Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of Tacrolimus in Saudi Kidney Transplant Patients
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Abstract

**Background:** Tacrolimus is immunosuppressive agent used for the prevention of rejection in kidney transplant patients, has narrow therapeutic range, and variable pharmacokinetics.

**Objectives:** To identify the optimum Tacrolimus blood trough level for Saudi kidney transplant patients (SKTP).

**Method:** The research population consisted of 100 SKTP at the Armed Forces Hospital in the Southern Region (AFHSR) treated with Tacrolimus and followed-up for a period of 24 months (2012 till 2014).

**Results:** A significant relationship between Tacrolimus trough level and incidence of kidney rejection was remarkably found only after 180 days post-transplantation. During this period, Tacrolimus mean trough level (ng/ml) was $7.4 \pm 0.2$ in SKTP with no rejection, $5.3 \pm 0.7$ for those with acute rejection, and $3.8 \pm 0.4$ for those with chronic rejection. Furthermore, the coefficient of variation (CV%) which reflects fluctuation in Tacrolimus trough level, was obviously high in SKTP with acute rejection in all post-kidney-transplant periods.

**Conclusion:** After 6 month post-kidney transplantation in SKTP, Tacrolimus trough level (<5 ng/ml) can lead to graft loss, great fluctuation in its level is a major risk factor in incidence of rejection. Further research at genetic level is needed to guide optimal dosing in the early period post transplantation.
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Introduction

Organ transplantation requires lifelong pharmacotherapy with combination of immunosuppressant drugs which include a steroid, and immune modulator (e.g. mycophenolate mofetil, and a calcineurin inhibitor (CNI) like cyclosporine A and Tacrolimus [1].

Tacrolimus became an essential component of immunosuppressant regimens in most transplant centers. Its mechanism involve selective suppression of T-lymphocyte and its pharmacokinetic is quite variable among individuals and influenced by so many variables such as race, time after transplantation and other chronic illness. It is extensively metabolized in the liver by cytochrome P450 3A system (CYP3A), which is subject to considerable inter-individual variation and drug interaction [2]. It produces many adverse reactions which include infections, malignancies, nephrotoxicity, hypertension and diabetes mellitus [3].

Furthermore, Tacrolimus is liable for several drug interactions, primarily with agents affecting the cytochrome P-450 system which include food and herbal medicines. These interactions may lead to serious toxicity or rejection of the transplanted organ [3]. Tacrolimus has narrow therapeutic window; under dosing is associated with an increased risk for rejection, whereas overdosing is associated with higher incidence of dose related toxicity [4]. Therefore therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) service which include monitoring of Tacrolimus trough level (the level just before the next dose), in conjunction with other laboratory and clinical parameters and screening for potential drug interaction is an essential tools to optimize treatment outcomes in transplant patients [5,6].

The aim of present study is to explore the role of trough level monitoring to optimize use of Tacrolimus in SKTP.

Method

A retrospectively was done during 2012 till 2014, all adult Saudi kidney transplant patients who were followed-up in Kidney Transplant Centre in Armed Forces Hospital in the Southern Region are legible for inclusion in the present study.

Inclusion criteria

All adult patients of either sex, in the age group of 18-60 years, recipient of only first renal transplant and those on immunosuppressant therapy comprising of Tacrolimus, MMF and Prednisolone only were included in the study.
Exclusion criteria

Patients with more than one kidney transplant procedure or who use other immune suppression regimen or Cyclosporine based immunosuppressive therapy. Also patients who show poor drug compliance record or not attend regularly for follow up as scheduled were excluded.

Implemented Tacrolimus protocol in AFHSR

At the AFHSR in Khames Mushait (Saudi Arabia), the initial Tacrolimus orally dose taken is 0.1 mg/kg/day (two divided doses) and subsequently adjusted as guided by assessment of the patients which includes scheduled Tacrolimus trough level determination (in view of international guidelines). the following target of Tacrolimus trough level were implemented in SKTP which depend on post transplantation time: 1-14 days (10-12 ng/ml), 15-28 days (8-10 ng/ml), 29 days to 180 days (6-8 ng/ml) and from 180 days onward (5-7 ng/ml) These reference range according to transplant protocol which approved by kidney transplant centre in AFHSR.

Outcome measurement

Parameters used for measurement of outcomes in this study included: acute and chronic rejection kidney rejection as indicated by biochemical manifestations (i.e. graft enlargement, fever, malaise, hypertension, oliguria and decreased renal clearance), biopsy test and histo-pathological findings. Furthermore, post-transplant NODM, hypertension, nephrotoxicity and neurotoxicity were recorded.

Analysis of Tacrolimus blood trough level test (C0)

The samples (3 ml venous blood) were collected in EDTA tube. The samples were withdrawn just prior to the next dose. Tacrolimus dose, the time of administration of last dose and the time of sampling were usually provided with analysis request; the samples were kept in ice, stored at -20 until analysis within one week of sampling.

Tacrolimus was analysed using the ARCHITECT plus assay which is based on the Chemiluminescent Micro-particle Immunooassay methodology. Manufacturer guidelines were followed for sample pre-treatment, calibration and dilution. Sample analyses involve mixing accurately measured 200 μL of the sample with 200 μL of a precipitation reagent which contain methanol and zinc sulphate. Followed by centrifugation; the clear supernatant was analysed on the ARCHITECT instrument according to manufacturer’s instructions. The instrument was calibrated using the calibrators provided by the manufacturer. The measurement range (2-30 ng/ml), precision (CV about 7%) and limit of detection (1 ng/ml) in our laboratory meets those specified by the manufacturer [7].

Retrieving relevant demographic and clinical

The following data were retrieved by the researcher from patient’s medical record (classic file and computerized system) and recoded in A data collection form which involved for example following items: Demographics: Age, height, weight and BMI. Medical profile: Source of transplanted kidney, Etiology of ESRD, type of induction therapy, current immunsuppressant medication doses, other medications, adverse effects associated with immunsuppressant therapy, incidence of rejection episodes and all relevant lab investigation including Tacrolimus blood trough level (C0), Lab test: chemistry, haematology, serology and immunology test.

Statistical analysis

This research uses SPSS 20 software. The findings were processed through descriptive statistics (i.e. mean, SD, and coefficient of variation etc.) and inferential statistics (i.e. testing for significance, using the Chi-square test, t-test, one way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), correlation and logistic regression). Data are expressed by means ± SE were p value<0.05 is considered significant.

Results

Demographic characteristics and relevant clinical data are presented in Tables 1 and 2, to allow precise comparison with other similar studies. Out of 100 SKTP enrolled in the present study 59 were males and 41 were females, the mean age ± SD of the patients was 37.4 ± 14.2 years.

Table 1: Demographic data for the sample of SKTP (41 male, 59 female, total 100).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Relevant findings</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Place of transplantation</td>
<td>AFHSR (Khamis Mushate)</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other places</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etiology of kidney failure*</td>
<td>Hypertensive nephropathy</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small kidney + other reasons</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus nephropathy</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction Therapy</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBAg</td>
<td>non-reactive</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reactive</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV</td>
<td>non-reactive</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reactive</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>non-reactive</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMV- G</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMV- M</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Donor</td>
<td>Non-relative</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deceased</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Relevant clinical variable in SKTP (n=100).
The median age was 34.5 years, the age ranged between 18 and 60 years, the mean BMI ±SD of the patients was 27.3 ± 6.6 Kg/m². The BMI ranges between 16.4 and 47.4 Kg/m². The median BMI was 26.9 Kg/m².

Kidney Transplantation for the majority of the patients (81%) was in AFHSR Khamis Mushait. Records showed that most of the patients (89%) received induction therapy before transplantation. Tacrolimus initial dose was 0.1 mg/kg/day (twice daily). Limited numbers of the patients were reactive for HBAg and HCV (3% and 4% respectively). While all patients were negative for HIV and CMV-M; but they were positive for CMV-G.

Regarding documented etiology of ESRF; hypertension represented the major cause for kidney failure (69%). Followed by diabetes mellitus (14%). Glomerulonephritis among other kidney disorders were also documented as less common reasons for kidney failure.

59% of the patients in the present study received kidney from first grade relative and 23% of them received kidney from living non-relative donors and 18% of the transplanted kidneys were obtained from deceased persons.

Figure 1 shows the incidence of rejection in view of source of transplanted kidney. Although no significant statistical relationship between the type of donor and incidence of kidney rejection in SKTP (chi-square and Fisher exact tests p-values were >0.05). The results provided an impression that SKTP received kidney from living related donor showed a relatively lower incidence of rejection episodes compared to those received cadaveric kidney.

Table 3 summarizes Tacrolimus mean trough level (ng/ml) in different post kidney transplant periods in SKTP classified according to incidence of rejection episodes.

It was noted that the significant relationship between Tacrolimus trough level (ng/ml) and incidence of kidney rejection was only established in the period after 180 days post transplantation. (P-value= 0.001).

In this period, Tacrolimus trough level was 7.4 ± 0.2 in patients who showed no rejection; 5.3 ± 0.7 for those who suffered acute rejection episodes and 3.8 ± 0.4 for those subjected to chronic rejection (Kidney loss). The CV % which reflects variation between the Tacrolimus level was shown to have its greatest value in SKTP showed acute rejection in all different post kidney transplant periods.

Table 3: Mean Tacrolimus trough level at various time post transplantation in SKTP and its relation to incidence of rejection episodes during 24 month follow up period.

Table 4: 95 % confidence interval (CI) of Tacrolimus trough level (TTL)(ng / ml) in SKTP showed no rejection during 24 follow up period.
than 180 days. It was obvious that, as the median trough level of the Tacrolimus decreases as the incidence of rejection increases.

Table 4 shows that 95% confidence interval (CI) Tacrolimus trough level for the patients who showed no rejection episodes: from 1-14 days, the range was 11.5-12.7 (ng/ml); from 15-28 days, it was 9.7-10.6 (ng/ml); for the 29 th-180 days, it was 8.2-9.2 (ng/ml) and for more than 180 days, the proposed range was 6.9-7.8 (ng).

Discussion

Demographic and etiology characteristics

In National level, the present study was unique regarding the following demographic characteristics: all patients are Saudi with Arabian ethnicity (Khamis Mushate), exclusion of adults less than 18 or greater than 60 years The mean age of our participants was 37.4 ± 14.2, the majority received the kidney from living related donor (59%), 23% non-relatives and only 18% received kidneys from deceased donors.

The present documented that hypertension as was the major factor for ESRD, followed by diabetes mellitus. Regarding hypertension the present findings is similar to that reported by Khan [8]. National records in SA and epidemiology studies showed high prevalence of diabetes incidence (DM) and high incidence of diabetic nephropathy (DN) among Saudi population El Minshawy and Al-Sayyari [9,10], Hassanien reported variability in prevalence of DN in different regions [11], Al Suleiman reported that Saudis with DN progress to ESRD faster than other population [12].

Outcome overview

Within 24 months follow-up period, patient survival was 100 %, 8% had acute rejection and 2% had chronic rejection. All cases of acute rejection were successfully treated by pulse dose of methylprednisolone (500 to1000 mg for 3 to 5 days) but the chronic rejection cases lead to graft loss. In general, although the statistics didn't show significant difference due to limitations in number of patients and other covariates (age, gender) SKTP who received living related kidney showed the lowest incidence of either acute rejection episodes, 3.4% (2 out of 59) or chronic rejection 1.7% (1 out of 59), favorable outcome of using living related donor was documented [13].

Therapeutic drug monitoring for Tacrolimus

The favorable impact of TDM of Tacrolimus on overall clinical outcomes of kidney transplant patients was documented by several studies [14,15]. The AUC has been considered as the gold standard to accurately predict “Tacrolimus systemic exposure” but it is difficult to apply in routine clinical practice. Some studies suggested monitoring of Tacrolimus trough (Cmin, 12 hrs post dose) as an alternative practical approach, However, this approach has many limitations; for example, it didn't clearly distinguish rejection episodes from nephrotoxicity [6,16].

The limited sampling strategy (LSS) (two or three samples) was suggested, as a practical approach to monitor overall exposure to Tacrolimus [17,18]. However, its routine use was limited in clinically practice.

At present, C0 is still the standard practical method to monitor Tacrolimus therapy in KTP worldwide.

Earlier clinical trials reported a wide range of 5 to 20 ng/ml in whole blood [19,20] suggested the target blood trough in the initial 6-12 months post-transplant level as 5-15 ng/ml and a lower (but still wide range) 5-12 ng/ml. beyond 6-12 months post-transplant, to avoid rejection and minimize adverse effects. Thereafter, guidelines suggested narrower target ranges that varies and depend on concomitant immunosuppressant regimens and time post transplantation [21].

We speculated that, at present, there is no unified international consensus on the optimum Tacrolimus blood trough level concentration in KTP. This may be attributed to variability in immunosuppressant regimens and kidney resources among other variables.

Consequently, the primary aim of the present study was to evaluate the utility and limitation of Tacrolimus blood trough monitoring level to prevent kidney rejection in Saudi population.

The relations between Tacrolimus mean trough level and incidence of kidney rejection

In the present study, we couldn't establish a clear relationship between mean Tacrolimus trough level and incidence of rejection in the first 6 month post transplantation. But, we documented a very high variability in trough level in those patients who suffered acute rejections (CV about 50% in the first month).

On other hand, we documented a significant relationship between the Tacrolimus trough level (ng/ml) and the incidence of kidney rejection after a period of 180 days post-transplantation. In this period, Tacrolimus mean trough level was 7.4 ± 0.2 in SKTP with nil rejection versus 5.3 ± 0.7 for those with acute rejection and 3.8 ± 0.4 for those with chronic rejection. Furthermore, the CV% which reflects both inter and intra individual variation in Tacrolimus level was obviously high in SKTP showed acute rejection in all different post kidney transplant periods.

We speculate that this extensive variability in trough level represents a risk factor for the incidence of rejection, especially, in the first 6 month post transplantation.

The following variables were suggested to explain the variability in trough levels, especially, in the first 6 month post transplantation: 1- Administration of high dose of corticosteroids, consequently, possible variable effects on Tacrolimus metabolism mediated by CYP3A4 etc. [22]. 2-Dose-dependent first pass metabolism [23]. 3-Inter individual variability (genetically based) in bioavailability and metabolism of Tacrolimus mediated through CYP & P-GP [24-26]. 4- Multiple drug interaction with variable net effect on the pharmacokinetic profile of Tacrolimus [27].

Conclusions

Trough level monitoring during the 1st 6 month post transplantation and achievement of target level couldn't solely exclude the risk of incidence of rejection. After a period of 180 days post transplantation, inadequate Tacrolimus trough level (<5 ng/ml can lead to chronic rejection (graft loss). Fluctuation in Tacrolimus trough is a major risk factor in incidence of rejection. Monitoring trough level is a valuable tool to adjust the Tacrolimus after 6 month post transplantation and it's recommended to keep within 5-8 ug/ml. Further studies are recommended to evaluate the utility of the LSS for TDM of Tacrolimus in SKTP first 6 months post transplantation.
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