The Sleeve Gastrectomy and How and Why it can Fail?
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Abstract

Long-term weight regain is a feared complication of restrictive bariatric operation. The Sleeve Gastrectomy (SG) is still in its early stages as a primary bariatric surgery and long-term data about its efficacy remains limited. From the long term studies available it seems that approximately one-fifth of SG patients might be at risk for long-term weight regain and about 5-10% of total SG patients will require surgical management fort. The possible mechanism behind this weight regain is slowly being addressed. Patient noncompliance with dietary and lifestyle regimens is the most practical factor that needs to be considered and can be prevented with a multidisciplinary team. Long-term gastric pouch dilatation and gut hormone modulation are other theories that have been proposed to explain this weight regain. Successful management strategies to combat weight recidivism include revisional bariatric surgery, performing a re-sleeve gastrectomy or the addition of an adjustable band in the primary banded sleeve gastrectomy. However, the safety of revisional bariatric surgery is a concern and should be performed only by an experienced bariatric surgeon. It remains that as the SG continues to grow as a popular choice for the management of morbid obesity, more concrete long term information will become available to address the how and why weight regain occurs.
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Introduction

Weight recidivism after primary bariatric procedures is an important issue and concern for many bariatric patients. Regardless of the specific type of bariatric surgery, long term weight regain will occur to a small but significant proportion of patients [1]. Weight regain is especially prevalent in the restrictive bariatric procedures, operations that limit the amount of oral intake compared to malabsorptive procedures [2]. The Sleeve Gastrectomy (SG) is still a newer primary bariatric surgery, formerly being the first step in a staged procedure for higher risk bariatric patients [3]. SG, considered mainly a restrictive procedure, removes the greater curvature of the stomach, thereby reducing the size of the stomach to 60-80mL in capacity and modifying its shape to be more tubular in nature [4,5]. It has gained popularity as a stand-alone bariatric surgery due to its relative operational simplicity, lack of foreign body implantation and with an undisrupted gastrointestinal tract, it lacksthe dumping syndrome and nutrient deficiencies seen with the malabsorptive operations [6-8]. However due to its relative infancy, data on the long term complication of weight recidivism with it being a primary procedure is still unknown [7,9]. Furthermore, anypast data on significant weight regain following SG, as a staged procedure, was not highlighted as a second, planned surgery was still being scheduled to compensate [9]. In this paper, we review the literature for weight regain following the Sleeve Gastrectomy, theorize why this complication can occur and recommend strategy options for dealing with this feared complication.

Discussion

Weight regain to an extent should be expected after all bariatric surgeries, however significant weight regain, described as an increase in body weight of 10kg from nadir, can mean a failure of the procedure [9,10]. Non-modifiable risk factors for failure include age>40 and preoperative BMI>50 [11]. Restrictive procedures like the SG, which lack an intestinal bypass, are especially at risk [8]. In one of the few longer term case series reported on SG, Bohdjalian et al. reported a weight regain incidence of 19.2% [9]. This weight regain was first recognized by the end of the second post-operative year [9]. Interestingly, D’hondt et al. reported a trend of slight weight regain annually after SG through observing continued decreasing Excess Weight Loss (EWL) at annual intervals; at 1 year follow up patients had a median EWL of 81.5% which dropped to 55.9% after 6 years [12]. Different studies report varying numbers for the percentage of primary SG patients who will require an unscheduled secondary operation to account for insufficient weight loss or weight regain but it appears that the number is somewhere between 5-10% [1,2,13].

An important and devastating consequence of this weight regain is the potential recurrence of obesity related comorbidities such as type 2 Diabetes mellitus. Jimenez et al. found that insufficient weight loss or weight regain were independent long-term predictors of recurrence of diabetes following SG [14]. This lends credence to the importance of recognizing and treating weight re-gains patients.

Theories to explain weight recidivism following SG

The most practical and first consideration that needs to be addressed in a patient presenting with weight recidivism is adherence to dietary and lifestyle regimens as outlined by the multidisciplinary team [15]. Modifiable risk factors for failure after SG include a lack of physical activity, a lack of change of nutritional behavior, and a lack of follow-up [11]. The importance of proper nutritional counseling after bariatric surgery has been supported by the literature. A significant positive association has been shown between patient vigilance with maintaining food records and weight loss; while on the other hand, there is a significant negative association between nutritional counseling attendance and weight gain [16,17]. Furthermore, Himpen et al. showed that craving for sweet eating tends to increase the further out from SG surgery [18]. SG is not a malabsorptive procedure therefore any high calorie junk foods will be readily absorbed, leading to potential significant weight gain. This patient “cheating” must be an important first consideration when assessing for contributing factors leading
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to SG failure [19]. Psychological factors also need to be addressed in a patient first presenting with weight re-gain, as there is a marked correlation between weight-regain and psychiatric co-morbidities [20]. The weight wise clinic in Edmonton, Alberta Canada, is a model for a multidisciplinary clinic where patients are followed post-operatively to ensure maximum compliance and behavioral modification [4].

Long-term gastric pouch dilation is the primary theorized explanation for weight regain in the post-operative period following SG. Patient noncompliance with diet regimens can lead to mechanical stretching of the gastric pouchdue to consistent intake of larger meals [15]. Patients need to comply with strict dietary habits to ensure minimal stretching of the gastric pouch. However, operator technical error at the initial operation can also lead to this result. Not recognizing the presence of a hiatal hernia, the incomplete removal of the gastric fundus or the creation of an excessively large gastric pouch due to either missed posterior gastric folds or calibration with an inappropriately large boogie, will all lead to long-term pouch dilatation. Both smaller volume gastrectomies (under 500cc) at the initial surgery and high residual gastric volume post-operatively (over 225cc) were associated with failure of SG, emphasizing the importance of restriction [11,12].

The incidence of gastric dilatation leading to attributed weight regains remains unknown and the literature inconsistent [21]. A case report by Gagner et al., reported the presentation of poor weight loss in a patient following a staged procedure combining sleeve gastrectomy and biliopancreatic diversion with duodenal switch (BDP-DS) [22]. Initially the patient experienced significant weight loss (80% of Excess Weight Loss (EWL)) but after >2 years post-op she returned with weight regain. Upper GI series demonstrated a markedly dilated gastric pouch, which the authors hypothesized as the culprit for the weight gain [22]. In a more recent prospective study, Langer et al. performed upper GI series at 1 year follow in post-op SG patients and found only 1 out of 14 patients to fit the criteria for gastric dilation and yet surprisingly that patient still achieved and maintained adequate EWL [6]. Interestingly, in another Langer et al. study, weight regain in patients who required revisional bariatric surgery was not correlated with substantial sleeve dilation [2].

Overall, the tendency for long-term gastric pouch dilatation following SG, independent of operator technical error, remains unproven. However, it appears that patients are potentially most at risk for weight recidivism via gastric dilation at 2 years and beyond following their initial SG [6,9,22]. Still, it is clear that additional long-term studies should be devoted to further clarify this issue.

Another possible explanation for the weight regain in the months to years following SG could be explained by the regulation of gut hormones, namely ghrelin, following bariatric surgery. Ghrelin is an appetite-stimulating hormone, which is produced mainly in the gastric fundus and body [9,23]. The SG involves the removal of the gastric fundus and as such, leads to early and maintained reduced ghrelin levels in most successful patients [9,24]. A recent systematic review by Anderson et al. reported that ghrelin levels were significantly reduced at 3, 6 and 12 months after laparoscopic SG [24]. It seems that unsuccessful weight regain patients might have compensatory increases in long term plasma ghrelin levels, leading to increasing appetite stimulation, which might explain the SG failure [9]. 75% of SG patients were reported to have a loss of feeling of hunger at 1 year following SG, but this number dropped significantly to 46.7% at 3 year follow-up [18]. Still, there remains inconsistency in the literature as to the effect of ghrelin levels following bariatric surgery and its implications on weight loss or gain, and more research needs to be done to be comfortable making a conclusion [24].

**Strategies for management of weight recidivism**

Three main treatment possibilities exist for management of weight recidivism patients: Conversion to a malabsorptive bariatric procedure, a redo “Re-sleeve gastrectomy” or adding an adjustable gastric band [7,8,25]. Conversion from failed SG to another bariatric procedure, usually to either Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass (RYGB) or BPD-DS remains a viable treatment for weight regain. Historically, SG was originally the first step in a scheduled two-step operation, where patients experienced significant additional EWL following conversion to either duodenal switch or RYGB [7,10]. It is therefore an easier transition to convert to a second unscheduled bariatric procedure for weight loss failure [8]. Adding malabsorption to the already restrictive SG has been proven to be an effective means for further weight loss [2]. The main concern with revisional bariatric surgery is that the complication rates are significantly higher than in the primary procedure [26]. In addition, Langer et al., however, urge caution stating that “weight regainer” patients after SG might be more susceptible to be “weight regainers” following conversion. The safety of revisional bariatric surgery is controversial in the literature, with complication rates of converting to RYGB being considerable and varied (ranging from 0-47%), yet still RYGB is considered the safer option compared to BPD-DS [27]. Therefore, due to this high risk patient population and the challenges of operating on altered and scarred anatomy, revisional bariatric surgery should be performed by experienced bariatric surgeons at a tertiary care hospital to ensure maximum patient safety and procedural success, this type of model has been demonstrated to be quite effective with acceptable patient morbidity [15, 26,28]. The Royal Alexandra Hospital in Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, recently opened dedicated weight recidivism center after bariatric surgery clinic, specific for pre-operatively patient selection, in order to determine which patients would benefit from revisional surgery. This inevitably will become the model clinic for tertiary bariatric centers across the world. Repeating a second SG, named a “re-sleeve” gastrectomy, is another option. This procedure is usually indicated in the event of insufficient weight loss or weight regain due to isolated gastric dilatation [8,19,29]. Iannelli et al. reported EWL of 46.5% in their patients with a re-do SG, in a described “technically relatively easy” operation. The operator must take into consideration important surgical revision complications when advising their patient for this option, which include increased risk of leakage at the Angle of His and risk of injury to the splenic vein [7]. It is important to remember, however, that repeating a SG does not protect the patient from are occurrence of gastric dilation and long-term weight regains [7].

The primary banded sleeve gastrectomy places a band below the gastroesophageal junction in addition to the SG in an attempt to further weight loss [30]. Greenstein et al. first introduced this idea of adding an adjustable gastric band to SG patients to increase gastric restriction ENREF [24,25]. The authors state that in SG patients where primary restriction has failed, a band can be a successful option that avoids the complications associated with revisional bariatric surgery like staple line bleeds and leaks [25]. It can also be used as preventative means to avoid SG failure in the first place [30].

**Conclusion**

Weight regains following the Sleeve Gastrectomy is a concern. Due to its relative infancy, there exists limited information on long-term outcomes following this procedure. The role of gastric dilatation and long term circulating ghrelin levels in causing weight regain needs to be further clarified. The management options for significant weight regain are emerging and include conversion to a malabsorptive procedure,
performing a re-sleeve or adding an adjustable band. The safety of these procedures remains concerning and involving an experienced bariatric surgeon at a tertiary care hospital is of the utmost importance.
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