Tumor-Associated Macrophages, Inflammation and Pathogenesis of Hepatocellular Carcinoma
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Abstract

Chronic inflammatory state is linked to the emergence of hepatocellular carcinoma, one of the most common and aggressive cancers worldwide. The complex microenvironment of tumor changes dynamically and consequently affects the pathological process. Understanding the immunological milieu of tumor in hepatocellular carcinoma can have crucial repercussions on how we see liver cancer and help plan for future cancer treatments. Taking part in this dynamic microenvironment, macrophages play a vital role in tumor growth and proliferation, cancer survival, metastasis and angiogenesis. In this review, we discuss the place and the current understanding of tumor-associated macrophages and their role in the process of hepatocarcinogenesis. In addition, we present directions for research targeting macrophage plasticity for future anti-tumor therapeutic approaches.
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Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the most aggressive cancers worldwide. It is held responsible for up to 1 million deaths globally per annum [1]. For men, it is the fifth most frequently diagnosed cancer worldwide and the second most deadly, whereas for adult women it is the seventh most frequently diagnosed cancer and only the sixth most fatal [2]. HCC occurs mainly after hepatic stress or injury that induce fibrosis and/or cirrhosis. Stresses on the liver can come from many different sources; the virus hepatitis B [3] and hepatitis C [4] are major causes of HCC development. Also, chronic alcohol consumption can worsen the outcome dramatically [5] by causing liver cirrhosis. Additional diseases are linked to HCC development, like biliary diseases including primary biliary cirrhosis [6], metabolic disorders like obesity [7] and genetic conditions such as hereditary hemochromatosis [8].

HCC is an inflammation-related cancer, as a chronic inflammatory state is necessary for cancer appearance. This review highlights the place of inflammation in hepatocellular carcinoma. We inspect the molecular cross talk in the tumor microenvironment between the hepatocellular carcinoma and the immunological cells, mainly tumor-association macrophages and it implication in hepatocarcinogenesis.

Emergence of inflammatory responses as a new hallmark of cancer

For many years, scientists have tried to understand the pathological characteristics of cancer. Determining and understanding specific events that take place in order for a tumor to arise and survive is fundamental. Certain crucial events have been identified to be essential in order for the cancer to grow. These events have been portrayed as the “hallmarks of cancer” and in the year 2000, Douglas Hanahan and Robert A Weinburg produced a list of six separate biological manifestations that lead to cancer growth [9]. Every cancer must, according to the two researchers, resist cell death, sustain proliferative signaling, evade growth suppressors, activate invasion and metastasis, enable replicative immortality and finally induce angiogenesis [9].

More recent studies start to shed light on additionally hallmarks of cancer and more particularly, the role of inflammation in cancer and the tumor’s ability to bypass immune suppression. This suggestion was taken into account after the study of different cancer types in the 1980s. The pathologists found high levels of immune cells coming from both the innate and adaptive branches [10]. This lead to the hypothesis that tumor masses induce types of inflammatory reactions and that studying the immune cells in and around the tumor mass could help understand the specific role and function of this event. Thanks to more recent cell marking techniques and advances in research, scientists determined that there is a large range of various immune responses in different types of cancer [11]. These different types of responses vary in immune cell type and density [12], but can in most cases be used as a prognostic value generally determining poor outcome.

Upon studying these immune responses, scientists were intrigued by the paradoxical inflammatory response which increases tumor progression instead of controlling cancer cell proliferation [13]. Indeed, the inflammatory cells secrete factors that sustain cancer growth in many ways. They secrete cytotoxic reactive oxygen species (ROS) that increase mutational frequency in cancer cells, thus augmenting cancerous outcome [14], growth factors, angiogenesis boosting factors, anti-apoptotic factors and metastatic factors [15].

Not only do cancer cells program the surrounding inflammatory cells to release factors that promote and sustain tumor growth, they
also evade eradication by the immune system. This hypothesis originated after studies found that immunocompromised patients were also often diagnosed with cancer [16]. These observations elucidated that the immune system can also eradicate cancer formation; in fact, most cancers are destroyed by the immune system by a tissue protective response and immune surveillance [14]. The subsequent hypothesis was that cancer proliferation occurs generally after “immunoediting” which decreases the immunogenic capabilities of a patient. Studies on mice proved that cancers could alter immune surveillance in order to proliferate [17].

As an example of an inflammation-related cancer, HCC alter their surrounding immunological territory in order to accumulate and prosper. The growth and survival of these tumor cells is closely correlated with microenvironmental alterations in and around the tumor mass.

**Tumor microenvironment and tumor-associated macrophages in hepatocellular carcinoma**

Tumor cells in HCC are in a cross talk with their surrounding microenvironment, of complex cellular and chemical composition, which helps nurture neoplastic maintenance and survival. Thus, the HCC microenvironment is deeply involved in modulating all the processes of hepatocarcinogenesis. In and around tumor cells we can find growth factors and cytokines, extracellular matrix proteins, proteinases and a multitude of different cells [18]. Among the cells present are stromal cells, carcinoma-associated fibroblasts, hepatic stellate cells endothelial cells and, more importantly for our review, immune cells [18]. The immune cells consist of regulatory (CD4+CD25+) and cytotoxic T(CD8+) cells, Kupffer cells and tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs). All these partners generate immune responses involved in the progression of HCC. Regulatory T cells, Kupffer cells (resident liver macrophages) and other TAMs impair cytotoxic T cell activities and their anticancer functions. Accordingly, several studies reported associations of decreased intratumoral densities of NK cells, low cytotoxic T, high TAM density and high regulatory T cell numbers with better pathological features and worse prognosis in HCC patients [19-22]. Recent studies have proven the importance of TAMs in HCC proliferation and survival [14,15,18,21,23,24]. TAMs belong to the macrophage lineage that are generated from circulating monocytes in the bloodstream, precursors of macrophage differentiation, and are recruited to the tumor mass thanks to specific tumor-derived signals. Clinical studies have shown that the presence of large quantities of TAMs has been correlated with poor prognosis [23,24,26]. We are gaining more insights about the functions of TAMs; they can possess either pro-cancer or anti-cancer roles, depending on a wide range of molecular interactions that class these immune cells into the M1 cytotoxic or M2 pro-tumor sub-types.

**Tumor-associated macrophage recruitment, polarization and functions**

Most tumors are eradicated through a physiological cytotoxic T cell immune response which is promoted by tumor-associated macrophages. Unfortunately, some tumors evade this natural immune destruction by changing the tumor-associated macrophage phenotype in order to help promote tumor proliferation. The study of this phenomenon is crucial for understanding, first and foremost, which factors polarize the tumor-associated macrophages in one or the other direction is critical in order to better understand the molecular interactions that class these immune cells into the M1 cytotoxic or M2 pro-tumor sub-types.

![Figure 1: Monocyte recruitment, differentiation into macrophages and their functional involvement.](image-url)

Studies show that in and around the HCC microenvironment, TAMs are mainly polarized towards the M2 macrophage phenotype that helps promote tumor growth and survival [24]. Understanding which factors polarize the tumor-associated macrophages in one or the other direction is critical in order to better understand the molecular interactions that class these immune cells into the M1 cytotoxic or M2 pro-tumor sub-types.
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**Figure 1**: Monocyte recruitment, differentiation into macrophages and their functional involvement. The figure summarizes: (1) Secreted factors that recruit monocytes to tumor microenvironment; (2) Factors that increase M1 macrophage polarization; (3) Factors that increase M2 macrophage polarization and (4) Specific functions of each type of macrophages.
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protein glypican-3 on HCC cellular membrane increases the recruitment of TAMs (Figure 1) [36].

TAMs subsequently undergo polarization depending on signals present in and around the tumor type. In HCC, the majority of the TAMs are of the M2 phenotype as this phenotype enables cancer proliferation and survival [24]. The tumor cells and tumor-derived cells therefore secrete certain factors, cytokines and chemokines that activate macrophage polarization towards the alternatively activated macrophage M2 state. Among the factors that recruit TAMs to the specific microenvironment, some equally possess a polarizing function. For instance, GM-CSF is a recruitment factor that equally polarizes monocytes towards the M1 macrophage phenotype in vitro, whereas M-CSF polarizes monocytes towards the M2 macrophage phenotype in vitro [37]. Other factors tend solely towards macrophage polarization. M1 macrophage polarization is induced by Toll Like Receptor (TLR) stimulation, bacterial infection and Interferon (IFN)-γ stimulation. M2 macrophages are induced by various other signals, such as cytokines, Interleukin (IL)-4/13 and IL-10, glucocorticoids [32], anti-inflammatory molecules TGF-β, prostaglandin-E2 apoptotic cells and immune complexes (Figure 1)[29]. IFN-γ orients macrophage polarization toward M1 through activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) 1 pathway. In contrast, IL-4/13 and IL-10 activate the M2 phenotype through STAT6 and STAT3 respectively. SIFN-γ[38]. In order to counteract the pro-inflammatory M1 – Th1 immune response, cancer cells try to change the immune microenvironment by expressing factors that promote M2 macrophage polarization. HCC cells express high levels of IL-10, which, in turn, increases the expression and secretion of IL-4/13/10 (Figure 2) [34].

These interleukins, as seen above, favor M2 macrophage polarization. The balance between activation of STAT3/STAT6 versus STAT1, which are controlled by IFN-γ and interleukin concentrations, finely regulate macrophage expression and polarization [38]. The over-expression of the three interleukins (IL-4/13 and IL-10) activates M2 polarization leading to Th2 immune response and inhibits the cytotoxic Th1 immune response. Particularly, IL-10 has an important role in M2 polarization; not only does it activate more M2 promoting cytokines (IL-4 and IL-13), but also it inhibits certain Th1 and cytotoxic promoting factors such as IFNγ and IFN-α [39] and TNF-α (Figure 2)[40]. Such events occur as a consequence of cancer progression, immuno-bypassing and result in poor prognostic values.

The phenotypes of M1 and M2 macrophages can be characterized by their gene expression and transcriptional activities. M1 macrophages activate efficient immune effector cells with cytotoxic activities through T Helper 1 immune response. They are capable of eradicating tumor and microorganism cells, presenting high amounts of antigen and produce high amounts of T-cell stimulatory cytokines [30]. M2 macrophages, on the other hand, have a contrasting phenotype. Their phenotype can be characterized by the poor capability to present antigens, therefore inhibiting T cell recognition along with immunity against the pathological HCC cells. Also, the alternatively activated profile can be identified by the low expression of differentiation-associated macrophage antigens, such as carboxypeptidase M and CD51, but also tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and IL-12 [24]. Macrophages carrying low expressions of these factors favor tumor progression and proliferation as TNF promotes cancer regression and IL-12 promotes a cytotoxic, pro-inflammatory T Helper 1 immune response. In addition, M2 cells overexpress certain pro-survival factors such as Arginase 1 [41]. High levels of the enzyme Arginase 1 act as an adaptor mechanism to avoid the buildup of toxic nitric oxide (NO) in the tumor environment. It does so by bypassing NO production and producing polyamine and citrulline instead [41].

Recent studies demonstrate the importance of TAMs in many cancer types, showing that they account for multiple functions in tumor progression, metastasis, angiogenesis and immune evasion. Understanding the immunological microenvironment of hepatocellular carcinoma can provide valuable prognostic impact and also help plan for future immunity-based cancer treatments. TAMs are deeply involved in cancer survival and proliferation. Thus, targeting these macrophages [42] can prove to be an important therapeutic strategy for HCC. Most drugs, up to now, aim to block signaling pathways to inhibit pro-tumor factors in stromal cells. Increasing our knowledge on how macrophage polarization occurs in HCC and understanding the predominance of M2 macrophage polarization can help us to engineer drugs that counter-act the pro-cancer signals of tumor and tumor-associated stromal cells. Different signaling pathways can be targeted in order to produce an effective cocktail of anti-cancer drugs that boost the natural M1 macrophage immune response.

More concrete immunotherapeutic interventions targeting these cells may see the light in the near future as indicated by the very recent and fast track FDA-approved pembrolizumab (Keytruda) from Merck for the treatment of melanoma. This drug inhibits the interaction between programmed cell death receptor-1 (PD-1) and its ligands PD-L1 and PD-L2. Since tumor cells express these immunosuppressive ligands, inhibition of the interaction between PD-1 and PD-L1...
increases the ability of the body’s immune system to fight melanoma. Drugs targeting PD-1 pathway [43] such as monoclonal antibodies pembrolizumab and nivolumab may overcome immune resistance in HCC because of their immunostimulating activities on both T cells and macrophages.

Moreover, TAM infiltration should be evaluated by immunohistochemistry in tumor mass from biopsies as a part of a global in situ immune cell infiltrate in HCC or an "immunoscore" [44]. If validated in routine clinical settings, classifying the TAM density could serve to predict the recurrence of the tumor, equally providing prognostic information and guidance into selecting a specific immunotherapeutic drug for each patient. Finally, adjuvant immunotherapy targeting TAMs and other immune cells in remaining tumor masses following surgical intervention could also provide new horizons for immunotherapy and improve the outcome of patients in the future.
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