Using of Androgen Receptor Expression as a Novel Potential Biomarker in Predicting Survival of Women with Metastatic Triple Negative Breast Cancer
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Abstract

Background and objective: The androgen receptor (AR) is a member of the steroid receptor subfamily with well-known biological and therapeutic importance in prostate cancer. There is evidence that the androgen signalling pathway may play a critical role also in normal and malignant breast tissue. They are highly expressed in triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) but it is not clear if AR expression is correlated with survival in advanced TNBC. Therefore, in the present study we investigated the prognostic value of AR expression in metastatic TNBC.

Patients and methods: Stage IV TNBC was included in the analysis. Patients with poor performance status (ECOG>2) were excluded. Tumors with ≥10% nuclear-stained cells were considered to be positive for AR. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed.

Results: From a database of 208 TNBC patients, 24 cases of advanced TNBC were identified; out of 24 patients, 33% were AR positive. The median age at diagnosis was 61 years (range 30-78 years). All patients included in the study received first-line chemotherapy for their disease. Median progression free-survival (mPFS) and overall survival (OS) were 3.6 months (range 0.3-27.3 months) and 29.5 months (range 2.52-122.2 months), respectively. Univariate analysis showed that AR negative advanced TNBC had a significantly worse PFS (7.2 vs 9.4 months; p=0.02; HR=2.57, 95% CI 1.15-10.53) and OS (20.5 vs 47.4 months; p=0.01; HR=2.88, 95% CI 1.32-9.43). Multivariate analysis confirms that AR expression was an independent prognostic factor of PFS (p=0.04; HR=2.19, 95% CI 1.52-5.91), as well as for OS (p=0.05; HR=2.21, 95% CI 0.98-5.25).

Conclusions: Our preliminary results suggested that the assessment of AR expression may be a useful tool to identify patients with a good or a poor prognosis. Furthermore, since that about one third of metastatic TNBC expressed ARs, they may represent a target for novel potential treatment options in advanced TNBC.
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Introduction

Triple negative breast cancers (TNBC), characterized by lack of expression (or minimal expression) of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR) and an absence of human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER-2) overexpression, are a clinical problem because they often present with higher rates of visceral metastases, a relatively shorter median survival of 7-13 months and have a limited duration of response to chemotherapy [1-6]. Although a variety of cytotoxic agents (administered as mono-chemotherapy or as combination) are available, none is recommended specifically for advanced TNBC, even if platinum-based therapy, taxanes, anthracyclines have shown promising activity in small neoadjuvant studies [7-11] and consequently may be rational choices for patients with metastatic disease. However, treatment choices are limited for patients with metastatic disease as many receive adjuvant therapy with anthracyclines, taxanes or cyclophosphamide for primary tumor treatment [12]. Furthermore daily clinical practice reveals the heterogeneity of TNBC. Most of women progress quickly on systemic therapy and have a poor survival, but some may have a slower progressive course. It may reflect an underlying biological diversity of TNBC, probably due to the activation of intracellular pathways that are related with tumor survival and proliferation. The androgen signalling pathway may play a critical role in normal and malignant breast tissue [13]. Although it has been indicated that ARs are expressed in a significant number of early TNBC and that they might play a role as a prognostic marker, to date we unknown if AR expression have a correlation with survival of patients with advanced TNBC [14]. Therefore, in the present study we investigated the prognostic value of AR expression in metastatic TNBC.

Patients and Methods

All consecutive patients with metastatic TNBC (stage IV) who received first-line chemotherapy at our Institution from January 2006 and December 2012 were included. Patients were eligible if they had histologically documented TNBC with recurrent disease after curative surgical resection or metastatic disease at diagnosis; measurable or evaluable metastatic advanced disease; Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status 0-2; age between 18 and 80; written informed consent.
Informed consent; no evidence of central nervous system metastasis; adequate bone marrow and organ functions (WBC >4,000/mm³ and or absolute neutrophil count (ANC) >1,500/mm³; platelets >100,000/mm³; AST/ALT <2.5 times the upper normal limit (UNL) or <5 times the UNL if liver metastasis; bilirubin <2 mg/dl; creatinine <1.5 mg/dl).

**Immunohistochemistry**

Tissue samples were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin wax for routine histological examinations. The slides were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H & E) with additional immunostaining for ER (clone: SP1, dilution: 1:200; NeoMarkers, Fremont, CA, USA), PR (clone: SP2, dilution: 1:250; NeoMarkers, Fremont), Her2/neu (Herceptest, Dako, Carpinteria, CA, USA), CK 5/6 (1:100, M7237, Dako), Ki-67 (1:200, M7240, Dako), AR (1:60 F39.4.1, BioGenex), ALDH1 (Clone 44/ALDH, 1:200, Transduction Laboratories) and e-cadherin (clone NCH-38, 1:50 Dako). ER, PR and AR were considered positive if there were at least 10% positive invasive tumor nuclei in the sample. HER-2 status was evaluated by immunohistochemistry (IHC) using a semiquantitative score (0–3+). Tumor staining was compared to the staining of normal breast epithelium from the same patient as a negative control. For clinical purposes, no staining or weak (1+) and incomplete membrane staining were considered a negative result. Patients with 2+ IHC staining for HER2 underwent fluorescence in-situ hybridization to confirm HER2 positivity. Triple-negative status (ER negative, PR negative and HER-2 negative) was finally diagnosed and re-reviewed by the single study pathologist of our Institution. Carcinoma cells with cytoplasmic staining were considered to be ALDH1-positive cells. Any proportion of ALDH1-positive carcinoma cells was considered to represent epithelial ALDH1 expression. Positivity for CK5/6 was defined as cytoplasmic staining in more than 10% of carcinoma cells. E-cadherin expression was semi-quantitative analyzed according to the percentage of cells showing membrane positivity: 0, 0 to 10%; 1+, 10 to 30%; 2+ 30% to 70%; 3+ >70%. E-cadherin expression was considered positive when scores were ≥2 and negative when scores were ≤1.

**Statistical analysis**

Progression-free survival (PFS) was calculated from the first day of first-line chemotherapy until the time of the first occurrence of progression, death from any cause or to the date of last follow-up if none of the preceding events had occurred. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the interval between the date of diagnosis of TNBC to death or last follow-up visit. Patients who were not reported to be deceased at the time of the analysis were censored at the date they were last known to be alive. Survival distribution was estimated by the Kaplan Meier method. The Cox multivariate proportional hazard regression model was used to evaluate the effects of the prognostic factors on survival. Significant differences in probability of surviving between the strata were evaluated by log-rank test. Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated from regression coefficients. A significance level of 0.05 was chosen to assess the statistical significance. Statistical analysis was performed with MedCalc package (MedCalc’ v9.4.2.0 Software).

**Results**

**Patients characteristics**

From a database of 208 TNBC patients, 24 cases of advanced TNBC were identified. The median age was 61 years (range 30–78 years). The majority of them (70.8%) had a menopausal status. Out of 24 TNBC, 20 (83%) were histologically identified as invasive ductal carcinomas. The median value of Ki-67 was 60% (range 19 to 95%). Lympho-vascular invasion and necrosis were documented in 37.5% and 20.8% of tumors at diagnosis, respectively. All patients had metastatic disease at study entry; 79% of them had a visceral disease. First-line chemotherapy regimens are listed in Table 1. At the time of analysis 75% of patients showed progressive disease after first-line-chemotherapy and 43% of them received second-line chemotherapy. The median PFS and OS were 3.5 months (range 0.3–27.3 months) and 25.9 months (range 2.52–122.2 months), respectively. To date, 4 patients are still alive.

Out of 24 patients, 8 (33%) showed positive immunostaining for AR, while 10 (41.7%) and 9 (37.5%) patients were positive for ALDH1 and CK 5/6, respectively. E-cadherin was positive in the major part of them (75%). Clinical and histological characteristic of enrolled patients are summarized in Table 1.
Univariate analysis

Univariate analysis showed that significant risk factors for reduced PFS were: age <60 years (p=0.01), Ki-67>20% (p=0.005; Figure 1), presence of lympho-vascular invasion (p=0.02), negative immunostaining for AR (p=0.02; Figure 2). A worsened OS was correlated to younger age (<60 years) (p=0.001), higher Ki-67 (>20%) (p=0.0009; Figure 1), presence of lympho-vascular invasion (p=0.04) or visceral disease (p=0.006), negative immunostaining for AR (p=0.01; Figure 2). Types of first-line chemotherapy, necrosis, positive immunostaining for e-cadherin, aldh1 and/or CK 5/6 were not statistically significantly related to survival (Table 2).

Multivariate analysis

Multivariate analysis confirms that AR expression was an independent prognostic factor of PFS (p=0.04; HR=2.19, 95% CI 1.52-5.91) which was significantly better for AR positive advanced TNBC (7.9 vs 3.2 months) as well as for OS (p=0.05; HR=2.21, 95% CI 0.98-2.55). Higher Ki-67 expression (>20%) was also related to worsened PFS (p=0.04; HR=2.38, 95% CI 1.11-5.62) and OS (p=0.02; HR=2.37, 95% CI 1.11-5.07) of metastatic TNBC. No statistically significant results were reported for age, lympho-vascular invasion and presence of visceral disease (Table 3).

Discussion

The development and progression of breast cancers area highly dependent on the action of steroid hormones. However while estrogens can promote the growth of breast cancer has been clearly shown, the role of androgens is less clear. They can directly stimulate the growth of human breast cancer cell lines [15] and it has been reported that high levels of both testosterone and estradiol in serum precede breast cancer in postmenopausal women [16-18]. Nevertheless the role of AR in TNBC is still unclear, with some studies reporting a favourable effect with an improved survival [19-24], or a negative effect with a worse survival [25], or substantially no effect [26-31].

Based on these considerations, we investigated AR expression and their prognostic effect in metastatic TNBC patients. Our analysis revealed that one third of advanced TNBC patients had positive immunostaining for AR. This is similar to what commonly reported in other studies, where the proportion of AR positive TNBC ranges from 0 to 53% [19-35]. In our study multivariate analysis showed that AR expression was an independent prognostic factor of PFS which was significantly better for AR positive advanced TNBC (7.9 vs 3.2 months; p=0.04) as well as for OS (47.4 vs 20.5 months; p=0.05).

While the majority of cell line studies suggest that androgen increase proliferation, cell line transfection experiments and survival analyses of histological samples suggest that the presence of AR in tumour may be either benign or predictive of better survival. A possible biological explanation is the progressive lack of AR expression in different stages of TNBC progression. Hanley et al. reported that in high grade TNBC cases, the lack of AR in carcinoma cells could facilitate the transition
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from in situ to invasive carcinoma and the same trend was not detected in low grade TNBC [36]. Similar results have been recently reported by McGhan LJ et al. [37]. They found AR expression decreased with progression from ductal-carcinoma in situ (DCIS) to invasive TNBC. Moreover, Thike et al. showed a significantly better disease free-survival in AR positive TNBC with a trend for improved OS, suggesting that loss of AR is predictive of early recurrence and worse prognosis in TNBC [38]. The biological mechanism is still unclear but it has been postulated that androgen signalling may be related to tumor cell proliferation. McNamara et al. [39] analyzed the relationship between the status of AR and androgen synthesizing enzymes (5-α reductase type 1 and 17β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 5) in order to further understand androgenic actions. AR expression and enzyme positive cases were regarded to be associated with a significantly lower Ki-67 labelling index. They suggested that the presence of androgen synthesizing pathway in addition to AR expression in tumor cells may confer a better clinical outcome through suppression of cell proliferation. Ki-67 seems to have a key role in biological behaviour of TNBC and may represent one of the most important variable influencing prognosis of this kind of breast tumors. Our study remarks the correlation between Ki-67 and survival of TNBC; this is similar to what has been already observed in other previously studies where higher tumor cell proliferation was related to a worsened survival in TNBC patients [40].

Currently, there are no available targeted therapies for women with triple-negative disease. However, there are therapeutic targets of AR. Given that one-third of triple-negative breast cancers are AR-positive, this represents a potential opportunity for novel targeted treatment for these women. Bicalutamide is a nonsteroidal antiandrogen therapy used to treat metastatic prostate cancer. Recently results of a phase II trial of bicalutamide in patients with AR-positive, ER-negative metastatic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years ≤ 60 vs &gt;60</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>1.3-12.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of first line-chemotherapy Single agent vs combination Anthracyclines vs Taxanes vs other</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.32-3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ki-67 ≤ 20% vs &gt;20%</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>1.08-4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrosis negative vs positive</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.04-1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lympho-vascular invasion negative vs positive</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.08-0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visceral disease yes vs not</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.16-1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-cadherin negative vs positive</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.13-1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldh1 negative vs positive</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.25-1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK5/6 negative vs positive</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.15-1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR negative vs positive</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>1.15-10.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis of factors associated with progression-free survival in advanced triple negative breast cancer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years ≤60 vs &gt;60</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>2.06-22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of first line-chemotherapy Single agent vs combination Anthracyclines vs Taxanes vs other</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.57-3.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ki-67 ≤ 20% vs &gt;20%</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>1.37-6.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrosis negative vs positive</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.2-2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lympho-vascular invasion negative vs positive</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.12-0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visceral disease yes vs not</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.11-0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-cadherin negative vs positive</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.2-1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldh1 negative vs positive</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.29-1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK5 or CK 17 negative vs positive</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.19-1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR negative vs positive</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>1.32-9.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3:** Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis of factors associated with overall-survival in advanced triple negative breast cancer.
breast cancer showed 19% of clinical benefit rate and 12 weeks of median PFS; consequently bicalutamide showed proof of principle for the efficacy but further evaluations need to establish its hypothetical role in the treatment of this selected group of patients [41].

Conclusion
Our preliminary results revealed that AR expression is differently related to biological behaviour of advanced TNBC. In clinical practice this biomarker may be a useful tool to identify patients with a poor prognosis and for whom benefits of first-line chemotherapy were relatively lower. This finding could provide important information as to the potential roles of androgen effect through AR in development or progression of TNBC but further translational investigations regarding the mechanisms of androgen action in TNBC are required. Conversely, finding that about one third of advanced TNBC expressed ARs may support novel potential treatment options for advanced TNBC.
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