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Abstract

There is an obesity/type-2 diabetes (DM2)/hypertension epidemic in developed countries around the world. The purpose of this review is to examine the interrelationships between obesity, DM2 and hypertension in young/middle-aged subjects, highlighting the importance of raised sympathetic nerve activity and treatment implications. Central obesity is associated with insulin-resistance, and high plasma insulin and leptin levels. Insulin and leptin act upon the mid-brain, resulting in increased sympathetic nerve activity and blood pressure. Chronically raised sympathetic nerve activity, independent of blood pressure, is a powerful predictor of myocardial infarction in the middle-aged.

Weight-loss, via life-style change or bariatric surgery, results in blood pressure reduction. Anti-hypertensive agents that increase sympathetic nerve activity, e.g. diuretics, dihydropyridine calcium antagonists, and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), do not reduce (and may increase) the risk of myocardial infarction in younger/middle-aged subjects with hypertension and DM2. Beta-1 blockade, which is effective in regressing and stabilising coronary atheromataous plaque, is preferable to ACE-inhibition, and is the first-line treatment of choice.

Introduction

Since 1980 there has been a marked global increase in body mass index (BMI) [1]. The nine countries of Oceania have the highest BMI in the world, being (on average) 35.0 kg/m² for women, and 33.9 kg/m² for men [1]: the lowest BMIs occur in Bangladesh and in sub-Saharan Africa [1].

The highest BMIs within developed countries are in the USA, where 66% of adults are overweight / obese (BMI>25 kg/m²) [1,2]. The UK and Australasia are not far behind (the USA), where about 60% of the adult population are overweight/obese [3,4]. Undoubtedly the low price of fast-food is a vital factor. Interestingly, in high-income countries, obesity affects mainly middle-aged adults from disadvantaged groups, in contrast to low-income countries where obesity is prevalent in individuals from wealthy urban environments [5]. However, as a general rule, obesity is less prevalent among highly educated wealthy individuals [2].

Obesity is clearly related to excessive calorie-intake. Equally important is calorie output. A genetic predisposition to obesity is exacerbated by a sedentary lifestyle involving minimal physical activity and an excess of television watching [6].

Weight gain during middle-age is associated with an increased risk of type-2 diabetes (DM2) [7]. Central obesity is the main problem and according to the WHO is responsible for 44% of DM2 [2]. During times of economic crisis (Cuba) the frequency of DM2 declined markedly, in contrast to better economic times when the frequency of DM2 increased [8].

DM2 is associated with a twofold increase in cardiovascular events [9]. The frequency of DM2 is predicted to rise steeply until 2030, giving rise to marked increases in the risk of heart disease, stroke and cancer [10]. Such events will inevitably result in marked economic consequences [10,11].

England has a major obesity problem with children, with about one third of 10-11 year olds being overweight/obese [3]. In the UK, a study in pre-pubertal children revealed that 13% of boys and 18% of girls are overweight, and 5.3% of boys and 5.0% of girls are obese [12]. A similar situation pertains in the USA [13]. Childhood obesity tracks into adulthood, being particularly severe in non-Hispanic black women [14]. A high BMI in adolescence is associated with the early appearance of DM2 in adulthood [15].

This review sets out to examine the interrelationships between obesity, type-2 diabetes, and essential hypertension, and to highlight the importance of raised sympathetic nerve activity and treatment implications.

Obesity and Essential Hypertension

Although genetic factors account for about 30% of cases of essential hypertension, lifestyle factors such as overweight/obesity, physical inactivity, high salt intake, alcohol abuse, and a typical western diet, account for the remaining 70% [16,17].

The classic Framingham study showed that in an initially healthy population a) the development of diastolic (= systolic) hypertension occurred in the young/middle-aged, and was closely linked to overweight/obesity, b) the development of isolated systolic hypertension occurred in the elderly, and was a function of aging/stiffening of the arteries (Table 1) [18].

Others have confirmed the association between obesity and essential hypertension in the young/middle-aged, and that central obesity was the important component [19-22]. A study involving
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young, white men, with a 46 year follow-up, confirmed the obesity/ hypertention link, but that weight-loss when young prevented the later onset of hypertension [23].

A study in 6,576 children aged 10-11 years demonstrated a strong link between obesity and raised blood pressure [24]. A study of children aged 8-17 years found that a blood pressure greater than 120/80 mm Hg was found in 16 – 19% of boys and 8 – 13% of girls, and that high blood pressure was closely related to a high BMI and waist circumference [25]. In boys, hypertension was related to high levels of visceral fat, while in girls hypertension was related to a high total fat [26]. If obese teenagers achieve normal weight, there was no later increase in blood pressure [27]. Blood pressure control related to a decrease in waist circumference and visceral fat [28].

### Obesity, Diabetes, Hypertension and High Sympathetic Nerve Activity and Heart Rates

Central obesity is linked not only to hypertension in the young/ middle-aged, but also to a high resting heart rate and cardiac output [22]. The Framingham Group have noted an association between hypertension and high heart rates in young/middle-aged subjects [29]. A high heart rate is likely to be a surrogate for high sympathetic nerve activity.

Obesity, particularly central, is linked to increased muscle sympathetic nerve activity [30] (Figure 1). In men there is a powerful positive linear relationship between waist circumference and sympathetic nerve activity [31]. Though in normal-weight, young/ middle-aged hypertensive subjects sympathetic nerve activity is raised [32], it is less than in age-matched obese hypertensive subjects [33]. It thus comes as no surprise that in patients with the metabolic syndrome (DM2/obese + hypertension (high sympathetic nerve activity) [34,35]. Increased sympathetic nerve activity, as expressed by increased arterial norepinephrine (noradrenaline), is significantly greater in cases of DM2 than the metabolic syndrome (presumably a reflection of a greater degree of central obesity and higher plasma insulin and leptin levels in the former – see later) [36].

Although invasive studies of sympathetic nerve activity have not been done in children, obese hypertensive children and adolescents have higher resting heart rates and blood pressure variability than lean hypertensive controls [24,37].

Brown adipose tissue is present in small amounts in children and adults, and is involved in the process of thermogenesis i.e calories are burnt to produce heat [38]. Brown fat activity in adult humans correlates inversely with BMI [39]. High brown fat activity is closely linked to high sympathetic nerve activity [40].

### The Link between Central Obesity and Increased Sympathetic Nerve Activity

The likely series of events linking central obesity with high sympathetic nerve activity and hypertension have been described by the author [41]. Briefly, centrally located adipocytes produce several vasculotoxic adipokines and cytokines e.g. tumor necrosis factor (TNF-alpha) and interleukin-6 (IL-6), which act upon the liver, resulting in release of C-reactive protein (CRP), an indicator of acute inflammation. Endothelial/hepatic inflammation leads to an insulin-resistant state, resulting in increased insulin secretion. High insulin levels, in addition to high leptin levels (produced mainly by central adipocytes), act upon the hypothalamic region of the brain resulting in increased sympathetic outflow and renal release (via beta-1 stimulation of the renal juxta -glomerular apparatus). High renin levels result in angiotensin II production, which (like leptin and insulin) acts centrally, resulting in increased sympathetic outflow.

The whole process is illustrated in Figure 2, which also indicates the results of chronic beta-1 stimulation upon the periphery and the theoretical benefits of beta-1 blockade. It is of interest to note that in obesity-related hypertension, antagonists of insulin and particularly of leptin, administered intra-cerebrally, result in a reduction of both renal sympathetic nerve activity and blood pressure [42].

### Obesity and Premature Mortality

In white adults, overweight and obesity (and possibly underweight)
are associated with increased all-cause mortality [43]. The relationship was U-shaped, with an optimal BMI being 20-25. A similar relationship exists for Asian countries, excepting India and Bangladesh [44]. In the black community there was a similar U-shaped relationship between BMI and all-cause death, and for central obesity in those with a normal BMI, in women only [45].

In young adults, a high BMI increased the risk of early DM2 and later coronary heart disease as well as coronary artery calcification in middle-age [15,46].

Several publications have shown BMI to be inversely associated with mortality in patients with coronary artery disease – the so-called “obesity paradox” [47,48]. More recent information suggests that overweight subjects with coronary artery disease have the best prognosis, while severe obesity is linked to the worst prognosis [48]. It has been suggested that the worst prognosis for patients with coronary artery disease is associated with normal weight with central obesity [49].

**Increased Sympathetic Nerve Activity, and High Resting Heart Rates, are Predictors of Premature Death and Cardiovascular Events**

**Resting heart rates**

High resting heart rates (a possible surrogate for sympathetic nerve activity) are closely linked to increased risk of all-cause death and coronary heart disease [50,51]. In middle-aged hypertensive subjects in the Framingham Study followed-up for 36 years, high resting heart rates, particularly over 85 bpm, were closely related to increased rates of all-cause death, and cardiovascular and coronary artery disease events, in both men and women [52]. It is worth noting that in men, high heart rates increase the risk of rupture of unstable coronary atheromatous plaque [53].

**Sympathetic nervous system**

Chronic sympathetic nerve over-activity can lead to adverse cardiovascular consequences, possibly via reduced diastolic filling-time, increased cardiac oxygen requirement, reduced threshold for ventricular arrhythmias, and induced cardiac apoptosis/necrosis [41,54].

In a normal population, plasma norepinephrine levels peak at early/mid-morning (the “waking response”), and this event coincides with a peaking of the risk of both myocardial infarction and sudden death (the “vulnerable period”) [55]. In patients with chronic ischemia, ischemic episodes (ECG) peak at the time of rising from bed [56].

Of particular relevance is a study in young/middle-aged hypertensive subjects followed-up for 6 – 7 years, where relationships between different levels of plasma norepinephrine and epinephrine, beta-receptor density and cardiovascular events were studied [57]. High plasma norepinephrine levels were strongly linked to a high risk of both all-cause death and cardiovascular mortality, independent of blood pressure. In that same study there was a strong positive relationship, again independent of blood pressure, between lymphocyte beta-receptor density (Bmax) and cAMP, and myocardial infarction (but not stroke) (Figure 4). It is thus apparent that in younger/middle-aged hypertensive subjects (with or without DM2), the risk of myocardial infarction is linked to sympathetic nerve activity, and the risk of stroke to the level of blood pressure.

**Appropriate Treatment for the Young/Middle-Aged, Overweight/Obese Type-2 Diabetic with Hypertension**

**Lifestyle, bariatric surgery, and renal artery denervation**

The mainstay of obesity treatment remains lifestyle modification aimed at reducing calorie intake and increasing physical activity [58]. However, treating obesity via prolonged lifestyle modification has proved disappointing, particularly among children who often find television, computers and smart phones more attractive than a game of football or a cycle ride.

However, public health approaches hold promise for reducing obesity at a population level [58]. There is clearly an important role for government in reducing excess calorie consumption, supporting regulation of certain products, and encouraging food companies to voluntarily produce and market more healthy foods.

Bariatric surgery can be remarkably successful in inducing remission of DM2 in obese patients [59]. Not only is the diabetic situation improved, but antihypertensive therapy is required less often [60]. A recent overview has indicated that 5 -7 years post-bariatric surgery, there was a 66% improvement in remission of hypertension [61]. In an ongoing non-randomised comparative study, bariatric
surgery was significantly more effective than usual-care in reducing the risk of cardiovascular events and death [62].

Type-2 diabetics are particularly prone to resistant hypertension i.e. a high blood pressure that remains uncontrolled in spite of receiving at least 3 different classes of antihypertensive agent (one being a diuretic) [63]. Such cases respond well to renal sympathetic denervation [64].

**Antihypertensive drug therapy and cardiovascular endpoints**

As high resting heart rates and increased plasma norepinephrine and lymphocyte beta-receptor density/cyclic AMP levels predict future myocardial infarctions in young/middle-aged hypertensive subjects (independent of blood pressure) (Figure 3), one might predict a particular benefit from beta-1 blockade (Figure 2) [52,55,57]. Equally, one might predict an absence of protection (from myocardial infarction) in younger patients from antihypertensive agents that increase sympathetic nerve activity i.e. dihydropyridine calcium blockers, diuretics, and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs).

**Beta-blockers:** There is only one study involving beta-blockers in younger/middle-aged hypertensive subjects with DM2, and that is UKPDS [65,66]. These patients were overweight/obese (mean BMI 30kg/m2), and were randomised to tight or less-tight control of blood pressure [65]. After 8 – 9 year follow-up, it was clear that in the tight-control group there were significant benefits in reducing the 7 primary end-points. Within the tight-control group there was a randomised comparison between atenolol and captopril [65]. When examining the effects of these 2 agents versus less-tight control, it was evident that the trends in reducing the frequency of all 7 primary end-points (any diabetes end-point, diabetes mortality, all-cause mortality, myocardial infarction, stroke, peripheral arterial disease, and microvascular disease (eye and kidney) favoured atenolol (including heart failure risk reduction, which was a secondary end-point). Notable was that atenolol (vs less-tight control) a) reduced stroke-risk by 50% (thus confounding the notion that beta-blockers perform poorly in this area) b) reduced microvascular end-points by 45% (again confounding the notion that ACE-inhibitors have special reno-protective properties) c) reduced peripheral arterial disease end-points by 60% (thus denying the idea that beta-blockers and peripheral arterial disease are “poor bed-fellows” d) reduced the risk of heart failure by 60%. All of the benefits observed in the atenolol-group were in spite of a 1.8 kg increase in weight, and an increase in glycated haemoglobin concentrations.

There was a 20 year follow-up in UKPDS (Figure 4) [67]. The trends favouring atenolol (vs captopril) remained, but now there was a significant 23% excess in all-cause death in the ACE-inhibitor group. Thus, beta-blockade appears preferable to ACE-inhibition in the treatment of young/middle-aged hypertensive subjects with DM2.

**Dihydropyridine calcium antagonists:** The ABCD Study involving middle-aged hypertensive subjects with DM2, compared the effects of nisoldipine with enalapril, and was stopped prematurely [68]. At 20 years follow-up, there was a significant 23% reduction in the risk of all-cause death in those initially randomised to atenolol (Figure 4) [67].

**Angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs):** There are 2 randomised, hard endpoint, placebo-controlled trials in middle-aged type-2 diabetics involving the ARB olmesartan. One study included diabetic patients with nephropathy and high blood pressure, and there was an excess (10 vs 3) of cardiovascular deaths in the olmesartan group [71]. The other study, the so-called ROADMAP Study involved type-2 diabetics with pre-hypertension [72]. Although the ARB delayed the onset of microalbuminuria, there was in the ARB group a significant excess of cardiovascular deaths, particularly in those with a history of coronary heart disease, in addition to an excess of the risk of sudden death and death due to myocardial infarction (Figure 5).

Noteworthy is the fact that (unlike ACEIs) ARBs increase sympathetic nerve activity in young/middle-aged subjects [73,74]. As already indicated in a study involving middle-aged patients with diabetic nephropathy and hypertension, there was no difference in the

![Figure 5: ROADMAP Study; excess cardiovascular events in those randomised to ARB olmesartan (vs placebo) [75].](image)

![Figure 6: Perioperative interaction (change in blood pressure) between epinephrine and various beta-blockers.](image)
composite endpoint event-rate in those randomised to either placebo, amloidpine, or irbesartan [70].

Diuretics: There are no studies involving diuretic therapy in young/middle-aged hypertensive subjects with DM2. However, there are 3 studies in this age-group, incorporating hypertensive subjects without DM2, involving thiazide-type diuretics [75-77]. In all 3 studies, compared to randomised placebo/non-treatment, there was a significant reduction in the risk of stroke, but no reduction in the risk of myocardial infarction in the diuretic group; indeed in one study there was a significant increase in the risk of myocardial infarction [77].

Undoubtedly relevant regarding these worrying results is the fact that thiazide-like diuretics, in contrast to spironolactone, increase sympathetic nerve activity [78].

**Beta-Blockers (Beta-1 Blockade) are the Preferred Antihypertensive agents in Young/Middle-Aged Hypertensive Subjects with Type-2 Diabetes**

Pure beta-2 blockade (ICI 118,551) results in an increase in blood pressure of about 7/5 mm Hg [41]. Thus, non-selective propranolol is less effective than moderately beta-1 selective atenolol in reducing blood pressure and atenolol, in turn, is less effective than highly beta-1 selective bisoprolol in reducing both peripheral (brachial) and central aortic pressures [41,79]. Indeed, bisoprolol is a more effective antihypertensive agent than randomised diuretic, calcium blocker, alpha blocker and ACE-inhibitor and ARBs in young/middle-aged hypertensive subjects; and is at least as reno-protective as an ARB [80-82]. Bisoprolol is also at least as effective as ACE-inhibition in reducing left ventricular hypertrophy in younger hypertensive subjects [83].

High beta-1 selectivity will also reduce the chance of adverse reactions [41]. Thus, metabolic disturbance involving blood sugar, HbA1-c, insulin sensitivity, free fatty acids, plasma triglycerides, VLDL, and HDL, which are closely associated with beta-2 blockade, can be avoided by using highly beta-1 selective agents [41].

The smoking interaction with non, or poorly, selective beta-blockers can be avoided by using highly beta-1 selective agents [41]. Nicotine stimulates release of epinephrine which in turn stimulates beta-1, beta-2, and alpha receptors [41]. In the presence of non, or poorly selective beta-blockers, uncontrolled alpha constriction can occur, resulting in increases in mean blood pressure (vs non-treatment) of 30 mm Hg with non-selective agents, 9 mm Hg with moderately selective metoprolol, and no change with highly beta-1 selective bisoprolol (Figure 6) [84].

Are beta-blocker-induced metabolic changes dangerous? Probably not, as witnessed by the excellent performance of atenolol in the UKPDS study, in spite of increases in plasma Hb A1-c (Figure 4) [65,67]. Undoubtedly important in the good long-term results with beta-blockers in young/middle-aged hypertensive subjects (at least in the non-smokers) is the fact that they have been shown to regress the coronary atheromatous process in middle-aged subjects and to stabilise the unstable coronary plaque [41,53,85].
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