

Ultrasound-Mediated Cancer Therapy as a Noninvasive and Repeatable Treatment Strategy

Mehmet Varol^{1,2*}¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Yunussemre Campus, Anadolu University, Eskisehir TR26470, Turkey²Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Kotekli Campus, Mugla Sitki Kocman University, Mugla TR 48000, Turkey***Corresponding author:** Mehmet Varol, Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Faculty of Science, Kotekli Campus, Mugla Sitki Kocman University, Mugla TR48000, Turkey, Tel: 0090-252-211-31-32; Fax: +90-252-211-92-80; E-mail: mehmetvarol@mu.edu.tr**Received date:** February 29, 2016; **Accepted date:** March 02, 2016; **Published date:** March 07, 2016**Copyright:** © 2016 Varol M. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

There is still a great challenge to develop an effective treatment modality against cancer diseases through the numerous disadvantages of conventional methods such as systemic toxicity, drug resistance, low selectivity and potential long-term side effects [1,2]. Researchers, therefore, have been focused on the minimal-invasive and more efficient strategies such as Photodynamic Therapy (PDT) that is a promising treatment modality based on the synergic interactions of a nontoxic photosensitizer, low-energy and non-thermal visible light, and tissue oxygen to destroy the target cells and tissues by producing singlet oxygen and reactive oxygen species [3,4]. Despite the great success and wide utilization of this alternative treatment modality in clinical settings, PDT has also some limitation. For instance, visible light (near-infrared wavelength) employed in PDT could not penetrate into deep tumor tissues and the long term retention of certain photosensitizers in cutaneous tissues leads to potential long-lasting skin toxicity [2,5,6]. Yumita and Umemura had firstly employed low-intensity ultrasound instead of visible light to sonochemically activate the photochemical materials to produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) and destroy target cancer cells [7,8]. Thus, the problem about low-penetration ability of the light into deep tissues was surpassed by the discovery of Sonodynamic Therapy (SDT) because ultrasound is a type of mechanical wave with frequencies greater than 20 kHz that can exert a specifically localized effect from the surface of the skin up to 15-20 cm into the body to reach a cancer target immersed deep within human tissues [9,10]. Since the discovery of SDT, low-intensity ultrasound has been performed in four major fields of cancer therapy, including SDT, ultrasound-mediated chemotherapy, ultrasound-mediated gene delivery and ultrasound-mediated anti-vascular therapy [11]. Although the underlying activity mechanisms of ultrasound-mediated therapies are depended on certain exposure parameters such as intensity, duty factor, ultrasound frequency and pulse repetition frequency, it is well established that non-thermal ultrasound waves may induce the production of ultrasonic cavitation, free radicals, singlet oxygen, reactive oxygen species and ultrasound-mediated apoptosis [12]. Due to the mechanical characteristics of ultrasound waves, changing pressure gradients in liquid leads to the growth, oscillation, and/or collapse of gaseous cavities (bubbles) [13]. Cavitation can be typically observed into two types as stable and inertial, which are regulated by the oscillatory behavior of bubbles under acoustic field [13-16]. Stable cavitation could be identified with the periodic oscillations of gas bubbles that have already existed in the tissue and that could be observed as the waxing bubble during the negative pressure half cycle and the shrinking bubble during the positive pressure half cycle as response to an ultrasound wave field [9,13,17,18]. Although stable cavitation do not collapse violently at any

point of the pressure cycles, inertial cavitation or transient cavitation collapses violently after rapid bubble growth during the negative pressure half cycle and the collapse of cavitation at high-pressure amplitudes leads to instantaneously discharge of highly condensed energy at collapse center that induces localized increase in temperature (104 - 106 K) and pressure (81 MPa) in surrounding microenvironment [9,15,19]. The sonomechanical influences of cavitation collapse near solid boundaries (such as a tissue interface) can be observed as shock waves propagation and micro-jets travelling at high speed (~ 100 m/s) towards the rigid surface [15,20]. Ultrasound-mediated chemotherapy, sonoporation, drug delivery, gene delivery etc. principally use these sonomechanical effects to disrupt the normal functions of the cellular membranes [21]. The sonochemical effects of the bubble collapse can be monitored as the generation of free radicals, singlet oxygen and reactive oxygen species, and / or the occurring of sonoluminescence that can activate the sonosensitizer molecules [19]. Although it is not a surprise to expect light emission due to the high temperature releasing by transiently cavitating bubbles collapse, the precise mechanism of light production is still unclear. Sonoluminescence may be occurred due to the blackbody radiation, bremsstrahlung radiation, recombination radiation, or combinations thereof [19,22-25].

Consequently, ultrasound seem to be a promising technology with non-toxic and tolerable behavior besides the requiring inexpensive equipment and easy implementation in SDT, drug delivery, gene delivery and the other cancer therapies, as well as its conventional usage for diagnostic purposes. Due to the encouraging results of a significant number of in vitro and in vivo experiments, we think that more scientific effort should be attracted along the ultrasound-mediated therapies by planning the researches in naturally occurring cancers and in larger mammals for the promptly application in a clinical trial.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank all researchers who have contributed to develop alternative cancer treatment modalities with their precious studies.

References

1. Dy GK, Adjei AA (2013) Understanding, recognizing, and managing toxicities of targeted anticancer therapies. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians* 63: 249-279.
2. Chen H, Zhou X, Gao Y, Zheng B, Tang F, et al. (2014) Recent progress in development of new sonosensitizers for sonodynamic cancer therapy. *Drug Discov Today* 19: 502-509.

3. Henderson BW, Dougherty TJ (1992) How does photodynamic therapy work? *Photochem Photobiol* 55: 145-157.
4. Varol M (2015) An Alternative Treatment Modality of Diseases Using Photodynamic Therapy with a Wide Range Biological Targeting Possibility. *Research Journal of Biology* 3: 21-25.
5. Brown SB, Brown EA, Walker I (2004) The present and future role of photodynamic therapy in cancer treatment. *Lancet Oncol* 5: 497-508.
6. Wilson BC, Patterson MS (2008) The physics, biophysics and technology of photodynamic therapy. *Phys Med Biol* 53: R61-109.
7. Yumita N, Nishigaki R, Umemura K, Umemura S (1989) Hematoporphyrin as a sensitizer of cell-damaging effect of ultrasound. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 80: 219-222.
8. Umemura S, Yumita N, Nishigaki R, Umemura K (1990) Mechanism of cell damage by ultrasound in combination with hematoporphyrin. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 81: 962-966.
9. Rosenthal I, Sostaric JZ, Riesz P (2004) Sonodynamic therapy - a review of the synergistic effects of drugs and ultrasound. *Ultrasonics sonochemistry* 11: 349-363.
10. Mo S, Coussios CC, Seymour L, Carlisle R (2012) Ultrasound-enhanced drug delivery for cancer. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv* 9: 1525-1538.
11. Wood AK, Sehgal CM (2015) A review of low-intensity ultrasound for cancer therapy. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 41: 905-928.
12. Tachibana K, Feril LB Jr, Ikeda-Dantsuji Y (2008) Sonodynamic therapy. *Ultrasonics* 48: 253-259.
13. Tang J, Guha C, Tomé WA (2015) Biological Effects Induced by Non-thermal Ultrasound and Implications for Cancer Therapy A Review of the Current Literature. *Technology in cancer research & treatment* 14: 221-235.
14. Flynn H (1964) Physics of acoustic cavitation in liquids. *Physical acoustics* 1: 57-172.
15. Paliwal S, Mitragotri S (2006) Ultrasound-induced cavitation: applications in drug and gene delivery. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv* 3: 713-726.
16. Trendowski M (2014) The promise of sonodynamic therapy. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 33: 143-160.
17. Curra FP, Crum LA (2003) Therapeutic ultrasound: Surgery and drug delivery. *Acoustical Science and Technology* 24: 343-348.
18. Ushakov VY, Klimkin VY, Korobeynikov SM (2007) *Impulse breakdown of liquids*. Springer.
19. Costley D, Mc Ewan C, Fowley C, McHale AP, Atchison J, et al. (2015) Treating cancer with sonodynamic therapy: a review. *Int J Hyperthermia* 31: 107-117.
20. Popinet S, Zaleski S (2002) Bubble collapse near a solid boundary: a numerical study of the influence of viscosity. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 464: 137-163.
21. Feril LB, Tachibana K, Dantsuji YI, Endo H, Harada Y, et al. (2008) Therapeutic potential of low-intensity ultrasound (part 2): biomolecular effects, sonotransfection, and sonopermeabilization. *Journal of Medical Ultrasonics* 35: 161-167.
22. Crum LA, Mason TJ, Reisse JL, Suslick KS (2013) *Sonochemistry and sonoluminescence*. Springer 524.
23. Pickworth MJW, Dendy PP, Leighton TG, Walton AJ (1988) Studies of the cavitation effects of clinical ultrasound by sonoluminescence: 2. Thresholds for sonoluminescence from a therapeutic ultrasound beam and the effect of temperature and duty cycle. *Physics in Medicine and Biology* 33: 1249.
24. Byun KT, Kim KY, Kwak HY (2005) Sonoluminescence characteristics from micron and submicron bubbles. *Journal of the Korean Physical Society* 47: 1010-1022.
25. Saksena T, Nyborg W (1970) Sonoluminescence from stable cavitation. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 53: 1722-1734.