Treatment Option for Prosthetic Graft Infection after Thoracic Aorta Replacement
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Treatment of prosthetic graft infection is challenging after thoracic aorta replacement and the in-hospital mortality of graft replacement is very high at 25% to 42% [1-3]. In the treatment of graft infections, replacement of infected graft with radical debridement has been considered ideal surgical procedures [1,4]. However, we may sometimes hesitate to perform prompt re-operation because of these high mortalities when the patients’ activities of daily living are limited or when the patient carries the untreated infectious source of the graft infection. Medical or surgical treatment for an orthotopic infection site might be given priority over re-graft replacement because of the re-infection risk of a newly implanted graft in cases in which the persistent infection is the source of the graft infection.

One option for surgical treatment is preservation instead of removal of the prosthetic graft because removal of the graft is technically difficult and requires a long period of cardiac arrest, which may increase morbidity and mortality. The early mortality with salvage of the prosthetic graft was recently reported as 25% [3]. It is noteworthy that graft infection was successfully treated with debridement and mediastinal irrigation with povidone iodine preserving the infected graft when the patients presented with sternal wound infection. Preservation of the infected graft has been considered possible when the patients do not present with sternal wound infection before this report [5]. This paper suggests a new option for the treatment of prosthetic graft infection when the patient has re-infection risk of a newly implanted graft especially in the patient with limited activities of daily living and increased risk of mortality and morbidity.

We have recently reported the early and mid-term outcomes of prosthetic graft infection after thoracic aorta replacement [6]. The study included 8 consecutive patients with thoracic graft infection from 1997 to 2011 among 513 patients of graft replacement. We performed re-graft replacement in six patients. Of these six patients, emergency surgery was performed in two and scheduled surgery was performed in two. An unscheduled emergency surgery was required in two patients for graft detachment during the medical treatment of the infection source. Solo medical treatment was performed in two patients without abscess or pseudoaneurysm. In-hospital mortality was 25%. Re-graft infection was not observed in the six patients who underwent re-graft replacement during the 1.5- to 14-year observation period.

Prompt re-replacement of the infected graft is still favorable even when the patient has an orthotopic infection source that led to the graft infection. However, debridement with mediastinal irrigation and medical treatment preserving the infected graft may be an alternative for prosthetic graft infections if the patient does not present with an abscess or pseudoaneurysm.
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