Treatment for Maxillary Hypoplasia in Skeletal Class III Patient
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Abstract

Objective: To present skeletal class III patient treated with protraction face mask and bonded rapid maxillary expander in order to demonstrate the effects of face mask and bonded rapid maxillary expander on maxillary hypoplasia skeletal class III patient.

Materials and methods: The patient was reported with skeletal class III malocclusion. The patient was 11 year 3 month old boy and his treatment duration was 13 months. Bonded rapid maxillary expander was placed on maxillary posterior teeth. Rapid maxillary expander activation was done for one week once daily. Orthopedic force of 500 gm per side, 30 degree downward and forward from the occlusal plane was applied 12 to 14 hours per day. There after face mask therapy was started and continued for 13 months.

Results: Treatment resulted in significant forward displacement of the maxilla. Side effects such as labial tipping of the upper incisors, extrusion of the upper molars, clockwise rotations of the mandibular plane, and bite opening, were minimal.

Conclusion: Face mask with bonded rapid maxillary expander can be an effective treatment modality for maxillary hypoplasia in skeletal class III patient with minimal unwanted side effects.
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Introduction

Facemask therapy is the most frequently used treatment protocol for skeletal malocclusion type of anomaly in orthodontic patients. The protraction facemask has been used to stimulate sutureal growth at the circum-maxillary suture sites in growing patients with mild to moderate maxillary hypoplasia [1]. To transmit the orthopedic force from the protraction facemask to the maxilla, intraoral devices such as a labiolingual arch, quad helix, and bonded rapid maxillary expansion have been used. Intentionally ankylosed primary canines, osseointegrated implants and orthodontic miniscrews have been used as skeletal anchorage for protraction facemask therapy [2,3]. Treatment with a facemask therapy results in a combination of forward movement of the maxilla, clockwise rotation of mandible, and forward movement of the upper incisors with retrusion of the lower incisors [4,5]. Therefore labial inclined maxillary incisors and/or a vertical facial growth pattern would be contraindications for facemask therapy with tooth-borne anchorage. The purpose of this case report is to present skeletal class III patient with Face mask and rapid maxillary expander and to demonstrate the effect of facemask therapy on maxillary hypoplasia in this patient.

Case Report

The patient reported with maxillary hypoplasia and he was 11 year 3 month old boy. He presented with concave facial profile (Figure 1), anterior crossbite (3 mm reverse overjet), partially erupted 14, overretained upper right deciduous canine and upper left deciduous canine (Figure 2). Cephalogram showed retrusive maxilla (Figure 3 and Figure 4). Cephalometric analysis (Figure 7) showed skeletal Class III malocclusion with maxillary hypoplasia (ANB, -2°; A to N perp, −7 mm), steep mandibular plane angle (FMA, 34°), and a skeletal age in the pubertal growth spurt according to the cervical vertebrae maturation index CVMI, stage 3 [6].

Figure 1: Pre treatment extra oral photographs
The protocol of Face mask and rapid maxillary expander therapy is as follows: the expander was specially designed to have hooks in the canine area for the attachments of the elastics. The rapid maxillary expander appliance was bonded on posterior teeth segment. Initially after an hour of bonding, the rapid maxillary expander appliance was activated for two 90 degree turns. Then appliance was activated once daily. Following the occurrence of a median diastema, the orthopedic force of 500 gm per side was applied for 12 to 14 hours per day by face mask therapy (Figure 5). Force applied direction and angulation was 30° downward and forward from the occlusal plane in order to decrease the counterclockwise rotation of the upper occlusal plane. It is recommended to overcorrect the malocclusion into positive overjet and a slight Class II canine and molar relationship. Pretreatment and post-treatment photographs along with lateral cephalogram and orthopantomograms were taken and lateral cephahlograms were traced and analyzed both before and after facemask therapy was completed. The treatment duration was 13 months.

The protocol of facial mask and rapid maxillary expander therapy is as follows: the expander was specially designed to have hooks in the canine area for the attachments of the elastics. The rapid maxillary expander appliance was bonded on posterior teeth segment. Initially after an hour of bonding, the rapid maxillary expander appliance was activated for 90 degree turns. Then appliance was activated once daily. Following the occurrence of a median diastema, the orthopedic force of 500 gm per side was applied for 12 to 14 hours per day by face mask therapy (Figure 5). Force applied direction and angulation was 30° downward and forward from the occlusal plane in order to decrease the counterclockwise rotation of the upper occlusal plane. It is recommended to overcorrect the malocclusion into positive overjet and a slight Class II canine and molar relationship. Pretreatment and post-treatment photographs along with lateral cephalogram and orthopantomograms were taken and lateral cephalograms were traced and analyzed both before and after facemask therapy was completed. The treatment duration was 13 months.

Treatment Results

After 13 months of facemask therapy, there was significant forward movement of the maxilla. Cephalometric findings were (A to N perpendicular, changed from -7 to -4 mm). The ANB angle was changed from −2° to 0°. No counterclockwise rotation of the occlusal plane angle (remained16°) was interpreted to mean that there was almost no side effect such as extrusion of the upper molars. FMA was decreased by 2°, no labial tipping of the upper incisors (U1 to SN, remained 103°). The clinical findings were facial esthetic improvement (Figure 6), Class II canine and molar relationship, normal overbite, and overjet were obtained (Figure 7). Pretreatment and post treatment lateral cephalogram’s superimposition on cranial base showed the skeletal changes (Figure 8). Pretreatment and post treatment lateral cephalogram’s superimposition on maxilla and mandible showed the dental changes (Figure 9).
Maxillary hypoplasia in class III skeletal malocclusion is one of the cause for producing structural imbalance, functional inefficiency and esthetic disharmony. Face mask therapy for early growing age in adolescence helps to prevent this problem and eliminates the psychological problems in adolescence and the patient family. The literature reports that the facemask achieves approximately 1.5 mm to 2 mm of maxillary advancement with 6 months to 12 months of facemask wear, but this treatment protocol requires patient compliance and is not indicated in adult patients, in whom growth is complete [7,8]. Hereditary malocclusion should be diagnosed properly before starting of face mask therapy.

Expansion of the maxilla before or during protraction of the maxilla has been performed to facilitate protraction by disarticulating the circum-maxillary sutures and initiating a cellular response in these sutures. Baik reported that there was more forward movement in the maxilla when protraction was in conjunction with rapid maxillary expander compared with protraction without rapid maxillary expander [7].

There are numerous articles that advocate the protraction therapy at an early stage [9-11] because the palatomaxillary suture becomes highly interdigitated with increasing age, it becomes difficult to disarticulate the palatal bone from the pterygoid process [12]. After the pubertal growth peak, side effects such as tooth movement and/or mandibular rotation rather than maxillary protraction are likely to be the major response to treatment [13].

In cases of untreated Class III malocclusion with maxillary hypoplasia, Shanker et al. [14], reported that point A came forward only 0.2 mm over a 6-month period. With conventional facemask therapy, Kim et al. [15] from meta-analysis, reported that it produced 0.9 mm to 2.9 mm advancement of the point A. So [16] insisted that
the effect of protraction facemask therapy on the maxilla was two thirds skeletal and one third dental changes. In our cases a similar treatment protocol was used, the amount of maxillary advancement was 2 mm.

Treatment with protraction headgears has shown a greater effect than in the present study concerning the reduction of skeletal Class III relations in terms of enlarged ANB angles. Macdonald et al. [17] and Takada et al. [18] achieved increases of 3.4° and 3.6° within 1 year, respectively; Chong et al. [19] observed a mean enlargement of 2° within 2 years. The corresponding cephalometric value in the present study was 2°.

Wits values increased by 2 mm, which is similar to the finding of 1.9 mm reported by Chong et al. [19]. However, Macdonald et al [17] found that some of the sagittal effects achieved with face mask were lost in the follow-up period when no treatment was performed. While the effects achieved are smaller with exclusively removable appliances, findings obtained in control groups have clearly demonstrated that they are able to induce minor improvements and to counteract the progression of Class III abnormalities.

The upper incisors position remained same. The overjet changes by +5 mm, similar to the values recorded by Chong et al. [19] after continuous treatment with protraction headgears were observed. The patient sample analyzed in the present study revealed only a small degree of maxillary rotation. Our finding of posterior rotation of the mandible is in keeping with similar results obtained in numerous previous studies investigating various modified versions of the facemask [20,21].

The direction of force vector was 30° downward and forward from the occlusal plane. Tanne et al. [22] and Miyasaka-Hiraga et al. [23] reported that downward and forward force produced uniform stretch and translatory repositioning of the nasomaxillary complex in an anterior direction. Negligible changes of the palatal plane angle, FMA, and mandibular plane to SN angle were observed. Upper lip position was observed to be moved forwardly significantly (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Observations in Patient</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pre</td>
<td>post</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anteroposterior skeletal relationship</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNA°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNB°</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANB°</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A to N Perpendicular (mm)</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pog to N perpendicular (mm)</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wits appraisal (mm)</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical skeletal relationship</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial height ratio</td>
<td>115/74</td>
<td>118/74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMA°</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manibular plane to SN plane angle°</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital plane to SN plane angle°</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental Relationship</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1 to SN°</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Pretreatment (pre) and Posttreatment (post) Cephalometric changes observed in Skeletal, Dental, and Soft Tissue Variables

Conclusion

1) The cephalometric findings in this study demonstrate that early treatment is clinically indicated for maxillary hypoplasia in skeletal class II patient.

2) Facemask with bonded rapid maxillary expander can be an effective treatment modality for maxillary hypoplasia in skeletal class II patient with minimal unwanted side effects.
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