 5’ flanking region decreases promoter activity (expression)</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*2</td>
<td>SNP in exon 3 (produces L160H mutated protein)</td>
<td>No activity</td>
<td>[50,51,208]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*3</td>
<td>Gene conversions in exons 3, 6, 8 (CYP2A6/CYP2A7 hybrid) (very rare)</td>
<td>No activity</td>
<td>[209]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*4</td>
<td>Gene deletions resulting from unequal crossover events between CYP2A6 and CYP2A7</td>
<td>No activity</td>
<td>[60,210-214]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*5</td>
<td>SNP in exon 9 (produces G479V mutated protein with reduced enzyme levels) and gene conversion in 3’-UTR$^d$</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*6</td>
<td>SNP in exon 3 (produces R128Q mutated protein with decreased intrinsic activity)</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*7</td>
<td>SNP in exon 9 (produces I471T mutated protein with decreased intrinsic activity)</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[53,215]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*8</td>
<td>SNP in exon 9 produces R485 mutated protein</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[216]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*9</td>
<td>SNP in TATA box$^c$ (decreases promoter activity)</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[56,217-219]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*10</td>
<td>Two SNPs in exon 9 (produces I471T and R486L mutated protein)</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[215,220]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*11</td>
<td>SNP in exon 5 (produces S224P mutated protein)</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[221]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*12</td>
<td>Unequal crossover in intron 2 between CYP2A6 and CYP2A7 (hybrid allele: 5’-flanking region and exons 1-2 of CYP2A7, exons 3-9 and 3’UTR of CYP2A6) (produces protein with 10 amino acid substitution with decreased intrinsic activity)</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[222,223]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*17</td>
<td>SNPs in exons 1, 3, 7, and 8 and in introns 1, 6, 7,and 8 SNP in exon 7 produces V365M mutated protein</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[43,45,54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*18</td>
<td>SNP in exon 8 produces Y392F mutated protein$^g$</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[55,216]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*19</td>
<td>SNPs in exon 8 and 9 produce Y392F and I471T mutated protein</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[55,216]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*20</td>
<td>A 2-nucleotide deletion in exon 4 produces a frame shift at codon 196; results in premature stop codon at exon 220 in exon 5 (truncated protein)</td>
<td>No activity</td>
<td>[43,224]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*21</td>
<td>SNP in exon 9 produces K476R mutated protein</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*22</td>
<td>SNP in exon 3 produces D158E and L160I mutated protein</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[225]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*23</td>
<td>SNP in exon 4 produces R203C mutated protein</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[43,45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*24</td>
<td>SNPs in exons 2 and 9 produce V110L, N438Y mutated protein; also contains the same 58bp CYP2A7 3’-UTR seen in CYP2A6*1B allele$^f$</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*25</td>
<td>SNP in exon 3 produced F118L mutated protein</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*26</td>
<td>SNPs in exon 3 produce F118L, R128L, and S131A mutated protein</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*27</td>
<td>SNPs in exon 3 and 4 produce F118L mutated protein with frameshift at codon 203 (R203FS)</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*28</td>
<td>SNPs in exon 8 produce a N418D and E419D mutated protein; also contains the same 58bp CYP2A7 3’-UTR seen in CYP2A6*1B allele$^f$</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6*35</td>
<td>SNP in exon 9 produces N438Y mutated protein$^g$</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>[216,226]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: CYP2A6 polymorphisms with proposed effects on nicotine metabolism. (Note: $^1$Two variants of CYP2A6*1x2 have been identified, CYP2A6*1x2A and CYP2A6*1x2B. The two forms are both the product of unequal crossover between CYP2A6 and CYP2A, resulting in a gene
metabolism. In addition to being expressed in liver, UGTs are present in a number of extrahepatic tissues and are abundant in most of the gastrointestinal tract and in the kidney. At least 19 UGT isoforms have been identified that are associated with increased (e.g. UGT1A4, UGT1A9*2, UGT1A9*3) N-glucuronidation activity [76,77]. However, no studies have evaluated the effects of these polymorphisms on nicotine metabolism in individuals with normal or impaired CYP2A6 function.

Pharmacodynamics of nicotine: Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) transduce the response to nicotine. Nicotinic AChRs are composed of five membrane-spanning subunits that associate to form a central pore through which cations flow during receptor activation [78]. Nicotinic AChR subunits have similar structural organization to those of other members of the ligand-gated ion channel superfamily (Figure 3). Each subunit is composed of an extracellular N-terminal region, four short transmembrane domains (M1-M4), and a short extracellular C-terminal region. The N-terminal extracellular domain of each subunit contributes to formation of a hydrophobic ligand binding pocket containing multiple extracellular ligand binding sites. The transmembrane region of neuronal nAChRs is organized into an outer and an inner ring. The M2 transmembrane domains of each of the five subunits come together to form the ion-conducting pore of the receptor (inner ring). The M2 domains also contribute to channel “gating” when the receptor is in the unbound state [79]. The other transmembrane domains of each subunit form an “outer ring” encircling the pore formed by the M2 regions. Two short loops link the M1 and M2 domains (intracellular loop) and the M2 and M3 domains (extracellular loop) of each subunit. A longer loop region containing an alpha helix connects the M3 and M4 subunit domains intracellularly. A portion of this long intracellular loop contributes to the charge and size selectivity of the channel. This M3-M4 loop region also contains phosphorylation sites that may contribute to regulation by promoting desensitization in certain nAChRs [80-82].

While normally a minor metabolite of nicotine, urinary nicotine N-glucuronide levels can increase in smokers with impaired CYP2A6 activity [66], suggesting an important compensatory role for UGTs in individuals with null alleles for CYP2A6. Nicotine N-glucuronide composes 3-5% of urinary nicotine metabolites in human smokers with normal CYP2A6 activity [26,33]. Nicotine N-glucuronide is formed by the action of UDP-glucuronosyltransferases (UGTs), microsomal enzymes found primarily in the liver that catalyze the conjugation of glucuronic acid to a number of drugs during phase II metabolism. In addition to being expressed in liver, UGTs are present in a number of extrahepatic tissues and are abundant in most of the gastrointestinal tract and in the kidney. At least 19 UGT isoforms have been described, and each exhibits a tissue-specific pattern of expression [71]. UGT1A4 is thought to be the primary isoform responsible for hepatic N-glucuronidation of nicotine, although other isoforms, such as UGT1A9 and UGT2B10 may also contribute [26,72,73]. Polymorphisms in UGT1A4 and UGT1A9 have been identified that are associated with increased (e.g. UGT1A4*24B, UGT1A9*22) N-glucuronidation activity [74,75] and decreased (e.g. UGT1A9*2, UGT1A9*3) N-glucuronidation activity [76,77]. However, no studies have evaluated the effects of these polymorphisms on nicotine metabolism in individuals with normal or impaired CYP2A6 function.

Figure 3: Schematic representations of the basic structure of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR). (A) Each receptor is composed of five subunits, each composed of four transmembrane domains (M1-M4). The M2 domains form the channel pore and contribute to channel gating when the receptor is in the inactive state. The other domains form an outer ring that encircles the M2-based pore. (B) The four transmembrane domains (M1-M4) of each subunit are joined by variable length loops regions. Two short loops link the M1 and M2 domains (intracellular loop) and the M2 and M3 domains (extracellular loop). The region joining M3 and M4 is composed of a longer, intracellular loop containing an alpha helix and has a role in channel selectivity and receptor desensitization. The N-terminal extracellular domain of each subunit contributes to the formation of the hydrophobic binding pocket [78-82].
Subunit composition of neuronal nAChRs is highly variable and determines the pharmacologic properties of individual receptors. At least twelve isoforms of the nAChR subunits have been described (α1–α10, β1–β4), and many of these are expressed in the mammalian brain [7,8,10,78]. Neuronal nAChRs are either heteromeric (i.e. assembled from a combination of alpha and beta subunits) or homomeric (assembled from alpha subunits only). Ligand binding sites of nAChRs are formed by the extracellular N-terminal regions of adjacent subunits. The "principle component" of each ligand binding site is contributed by alpha subunits. Non-alpha subunits, when present, are thought to contribute a "complementary component" to ligand binding sites that do not appear to be essential to nAChR function but can affect ligand binding affinity, current amplitude, rate of desensitization, and other receptor properties [83-85].

The influence of subunit composition on nAChR response to agonists has been extensively studied [16,17,78,86,87]. Heteromeric neuronal nAChRs tend to form from some combination of alpha and beta subunits, generally with the stoichiometry of (α4β2*), α3β2*, or α3β4* nAChRs increases calcium permeability of the α6β2* nAChRs (asterisk indicates that these subunits are present) on the brain [7,8,10,78]. Neuronal nAChRs are either heteromeric (i.e., homomeric nAChRs; however, these homopentameric nAChRs tend to form at lower concentrations of nicotine than other nAChRs [17,84]. Neuronal nAChRs tend to form from some combination of alpha and beta subunits) or homomeric (assembled from alpha subunits only). Ligand binding sites of nAChRs are formed by the extracellular N-terminal regions of adjacent subunits. The "principle component" of each ligand binding site is contributed by alpha subunits. Non-alpha subunits, when present, are thought to contribute a "complementary component" to ligand binding sites that do not appear to be essential to nAChR function but can affect ligand binding affinity, current amplitude, rate of desensitization, and other receptor properties [83-85].

The influence of subunit composition on nAChR response to agonists has been extensively studied [16,17,78,86,87]. Heteromeric neuronal nAChRs tend to form from some combination of alpha and beta subunits, generally with the stoichiometry of (α4β2*), α3β2*, or α3β4* nAChRs increases calcium permeability of the α6β2* nAChRs (asterisk indicates that these subunits are present) on the brain [7,8,10,78]. Neuronal nAChRs are either heteromeric (i.e., homomeric nAChRs; however, these homopentameric nAChRs tend to form at lower concentrations of nicotine than other nAChRs [17,84]. Neuronal nAChRs tend to form from some combination of alpha and beta subunits) or homomeric (assembled from alpha subunits only). Ligand binding sites of nAChRs are formed by the extracellular N-terminal regions of adjacent subunits. The "principle component" of each ligand binding site is contributed by alpha subunits. Non-alpha subunits, when present, are thought to contribute a "complementary component" to ligand binding sites that do not appear to be essential to nAChR function but can affect ligand binding affinity, current amplitude, rate of desensitization, and other receptor properties [83-85].

The α7, α8, or α9 subunits are capable of forming functional homomeric nAChRs; however, these homopentameric nAChRs tend to exhibit lower binding affinities for agonists and may require higher nicotine concentrations for desensitization than heteromeric nAChRs [78]. In contrast to other nAChR α subunits, the α5 subunit is considered to be an "orphan" subunit, due to the lack of evidence that it can form a functional receptor without the presence of other functional α- and β-subunits present in the nAChR [78,89,90]. Orphan subunits can also be called "auxiliary" subunits because they modulate the activity of a nAChR when expressed with functional α- and β-subunits [89,90]. For example, insertion of α5 subunit into a functional α4β2*, α3β2*, or α3β4* nAChRs increases calcium permeability of the ion-conducting pore and increases receptor desensitization rate. When expressed with α3β2 and α4β2 receptors, α5 alters the potency of certain agonists. Subunit composition also influences coupling of the receptor to downstream Ca2+ pathways [78,91]. The increase in calcium permeability that follows activation of homomeric α7 nAChRs is potentiated by downstream activation of Ca2+ induced Ca2+ release in some cell types [92]. Activation of other nAChR types, such as α5β2*, α3β4* nAChRs, also may be associated with intracellular [Ca2+] increases that may involve coupling to voltage-gated calcium channels [93].

Nicotine action in the brain results in a combination of the rewarding effects associated with nAChR activation in the certain brain regions, and the noxious effects of nAChR activation in other brain regions [86,94]. These effects are dose-dependent and are related to the tendency of smokers to titrate nicotine concentrations by adjusting intake. In the mesolimbic reward pathways, multiple nAChR subtypes are expressed, each affecting mesolimbic activity differently. Research related to nicotine dependence and smoking cessation has traditionally focused on α4β2* receptors, due to the high affinity for ligand binding and early recognition of the high abundance of expression in reward pathways of the human brain [78,95-98]. However, differential expression patterns of nAChRs with distinct subunit composition are likely key determinants of whether specific mesolimbic pathways are up- or downregulated in response to chronic exposure to nicotine. Data suggest that α4β2* nAChRs are the primary nAChR type located on GABAergic neurons in mesocorticolimbic pathways that downregulate dopamine secretion [99]. These receptors are activated in response to lower concentrations of nicotine and exhibit rapid desensitization. Homopentameric α2 - nAChRs promotes the positive reinforcement effects of nicotine in mesolimbic pathways [100], possibly through enhancing glutamate neurotransmission in the VTA, which upregulates downstream dopamine secretion [101]. In mesolimbic pathways, α5 - nAChRs on glutamatergic neurons require higher nicotine concentrations for activation and for desensitization and therefore desensitize more slowly. The combined effects of nicotine on these two populations of nAChRs produce a net increase in dopamine signaling during the mesolimbic response to nicotine.

Aversive effects are experienced in humans, non-human primates, and rodents in response to high doses of nicotine. These effects likely are mediated via distinct patterns of nAChR expression and subunit composition [94,102]. The habenulo-interpeduncular tract (medial habenula to interpeduncular nucleus) is a region of the brain involved in suppression of mesolimbic reward pathways and avoidance of noxious stimuli. Nicotinic AChRs are expressed at high levels in the habenulo-interpeduncular tract. Nicotine binding to nAChRs in this tract is associated with an increased current through the channel, which is associated with increased aversion to nicotine. α3β4* nAChRs are abundant in the habenulo-interpeduncular tract. Activation of these receptors requires higher nicotine concentrations than α4β2* receptors of the mesolimbic pathways. Studies in mice have demonstrated that overexpression of the β4 subunit is associated with enhanced activity in the habenulo-interpeduncular tract and increased aversion in response to nicotine [103]. α3β4* nAChRs in this region often assemble with the auxiliary α5 subunit. The α5 and β4 subunits appear to have opposing effects, in which β4 receptors promote aversion to nicotine by enhancing activation of medial habenular pathways and α5 receptors reduce receptor currents, and thus reduce aversive effects in response to nicotine. This finding is supported by animal studies in which selective knockdown of the α5 subunit in the habenulo-interpeduncular tract resulted in significant increases in self-administration of nicotine [102]. Reintroduction of the CHRNA5 gene by injection of a viral vector into the medial habenular region reversed this effect. Thus, the presence of functional α5 subunits in nAChRs of the habenulo-interpeduncular tract may be important in limiting nicotine intake by mediating negative responses to nicotine.

**Polymorphisms affecting nicotine pharmacodynamics:** In addition to polymorphisms affecting nicotine's pharmacokinetic parameters, the risk of developing nicotine dependence also can be influenced by polymorphisms affecting the response to nicotine. Nicotine response can be impacted by variation of genes encoding the nicotine receptor subunits, as well as genes encoding key molecules mediating pathways downstream of receptor activation. Examples include components of the dopamine response pathways, such as dopamine receptors and...
Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit variants: Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits in humans are each encoded by individual genes located on six different chromosomes (chromosomes 1, 4, 8, 11, 15, and 20) [95]. Each gene designation is based on the “CHolinergic Receptor, Nicotinic” (CHRN) nomenclature, with the last letter and number indicating the specific subunit encoded. For example, CHRNA1 indicates the gene encoding the α1 subunit of nAChR. Some subunits are encoded by genes that are clustered together, a structural characteristic that may allow for common regulatory mechanisms for expression. Two major gene clusters that have been described in detail include the CHRNA5/CHRNA3/CHRNB4 gene cluster (located at 15q24-25) and the CHRNA3/CHRNA6 gene cluster (located at 8p11). Other nAChR subunit genes are present at separate loci in the human genome as follows: CHRNA2 (8p21), CHRNA4 (20q13.2-q13.3), CHRNA7 (15q14), CHRNA9 (4p14), CHRNA10 (11p15), CHRN2 (1q21) [95].

Genetic variation in the 15q24-25 locus containing the CHRNA3/CHRNA5/CHRNB4 gene cluster has been widely studied and is implicated in affecting smoking behaviors and a number of smoking-related outcomes. Of particular interest are the rs1051730 (CHRNA3 variant) and rs16969698 (CHRNA5) risk variants, which have been associated with greater intensity of smoking, number of cigarettes consumed per day, urinary and serum levels of nicotine and nicotine metabolites, and other signs/symptoms of nicotine dependence [104-113]. The mechanism by which these variants associate with nicotine dependence and smoking behaviors is not clear. The rs1051730 variant is a synonymous (missense) SNP in which the change in coding sequence of exon 5 does not result in a change in the amino acid sequence of the protein. The rs16969698 variant is a nonsynonymous SNP, which produces the D398N mutation in the α5 subunit protein. The rs16969698 risk variant (N398) has been associated with a reduced maximal response to agonist when heterologously expressed as a component of α4β2α5 nAChRs in HEK293 cells [114]. Additionally, when the α5 N398 variant is specifically expressed in the medial habenular region in Tabac mice, mice exhibited increased self-administration of nicotine [103]. Other studies showed that CHRNA5 knockout mice also exhibit an increase in self-administration of nicotine [102]. Taken together, these data suggest that any reduction of α5 subunit function may attenuate negative effects of nicotine and promote excessive nicotine use. Data from human genome-wide meta-analysis studies show associations of their 3' UTR [95], therefore, further studies may be needed to determine if this SNP also affects CHRNA5 activity. Rare missense variants in CHRN4 have also been identified and associated with protection from development of nicotine dependence. Recall that overexpression of β4 nAChRs in the habenulo-interpeduncular tract reduces nicotine intake by promoting aversive effects of nicotine in rodents [103]. Other studies have suggested that some CHRNA4 variants (rs61737499, rs12914008) may protect against nicotine dependence by reducing craving and withdrawal symptoms [120,124-126] or may increase susceptibility to nicotine dependence (rs6317523) by reducing signaling in habenulo-interpeduncular pathways [126].

Variation in the CHRNA6 and CHRN2B genes, which may share common regulatory elements, has also been implicated in increased risk for nicotine dependence. Among the CHRNA6/B3 polymorphisms associated with nicotine dependence are the rs13277254 polymorphism, which is located in the region upstream of the CHRNA6/B3 gene cluster, and rs4952, which is a synonymous SNP in the coding region of CHRNA3 [127-130]. However, the exact biological mechanisms by which these associations occur are unclear. Other polymorphisms of this gene cluster that may impact the risk for nicotine dependence include the SNP rs10958726, which occurs in the promoter region of the gene cluster [104], as well as other variants that occur near the CHRNA6/CHRNB3 gene cluster and may correlate with nicotine dependence [104,130].

Some CHRNA4 variants have been implicated in protection from nicotine dependence [131,132], while others have been implicated in increased risk of nicotine dependence [133-136]. However, some findings among these studies were contradictory and thus the role of CHRNA4 polymorphisms in risk for nicotine dependence requires further study for clarification. Variants of the CHRNA2B gene in which changes occur in the regions upstream and downstream of the coding region have also been linked to early nicotine responses and sensitivity to nicotine [137,138], suggesting that polymorphisms in this gene may also contribute to susceptibility to nicotine dependence. Additional studies on the biological significance of CHRNA4 and CHRN2 gene variants and their impacts on nicotine dependence are warranted, particularly when the importance of α4β2* nAChRs in reward pathways of the brain are taken into account.

Polymorphisms affecting dopamine pathways involved in nicotine dependence: One of the important effects of nicotine related to development of nicotine dependence is the release of neurotransmitters in the brain, including dopamine. Central dopamine effects are mediated by dopamine binding to dopamine receptors in the brain. There are multiple isoforms of the dopamine receptors that are differentially expressed in the CNS [139]. Two families of dopamine receptors have been described, D1-like receptors and D2-like receptors. Activation of the D1-like receptors, which include the D1, D3, and D5 dopamine receptors, results in G protein-mediated increases in cAMP production. In contrast, activation of D2-like receptors, which include D2, D3, and D4 dopamine receptors, results in G protein-mediated decreases in cAMP production. Some D2 and D3 receptors have been localized to presynaptic locations on brain neurons, and are thus thought to function in autoregulation of dopamine secretion. In addition to differential function of these receptors, the dopamine receptors also exhibit differential expression patterns in brain. The D1 and D2 dopamine receptors both exhibit widespread expression in the brain, whereas the D2, D3, and D4 dopamine transporter, both of which have function-altering polymorphisms among humans.
receptor, contains six introns. Variation in intronic sequences leads to involvement in nicotine dependence.

The DRD2 gene, which encodes the D2 receptor, contains six introns. Variation in intronic sequences leads to long- and short-splice variants of the DRD2 gene product (D2L and D2S, respectively). As a result of alternative splicing, the D2S variant lacks 29 amino acids that are present in the D2L variant. Additionally, intronic SNPs have been described that affect relative expression levels of the short- and long-splice variants [141]. A number of restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) have been identified in the DRD2 gene using the TaqI enzyme, including the TaqI-A (rs1800497), TaqI-B (rs1079597), TaqIC, and TaqID (rs1800498) polymorphisms. While associations with smoking behaviors have been reported for these, conflicting data make the functional impact unclear [140,142-145]. Sequence variation in the DRD2 promoter has also been reported (e.g. rs1799732: -141C Del/Ins), and data suggest that these variants are associated with altered expression levels of DRD2 [146], which could have implications on dopaminergic pathways involved in nicotine dependence.

The DRD4 gene, which encodes the D4 dopamine receptor, is the most polymorphic of the dopamine receptor genes. Variants of the DRD4 gene contain a variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) in exon 3. This VNTR produces changes in the length of the third intracellular loop of the D4 receptor protein due to insertion of 2-10 repeats of a 16-amino acid sequence that occurs in this region [139,140]. The functional implications of the exon 3 VNTRs are not well understood, but some studies suggest that VNTR polymorphisms in exon 3 may affect ligand-induced cAMP production, possibly by affecting D4 receptor levels [147-149]. DRD4 polymorphisms associated with tandem repeats in the promoter region approximately 1.2 kb upstream of the transcription start site have also been described, and a number of studies suggest functional effects on transcriptional activity of the gene [150-152].

Because the dopaminergic system has a major role in mediating nicotine dependence, any polymorphism that changes the efficiency of synaptic clearance of dopamine also would be expected to affect the risk of smokers becoming nicotine dependent. A major mechanism for terminating the effects of dopamine is via the removal of dopamine from the synapse by the dopamine transporter (DAT, encoded by the SLC6A3 gene). A number of polymorphisms have been described in DAT genes; however, because coding variants are rare, polymorphisms composed of VNTRs have been the focus of most association studies [139]. Associations of SLC6A3 polymorphisms with nicotine dependence and smoking behaviors have been investigated. For example, studies evaluating the activity of two SLC6A3 VNTRs (40 base pair repeating sequence in the 3'-UTR) have demonstrated that the 9-repeat allele is associated with lower DAT protein levels compared to the 10-repeat allele [153], and individuals expressing the 9-repeat allele exhibit a greater response to smoking cues than smokers with the 10-repeat allele [154,155]. Associations of the 10-repeat allele with early heavy smoking in adolescent smokers have also been reported [156]. The rs27072-A allele of SLC6A3 has been associated with early age of smoking onset (<18 years of age) in nicotine dependent smokers [157]. A number of other SLC6A3 polymorphisms have been studied for associations with nicotine dependence and smoking behaviors with varied and sometimes conflicting results [104,158,159].

Other important mechanisms in terminating the dopamine response involve dopamine metabolism. Dopamine is metabolized to homovanillic acid (HVA) by a series of reactions involving the combined actions of monoamine oxidase (MAO-A), aldehyde dehydrogenase, and catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT). A 30 bp VNTR in the 5'-UTR of the MAO-A gene has been described, and data suggest that the number of repeats in this region influences the transcriptional activity of the gene [139]. A single COMT gene encodes two isoforms of the enzyme, with isoform expression regulated by two distinct promoters in the gene. The longer gene product is membrane-bound, due to the presence of a 50-amino acid region that forms the transmembrane domain, which is absent in the shorter, soluble COMT isoform. A nonsynonymous SNP (rs4680) causes the Val>Met substitution in both the long (codon 158) and short (codon 108) forms of the COMT enzyme that is associated with reduced COMT protein levels and reduced overall COMT activity levels [160]. Another enzyme with a role in dopamine metabolism is dopamine beta hydroxylase, which catalyzes the conversion of dopamine to norepinephrine. At least one polymorphism (rs5320) has been described for the gene encoding this enzyme, and data suggest an influence of this variant on nicotine dependence in elderly Japanese subjects [161].

Pharmacotherapy of nicotine addiction

Given nicotine's highly addictive nature, successful smoking cessation can be quite difficult. Even with pharmacologic interventions, long-term smoking abstinence rates are generally less than 25% [162,163]. Nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) is currently the first-line therapy for smoking cessation. The rationale for NRT is to reduce the patients craving for cigarettes and to blunt withdrawal symptoms. NRT may be give through transdermal patch, chewing gum, nasal spray, inhaler or lozenges. Numerous randomized clinical trials have demonstrated the effectiveness of NRT in smoking cessation [164]. However, individual results and long-term abstinence rates with NRT can be highly variable. Part of this variation is likely related to a patient's genetic profile with regards to their nicotine addiction phenotype. Smokers who score higher on the Fagerström test for nicotine dependence are less likely to be successful with traditional NRT and may require higher doses or alternative pharmacotherapy. Pharmacogenetic variations in nicotine metabolism or targets may also impact the effectiveness of NRT in specific patients (Table 2).

A number of studies have demonstrated a relationship between CYP2A6 activity and a patient’s response to NRT [165-168]. Patients who expressed the CYP2A6*1B high activity allele metabolized nicotine faster and were less likely to quit smoking successfully when treated with NRT. Withdrawal symptoms were also more severe in this patient population [39]. In contrast, smokers with reduced activity CYP2A6 variants were twice as likely to quit smoking as those who did not carry the allele [168]. While genetic variants of CYP2B6 do not appear to impact the effectiveness of NRT, they may play a significant role in altering the efficacy of bupropion (see below section).

The enzyme catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) is a key enzyme involved in the degradation of dopamine. Nicotine has been shown to stimulate the release of dopamine from ventral tegmental

neurons and into areas of the brain such as the nucleus accumbens and medial frontal cortex which are involved with the rewarding effects of nicotine. In their comprehensive review, David et al. [170] summarizes genetic association results for 30 candidate genes polymorphisms from two clinical trials in the United Kingdom that utilized transdermal NRT to aid smoking cessation. Of the various polymorphisms studied, the most significant association with NRT effectiveness in smoking cessation was for COMT rs4680. This substitution polymorphism results in a three- to four-fold reduction in COMT activity. Patients with this polymorphism may be more sensitive to the effects of NRT and less susceptible to nicotine dependence. Johnstone et al. [171] in the 2007 Patch study (1868 patients from the United Kingdom) examined the association between COMT genotype and smoking cessation with transdermal NRT. The authors reported a greater efficacy of NRT with regards to abstinence rates in patients with the reduced COMT activity met/met genotype. In contrast, a recent study by Sun et al. [172] reported that sublingual nicotine replacement was more effective in Chinese patients (N=249) with high COMT activity Val variants than those with the low COMT activity Met allele. This finding differs from those conducted in western populations which reported increased NRT efficacy in patients with the low activity COMT variant. The authors postulate that individuals with the high activity COMT variant may have lower levels of dopamine in their frontal cortex and therefore may be more sensitive to increases in dopamine than those patients with normal or elevated dopamine levels.

Pharmacodynamic variants in nAChR subunits have been reported to alter a patient’s chances for successful smoking cessation and may impact the efficacy of NRT. Several studies have reported an association between CHRNA5-CHRNA3-CHRNA4 variants in the nAChR and successful smoking cessation. Chen et al. [173] examined data from two smoking cessation trials (5216 total patients of European descent) to determine the impact of nAChR variant on smoking cessation and relapse. The authors found a significant interaction between genetic variants in CHRNA5-CHRNA3-CHRNA4 and successful smoking cessation. Smokers with high-risk genetic variants showed a three-fold greater likelihood of responding to smoking cessation pharmacotherapy (NRT or bupropion) than those smokers with low-risk genetic variants. A strong association was also reported between CHRNA5-CHRNA3-CHRNA4 haplotypes and the heaviness of smoking. In an earlier study, Munafò et al. [174] reported a weak association between the rs1051730 polymorphism in the CHRNA3 gene and reduced likelihood of successful smoking cessation at follow-up after NRT transdermal patch. Sarginson et al. [175] reported that polymorphisms in the 15q24 region, which contained genes for nAChR subunits CHRNA5, CHRNA3, and CHRNA4, could predict the intensity of a smokers craving as well as the severity of their withdrawal symptoms. Data from two studies (571 patients in total) indicated that these polymorphisms were also associated with successful long-term (52 week) smoking abstinence with NRT or bupropion therapy.

There is evidence to suggest that polymorphisms in dopamine receptors may also impact the efficacy of NRT. Dopamine receptor variants have been associated with reduced transcriptional activity and thus reduced dopamine receptor expression [38]. Studies also indicate that dopamine receptor polymorphisms can increase chances that an individual will chose to begin smoking, lower the age at which they start smoking and impede their chances for successful smoking cessation. A 2006 study by Lerman et al. [176] in 368 European smokers showed individuals with the Del C allele of the DRD2 -141C Ins/DEL (rs1799732) polymorphism had higher quit rates when treated with NRT than those homozygous for the Ins C allele. A 2008 study by David et al. [177] examined the effect of functional polymorphism in the D4 receptor on the efficacy of NRT in 720 smokers of European ancestry. Study results showed that individuals with the variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) polymorphism in exon III of the dopamine 4 receptor (DRD4) gene had lower abstinence rates at 12-weeks of follow-up.

In addition to NRT a number of other pharmacologic agents have been utilized to aid in smoking cessation. There are currently two non-nicotinic drugs approved by the FDA for smoking cessation, varenicline tartrate (Chantix) and bupropion (Zyban). Both are available by prescription. Varenicline is a partial agonist/antagonist at the nicotinic α4β2 receptor. It was developed from cytisine, a naturally-occurring partial agonist/antagonist at this receptor. Leaves from the golden rain tree (koelreuteria paniculata) have been used for many years by various cultures as both a tobacco substitute and smoking cessation aid. Analysis of the plant shows that it produces alkaloids that are high in cytisine. Stimulation of neuronal α4β2 receptors by nicotine leads to the release of dopamine in the mesolimbic area, corpus striatum and frontal cortex, areas which are instrumental in the development of nicotine addiction. The partial agonist action of varenicline appears to cause some dopamine release (30-60%) which blunts the smoker’s cravings for nicotine. The drug also competes with nicotine for binding to the α4β2 receptor and thus reduces the pleasurable sensations that are associated with smoking. Modulation of dopamine release can also reduce craving and withdrawal symptoms during periods of abstinence.

A number of clinical trials have shown that varenicline was significantly better than placebo for both long term smoking abstinence and cessation [178]. Clinical studies have also reported that varenicline was superior to bupropion in reducing the incidence of smoking relapse during a 12 week period [179,180]. Despite its short-term effectiveness, long term (12 months) smoking abstinence rates with varenicline are still only on the order of 20-30% with great inter-individual variability [39,181]. A portion of this variability might be attributed to genetic variation in varenicline’s target, the nicotinic α4β2 receptor (Table 2). In a 2012 study, King et al. [182] conducted a pharmacogenetic analysis of various candidate genes from 2699 patients enrolled in three clinical trials to determine their effect on the efficacy of varenicline on long-term (9-12 months) smoking abstinence rates. Candidate genes examined included those for nicotinic receptors, metabolizing enzymes, and drug transporters. The authors identified a number of polymorphisms in multiple loci that encoded for various nicotinic receptor subunits which appeared to impact response to varenicline. Two of these, the CHRNA4 and CHRNA8 loci on chromosome 15q25, encode the α4 and β2 subunits of the nicotinic receptors which are targets for varenicline binding. Other polymorphisms in the CHRNA5/CHRNA3/CHRN8A4 gene cluster encoding the α5, α 3, and β 4 nAChR subunits, respectively, were also associated with variations in varenicline response [182]. This is an interesting finding since these loci have likewise shown an association with nicotine dependence [97,100].

The most common adverse effect associated with varenicline use is nausea. The incidence of this adverse effect can be significant and is the most common reason for discontinuance [180]. Swan et al. [183] found a significant association between several acetylcholine receptor subunit variants in the CHRNA2 gene and the severity of nausea. Individuals who possessed minor variants in the CHRNA2 allele

experienced less varenicline-induced nausea than those without the minor allele. King et al. [182] reported that the chr15q25 locus which includes genes for nicotinic receptor subunits (CHRNA4, CHRNA5 and CHRNA3) was the predominate locus associated with both nicotine dependence and nausea related to varenicline treatment. The authors postulated that the nausea patients experienced while trying to quit may be directly related to nicotine dependence. Smokers with a greater intake of nicotine may be more tolerant to its effects and therefore less likely to experience nausea from varenicline.

In 2011 the FDA identified a small but increased risk of certain cardiovascular events such as angina pectoris, nonfatal myocardial infarction, coronary artery reocclusion and new diagnosis of peripheral vascular disease, in patients using varenicline (http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm259161.htm). A systematic review and meta-analysis by Singh et al. [184] confirmed the increased risk for serious cardiovascular events with varenicline when compared to placebo. In addition to cardiovascular effects, the FDA has adding boxed warnings about serious mental health effects that include changes in behavior, depressed mood, hostility, and suicidal thoughts that have occurred in patients taking this drug. Recent evaluation of clinical data suggests that varenicline dramatically increases the risk of suicide and suicide-related behavior when compared with other smoking cessation medications. To date, the basis for these adverse effects has not been fully identified and it is too early to tell at this point if pharmacogenetic variation plays any role in the occurrence of these side effects in patient populations.

Bupropion was initially marketed as an antidepressant however the sustained-release form (Zyban) is FDA-approved for use in smoking cessation. In the brain, bupropion inhibits the reuptake of dopamine and norepinephrine. The mechanism of bupropion’s effect in smoking cessation appears to be related to enhanced dopaminergic signaling in the mesolimbic pathways. These pathways and the nucleus accumbens in particular, are important for the perception of nicotine reward. There is also evidence that bupropion might be a weak agonist at certain nAChR. One drawback to the use of bupropion is that it can lower seizure threshold and should not be used in patients with seizure disorders.

The molecular genetics related to bupropion efficacy have been widely investigated (Table 2). A 2012 meta-analysis study by King et al. [182] examined data from three large-scale clinical trials related to smoking cessation therapies. In 826 smokers receiving bupropion, the authors identified an association between CYP2B6 polymorphisms (the primary enzyme responsible for metabolizing bupropion) and the efficacy of bupropion. The CYP2B6 polymorphisms identified by the authors were also associated with increased long-term (9-52 weeks) abstinence rates in smokers treated with bupropion. Lerman et al. [185] found that CYP2B6 slow metabolizers reported greater cigarette cravings and had higher relapse rates. However, the study found that bupropion was able to attenuate the effects of this genotype in female smokers. The effect of this polymorphism was likely not related to changes in bupropion metabolism but rather altered rates of nicotine inactivation. A second study by Lee et al. [64] found that smokers (N=147) with the CYP2B6*6 genotype had significantly higher rates of smoking abstinence with bupropion after 10 weeks of treatment and at a 6 month follow-up. In smokers with the CYP2B1*1 genotype (N=179), bupropion and placebo were equally effective in terms of abstinence. Findings from this study suggest that smokers with the CYP2B6*6 genotype derive significant benefit from bupropion treatment while those with the CYP2B1*1 genotype might benefit from therapies other than bupropion. Patterson et al. [186] examined the relationship between patient rates of nicotine metabolism and the effectiveness of bupropion therapy. In their study of 414 smokers, they found that quit rates for fast nicotine metabolizers were significantly increased by bupropion while those who were slow metabolizers did not benefit from bupropion therapy.

A genome-wide association study by Uhl et al. [187] examined a population of European American smokers (N=550) who were successful or unsuccessful at smoking abstinence using NRT, bupropion or placebo. The study identified a number of genetic variants in quit-success genes related to cell adhesion, cell enzymes, DNA/RNA and protein processing. The results support the theory that there are many genes that can impact a smoker ability to successfully abstain from smoking. While a number of these genes involved in nicotine dependence and withdrawal may overlap, the genes impacting successful smoking abstinence with bupropion are not necessarily the same as those affecting success with NRT. This conclusion is supported by the fact that the pharmacologic targets for bupropion (dopamine transport) and NRT (nAChR) differ.

A second genome-wide association study by Conti et al. [188] was performed on a patient population of European ancestry (N=412) who received either bupropion or placebo for smoking cessation. The overall abstinence rate for bupropion was 32% versus 21.5% for placebo. By six months abstinence rates had dropped to 25.7% in the bupropion group and 17.4% in the placebo group. Using a system-based candidate gene approach, the authors identified a SNP (rs2072661) in the β2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit (CHRNA2) that significantly impacted abstinence rates at the end of the treatment period and after a six-month follow-up. While the effects of this SNP were independent of treatment, the authors reported a significant difference in relapse rates between those with the SNP and those without at the end of the bupropion treatment period. Relapse rates were higher for patients carrying the minor allele after they stopped taking bupropion than those who were not carriers.

Variations in dopaminergic genes (dopamine transporter, dopamine receptor) have also been reported to alter the efficacy of bupropion in smoking cessation. Leventhal et al. [189] examined the effect of variable number tandem repeat (VNTR) polymorphisms on the efficacy of bupropion for smoking cessation. In a population of 524 smokers of white European descent, the study found that a DRD4 exon III VNTR for the D4 dopamine receptor subtype had a significant effect on bupropion efficacy. Patients with at least on copy on the long or L allele (seven or more repeats) exhibited increased rates of smoking abstinence with bupropion as compared to placebo. Carriers of the L allele also exhibited higher rates of relapse that those with the S5 genotype (no copies). Risk of relapse in carriers of the L allele was reduced by bupropion treatment.

Earlier studies by Lerman et al. [176] also identified two variants in dopamine D2 receptors that could alter patient response to bupropion, namely DRD2-141C Ins/Del. Smokers who were homozygous for the Ins C allele exhibited a better response to bupropion treatment than those with the Del C allele. Conversely, smokers carrying the Del C allele showed statistically higher quit rates with NRT than those homozygous for the Ins C allele. The results of the study suggest that genotyping of patients with regards to their DRD2 genotype could help identify which patients would be best treated with bupropion or NRT.
Table 2: Genetic polymorphisms impacting smoking cessation therapies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Impacted</th>
<th>Polymorphism</th>
<th>Effect of Polymorphism</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NRT:</td>
<td></td>
<td>CYP2A6*1B</td>
<td>Increased nicotine metabolism, Reduced NRT success</td>
<td>[165-168]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>COMTrs4680</td>
<td>Reduced COMT activity, Increased NRT efficacy</td>
<td>[171,172]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHRNA5-CHRRA3-CHRRA4</td>
<td>Alterations in nAChR activity. Altered responsiveness to NRT.  May also impact craving and withdrawal.</td>
<td>[173-175]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DRD2-141C Ins/Del</td>
<td>Altered dopamine receptor expression. Del C allele associated with increased NRT efficacy</td>
<td>[38,176]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DRD4 VNTR</td>
<td>Reduced likelihood of abstinence at 12 weeks.</td>
<td>[177]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varenicline:</td>
<td>CHRNA5-CHRRA3-CHRRA4</td>
<td>Variation in varenicline response. Nausea with varenicline treatment.</td>
<td>[182]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHRNA2</td>
<td>Nausea with varenicline treatment.</td>
<td>[183]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bupropion:</td>
<td>CYP2B6*6</td>
<td>Increased bupropion efficacy in smoking cessation.</td>
<td>[64]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DRD4 exon III VNTR</td>
<td>Increased bupropion efficacy in smoking cessation.</td>
<td>[189]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DRD2-141C Ins/Del</td>
<td>Increased bupropion smoking quit rates with Del C allele.</td>
<td>[176]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norplantyline:</td>
<td>SLC6A4</td>
<td>Altered dopamine transporter activity. Increased norplantyline efficacy with high activity variants.</td>
<td>[192-195]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB1 Antagonists:</td>
<td>DRD2 A1</td>
<td>Increased efficacy of rimonabant in smoking cessation</td>
<td>[198-200]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

short-term smoking abstinence. Patients with the 9-repeat allele variant of the SLC6A3, DAT1 gene were more likely to remain abstinent for 1 week following bupropion or NRT. However, the effect was no longer significant at a 4-week follow-up. An earlier study by Lerman et al. [191] in 418 smokers likewise reported a positive association between the 9-repeat allele of the dopamine transporter gene and quit rates as well as time to relapse. Genetic variants in serotonin transporter genes may likewise play a role in the efficacy of bupropion for smoking cessation. Quaak et al. [192] examined the effect of serotonin transporter gene variants on the efficacy of bupropion therapy. In a study of 70 mainly Caucasian smokers receiving bupropion, the authors reported that patients carrying the high-activity L-variant (5-HTTLPR) of the serotonin transporter gene (SLC6A4) showed significantly better and longer abstinence rates. This finding is interesting in that it suggests bupropion might have significant effects on serotonin transport that are also related to smoking cessation. The serotonin effect on smoking cessation and abstinence might also be unique to bupropion since earlier studies related to the 5-HTTLPR genotype did not report any association with this variant and NRT effectiveness [193,194]. In 2011, the FDA added boxed warnings about serious mental health events to prescribing information for and bupropion (Zyban, Wellbutrin). An increased risk of serious mental health events including changes in behavior, depressed mood, hostility, and suicidal thoughts have been reported in patients taking these drugs.

Nortriptyline (an antidepressant) and clonidine (used for treatment of hypertension) are not currently approved for smoking cessation therapies but are used as second-line agents. Nortriptyline exerts its pharmacologic effect mainly by inhibiting the reuptake of norepinephrine at nerve terminals. Although studies report that smoking cessation rates may be equivalent to those of bupropion treatment, it remains a second-line agent primarily because of side effects that occur in about three-quarters of patients. Sedation and anticholinergic effects, particularly dry mouth, predominate. A study by Mooney et al. [195] reporting that the association between self-reported nortriptyline side effects and plasma concentrations of drug is weak and inconsistent. Evidence suggests that much of the variability in plasma nortriptyline levels may be due to polymorphisms in CYP2D6 [196]. Genetic variations in the serotonin transporter (5HTT) gene (SLC6A4) located on chromosome 17 might also impact the efficacy of nortriptyline since this transporter is a primary target for the drug. In a study of 214 smokers, Quaak et al. [192] reported that nortriptyline was more effective in smoking cessation following treatment and at 6 and 12 months in patients with 4-5 high activity variants of the serotonin transporter. Other studies have reported that the presence of serotonin transporter variants did not impact smoking cessation rates for NRT [193,194]. A case can be made that genetic analysis might be used to identify smokers who would be more likely to respond to nortriptyline based on serotonin transporter variants and who might be least likely to experience side effects based on their CYP2D6 activity. Clonidine, a α2-adrenergic receptor agonist, is also used as a second-line agent for smoking cessation and has been employed with some success in the treatment of opioid withdrawal. Significant side effects such as sedation and dry mouth can occur in two-thirds of patients and negatively impact patient compliance. While the efficacy of clonidine in prolonged smoking cessation falls short of other agents [197], it may have a role in treating symptoms of nicotine withdrawal. Cannabinoid (CB1) receptors are located throughout cerebral cortex, basal ganglia and limbic structures. These receptors appear to...
play a role in regulating food intake as well as in modulating the rewarding effects of nicotine [198]. Studies with knockout mice [199] have demonstrated that the rewarding effects of nicotine were absent when the CB1 receptors were absent. Rimonabant, a selective CB1 endocannabinoid receptor antagonist originally developed for the treatment of obesity, has also been used clinically to aid with smoking cessation. Wilcox et al. [200] reported that the overall effectiveness of rimonabant in smoking cessation was affected by the presence of dopamine receptor gene (DRD2) polymorphisms. Patients with the DRD2 A1* genotype (A1/A1, A1/A2) are more prone to nicotine dependence. Rimonabant was significantly more effective in smokers with the DRD2 A2* genotype (A2/A2) but showed no benefit in those with the DRD2 A1* genotype. Drugs like rimonabant which antagonize CB1 receptors have the added benefit that they may causes weight loss through appetite suppression and thus help offset the weight gain is often associated with smoking cessation. However, adverse psychiatric events such as anxiety, depression and increased suicide risk led to the drug being removed from the market and are limiting factors in the potential further development of this class of agents. Lazard et al. [201] suggested that genomic screening might allow for the safe use of CB1 antagonists in selected populations with a reduced risk of adverse effects. The negative psychiatric effects of rimonabant were reported to be dose-dependent. Since rimonabant is metabolized by CYP450 enzymes it is possible that the risk for potential side effects would be increased in patients who were "slow metabolizers" of the drug. A rodent study by Beyer et al. [202] reported that chronic treatment with rimonabant caused significant reduction in cortical serotonin levels which might explain the depressive effects of the drug. Known polymorphisms in the serotonin transporter (SERT) gene (SLC6A4) or the CB1 receptor gene (CNR1) could potentially enhance the negative effects of CB1 antagonists in patients with these variants.

Genetic testing and pharmacotherapy: A considerable body of evidence suggests that a patient’s likelihood of long-term smoking cessation is influenced by their genetic make-up. The efficacy of various pharmacologic interventions for smoking cessation is likewise altered by pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic genetic variants within that patient. Genetic screening of patients prior to selecting a particular pharmacotherapeutic intervention could help physicians choose agents (or doses of a particular agent) that would have a greater likelihood for success in that particular patient. For example, patients with high activity variants of CYP2B6 might require higher doses of bupropion or be better candidates for NRT. Conversely, CYP2A6 rapid metabolizers have been shown to respond better to treatment with bupropion since this enzyme is involved in the metabolism of nicotine. Genetic testing might also be used to select appropriate drug doses. Rose et al. [203] divided 457 smokers into two distinct subsets based on their “quit-success” genotype scores. These scores were based on an assessment of alleles at 12,058 SNP’s identified in previous studies as significantly impacting a patient’s chances for successful smoking cessation. Patients were treated with NRT for 10 weeks at a low (21 mg) or high (42 mg) dose. Data from the study revealed that high dose NRT was most effective in highly dependent smokers with a low quit success genotype. This study exemplifies how genotyping could be used to choose the best dose of NRT for a specific patient population. Interestingly, the high dose of NRT actually reduced smoking cessation success rates in patients who were less smoking dependent and had low quit success genotype. Variations in the drug receptor targets such as the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor and dopamine (D2, D4) receptors can also impact the efficacy of specific pharmacotherapies. A study by Lerman et al. [176] examined the effect of genetic variation in dopamine D2 receptors on efficacy of NRT and bupropion. Their findings suggest that bupropion might be more efficacious in smokers homozygous for the DRD2-141 Ins C allele (associated with increased transcriptional efficiency) while NRT might be the preferred treatment in patients carrying the Del C allele. In effect, patients with reduced dopamine receptor numbers or activity would likely be better candidates for NRT while those with enhanced receptor activity or number might be better suited for bupropion therapy. In addition to optimizing pharmacotherapy, genetic testing may also be used to reduce the occurrence of adverse effects since the likelihood of adverse effect increases in the patients with specific genetic variants in metabolizing enzymes, drug transporters and target receptors.

Several studies have also examined the potential cost-effectiveness of using pharmacogenetic testing to individualize smoking cessation therapies. Heitjan et al. [204] used a Monte Carlo simulation with 40,000 hypothetical smokers and data from several clinical trials of tobacco dependence to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of various smoking-cessation therapies both alone and in conjunction with the use of a genetic test to choose the therapy. The DRD2-141C Ins/Del polymorphism was chosen as the modifier for treatment therapy. Successful smoking cessation therapy in general has been found to be cost effective given its ability to offset the long-term costs associated with the development of smoking-related health conditions [205]. According to Heitjan et al. [204] under appropriate conditions, a pharmacogenetic-based treatment regimen can both reduce costs and increase patient survival time when compared to a generalized treatment regimen. A 2012 pilot study by McClure et al. [205] demonstrated that it was possible to effectively deliver a personalized program for smoking cessation that included genetic testing, counseling and pharmacotherapy of patients without face-to-face contact. Subjects enrolled in the study returned saliva samples for ANKK1 rs 1800497 genotyping and cotinine analyses to confirm smoking cessation during the trial. Behavioral counseling was conducted by phone and personalized pharmacotherapy (NRT or bupropion based on genotype) delivered to patients by mail.

Although there is a significant body of literature regarding the pharmacogenetics of nicotine addiction and smoking cessation therapies, research in this area poses a number of inherent challenges and findings should be interpreted with caution. Many pharmacogenetic studies of smoking and smoking cessation examine only one particular target or gene. Others may only include patients of a single sex or ethnic background. Nicotine addiction and withdrawal are highly complex phenomenon not governed by a single gene. Numerous genes are likely involved in the development of addiction, efficacy of pharmacotherapy and manifestations of withdrawal. Results from the genome-wide association study by Uhl et al. [187] highlight that fact. Genetic variants associated with successful smoking cessation with NRT or bupropion were not only related to substance dependence and memory but also to cell adhesion, enzyme activity and transcriptional, structural and protein-handling. Complex interactions between gene expression and environmental influences must also be considered along with the potential impact of other non-genetic factors such as lifestyle, age, and diet. The act of smoking likewise has a significant behavioral and social component that is difficult to quantify and define.
Summary

Although significant progress has been made in recent years with regards to our understanding of nicotine addiction, many important questions remain unanswered. One is the role that an individual’s genetic make-up plays in both altering their risk for nicotine addiction as well as modulating the severity of that addiction once it occurs. A second is the role that individual genetic variation plays in altering the potential efficacy nicotine replacement and other pharmacotherapies.

The mesolimbic region of the brain plays an important role in the addicting and rewarding effects of nicotine. Genetic variation in the enzymes that metabolize nicotine can significantly alter plasma levels of nicotine and its metabolites and thus alter the concentrations that reach the brain. Polymorphisms in nicotinic acetylcholine receptors and components of dopaminergic pathways within the mesolimbic system can likewise modulate the addictive and rewarding effects of nicotine. Studies also suggest that the severity of an individual’s withdrawal from nicotine may be affected by genetic variation in these same pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic pathways.

While treatment options for nicotine addiction have expanded significantly in recent years, their overall effectiveness in long-term smoking abstinence is still disappointing. A better understanding of genetic variations in brain pathways associated with nicotine addiction and reward will likely lead to greater individualization of smoking cessation therapies and perhaps the development of new therapies that are more efficacious and cost-effective.
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