Vascular Injury by Arterial Hypertension in Anti-Phospholipid Antibody Syndrome
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Introduction

Antiphospholipid Syndrome (APS) is defined as the presence of recurrent arterial or venous thrombosis and/or pregnancy morbidity and persistently elevated levels of antiphospholipid antibodies (aPLs) [1]. APS is classified as being primary if autoimmune is negative, and secondary when it occurs in the course of an autoimmune disease, most often systemic lupus erythematosus [2]. In the original description of the syndrome made by Hughes, arterial hypertension was a common feature that was often associated with livedo reticularis [3]. Thrombosis in vessels of all sizes of the renal vasculature may be significantly higher in the presence of renal involvement (57.1% versus 22.6% in Sinoico’s cohort [5]), and the kidney may be considered both the ‘culprit’ and the ‘victim’ of hypertension in APS, as occurs in other forms of hypertension. We present a case of primary APS with multiple organ involvement, kidney disease and newly diagnosed arterial hypertension, and discuss the possible mechanisms and management of hypertension in the course of this rare disease.

Case Presentation

At the end of July 2013, a 44-year-old man complaining of left flank pain and progressive dyspnea was admitted to the Emergency Department of our Hospital with computed tomography evidence of pulmonary embolism, multiple pulmonary infarcts, hilar adenopathy and splenic infarction. Medical history was unremarkable except for arterial hypertension, renal artery stenosis, renal infarction, APS nephropathy, thrombotic microangiopathy and renal vein thrombosis [4]. The prevalence of hypertension (which ranges from mild to severe) in APS is significantly higher in the presence of renal involvement (57.1% versus 22.6% in Sinoico’s cohort [5]), and the kidney may be considered the normal range.

Silica clotting time and dilute Russell’s viper venom (screen, mixing, and confirmatory) test indicated the presence of Lupus Anticoagulant (LA). Anti-b2 glycoprotein-I (antibeta2GPI) antibodies of IgM isotype were present (31 U/ml), with negative IgG (5 U/ml). Anticardiolipin (aCL) antibodies were present in tests carried out 16 weeks apart (IgG 20 GPL/ml, IgM 23 MPL/ml), though with normal antib2GPI IgG and IgM. LA was not used for confirmation due to concomitant vitamin k antagonist treatment. Functional testing for protein C was normal, with decreased levels of free protein S (44%). Serum homocysteine levels were above the upper reference limit (16 microg/dl), with methylene tetra hydrofolate reductase C677T homozygous genotype and wild-type Factor V Leiden and Prothrombin genotype. A diagnosis of primary Antiphospholipid Syndrome was made. Renal ultrasound and Doppler showed normal kidneys and normal renal resistive index, in the absence of renal artery stenosis. Electrocardiogram was normal. Echocardiogram showed an increased left ventricular mass (127 g/m²), normal ejection fraction, left atrium area of 21.6 mm², moderate mitral valve stenosis (area 1.57 cm²) with severe regurgitation (effective regurgitant orifice 0.40 cm²), while pulmonary artery pressure was 60 mmHg. Blood pressure control was easily achieved with amlopidine 5 mg and ramipril 5 mg. The patient underwent standard treatment for thrombosis in APS with anticoagulants (low molecular weight heparin, followed by warfarin as of August, 2013, with international normalized ratio target between 2.0-3.0). Due to the severity of presentation, treatment with three consecutive daily infusions of Intravenous Immunoglobulins (IVIG) at a monthly dose of 0.4/kg/day for three months was started in
the middle of August, combined with hydroxychloroquine 200 mg/day, based on our previous experience in APS [6].

Platelet count and haemoglobin increased progressively once the treatment was started, and after one month of hospitalisation the patient was discharged in good clinical condition. Outpatient follow-up visits from September to December, 2013, showed normalisation of platelet and red blood cell counts, persistence of the mild decrease in renal function (serum creatinine 1.3 mg/dL, CKD-EPI Creatinine Equation 66 mL/min/1.73 m²) with no signs of urinary activity. Blood pressure control was optimal <140/90 mmHg. Dual Energy computed tomography performed at the end of September 2013, showed partial resolution of the pulmonary infarctions and a reduction of the hilar adenopathy.

In January, 2014, the patient underwent minimally invasive heart surgery for mitral valve replacement with a mechanical prosthesis (29 mm, St. Jude Medical, Inc. St. Paul, MN, USA), without complications. He was discharged with a serum creatinine level of 1.27 mg/dL and good blood pressure control.

**Discussion**

On the basis of classification criteria, our patient was diagnosed with APS since he presented two documented thrombotic events associated with combined aPLs positivity, which was confirmed on two occasions. Associated, non-criteria clinical manifestations included heart valve disease and thrombocytopenia.

As far as renal manifestations are concerned, at presentation our patient displayed mild renal insufficiency with neither overt proteinuria nor signs of urinary activity, which could not be classified as pre-existing since previous renal function was unknown. Moreover, he was found to have grade2 arterial hypertension, which is sometimes the only clinical sign suggestive of possible renal involvement in APS [7]. The kidney, a target organ in APS, may, in turn, play a major role in the pathogenesis of hypertension in the course of APS. Renal artery stenosis, which is potentially responsible for renovascular hypertension, was ruled out by Doppler sonography. In an analysis of 16 patients with primary APS, Nochy proposed that systemic hypertension could be caused by the intrarenal vascular lesions found in APS nephropathy via stimulation of the renin angiotensin-aldosterone system [7]. However, since renal biopsy was not performed on our patient due to the concomitant and mandatory anticoagulant treatment and the persistent thrombocytopenia, the pathological features of renal disease remained undefined. Furthermore, on the basis of the clinical presentation, we were not able to determine whether renal failure was a manifestation of APS (APS nephropathy) or if it was caused by nephroangi o sclerosis secondary to hypertension. This latter hypothesis cannot be definitively ruled out because echocardiogram showed mild IFS, consistent with a possible previous hypertensive condition. Hypertension in APS is often associated with renal involvement, but other non renal risk factors may be implicated (obesity, previous corticosteroid treatment in secondary APS, dyslipidemia, accelerated atherosclerosis).

During hospitalisation, once renal artery stenosis had been ruled out, blood pressure control was easily obtained in our patient by using combination therapy with calcium channel blockers and ACE-inhibitors. Management of hypertension in these patients can be difficult, mainly in hypertensive emergencies. In our patient, inhibition of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system with ace-inhibitors, associated with calcium channel blockers (as per international guidelines on hypertension for combination treatment) provided good blood pressure control.

**Conclusions**

Hypertension can be associated with APS and has been reported as a strong risk factor for thrombosis in aPL carriers [8]. Hypertension is known to be a cause of the progression of renal failure and is the second leading cause of end stage renal disease in the U.S (U.S. Renal Data System 2010 Annual Data Report), therefore tight control of blood pressure is an important goal in these patients in whom hypertension and kidney damage frequently co-exist. APS may be considered a model for accelerated arterial disease [9], and preventing cardiovascular disease in these patients is mandatory for both the patient (smoking cessation, regular physical activity, avoiding overweight) and the physician (correct management of hypertension, dyslipidemia and diabetes).

**References**