Unusual Post-Spray Proton Transfer to Protein Using Acetone Spray in Desorption Electrospray Ionization
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Abstract

Although acetone, in DESI ionisation generally leads to protein aggregation, in this study we report unexpected multi-proton transfers to lysozyme using this aprotic solvent as a charged spray. The DESI/acetone mass spectrum of lysozyme displays (i) a significant increase in the average charge state ($Z_{av}$) and (ii) an incomplete $H^+/Ca^{2+}$ exchange, even though the overall contribution of cationised species is high, relative to those from spraying with a methanol/water solvent. This behavior is contrary to that expected from gas phase basicity, because $GB_{acetone} > GB_{methanol}$. Decreasing the amount of sample deposited on the target (from 50 to 0.050 pmol) leads to a charge state increase, as seen in ESI, but not in the extent of cationisation. Moreover, the DESI signal duration is extended with sprayed acetone even though the total ionic current is significantly lowered. With a d6-acetone spray, no incorporation of a deuterium occurs, and the ionization yield is strongly decreased for multi-protonated lysozyme. This is in contrast to that observed with a d4-methanol spray, which displays a distribution of 48 deuterons in the lyso 9+ ion as shown in high resolution with a LTQ/Orbitrap instrument. This unexpected behavior of the (CD3)2CO spray suggests that protons do not originate from acetone. Furthermore, dry argon post-flow on the target surface results in the lysozyme signal suppression, whereas with a humid argon flow, the signal is regenerated. On the other hand, an argon stream bubbling in heavy water, yields incorporation of several deuterons. The interpretation of this behavior is explained by considering the acetone radical ions at the surface of the primary droplets (and/or offspring droplets and/or at the wet sample surface), being able to react with ambient moisture or with traces of water adsorbed at liquid phase. Under these conditions, enough protons are produced to generate multi-charged solvated lysozyme aggregates which then become desolvated in the reduced pressure in the skin area.
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Introduction

Desorption electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (DESI-MS), an ambient ionization method first reported by Takats et al. in 2004 [1], permits fast analysis of bulk samples without pre-treatment. Many applications appeared rapidly [1-5] and their number still increases [7]. Since, over forty ambient ionization methods have now been developed, based on sprays with [1] or without high voltage [8], acoustic mode [9-12], chemical ionization [10], laser [13], plasmas [14], heating [15] and combined techniques [6]. Several of these ambient ionization modes can lead to imaging analysis [15]. Based on the extractive approaches [7], they permit monitoring reaction [17] and intermediate studies in fast reactive processes [18-20]. Furthermore, it is possible to combine them with an electrochemistry device [21,22]. Among the various ambient ionization/desorption modes, DESI-MS [1] evolved as the most popular mode and is suitable for analysis of a large panel of organic compounds. It has been reported in a wide area of applied analyses including forensic [3-6,8,23,24], homeland security [4,5,25-28], food contaminants [29] and agrochemicals [30,31], metabolites [4,32-34], drugs, and drugs of abuse [34-39]. DESI mode also contributes to biomolecule detection [40-46], as well as direct analysis of heterogeneous biological material [3,4,47-50] and in imaging [40,48,51]. For larger analytes, DESI produces efficiently multicharged proteins [44,52-56] as well as non-covalent complexes [54,57-62].

The proposed DESI mechanism based on the sample extraction from a thin target layer and transmission solvated ionic species to the transfer capillary, known as “droplet pick-up” [5,7,63], may be regarded as a process of four distinct steps occurring from the micro-electrospray source assisted by auxiliary gas flow and yielding charged solvent micro-sized droplets (d ≤ 10 µm). As described in literature [1-5], these steps involve: (i) the impacting of the charged droplets to the target surface with velocities of the order of 100 m/s at an angle ranging from 20 to 90 degrees, (ii) the wetting and subsequent partial dissolution of the sample deposited on the target, (iii) the momentum transfer from the multiple impacting droplets, originating from a shock wave-like phenomenon [4], which leads to production of analyte offspring droplets (characterized by a distribution in sizes and in velocities) from target surface layer to move toward the transfer capillary. Those droplets are significantly smaller than those generated in ESI before the droplet Coulomb explosions [64] (except for a large for which mainly the “charge residue model” mechanism must be considered [65]), (iv) Finally, this is followed by the release of solvated analyte ions, similar in charge but probably smaller than those generated in the ESI process [32]. The DESI mechanism briefly presented above specially emphasizes the importance of: (i) properties of the surface used for the sample deposition, and (ii) properties of the selected electrospray solvent and additives to enhance ionization efficiency [66,67]. The surface must have a weak affinity for analyte [68] to facilitate its extraction/dissolution in the formed thin solvent layer. In contrast, generally a high affinity between the surface and the sprayed solvent increases the signal intensity and its stability. Moreover, due to the ESI electrical field, the insulated surface undergoes electrostatic charge closely related to its conductivity, and is responsible for the microdroplet ejection from the thin solvent layer [68-70].
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Depending on the analyte, one can use various surfaces for sample deposition. The most popular materials in analysis of protein, carbohydrates, and synthetic organic compounds are: polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) [42,43,71], polytetra-fluoro-ethylene (PTFE) [1,42,71-73], glass [42,71,74], and paper [71,74] (different to the Paper Spray mode, another ambient ionization mode) [75], with a limit of detection (LOD) [26,42-44,68] from 0.1 to 2000 pg.mm\(^{-2}\). More recently, nanoporous silicon and ultra-thin layer chromatography UTLC led to improve LOD values compared with PMMA and PTFE surfaces [68]. Proteomic analysis uses commonly nanoporous alumina surface [76].

The sprayed solvent is also a crucial parameter in the DESI process [7,59]. In fact, polarity, boiling point and viscosity are the major macroscopic properties that affect DESI performances [66,67]. When it is required, other properties as volatility and capacity to dissolve the sample, guide towards particular solvents. Stability and thickness of the solvent layer, correlated with intensity and stability of the signal depend on the analyte interactions with the surface and the evaporation rate. Moreover, the solvent polarity influences dissolution efficiency in solvent layer, and hence analyte concentration in the offspraying droplet evaporation [26,69,77]. It is known that protic solvents favor the stabilization of the analyte charge during the offspraying droplet evaporation, although using non-aqueous solvents is possible if, at first, the analyte is dissolved. Indeed that method generates ions containing weaker internal energies [66,67]. In practice, the most commonly employed solvent in DESI-MS consists of a methanol-water mixture [1]. However, depending on the analyte, an aprotic solvent such as acetonitrile may advantageously replace methanol [30,52,66,67,77].

Furthermore, addition of a small amount (0.1% v/v) of a protonating agent, e.g., formic, acetic, or trifluoroacetic acids, facilitates analyte protonation [72,76]. In some cases, pure solvents were proposed, for instance in the targeting of plant alkaloids separated on a thin-layer chromatography plate. Van Berkel et al. reported higher ionization efficiency with acetonitrile than with methanol [78,79]. Recently, Badu-Tawiah et al. demonstrated that the acetonitrile/chloroform (1/1) or tetrahydrofuran/chloroform (1/1) mixtures exhibit an improved efficiency compared to methanol/ water (1/1) for hydrophobic analytes detected under DESI-MS conditions [66,67]. Note that the addition of particular reagents (e.g., m-nitrobenzyl alcohol (m-NBA) or sulfolane) permits the supercharging of protein analytes [79].

In the present work, we chose oxidized lysozyme as model in order to explore the potentiality of unusual aprotic solvent for desorption of small proteins within an enough efficiency to detect their characteristic ionicized species. Its medium size and its multiple disulfide bridges prevent protein denaturation but the large amount of conformational freedom for the protein motivates this choice. Moreover, lysozyme includes 19 basic residues, which promote protonation in DESI. In this work, among the less used aprotic solvents, we selected anhydrous acetonitrile, although it is considered as an inefficient solvent for protein solubilization. We opted for a PTFE target surface because of its hydrophobic character, which manifests strong interactions with acetonitrile and results in stabilization of the thin solvent layer. Therefore, the recorded mass spectra of lysozyme were carefully examined, especially in terms of charge state distribution (CSD) [80] and average charge states \( Z_{\text{ave}} \) [81-83] of cationized and multi-protonated lysozyme species. Systematically, we compared them to those acquired with commonly sprayed protic solvents, e.g., methanol/water. In addition, in order to contribute to understand the details of the ionization mechanism i.e., the origin of ionizing protons when an aprotic solvent such as acetonitrile is used, we modified the DESI ambient conditions by using post-flow gas. We performed DESI experiments by using sprayed anhydrous acetonitrile (labelled or not) either in conventional ambient conditions or combined to an additional post-flow gas: (i) dry argon, and (ii) humidified argon/water (labeled or not).

**Experimental**

**Chemicals and reagents**

HPLC grade methanol, d4-methanol (CD\(_4\)OD) and d6-acetone ([CD\(_6\))CO] were acquired from Sigma (St Quentin Fallavier, France). The d6-acetone was used extemporaneously. HPLC grade acetonitrile and formic acid, were supplied from WWR International (Fontenay-sous-Bois, France), and argon, nitrogen were purchased from Air-Liquefie (Nanterre, France). Anhydrous acetonitrile was prepared in accordance to the protocol of Yves Baratoux prior DESI/MS analysis [84]. Water was purified to 18.2 MΩ.cm with a milli-Q water system, from Millipore (El Paso, TX, USA). Hen Egg White lysozyme, supplied by Sigma (Saint-Quentin Fallavier, France), was purified according to the procedure of Thomas et al. [84] and was partially desalted to its isionic state [85]. Finally, the pH of the isionic protein solution was adjusted to pH 4.5 with acetic acid, and Lysozyme concentration (approximately 100 µM) was determined using UV-spectrophotometry. This solution was then diluted to appropriate concentrations (0.001 to 100 µM) with pure water, before DESI-MS analysis. Polytetra-fluoro-ethylene surfaces (PTFE plates 1/16 inch, 2.95 inch × 0.98 inch) were purchased from Isoflex SAS (Diemoz, France).

**DESI mass spectrometry**

DESI-MS experiments were performed using a LTQ OrbitrapTM from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Courtaboeuf, France). The analyser was operated in the FTMS mode (high resolving power fixed at 10\(^5\)). Two micro scans were used to record one scan and the maximum injection time was 0.200 s. DESI mass spectrum acquisition was done from m/z 200 to m/z 4000 (i.e., high m/z ratio range selection mode). Xcalibur\(^\text{TM}\) software (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for data acquisition and analysis. DESI experiments, in positive ion polarity mode, were carried out using an OmnimSpray\(^\text{TM}\) Ion source from Prosolia, Inc. (Indianapolis, IN, USA) equipped with a manual X-Y-Z positioner. A double charge-coupled device (CCD) camera was used for positioning and retaining in place as accurately as possible the deposited sample. The following optimized values for experimental parameters were: spray voltage, 3.8 kV; capillary temperature, 300°C; capillary voltage, 49 V; and tube lens, 250 V. For DESI source, preferred parameters hereafter were: solvent flow rate, 5 µL.min\(^{-1}\); spray angle, 37°; distance from sprayer to PTFE surface, approximately 0.5 mm; distance from sample deposit to mass spectrometer inlet, 1-2 mm; dry nitrogen gas pressure, 72 psi.

In a typical DESI-MS experiment, seven aliquots of aqueous Lysozyme solution (0.5 µL-10 µM) deposited on PTFE plate, were left for approximately 10 min, at room temperature until total dry. Successively, each deposit (approximately 5 pmoles) was analyzed by a manual sweep. Before use, the PTFE plate was sonicated for 5 min in 0.1% aqueous formic acid, rinsed with water and dried under a nitrogen flow. DESI mass spectra are the scan average obtained from the spots.

**Notation and thermochemistry**

The large ionic species (produced at the end of droplet lifetime), with a large and excess of charge number on the studied protein, stabilized by a lot of solvent molecules, are herein called charged aggregates. However, it does not arise with protein aggregates which...
are very minor species. The charged aggregates are macromolecular non-covalent systems which are desolvated under reduced pressure in the skinner area [86].

To simplify the ion notation, the multi-protolyzed lysozyme species with n protons, \([\text{Lyso} + n\text{H}^+]^{m-}\) (or \([\text{LysoH}^+]^{m^*}\)), were denoted as \(\text{Lyso}^m\) \([87]\). The multi-protolyzed/cationized forms, e.g., \([\text{Lyso} + (n-n)\text{K}^+] (\text{with } C = \text{cation valence number, } k = \text{number of cations}, )\), were denoted as \(\text{LysoC}_k^+\). The main adduct ion corresponding to an \(m/z\) shift relative to that of \(\text{Lyso}^m\) by 38 k/n \(m/z\) (with \(k = 1\)) is observed. This means that cationization occurs mainly with one (or more) \(\text{K}\) and/or \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) cations. It results the formation either \([\text{Lyso} + (n-p)\text{H}^+] and/or [\text{Lyso} + (n-2q)\text{H}^+] (p and q as number of alkali and alkaline earth cations, respectively) noted as \(\text{LysoK}^{n+p}\) and \(\text{LysoCa}^{n+q}\). The average charge state [81-83] corresponding to the following ratio was noted as \(Z_{av}\), related to the charge \(i\), as the sum of the peak intensities \(\Sigma_{i=1} I_i\) and \(\Sigma_{i=1} I_i\) in subscript letters, characterize peak intensities corresponding to ions constituted by protons and metallic cation(s) of the \(\text{Lyso}\) and \(\Sigma\text{LysoC}_k^+\) ions, respectively:

\[
Z_{av} = \frac{\Sigma_{i=1} I_i}{\Sigma_{i=1} I_i}.
\]

These values are related in particular to the protein conformations (see supplementary S2 material with included references [88-93] for lysozyme). Thus, the \(Z_{av}\) expression is composed by the sum of two terms \((Z_{a} + Z_{b})\), which were explored mainly when sprayed acetone was used:

\[
Z_{av} = \frac{\Sigma_{i=1} I_i}{\Sigma_{i=1} I_i} \text{ and } Z_{av} = \frac{\Sigma_{i=1} I_i}{\Sigma_{i=1} I_i}.
\]

To compare on one hand, the stability of the multi-charged species related to its environment, and on the other hand, the possible proton exchanges with solvent, the apparent gas phase [94,95], \(GB_{app}(\text{Lyso}^{m+})\), was used (Equation 1):

\[
GB_{app}(\text{Lyso}^{m+}) = \text{Lyso}^{(m+1)} - \text{Lyso}^{m+} + H^+.
\]

This definition is based on the gas phase basicity \(GB(M)\) definition, a thermochemical state \(\Delta_G\) basicity(M) function, the Gibbs energy change related to the \([\text{MH}^+ + \text{M} + \text{H}^+]\) fictive proton desolvation reaction. Its \(\Delta H\) term is called proton affinity \(PA(M)\) \([95,96]\) and for \(\text{Lyso}^{m+}\), this term is \(PA_{app}(\text{Lyso}^{(m+1)})\) \([91,92]\) (with some of their \(GB_{app}\) values from literature are provided) \([83,97-102]\).

By analogy to \(PA_{app}(\text{Lyso}^{m+})\), apparent alkaline earth cation affinity (e.g., for \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\), cation chosen for our data, see supplementary material S3 with included references [104-112]) of multi-protolyzed \(\text{Lyso}^{(m+1)}\) species is noted as \(\text{Cac}_{\text{app}}^{\text{Lyso}^{(m+1)}}\) and \(\text{Ca}_{\text{app}}^{\text{Lyso}^{(m+1)}}\) for the Gibbs energy change of Equation 2 \([103]\), whereas the gas phase basicity of cationized multi-protolyzed \(\text{LysoCa}^{m+}\) Lysozyme noted as \(GB_{\text{app}}(\text{LysoCa}^{m+})\) (Equation 3), are considered to be:

\[
\text{Ca}_{\text{app}}^{\text{Lyso}^{(m+1)}} = \text{LysoCa}^{(m+1)} \rightarrow \text{Lyso}^{(m+1)} + \text{Ca}^{2+}.
\]

\[
\text{GB}_{\text{app}}(\text{LysoCa}^{m+}) = [\text{LysoCa}^{(m+1)}] - [\text{LysoCa}^{m+}] + H^+.
\]

The \(GB\) state function is introduced from the CB cation affinity particularly known for amino-acid neutrals (AA) \([104-108]\).

Results and Discussion

As previously stated, the solvent is one of important factors \([7,66,67]\) among several experimental parameters concerned about CSD (seen experimental part and supplementary material S2) of ions in gas phase, with a maximum charge state of solvent-free proteins, resulting from desolvation of multi-charged aggregates in ESI mode. Indeed, it implies at the same time, the droplet charge evolution by macroscopic effects, and intra-aggregate protons/alkali (or alkaline earth) cations exchange reactions by macromolecular effects. The macroscopic effects influence the surface tension, and thus, the droplet size/shape, their analyte concentration, and the formation of large charged aggregates either by "ion evaporation" \([113-115]\) desorbed or produced from "charged residue" process \([65]\). The macromolecular effects and gas phase thermochemistry, act on the CSD, as on the maximum of the charge state of the multiply-charged solvent-free proteins. The situation somewhat differs in DESI mode, because the charged offspring droplets are significantly smaller and distorted in a shell-shape due to their high velocity \([2,4,63,65,67]\). This results in higher speed favoring their fast fission through the produced dragging force.

Despite these minor differences, Myung et al. \([43]\) demonstrated similar charge distributions in both the ESI and DESI modes in ion mobility experiments. This may involve a compensation of the macroscopic and macromolecular effects, which relative importance varies with the mode of desorption, resulting in similar ESI (2.1 \(\mu M\) concentration of the used lysozyme solution) and DESI mass spectra (recorded from 5 pmoles of deposed lysozyme). Although, the discussion of the macroscopic effect influence on the dynamic of plume should deserve a fundamental interest, this aspect will not be discussed in this study any more. Actually, the processes involved in the aggregate ion formation from the offspring droplets have not been particularly scrutinized in contrast to the role of the agent providing available protons for charging the droplets (as with the charged aggregates).

In order to investigate the solvent role in providing charges, we studied the influence of the lysozyme amount in the offspring droplets on CSD and \(Z_{av}\) of \(\text{Lyso}^{m+}\) (and \(\text{LysoC}_k^+\)), using first sprayed protonic solvent (methanol/water mixture). Besides, distribution of cations (e.g., \(Na^+\), and/or \(K^+\)) was notably scrutinized, according to lysozyme CSD, just like the deposited lysozyme amount. Secondary, the effects, while changing sprayed protonic solvent by an aprotic as acetonitrile (an inappropriate solvent for lysozyme) were explored on the previous characteristics (i.e., CSD, \(Z_{av}\) and cation distribution).

CSD and \(Z_{av}\) values for \(\text{Lyso}^{m+}\) produced in DESI-MS from sprayed aqueous protonic solvent

Under DESI conditions with acidified methanol/water mixture (8/2) (Figure 1a) with 5 pmole of deposited lysozyme, three peaks of the lysozyme mass spectrum dominate at \(m/z\ 1431.49\), \(m/z\ 1590.45\), and \(m/z\ 1789.12\), corresponding to ions with 10\(^{+}\), 9\(^{+}\) and 8\(^{+}\) charge states, respectively. Consequently, a narrow CSD value (i.e., from 7\(^{+}\) to 11\(^{+}\)) characterizes this profile, with a calculated average charge state as \(Z_{av} = (9.0 \pm 0.1)\), which is slightly lower than that obtained in ESI i.e., \(Z_{av} = (9.8 \pm 0.1)\) for the lysozyme sample consumption considered approximately similar (Supplementary material S1 and Figure S1) in both the experiments (i.e., instrument, in skinner desolvation and ion transmission conditions). Takas et al. reported already this behavior \([4]\). The weak differences observed between these ESI and DESI experiments may signify that the yield of ionization/desorption are not very different, although the latter is somewhat gentler than the former. This result is consistent with those provided from the study of Myung et al. \([43]\), which shown similar conclusion from IMS experiments performed using sprayed protonic solvents.

For the production of solvent-free multi-charged lysozyme \(\text{Lyso}^{m+}\) species, it can be assumed that formation of the multi-protolyzed aggregates occurs from the small offspring droplets followed by "in
skimmer desolvation steps, as it is described by an ESI-like mechanism [4]. However, to explain the origin of the small variation of $Z_{av}$ between the profiles of ESI (Supplementary material S1 and Figure S1) and DESI (Figure 1), the net charge carried by lysozyme/solvent aggregates has been qualitatively scrutinized by considering the Lyso$^{+}$ ions.

In DESI mode, the $Z_{av}$ decrease was previously ascribed to the small sizes of the offspring droplets [64,68]. Very likely, these latter carry a charge number lower than those produced in ESI. This led to a positive net charge decrease on the solvated and folded protein surface, and thus, after its solvent release from the charged lysozyme/solvent aggregates, the solvent-free ionized proteins are characterized by a slightly reduced average charge state. On the other hand, this slight $Z_{av}$ discrepancy between the ESI and DESI mass spectra may be due to the nature (protons vs. cations) of the charge transfer mechanism of DESI overall process, in which protonic solvent properties do not strongly affect both the ionization steps and production of solvent-free protein ions [43,67,80]. This interpretation may rationalize the observed effect of the lysozyme amount deposited at the target, on the proton/cation distribution, for a given lysozyme charge state in DESI (Figure 1a) compared that obtained in ESI (Figure S1). Since the nascent offspring droplet heterogeneity, their initial lysozyme concentration contributes essentially to the CSD as well as to the distribution of the proton/metallic cation ratio. The signal "zooms" for the 8 $^{+}$ lysozyme species, around m/z 1780-1800 (Insets of Figures S1 and S1a) display the cationized [Lyso + 6H + Ca$^{+}$] forms (i.e., LysoCa$^{+}$) rather than its isobaric [Lyso + 7H + K$^{+}$] form (i.e., LysoK$^{+}$) (Supplementary material S2), in addition to Lyso$^{+}$.

Interestingly, for each charge state, the normalized relative abundances of the Lyso$^{+}$ and LysoCa$^{+}$ ions [i.e., (ILyso$^{+}$ + XLysoCa$^{+}$ / X=100%] depend on the charge (n) (Figure S2). So, for the charge state $i^{+}$ which increases from 7 to 13 in ESI (Figure S2a), the Lyso$^{+}$ and LysoCa$^{+}$ relative abundances are respectively equal to (96 ± 3)% and (4 ± 3)%, with a $\left(\frac{I(n)}{I(100\%)}\right)$ ratio (noted as $R_{i^{+}}$) almost constant within the experimental errors.

Consequently, in ESI, this ratio can be considered almost constant within the experimental errors. This trend is not that of the DESI experiments with methanol/water (Figure S2b). Indeed, when the charge state increases from 7 to 11, a significant enlargement of the Lyso$^{+}$ relative abundance from 62% to 90%, and vice versa from that of LysoCa$^{+}$ which present an abundance decrease from 38% to 10%. It results in a $R_{i^{+}}$ ratio multiplied by a factor of $\#5.5$. Furthermore, the larger contribution of cationized multi-protonated Lysozyme is clearly illustrated by deconvolution of the DESI mass spectrum of Figure 1a, which indicates that: (i) approximately 8% of ions are cationized, and (ii) the cationization is reinforced for the lower charged species. From these features, it appears a significant variation of the $Z_{av}$ and $Z_{cav}$ values (calculated from $Z_{av}$=9.0) which are 9.1 and 7.9, respectively. This behavior is consistent with different studies which enlightened this reinforced cationization of the proteins in DESI [4,36].

In the ESI experiments, independently of the maximum number of present protons on the micro-droplet surface, the fast exchanges of metallic ion/proton taking place in solution (or into the charged aggregates) can explain the almost constant cationization, maintained at low level. This yields formation of strongly charged lysozyme/solvent aggregates, which are then desolvated under reduced pressure conditions. On the other hand, this means that, in ESI, the residual alkaline/alkaline earth ions (naturally present in the native proteins) are almost completely exchanged by protons in droplet/aggregate systems, leading to reduction of the metallic ion contribution into the naked multi-charged proteins. This behavior cannot occur in the DESI mode since the offspring droplets (emitted from the thin layer on the bulk sample onto the target) [43] are unlikely saturated by a lot of protons. Consequently, in such secondary droplets, the number of protons is not enough large [113,114] to allow an almost complete displacement of all alkaline/alkaline earth ions and to provide cation-free- multi-protonated lysozyme. Thus, after desolvation of the desorbed multi-charged aggregates, residual metallic cations can be carried by the multi-protonated lysozyme. This explanation is consistent with the droplet pickup DESI mechanism model [4], which considers that secondary microdroplets are significantly smaller than those formed in ESI [43,64,116], and thus, should very likely carry less protons (vide supra). Consequently, to achieve the final ionization, cation/proton exchanges are significantly larger in ESI than in DESI from the charged aggregates (Supplementary material S2, S3).

Effects of the sample dilution in protic solvent on the charge state distribution, and on the extent of proton/cation exchange

For this purpose, we studied the evolution of the CSD and its corresponding $Z_{av}$ relative values depending on the amount of lysozyme deposited on the PTFE target of DESI experiments (Figures S3 and 2a). When the lysozyme amount decreased from 50 pmol to 0.05 pmol, it appears: (i) a charge state shifting towards higher values up to 12$^{+}$ (Figure S3) and (ii) a monotonic increase of $Z_{av}$ from 8.5 to 10.2 (Figure 2a). Such behavior does not differ strongly from that observed in the ESI mode [81-83,88-91,117]. However, the ESI signal is maintained constant in time, due to continuous droplet renewal, contrasting to that occurred from the DESI experiments which involve three periods. Indeed, due to the lysozyme solubility in water/methanol mixture, we can consider that the lysozyme concentration (i) reaches its maximum as soon as the first acetone layers are deposited on the target, (ii) remains constant during the period of the continuous consumption, and (iii) decreases rapidly when the sample bulk disappears. Furthermore, the average size and net charge distribution of offspring droplets should be approximately constant in time because of the momentum transfer from the multiple impacting primary droplets of solvent mixture with a constant composition (the layer surface being constantly renewed). Consequently, under these conditions, the charge number on the emerging droplets remains almost independent of analyte concentration; the decrease of the deposited lysozyme amount should result in a $Z_{av}$ increasing. This is consistent with the monotone and slight lowering $Z_{av}$ evolution observed by increasing the deposited lysozyme amount on the PTFE target (Figure 2a).

![Figure 1: DESI mass spectra of 5 pmol of deposited lysozyme on PTFE target with spray beam prepared (a) with methanol/water (82/18 v/v) mixture and 0.1% formic acid, and (b) pure anhydrous acetone. In inset of each mass spectrum, a zoom of the 8$^{+}$ charge state species (i.e., Lyso$^{8+}$ and its cationized forms noted as LysoCa$^{8+}$).](image-url)
Indeed, e.g., the relative Lyso8⁺ abundance decreases while that of the amount (Figure 2b), which results in a higher proton consumption. The contribution of cationized molecules with higher deposited lysozyme ionization (no more discussion herein) in DESI. This evolution of lysozyme concentration into the offspring droplets when deposited (in the form of salts) leads to a less proton/metal cation exchange in the layer and offspring droplets, and therefore, reinforces the relative contribution of cationized multi-protonated LysoCaₘ⁺ forms of lysozyme (Figure 2b). This interpretation supports the observed effects of the deposited lysozyme amount on the CSD, and the proton/cation distribution for a given charge state carried out by lysozyme in the DESI mass spectrum (Figure 2b). Conversely, it is possible to apply the latter particular effect to explore qualitatively the evolution of lysozyme concentration into the offspring droplets when an aprotic solvent such as acetone is sprayed in DESI experiments.

Unusual lysozyme ionization from primary droplet beam of aprotic solvent as anhydrous acetone

Replacement of the protic spray solvent (i.e., methanol) by an aprotic one, as acetonitrile, led to a dramatic suppression of the lysozyme signal by more than two orders of magnitude in DESI mode. This behavior was somewhat unexpected because in ESI experiments, acetonitrile [42,52] is currently used as a very effective solvent for lysozyme ionization (no more discussion herein) in DESI. This degradation of the signal is probably due to a slower solubilization than that achieved with a mixture of methanol-water. However, this possibility is not confirmed with the anhydrous acetone use as shown in Figure 1b, since an important signal appears, even if solubilization of lysozyme is less effective than with acetonitrile. Indeed, acetone presents very weak solubilization efficiency for proteins and rather favors their aggregation and, their precipitation [118]. The multiply-charged lysozyme production (Figure 1b) led us to explore deeper the influence of properties of fine droplets prepared from sprayed solvent on the ionization of proteins in DESI, especially with an aprotic one such as anhydrous acetone. This should enlighten certain features about the ionization mechanism under sprayed acetone conditions. Note that acetone is not commonly used as an ESI solvent. Its mixture with water, in 50/50 or 99/1 ratios, shows desorption/ionization of ferrocene derivatives [119] and oligomeric compounds [120], respectively. As far as we know, under ambient ionization conditions, anhydrous acetone has never been successfully applied to protein analysis. From the characteristic properties of acetone, the nascent offspring droplets should contain lysozyme within a very lower concentration than that with offspring droplets provided from the sprayed methanol/water. However, due to the fast evaporation of the acetone, the size of the survivor droplets in front of the transfer capillary will be significantly reduced. That can lead either to an increase of the yield of protein ionization/desorption, or to a faster evaporation of the offspring droplets reaching the formation of their charged residue with lysozyme as aggregates characterized by both the charge and size distributions.

With a primary sprayed acetone droplet beam, the DESI mass spectrum of 5 pmoles deposited lysozyme (Figure 1b) displays an unexpected broad CSD from Lyso5⁺ to Lyso13⁺ with Lyso8⁺ as main charged species and a Zav charge state average of (9.4 ± 0.3), whereas with acidified methanol/water mixture, with the same deposited amount, the Zav value slightly decreases to (9.0 ± 0.1). A priori, this moderate value seems to be inconsistent with that expected by considering both the methanol [95,96] and water [95,121] gas phase basicities (i.e., GB(CH₃OH)=724.5 kJ.mol⁻¹ and GB(H₂O)=660.4 kJ.mol⁻¹), which are significantly lower than that of acetone [GB(acetone)=789.6 kJ.mol⁻¹] [95,122]. Consequently, a reverse trend should be observed if charge state depends mainly on the relative GB (and PA) values as evidenced in ESI various studies [50,123-127].

The Zav variation from 9.0 to 9.4 suggests that the "equivalent lysozyme deposit" in methanol/water, into the thin target layer (or in the offspring droplets), could roughly be estimated, according to Figure 2a, to 1.41 pmoles (or much less). In addition, from the spraying anhydrous acetone DESI experiments, the [LysoCaₘ⁺/Lyso⁺] ion abundance ratio (Inset of Figure 1b) is significantly higher than that provided from experiments performed with acidified methanol/water DESI spray (Inset of Figure 1a). Indeed, the cationized species contribution is 30% i.e., Zₘ⁻=9.6, and Z⁺=8.8, calculated from deconvoluted acetone spray mass spectrum, significantly higher than the 8% values (i.e., Zₘ⁻=9.1, and Z⁺=7.9) characterizing DESI mass spectrum performed with CH₃OH/H₂O (Figure 1a).

Consequently, because of the limitation in the charge number carried by acetone droplets, the alkaline/alkaline earth cation/proton exchanges are also limited. In this way, when using anhydrous acetone instead of methanol/water mixture, the DESI mass spectrum displays a reduction of the absolute intensity of the base peak from 8.10⁴ a.u. (a.u. is arbitrary unit) to 9.10⁴ a.u. (Figure 1a and 1b). This is due to the reduced available proton number relatively less numerous with anhydrous acetone, a particular aprotic solvent, than with protic
solvents. This conclusion was expected because of the high volatility of solvent, which in DESI mode plays a significant effect, and thus, must be considered in the formation of multi-charged aggregates with lysozyme.

These considerations are consistent with the highest \( Z_{av} \) values (i.e., 9.4), if it is considered that the previous deposited lysozyme equivalent of 1.41 pmole (Figure 2a) was strongly overestimated on nascent offspring droplets (or in thin surface layer). Furthermore, this analysis explains the shift of the CSD values towards higher values, despite the lower number of available protons in the nascent offspring droplets, as regards numerous present protons released by the sprayed protic solvent, as well as the incomplete exchange of the metallic cations by the available protons.

**Comparison of the lysozyme ion signal duration according to the sprayed solvent in DESI**

Knowing the aprotic character of sprayed anhydrous acetone and its relative low boiling point (56°C), the resulting offspring droplets will undergo faster evaporation than those generated from the 8/2 methanol/water mixture (boiling point higher than that of acetone). As it was demonstrated from the ESI process [127], one can expect a similar trend in DESI, e.g., that an elevated rate of acetone evaporation is associated with more offspring droplets bearing a high surface charge density and then, production of higher charged lysozyme ions since the lower lysozyme concentration (weak solubility in acetone). Finally, the average equivalent concentration of lysozyme (dissolved and/or adhered to the droplet surface) in the nascent offspring droplets of acetone can be roughly estimated from: (i) the amount of deposited lysozyme (~5 pmoles), (ii) the solvent flow rate (5 µL.min\(^{-1}\)), and (iii) the average duration for a total spot desorption close to (5 ± 1) min (i.e., until the entire signal intensity extinction). Thus, the average concentration of lysozyme in the nascent acetone offspring droplets was roughly estimated to be 0.2 µM i.e., ten times less than that in methanol/water (considered as 2.1 µM, supplementary material S1) for a shorter signal duration (0.48 min for methanol/water vs. 5 min for acetone anhydrous).

The corresponding total ion current (TIC) of 1.54 \( \times 10^7 \) a.u. (with sprayed anhydrous acetone) was calculated by the signal integration during 5 min. Comparison with DESI experiments based on the acidified spray methanol/water mixture [i.e., duration of (0.48 ± 0.2) min for a TIC value of 10.3 \( \times 10^6 \) a.u.] leads to a significant ion abundance diminution, corroborating the key role of lysozyme dissolution (and droplet surface adhesion) in the DESI process as previously evoked [63,67,92]. This justifies the larger duration required, for a sufficient volume of primary sprayed acetone anhydrous to impact the target, and to completely dissolve the analyte, leading to offspring droplets released from the thin solvent layer [66,67].

By using the TIC values and signal durations, the relative averages of ion production rates were estimated at (21.5 ± 2) \( \times 10^6 \) a.u./min and (3.1 ± 0.6) \( \times 10^7 \) a.u./min, for the sprayed acidified methanol/water and dry acetone, respectively. Consequently, with the latter, the lysozyme signal is reduced by more than 69 times. This emphasizes the importance of the analyte dissolution/solvation as well as the available proton number in offspring. It is very low in dry acetone compared to acidified methanol/water mixture. Despite its inefficient solubilizing capacity, acetone anhydrous produced significant ionic signal duration in DESI process, due to its low solubility and weak sample consumption.

The acetone effectiveness on the lysozyme desorption/ionization in the DESI experiments can undoubtedly be related to the surface PTFE properties, with its strong hydrophobic character [128]. Thus, a limited sprayed solvent dispersion improves the film homogeneity on PTFE (sample/solvent amount per unit of surface). Moreover, the fast acetone evaporation supports the formation of small ionic aggregates with enough charges, although the available charge number is lower than that obtained with methanol/water mixture, leading to a more efficient desolvation at the skimmer.

**Origin of protons required for multi-protonation of lysozyme in DESI acetone anhydrous**

In these experiments, a relevant question appears about the origin of the protons carried by multi-protonated lysozyme. A first assumption may be based on formation of the \( CH_3COCH_2 \) \(^+\) molecular ions into the dry primary charged droplets. The formation of the odd-electron molecular ions is considered to take place through an electrochemical process in the sprayer. In addition to the detection of the protonated acetone, presence of its odd-electron molecular ions in the background of DESI, is mainly observed, but in very low abundance results not reported). This behavior is similar to that observed in the acetone/ESI [129-132]. If in sprayed dry acetone, this ion can, in solution, indirectly tautomerize into the less stable ionized enol (i.e., \( H_2C=CO(H)CH_2^+ \)) thanks to the protic solvent. Such tautomerization can occur in the gaseous phase by the formation of an adduct-ion resulting from ion-molecule reaction with neutral acetone via “self-catalysis” [133] and through an homo-dimer linked to the radical ion by hydrogen bonds [133-136]. This dimeric species, as the drawing force, orients dissociation towards the \( CH_3CO(\mathcal{O})CH_2 \) radical release [137,138]. Consequently the (\( CH_3 \)) \( \text{CO-} \) formation could be the origin of the lysozyme multi-protonation process.

To examine this hypothetical pathway, d6-acetone (i.e., CD\(_3\)COCD\(_3\)) was used as solvent spray. Surprisingly, three interesting features can be underlined from the mass spectrum (Supplementary material, Figure S4a) of lysozyme and its deconvolution (Supplementary material, Figure 5b): (i) detection of multi-protonated lysozyme without incorporation of several deuterons. Indeed, the lysozyme average molecular mass provided from the sprayed light anhydrous acetone (i.e., \( M_{w,exp}=14305.20 \) u, vide infra) compared to that obtained from deconvoluted DESI/d6-acetone mass spectrum (i.e., \( M_{w,exp}=14305.9183 \) u values) displays a shift of less than one u on the average molecular mass; (ii) a spectacular shifting of the average of the charge state \( Z_{av} \), decreasing from 9.4 (Figure 1b) to 6.7 (i.e., \( Z_{\text{calcd}}=7.0 \) and \( Z_{\text{lab/calcd}}=6.6 \) (Figure S4a), although the presence of only one deuterion at maximum, (vide infra); and finally, (iii) an increase of the cation contribution (calculated from deconvoluted mass spectra) (Figure S4b), and enlarged from 30% to 70% for light and heavy sprayed acetone, respectively.

The lowering of the \( Z_{av} \) value in (ii) (i.e., a shift of the charge state distribution of multi-protonated lysozyme from \( Z_{\text{calcd}}=9.6 \) to \( Z_{\text{calcd}}=7.0 \)) must reflect a decrease of the available proton number when d6-acetone spray is used. This interpretation is consistent with the increase of the cationized form contribution from 30% to 70% in (iii), since the available proton number is significantly weakened. In addition, as for \( Z_{\text{lab/calcd}} \), lower than \( Z_{\text{calcd}} = Z_{\text{lab/calcd}} \) (i.e., 8.8) is decreased to \( Z_{\text{lab/calcd}}=6.6 \). More importantly is the incorporation of almost 9 protons for Lyso in (i) rather than the expected nine deuterions. This restriction could be explained by fast H/D exchanges from labeled aggregates occurring in the gaseous phase and/or in the surrounding wet environment of target.

In order to explore this possible H/D back stepwise exchange pathway in gaseous phase experiments with sprayed anhydrous CD\(_3\)OD solvent (without D\(_2\)O) were performed instead of the sprayed...
CD3COCD3 use. Indeed, the presence of heavy water may prevent the detection of back D/H exchange that could be a priori possible with the ambient humidity. In fact, a broad H/D exchange distribution is observed with, e.g., an average of 48 deuterons introduced in the Lyso9+ ion, 9D+ for ionization and 39 for H/D exchange (non-reported data). This shows that if the direct H/D exchanges take place, back reactions with the atmospheric ambient humidity do not occur. Thus, such D/H back exchanges must be ruled out to explain the quasi-absence of the labeled multi-charged lysozyme with the (d6) labeled acetone spray. On the other hand, this confirms that the above mechanism, involving possible formation of protonated acetone (or deuterated d6-acetone) does not take place in these conditions, and is not directly responsible for multiple-proton transfers to lysozyme.

Interestingly, the spraying of labeled acetone implicates a significant decrease of available protons, as shown by both the $Z_{av}$ value and H/ Ca+ exchange reduction (vide infra) i.e., (ii) and (iii)). The decrease of the deuteron/proton number can be attributed to primary isotopic effects which slow down the formation of the deuterated species of lysozyme via multi-deuteron/proton transfers. Consequently, one may ask: what is (are) the entity(ies), responsible for the formation of multi-protonated lysozyme (Lyson+) and its cationized counter-part, observed in DESI with sprayed dry acetone?

Let us to recall that in APPI, acetone is known as a doping agent to assist APPI, because otherwise photon-energy (~10 eV) of Kr VUV lamp [139,140] is insufficient to ionize usual protic solvents (e.g., water and methanol having high ionization energy). Doping participate to solvent protonation (e.g., $IE_{water}$12.62 eV), presumably by exothermic stepwise consecutive collisions on acetone molecular ion with at least 2H2O (~63kJ.mol−1) as reported in Equation 4 [95,96,141].

$$2H^+ + CD_{3}COCD_{3} \rightarrow \{CH_{2}(OC)_{3}H\}_{2}^{+} + H_{2}O$$

However, only the production of mono-protonated molecules in gas phase would take place (due to same charge polarity repulsion in the ion-ion interaction) in contrast to that observed in DESI, because of multi-protonated forms with sprayed dry acetone. Thus, APPI-like process cannot be considered. Despite the process taking place in APPI, the ambient water trace role could be finely observed in DESI process even with a spray of anhydrous acetone. Such atmospheric pressure conditions do not prevent from the surrounding moisture, which could be the source of protons responsible for the multi-protonation processes in DESI when anhydrous acetone is sprayed. Note that in the APCLI, protonation of acetone does not occur when a dry compressed gas (e.g., D-nitrogen) is used, whereas with the T-air gas, protonation of acetone appears [142], likely similar adsorption of the ambient water takes place with singly charged small aggregates.

In order to check this assumption, three different experiments based on sprayed anhydrous acetone, were performed under rarefied air conditions on the target of deposited lysozyme, by introducing post-flow argon constituted by: (i) dry argon flushing the closely surrounded target. It causes a total extinction of the lysozyme signal (Figure 3a). Taking into account the very low GB of argon, (GB $= 345.8$ kJ mol−1, estimated from proton affinity [143]) the proton transfer from ambient multi-protonated lysozyme to Ar is thus excluded. Then, a question arises, why dry argon led to such an ionic signal removal? (ii) preliminary humidified argon stream (Ar/H2O, Figure 3b). The signal is restored with reduction of the charge state average from (9.4 ± 0.3) (Figure 1b) to (8.5 ± 0.3), with $Z_{hiv}=8.7$ and $Z_{h/c}=8.1$, although the argon flow was moistened prior to. This experiment strongly supports the ambient humidity role in DESI source environment in lysozyme multi-protonation. Indeed, the dilution of the water vapor into argon (larger dilution than that in the ambient atmosphere) results in the decrease of the previous $Z_{av}$ values. Thus, the protons would originate mainly from ambient humidity rather than directly from the sprayed anhydrous acetone as shown from the d6-acetone experiments (vide supra); (iii) labeled Ar/D2O post-flow (Figure 3c) to confirm the ambient water role. The mass spectrum exhibited a narrow CSD from 11 to 5 related to a $Z_{av}$ decrease from (8.5 ± 0.3) with Ar/H2O stream to (7.7 ± 0.2) with Ar/D2O. Furthermore, it appears a large deuteron incorporation confirming the role of ambient water (or heavy water) for lysozyme multi-protonation (or multi-deuteration). A deconvoluted DESI/dry acetone mass spectrum, with Ar/D2O post-flow, displays an increase of the metallic ion contribution (alkali/alkaline earth cations) as 43% compared to 35% observed in the unlabelling post-flow experiments. On the other hand, the $Z_{hiv}$ and $Z_{h/c}$ terms equal to 8.7 and 8.1, with the Ar/H2O experiment, respectively decrease to $Z_{hiv}=7.9$ and $Z_{h/c}=7.4$, under the labeling post flow conditions. This trend is consistent with a lowering of the available proton/deuteron number with the surrounding D2O, very likely, due to the previous considered isotopic effect during proton/deuteron transfers (and/or exchanges).

For each charge state, the natural isotopic clusters are shifted to higher m/z ratios and the isotopic pattern distribution is broader than that corresponding to the natural one (Figure 4). For instance, the estimated centroid of the non-cationized Lyso+ ion shifted from m/z 1590.544 to m/z 1591.3182 by 0.8138 (i.e., 7.3241 u, corresponding on average to introduction of more than 7 deuterons, Figure 4). Furthermore, the isotopic signal distribution width, measured at 10% of isotopic pattern height, presents a peak number increasing from 12 (natural isotopic distribution with Ar/H2O, Figure 3b) to 24 (distribution enlargement with Ar/D2O, Figure 3c) i.e., a maximum of 12 deuterons, far from completion, although several mobile protons were exchanged in addition to the 9D+ charging Lyso+. Since deuterons are not directly supplied from d6-acetone (see above), the shift of the isotopic clusters observed with post-Ar/D2O flow (Figure 4) allows to consider the main part of the labeled surrounding water for adding 9D+ (plus the H/D exchanges). These clearly indicate that the multi-protonated lysozyme, arising from the multi-step post-spray, is promoted by the air moisture.

Under Ar/D2O post-flow conditions, the overall duration of both the target layer and offspring droplet production, can be estimated about 10 to 100 μsec. It is enough to introduce on average D+ and 12 at the maximum (i.e., $9D^{+}$ and 3 H/D exchanges) and to form labeled Lyso+ ions. Several studies [91,144] on the gas-phase H/D exchanges in ESI with multi-protonated lysozyme were performed by ion storage. After one-second storage of the Lyso+ ion under labeling gas phase conditions, approximately 60 H/D exchanges were introduced [144]. This result is comparable to that obtained after 10 s of the Lyso+ ion storage, since 63 D are introduced from gas phase H/D exchanges [145]. Without ion storage, the Lyso+ ions yield only 26 H/D exchanges, during ion accumulation and analysis cycles, corresponding to a few hundreds of milliseconds in the labeled gas phase environment [145]. As noted from the DESI/CD3OD experiments (vide supra), 48 D were introduced into the Lyso+ ion. Those corresponded to a similar gas phase exchange of Lyso+ provided with same labeled reagent, after ion storage of 3-4 sec into an ion trap [145]. This means a larger ion reactivity takes place in DESI as enlightened using nucleophilic reagent in DESI [8,27,69, 144-146].

In DESI, it can be considered from the previous results, that prompt adsorption of ambient water traces (or heavy water) on
charged droplets or/and the impacted surface layer may lead to primary charged species hydration (i.e., the odd-electron acetone ions). Similar reactions, described by Momoh [136], can also occur on the charged surface (or on droplets) subjected to the atmospheric moisture. It results in the provided aqueous layer (or micro-droplets) of acetone on sample surface enhancing lysozyme extraction and thus, the ion abundance increase. Consequently, in the Ar/D$_2$O post-flow experiments, the (H$_3$C)$_2$CO$^+$ molecular ions present in droplets, after reaction with adsorbed water, provide solvated protons, which are carried out, e.g., by labeled water into charged aggregates (Equation 4). Furthermore, the solvated proton/deuteron in the possible (D$_2$O) $^+$ clusters are randomized and/or exchanged by multiple collisions with D$_2$O to give rise to formation of a formal (D$_2$O)$_n$D$^+$ and (D$_2$O)$_n$H$^+$ mixture. The result is an accumulation of protons/deuterons either at the surface of droplets, or at the target thin layer to produce charged aggregates which give rise, after stepwise desolvation, to formation of multi-deuterated/protonated lysozyme species.

Finally, unlike reactions of charged aggregates through ion-molecule reactions in gaseous phase, macroscopic processes could be considered, especially those implicating multi-solvated systems constituted by charged aggregates of acetone/protein with water provided by the atmospheric moisture. It may result in charged aggregates of water-acetone-lysozyme, odd-electron acetone ion enolisation assisted by water, which yields in the protein protonation. Similar mechanism was described for small size ions, in higher vacuum experiment of a FTICR instrument. Indeed, assisted radical-ion isomerization yielding distonic ions [134-137] from short life-time adduct ions were achieved with trace of water.

However, if such a mechanism explains the proton origin, it cannot rationalize multiple-proton transfers to lysozyme from the ion-molecule processes (vide supra). Only by considering that, after the adsorption of water molecules on the primary droplets, and more likely in the liquid layer wetting the target, where the charges are accumulated, the required solvated proton formation (formed as described above) occurs. The role of water is even more enhanced than the evaporation of the acetone is faster than that of water, so that the water enrichment occurs in offspring droplets when these ones approach the step of the charged aggregate emergence. This leads directly (or via offspring droplets) to fast desorption of the multi-protonated lysozyme aggregates or fast production of solvated and charged residues which are desolvated at the reduced pressure skimmer zone. Thus, this means that the multi-protonated aggregates can be first formed from the offspring droplets, and then, released from the wet surface layer on the target. This implies that a significant number of protons are already available in the wet layer on the target. On the other hand, this means that water molecules are rapidly adsorbed in primary droplets (or at the target layer) to react with ionized acetone and give protonated reagent. It results a large number of protons yielding a sufficient number of protonated water molecules to produce the multi-protonated lysozyme from desorbed charged aggregates.

Conclusions

The DESI potentiality to produce large size ions in gas phase is important, since the use of aprotic solvents is possible, even if they do not directly provided protons for ionization. Thus, proteins can be multi-protonated by inappropriate solvents such as aprotic solvent, e.g., anhydrous acetone. An unusual origin of protonated agent seems arise for generating the multi-protonated molecules in the case of deposited lysozyme on target within a long duration. Indeed, when using dry d$_6$-acetone spray, Lyso$^{9+}$ does not incorporate more than one deuteron over the nine expectable, whereas with sprayed CD$_3$OD, 48 deuterons (nine ionizing deuterons and H/D exchanges) are incorporated thanks to the direct in situ CD$_3$OD$_{2}^+$ formation (from the initial CD$_3$OD$^{9+}$
ion reacting with the CD$_3$OD neutrals). Such as sequence is hindered with odd-electron acetone because its reaction with neutral acetone does not provide protonation. This indicates that from sprayed dry acetone, ionizing solvated protons are not directly generated. This abnormal behavior was interpreted by considering the role of the ambient moisture as the cause of the lysozyme multi-protonation. This takes place through: (i) condensed phase by adsorption of the water molecules on the micro-droplets (or/and at the thin bulk surface layer), or/and (ii) the macromolecular systems as multi-charged aggregates submitted to multiple solvations by thermal collision cascades with the ambient water molecules, where the solvated D$^+$ agent is accumulated.

Such indirect reactions, via the odd-electron acetone ion into the charged complex aggregates, give rise to formation of a lot of available solvated protons (or deuterons) yielding intra-aggregate proton (or deuteron) transfers for the multi-protonation (or deuteration) of lysozyme. Otherwise, convincing experiments give evidence such a process.

They are based on the dry argon post-spray flow introduction which leads to the lysozyme ion suppression by rarefying the moisture around the target. Reversely, the wet argon experiment results in the recovery of the multi-protonated lysozyme signals which, by using post-flow of Ar/D$_2$O, is shifted due to the multi-deuteron incorporation (i.e., an average of 7D with a maximum of 12D for Lyso$^{9+}$). Note, that no large gas phase D/H back exchange takes place as evidenced by introducing irreversibly 48D, using protic CD$_3$OD solvent spray. On the other hand, preservation of cationization is shown as depending upon the available protons/deuterons on the thin target layer or/and on the offspring droplets. The average charge state of cationized multi-protonated lysozyme is useful as probe for available proton comparison in function of sprayed solvent and experimental conditions. All these results evidence that solvated H$^+$/D$^+$ found their origin from light (heavy) water from ambient humidity rather than directly from sprayed anhydrous acetone in DESI. From the sprayed protic solvent (here, methanol or water), the ambient water traces is not needed since solvated protons are directly produced in the primary spray (or in the target layer). Most likely, the offspring droplets carried out enough protons to promote production of the multi-protonated aggregates. Finally, if acetone is a wrong solvent for proteins, it allows indirectly multi-protonation steps with a large charge distribution and a long duration of the signal.
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