TNF alpha Promoter Polymorphism May Confer Susceptibility to Rheumatoid Arthritis and Influence TNF alpha Production but not the Clinical Phenotype and Treatment Response
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Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic inflammatory disease that leads to progressive joint damage and destruction. It is characterized by synovial inflammation and hyperplasia. The rheumatoid synovial lining contains activated B and T cells, plasma cells, macrophages, neutrophils and natural killer (NK) cells [1]. These immune cells mediate inflammation through excessive cytokine (TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-7, IL-12, IL-15, IL-18, IL-23p19 and TGF-β) production driven by cell-cell interaction in the inflamed joint [2,3].

Tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), a pro-inflammatory cytokine plays a key role in the pathogenesis of RA. It is overproduced in inflamed synovium by macrophages, NK cells and other immune cells. TNF-α stimulates secretion of other cytokines (IL-1 and IL-6), induces production and release of chemokines (RANTES, MCP-1, IL-8 and SDF-1) that attract leucocytes to the synovium and activates endothelium through up regulation of adhesion molecules (E selectin, VCAM-1). It induces and maintains Human Leukocyte Antigen (HLA) class II expression on immune cells and has co-stimulatory effect on T cell activation and antibody production by B cells [1]. Chondrocyte activation by TNF-α induces proteolytic and metalloproteinase enzymes leading to cartilage destruction. Osteoclast activation results in bone resorption and destruction of subchondral bone.

TNF-α transgenic mice develop arthritis similar to RA [4]. High concentration of TNF-α are found in the plasma, synovial fluid and synovial tissue of patients with RA [1,3]. Anti-TNF therapy dramatically improves arthritis in these patients [5]. Thus, TNF-α gene
may be a susceptibility gene for RA as well as a generic modifier of disease phenotype including response to therapy. The TNF locus located within HLA class III region of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) has been extensively studied and found to be associated with the genetic susceptibility to immune mediated disorders including infection, autoimmunity and cancers. Many single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been identified in the promoter region of TNF-α gene [6]. Of these, -238 (rs361525), -308 (rs1800629) and -857 (rs1799724) comprising the common ancestral haplotype in Caucasians are the most studied [7,8]. Functional studies of TNF-α polymorphism have indicated its association with different TNF-α expression profiles and circulating TNF-α levels [9-11]. These polymorphisms in TNF-α promoter region may influence TNF-α production, which in turn may have an impact on inflammatory responses, disease expression and response to therapy. Available data on association of TNF-α promoter polymorphism with RA is controversial. A number of SNPs in this region are reported to be associated with susceptibility to RA and its clinical phenotypes in different populations [12-18]. The reported relationship between TNF-α polymorphism and response to anti-TNF therapy is also inconsistent [19,20]. There is limited information on the prevalence of RA from India. The reported prevalence ranges from 0.55% to 0.75%, similar to that reported from the developed countries but higher than reported from other Asian countries including China, Indonesia and Philippines [21,22]. The only study that addressed the potential influence of the TNF-α -308 G>A and -863 C>A polymorphisms is from North India [14]. This study observed that TNF-α -308A allele conferred protection against RA while TNF-α -863A had weak association with early onset disease in females. Taking advantage of a phenotypically well-defined and ethnically homogeneous South Indian Tamil RA population of Dravidian descent, we conducted a case-control study to analyze whether three functionally relevant TNF-α promoter SNPs i.e. TNF-α -857, -308 and -238 could influence RA susceptibility, disease phenotype, TNF-α production or response to therapy with synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs).

Materials and Methods

Study design

This was a case control immunogenetic study conducted at the Jawaharlal Institute of Post graduate Medical Education and Research (JIPMER), Puducherry a major tertiary referral center in South India.

Study subjects

Patients diagnosed with RA, on the basis of 2010 modified American College of Rheumatology Criteria (ACR) [23] from ethnic Tamil South Indian population, were recruited for the study. A total of 269 patients (245 females and 24 males) were enrolled. Patients with inactive disease or other co-morbid conditions were excluded. The mean age of patients at enrolment was 41.2 ± 10.9 years (range 18-72 yrs.) and 160 (60%) patients respectively. All patients received methotrexate (MTX) as initial therapy up to a maximum of 25 mg per week. In case of inadequate response, sulphasalazine (SSZ) and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) with or without low dose prednisolone (<7.5 mg per day) were added. Treatment response was assessed using EULAR response criteria [24] at the end of six month or after 8 continuous weeks of stable combination DMARD therapy whichever was later. A total of 233 unrelated healthy individuals (201 females and 32 males) without family history of autoimmune disorders were included as healthy controls (HC). The HC group was age-, sex- and ethnicity-matched with the patient subset. Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants before enrolment. The study was reviewed and approved by the Institution Ethics Committee.

Autoantibody measurement

IgM RF in the serum of the patients was measured by Nephelometry (Seimens Health Care, Marburg, Germany N Prospec®, Dade Behring, Germany) using kits supplied by Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc., (Marburg, Germany). RF titers above 10 IU/ml were considered positive. ACPA status was determined by sandwich ELISA method using a second-generation commercial ELISA kit (Biosystems, Barcelona, Spain). Patients with ACPA values above 15 IU/ml were labeled as ACPA-positive.

Serum TNF-α measurement

The serum level of TNF-α was quantified using a sandwich ELISA kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Ani Biotech Oy, Finland) and the standard curves established in our laboratory. TNF-α levels up to 23.4 pg/ml were considered to be ‘low titers’ and those above were considered ‘high titers’.

DNA extraction

Genomic DNA from patients and controls was extracted from the peripheral blood leukocytes using standard protocol. The quality and quantity of DNA was analyzed by spectrophotometry (Nanodrop, Thermoscientific, USA).

TNF-α genotyping

Three SNPs in the TNF-α promoter region, at positions -857 (rs1799724), -308 (rs1800629) and -238 (rs361525) were genotyped using TaqMan 5'-nuclease assay with allele specific fluorogenic probes. PCR amplification was performed in 10μl volume containing 50 ng of DNA under the following PCR conditions: 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, 50 cycles of 95°C for 1.30 min (rs800629). The amplification was carried out in SteponePlus™ real time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, USA).

Statistical analysis

Allele and genotype frequencies of the studied SNPs were compared between patients and controls using Chi square test with Yates correction. P value less than 0.05 was considered significant. Both odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95%CI) were calculated to assess the relative risk conferred by a specific allele or genotype. Gender, age of onset, disease activity, erosive/deforming disease, extra-articular manifestations, autoantibody (RF, ACPA) positivity and
response to DMARDs were the characteristics included in the analysis. Deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was analyzed using $\chi^2$ testing. Continuous variables were compared by Student’s 2-tailed t test. The statistical software GraphPadInStat was used for carrying out the statistical analysis. Haplotype and linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis between the three SNPs was performed with Haploview program v. 4.2. (www.broadinstitute.org/haploview). The Lewontin’s D’ measure was used to estimate the inter marker coefficient of linkage disequilibrium. Two markers with a D’ value more than 0.7 were defined to be in the same haplotype block.

Results

Association of TNF-α promoter polymorphism with genetic susceptibility to RA

For the studied polymorphisms, the observed genotype distribution satisfied the expected Hardy-Weinberg proportions for both patient and control samples and overall frequencies were comparable to those previously published in public database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). We found that the distribution of the TNF-α -238G allele and GG genotype was higher in patients as compared to healthy controls (G vs A: 93% vs 87%, $P_c=0.004$, OR=1.89, 95%CI = 1.21-2.97 and GG vs GA+AA: 87% vs 76%, $P_c=0.004$, OR=2.01, 95%CI = 1.23-3.29 in patients and healthy controls respectively) (Table 1). We did not observe any association between the frequency of alleles and genotypes of the other two SNPs and RA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNF-α -857</th>
<th>RA, n=269(%)</th>
<th>HC, n=233(%)</th>
<th>$P_c$ OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT+TT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α -308</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG+AA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α -238</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.004 2.01 (1.23-3.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA+AA</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.003 0.48 (0.29-0.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.004 1.89 (1.21-2.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.004 0.53 (0.34-0.82)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RA-Rheumatoid Arthritis, HC-Healthy Controls, OR-Odds ratio, 95% CI-95% confidence interval. $P_c <0.05$ is considered significant.

Table 1: Genotype and allele frequency of TNF-α promoter polymorphism in RA and HC

Haplotype re-construction revealed three major TNF-α haplotypes (frequency >5%), of which the ancestral C-G-G haplotype consistently reported to be associated with RA, was found at a higher frequency in patients as compared to HC (80% vs 74%, $P=0.03$, OR=1.39, 95%CI=1.02-1.89). Conversely the C-G-A haplotype was found to be more frequent in healthy controls than in patients (10.6% vs7%, $P=0.03$, OR=0.61, 95%CI = 0.38-0.98) (Table 2). We also found that the TNF rs1799724 and rs361525 were in strong linkage disequilibrium (Figure 1).Color scheme of the LD map is based on the standard D'/LOD option in the Haploview software. Dark squares indicate high r2 and light squares indicate low r2 values. Values in squares are the D’ between single markers.

Association of TNF-α promoter polymorphism with RA clinical and biological phenotypes

We observed that the studied TNF-α promoter polymorphisms did not influence clinical phenotype, autoantibody production or response to treatment with DMARDs. We further explored the influence of these polymorphisms on TNF-α production by measuring serum TNF-α levels and found that the TNF-α -857 T allele was more prevalent in patients with high titers of serum TNF-α and the C allele with low titers of TNF-α in all patients with RA, in female and young onset subgroups of RA (YORA) (Table 3).
Table 2: Frequency of TNF-α haplotypes in RA and HC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Haplotype (1-2-3)</th>
<th>RA, n=538 (%)</th>
<th>HC, n=464 (%)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-G-G</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.39 (1.02-1.89)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-G-G</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.21 (0.02-1.08)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-G-A</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.61 (0.38-0.98)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-A-G</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00-1.30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-G-A</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>4.47 (1.10-5.20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-A-G</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00-1.30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-A-A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00-1.30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RA: Rheumatoid Arthritis, HC: Healthy control, OR: odds ratio, 95% CI: 95% confidence interval, P values <0.05 were considered significant.

Table 3: Influence of TNF-α promoter polymorphism on the serum TNF-α levels

Discussion and Conclusion

In the present study involving South Indian Tamil patients with RA, we analyzed the distribution of three functionally relevant SNPs of the TNF-α promoter region and found that both the TNF-α -238GG genotype and the C-G-G ancestral haplotype were associated with susceptibility to the disease. Independent association could not be demonstrated for the SNPs at TNF-α -308 and -857 position.

The TNF-α promoter polymorphism at position -238 is associated with a number of immune mediated disorders [25-29]. It is reported to be associated with disease severity in RA independent of the major HLA-DRB1*04 risk loci [30]. The -238 GG genotype was reported to be associated with severe course of RA and poor response to combination DMARD therapy [13,31]. It was also found to be associated with a severe destructive disease course and younger age of onset and was thus suggested to be a potential predictive biomarker of destructive joint disease in RA [29]. In our study, we did not observe any correlation of this polymorphism with clinical or biological features of RA suggesting that this polymorphism does not act as a genetic modifier of the disease phenotype in South Indian Tamils.

The TNF-α -308 is the most commonly investigated polymorphism in RA. It is reported to be a risk factor for RA in Chinese and Latin Americans but not in Caucasians [17]. It is also considered to influence disease severity [12,31]. In our study, the TNF-α -308 SNP was the least polymorphic in both patients and controls. A vast majority of patients carried the common -308G allele (97.7%). None of them had the homozygous -308 AA mutant genotype. The reported frequency of the -308 minor A allele varies among populations ranging from 3.3% in Japanese to 24% in Northern Sweden [32,33]. Similarly to the reported data, less than 2% individuals in our study had -308AA homozygous mutant genotype. This confirms the rarity of the -308 homozygous mutant genotype in South Indians besides other Asian populations.

The TNF-α -308 is the most commonly investigated polymorphism in RA. It is reported to be a risk factor for RA in Chinese and Latin Americans but not in Caucasians [17]. It is also considered to influence disease severity [12,31]. In our study, the TNF-α -308 SNP was the least polymorphic in both patients and controls. A vast majority of patients carried the common -308G allele (97.7%). None of them had the homozygous -308 AA mutant genotype. The reported frequency of the -308 minor A allele varies among populations ranging from 3.3% in Japanese to 24% in Northern Sweden [32,33]. Similarly to the reported data, less than 2% individuals in our study had -308AA homozygous mutant genotype. This confirms the rarity of the -308 homozygous mutant genotype in South Indians besides other Asian populations.
population-groups [14]. Such observations may, at least in part, explain ethnic variations in susceptibility and/or severity of RA.

Due to less polymorphic nature of TNF-α -308 in our population, it is unlikely to be a susceptibility factor for RA or to influence disease phenotype. As described recently by Mekinian et al, it does not influence TNF-α production and hence may not play a significant role in response to therapy [19,20,34].

In terms of functional significance the TNF-α promoter -308/-238 G-G diploype was previously associated with low TNF-α production, whereas the TNF-α -308A and -238A alleles were associated with high TNF-α production [35]. This apparent association may likely have been due to linkage disequilibrium with a proven functionally important SNP TNF-α -376. Allelic specific binding of the ubiquitous transcription factor OCT-1 was demonstrated for the TNF-α -376 and -857 SNPs [36]. In *in vitro* cell proliferation studies, the TNF-α -857 T allele was reported to be associated with high TNF-α production [37], which is in agreement with the association herein described between TNF-α -857 T allele and high serum levels of TNF-α. Due to the rarity of -308 and -238 mutant alleles in our studied populations, the TNF-α -857 may primarily be responsible for transcriptional regulation of TNF-α production and its increased concentration at the site of synovial inflammation and in peripheral blood in RA patients.

There is evidence to suggest that patients with TNF-α gene polymorphism associated with low TNF-α production may respond well to anti TNF therapy [19]. Thus, TNF-α -857 polymorphism may not only influence TNF-α production but also the response to treatment with anti-TNF biologicals. This polymorphism has the potential to become a useful genetic marker for predicting response to therapy in Asians. Due to cost constraints none of our patients were treated with anti-TNF agents. Hence, the influence of this promoter region polymorphism on treatment response could not be ascertained and warrants further investigation. Considering genetic heterogeneity of Indian population, the association of the TNF-α -238 promoter polymorphism with RA in ethnic Tamil patients from South India as susceptibility marker for RA has to be taken with caution in the pan-Indian context as the gene-gene interaction between TNF-α promoter region and other HLA region genes especially HLA DRB1 shared epitope (SE) were not a part of our study. The influence of TNF promoter region polymorphism on TNF-α production and response to therapy with anti-TNF agents also needs further confirmation in Indian population.

In the present study the frequency of the RA risk haplotype TNF-α -C-G-G (-857, -308, -238) was found to be higher than that reported in other Asian and Caucasian populations [38-40]. This haplotype is part of the extended TNF haplotype G-T-C-G-G associated with susceptibility to sarcoidosis in Indians [41,42]. Previously, our group has reported that the ancestral TNF-α haplotype combination (C-G-G/C-G-G), and not any particular SNP, was associated with response to TNF-α antagonists in RA in French Caucasians [39]. However, as our patients were not treated with TNF-α antagonists we could not establish such an association in the studied population.

Our study suggests that TNF-α -238 promoter polymorphism and C-G-G haplotype may confer susceptibility to RA in ethnic Tamil population of South India. The -857 SNP of this gene is associated with high TNF-α production and may influence response to therapy with anti-TNF agents.
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