Towards Possible Cure of Cancer by Immunotherapy of Minimal Residual Disease
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Abstract

Based on predictive, preventive and personalized medicine (PPPM) strategy for treatment of cancer, immunotherapy and additional targeted anti-cancer modalities should be considered for cure of cancer at an early stage of the disease following successful conventional treatment. Alternatively, PPPM strategy should be applied at the stage of minimal residual disease (MRD) induced following successful re-induction of second or subsequent remission.

Fortunately, a stage of minimal residual disease can be accomplished in most patients with cancer following conventional treatment but in the absence of visible disease or lack of symptoms, additional treatment is usually denied. Considering PPPM using innovative and safe treatment focusing on immunotherapy should be considered for patients at risk because eradication of MRD can be accomplished whereas treatment of overt disease may no longer be possible. Similarly to the treatment of infectious diseases, the goal should be to recognize the high-risk cases upfront and treat MRD because residual malignant cells cannot be detected by available imaging technologies.

The take home message is that at the stage of minimal residual disease focusing on immunotherapy and other targeted anti-cancer modalities may be safe, successful and possibly the only way to cure cancer, or at least to better control tumor progression.
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Introduction

Cancer continues to be among the leading causes of death worldwide. Considering lack of information about the causes of malignant transformation, except when viral agents seem to be involved, the universal dogma for treatment of cancer is based on ‘the more the better’ using available anti-cancer agents, attempting to eradicate the primary tumor by resection with or without chemotherapy or radiation therapy when indicated as well as the secondary metastases as soon as they become visible with aggressive chemotherapy as the standard of care. For patients with cancer not expected to respond adequately to conventional treatment myeloablative chemoradiotherapy followed by rescue with stem cell transplantation may provide an alternative opportunity for eradication of maximal possible number of tumor cells. Over the years it became apparent that in patients with primary resistant cancer or with metastatic disease none of the available anti-cancer modalities or even combinations thereof could accomplish/eradication of all residual malignant cells, since relapse continues to be the single major obstacle in treatment of hematologic malignancies and many solid tumors as well even following initial complete remission [1,2]. On the other hand, as the intensity of the regimen used for treatment of cancer or using high dose chemotherapy supported by stem cell transplantation (SCT) is escalated, the risks of procedure-related toxicity and mortality increase accordingly [3].

In addition, increased incidence and severity of late complications of patients treated with higher or repeated doses of chemotherapy became an important issue too in evaluating the quality of life of long-term survivors. It became apparent that newer modalities must be introduced in order to improve the cure rate of patients with hematologic malignancies as well as to improve the quality of life of successfully treated patients. For patients resistant to available chemotherapy, immunotherapy became an obvious rational alternative. Unfortunately, in the absence of tumor-specific antigens the value of immunotherapy based on tumor cell vaccines is questionable [4]. Clearly, the goal of effective immunotherapy should be based on an attempt to induce an ‘autoimmune-like’ response against tumor cells recognized as ‘self’ [5]. To date, effective tumor-associated antibodies, such as anti-CD20 in patients with B cell non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma or Herceptin against her-2/neu that can effectively control tumor progression in responding patients, are not sufficient for eradication of the disease [6].

Considering the fact that cancer cells consistently acquire resistance to chemotherapy and other available anti-cancer modalities, and that cancer stem cells are a priori resistant to available anti-cancer agents,
new approaches are urgently indicated for treatment of otherwise incurable cancer. Newer treatment strategies are needed in an attempt to control invisible residual malignant cells resistant to chemotherapy, which at the stage of MRD may not be detectable. It should be remembered that every cancer begins with a single cancer initiating cell and since even one million cancer cells are not visible by available imaging technologies that cannot detect one millimeter size lesion, there is an indication to treat invisible MRD in high risk patients that are likely to retain resistant cancer cells following conventional treatment although residual disease is not visible. Besides, cancer stem cells are a priori resistant to available modalities. Therefore, treatment of MRD by a safe immunotherapy program that can eliminate cancer cells despite resistance to chemotherapy and radiation seems to be one of the most promising future approaches for improving the treatment of cancer.

As will be suggested in this short chapter, immunotherapy and additional targeted anti-cancer modalities applied at the stage of MRD which can be easily accomplished in a large number of patients at an early stage of the disease may be the optimal approach for cure of cancer. Aiming for cure or long-term disease-free or progression-free survival, such treatment should be best applied at an early stage of the disease, following successful application of conventional treatment that may consist of surgery in the case of solid tumors, with additional first line chemotherapy if indicated, or following successful chemotherapy combinations or chemo-radiotherapy in the case of malignant hematological diseases.

Considering the need for innovative future approaches for treatment of cancer, it seems that predictive, preventive and personalized medicine (PPPM), should be best applied for treatment of cancer, simply because all available modalities for treatment of advanced cancer are far from being satisfactory. Considering the fact that there are no two cancers that are exactly the same and no two patients that are exactly the same, future progress for the treatment of cancer will be based on early treatment of the malignant process at the stage of minimal residual disease, on a fully personalized basis, again, based on the principles of PPPM.

The role of immunotherapy by alloreactive lymphocytes

Following successful animal experiments, the clinical role of allogeneic lymphocytes was introduced in patients with leukemia successfully treated by allogeneic bone marrow transplantation (BMT). The role of allogeneic BMT, explored originally by Thomas et al. in the early 1970s became the treatment of choice for/ patients resistant to conventional doses of chemotherapy, and subsequently for patients at high-risk to relapse following maximally tolerated doses of conventional chemotherapy [7]. Subsequently, BMT was successfully utilized for the treatment of genetic diseases and other life-threatening non-malignant indications using the same therapeutic principles for replacement of abnormal host hematopoietic cells with donor hematopoietic cells.

Traditionally, it was considered that high-dose chemoradiotherapy was the main component in the bone marrow transplant procedure and that transplantation of genotypically or phenotypically matched stem cells was mainly indicated for rescue of the lethally treated recipient. Hence, much attention was given to maximize tumor cell kill by maximally tolerated doses of chemotherapy (single agents and combinations of non-cross reactive agents).

However, it was recognized for many years that the incidence of relapse was higher among recipients of autologous as well as syngeneic grafts as compared with recipients of allogeneic grafts with graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), suggesting that immune-mediated graft-versus-leukemia (GVL) effects played a major role in elimination of residual tumor cells escaping chemoradiotherapy [8-11].

The possibility that allogeneic lymphocytes administered in the course of BMT eliminate leukemia through immune-mediated GVL effects has been suggested ever since the earliest days of experimental [12-19] and clinical BMT [8-11]. Convincing direct correlation between acute and chronic GVHD and reduced rate of relapse of leukemia in clinical practice was first reported by Weiden et al. [8,9].

Similarly, in analogy to GVL effects graft-versus-tumor (GVT) effects were also described in a murine model of spontaneous sarcoma [20] and more recently in metastatic breast cancer as well [21,22], as well as in preliminary trials in man [23-25]. The role of immune-mediated GVL effects in the course of BMT was further supported by observations suggesting that relapse while patients were on immunosuppressive treatment with cyclosporine A was occasionally reversed by discontinuing immunosuppression [26]. Likewise, it has been documented that the incidence of relapse is lower in patients treated with sub optimal doses of CSA [27]. In support, data in mice inoculated with murine leukemia treated by BMT indicated that GVL effects mediated by mismatched bone marrow cells were totally abrogated by concomitant administration of CSA for 10 days [28].

All of the above suggest that allogeneic BMT provided immunocompetent allogeneic donor T lymphocytes, which could react against residual tumor cells of host origin. Hence, the advantage of BMT over conventional chemotherapy lies in the combined effects of the myeloablative dose of chemoradiotherapy given pre-transplantation and the ability of immunocompetent allogeneic donor T lymphocytes to eliminate residual tumor cells of host origin, giving rise to GVL and GVT effects or in fact graft versus any undesirable hematopoietic cells of host origin, including genetically abnormal stem cells or their progeny [29-32].

Interestingly, similarly to the data first reported in mice [14-18], GVL effects independently of GVHD were also confirmed in clinical practice either following BMT [11] or following donor lymphocyte infusion (DLI) given post transplantation to induce GVL effects to treat or prevent relapse when patients are off any post-transplant immunosuppressive agents [33-40].

Based on the pre-clinical animal model data that suggested the feasibility of induction of post-transplant GVL effects induced by T cells present in the allografts, we hypothesized that cell-therapy with donor lymphocytes given post grafting, especially in patients with no spontaneous GVHD following discontinuation of post-transplant anti-GVHD prophylaxis, may induce effective anti-tumor responses [5].

The use of durable engraftment of alloreactive donor lymphocytes following allogeneic SCT for immunotherapy of cancer

Based on the beneficial role of allogeneic stem cell transplantation in comparison with autologous stem cell transplantation and especially
transplantation of patients following equal high dose chemotherapy supported by stem cell transplantation obtained from identical twins, we hypothesized that allogeneic lymphocytes of donor origin can be given post grafting for treatment as well as for prevention of relapse in high-risk cases. Indeed, the first successful case where GVL effects were induced by allogeneic cell-therapy, the so-called DLI, in a patient with resistant acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) with fully resistant disease, followed by hundreds of patients successfully treated in Israel, subsequently supported by the cumulative international experience in a variety of malignant hematologic diseases confirmed unequivocally the therapeutic potential of alloreactive lymphocytes [33-40].

The first patient successfully treated by DLI for relapse following BMT was a 30 month old boy that was referred for BMT at the Hadassah University Hospital /in Jerusalem in November 1986 [33-35]. He had been diagnosed as pre-B ALL and relapsed on therapy twice. In December 1986, allogeneic BMT was carried out from a fully matched sister during second resistant relapse. Supra-lethal conditioning included total body irradiation (TBI) 1,200 cGy (two daily fractions of 200 cGy on days -6, -5 and -4) followed by two doses of cyclophosphamide 60 mg/kg (days -3 and -2) and melphalan 60 mg/m2 (day -1). The patient showed no signs of acute GVHD. At one-month post-BMT, the patient presented with full hematologic relapse and several bulky masses confirmed as extramedullary disease, including a progressing retro-tracheal mass necessitating an emergency tracheotomy.

He responded to increments of donor (sister) peripheral blood lymphocytes infusions to induce GVL effects. The patient developed grade II GVHD with involvement of the skin and liver. He responded to a short course of corticosteroids and within 2 weeks the palpable masses decreased in size, peripheral blood and bone marrow morphology normalized and cytogenetic analysis confirmed 100% normal female karyotype in all 50 metaphases investigated.

To date, more than 25 years after treatment with DLI following his third relapse post BMT, no residual male cells are detected by PCR analysis of either Y-specific or amelogenin gene markers. The efficacy of DLI for eradication of malignant cells fully resistant to lethal doses of chemo-radiotherapy was confirmed by many centers [36-40] and currently, DLI is considered the treatment of choice for patients relapsing following allogeneic stem cell transplantation [41].

Considering the anti-cancer potential of alloreactive lymphocytes, the use of pre-emptive DLI was introduced by our team for prevention of relapse following BMT [42]. The therapeutic role of DLI could be further maximized by in vitro or in vivo activation of alloreactivity of lymphocytes by interleukin-2 [35]. In later years, the well-documented role of alloreactive donor lymphocytes lead to the replacement of myeloablative conditioning for allogeneic BMT with reduced intensity conditioning (RIC) and non-myeloablative stem cell transplantation (NST) [43,44] as documented diagrammatically in Figure 1. Subsequently, the role of reduced intensity conditioning (RIC) as a means to induce host-vs.-graft unresponsiveness that allows durable engraftment of alloreactive donor lymphocytes was also pioneered for the treatment of solid tumors [45-47].
The benefit of alloreactive donor lymphocytes for eradication of chemotherapy and radiation resistant disease was also documented in a patient with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma [49].

More recently, the use of intentionally mismatched killer cells was pioneered in patients with metastatic solid tumors and also in patients with hematological malignancies. Following successful animal experiments, we have documented that transient engraftment of alloreactive lymphocytes with minimal conditioning with a single low dose cyclophosphamide to allow for homeostatic expansion of donor lymphocytes and also for down regulation of regulatory T cells can be effective against malignant cells resistant to conventional anti-cancer modalities [50]. Our cumulative experience, which needs to be extended in prospective randomized clinical trials, suggests that at the stage of MRD cure of patients with hematologic malignancies and metastatic solid tumors otherwise considered incurable, may benefit or even cured by cellular therapy [50]. Interestingly, the therapeutic role of non-alloreactive haploidentically mismatched cells following allogeneic SCT and depletion of immunocompetent T cells described by Ruggeri et al. [51] and Velardi et al. [52] also confirms the potential beneficial anti-cancer effects of durably engrafting mismatched donor cells independently of GVHD. In contrast, the anti-cancer effects induced by IMAK are mediated by both IL-2 activated alloreactive T cells and NK cells induced by non-engrafting donor lymphocytes. Since donor lymphocytes are expected to be rejected after no longer than one week, it is essential that aiming for cure, treatment should be applied at the stage of MRD.

The selectivity and efficacy of intentionally mismatched killer cells can be improved by targeting of lymphocytes to malignant cells by monoclonal or bispecific antibodies [53]. Moreover, treatment of MRD with antibody targeted killer cells may also result in induction of long-lasting anti-cancer immunity, most likely because the Fc portion of the monoclonal or bispecific antibody can bind to antigen presenting cells (dendritic cells or macrophages) leading to processing of cancer antigens and presentation to helper T cells [54].

Immunotherapy of MRD by activation of host immune system

Immunotherapy of cancer with monoclonal or bispecific antibodies may be an effective way to induce antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity which can be accomplished by using commercially available antibodies such as anti-CD20 (MabThera), anti her-2/neu (Herceptin), anti-EGFR (Erbitux), anti-VEGF (Avastin) and bispecific antibodies such as anti-CD3xanti-EpCAM (Catumaxomab) that are entering clinical practice.

Whereas the use of transient circulation of alloreactive donor lymphocytes makes it possible to eliminate truly MRD, in principle, successful activation of patient's own immune system against cancer makes it possible to induce long-lasting immune reactivity against residual malignant cells. Methods to stimulate patients' own immune system against cancer include non-specific activation of T cells and NK cells with IL-2 alone or combination of IL-2 and interferon. We have previously documented that such treatment may be effective for treatment of leukemia/lymphoma in pre-clinical animal experiments [5] and in pilot clinical trials [55,56]. Treatment with IL-2 and alpha interferon significantly improved the disease-free survival and overall survival of patients with Hodgkin’s and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma treated with high dose chemotherapy and autologous stem cell transplantation prior to cytokine treatment [57,58]. Anti-cancer effects were also reported following treatment with macrophage activating factor (GcMAF) [59,60].

The use of anti-cancer vaccination by loading tumor cell lysate or tumor-associated peptides on dendritic cells seems to be other methods for attempting to induce anti-cancer effects. Induction of anti-cancer effects may be improved by using concomitant metronomic treatment against negative regulators of the immune system such as regulatory T cells or prostaglandin E2.

Other methods for treatment of residual disease in patients with cancer

Control of cancer cells by low molecular compounds like tyrosine kinase inhibitors represent one of the truly breakthrough treatment of cancer initially documented in patients with Philadelphia-positive CML [61]. Similar agents are being introduced to clinical practice against other cancer selective signals [62].

Partial control of residual malignant cells forming metastatic lesions can be accomplished by metronomic treatment against regulatory T cells [63] and anti-angiogenic treatment that intends to minimize blood flow that seems essential for the highly metabolic active cancer cells [64].

Oncolytic viruses are also most promising future agents to eradicate malignant cells resistant to available anti-cancer modalities [65].

One promising future treatment of cancer including elimination of cancer stem cells may involve delivery of anti-cancer molecules such as tumor suppressor microRNAs or oncolytic viruses by mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) that naturally migrate to and target cancer cells [66].

The most recent modalities for improving anti-cancer immunotherapy by checkpoint inhibition of CTLA-4 by ipilimumab (Yervoy) [67] and PD-1 by nivolumab (Opdivo) or pembrolizumab (Keytruda) [68] or both combined [69] seem to further improve the therapeutic effects of anti-cancer immunotherapy. Finally, arming T lymphocytes with chimeric antigen receptors against cancer specific antigens (CAR-T) strategy may also develop into a most effective T cell dependent procedure for eradication of malignant cells including cells resistant to available chemotherapy [70].

In conclusion, based on the fact that despite major progress in medicine and the accumulative information about the biology and molecular constitution of cancer, much still remains unknown and cancer continues to represent one of the leading causes of death. Considering the fact that the last cancer cell, especially cancer stem cell, which is a priori resistant to available anticancer modalities is rarely eliminated, and failure of currently available medications to control rapidly progressive recurrent disease, cell-mediated immunotherapy may represent a safe and most effective treatment. That at a stage of MRD may result in cure.
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