

## Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP): Clinical Strategies, Treatment Challenges and Economic Concerns

Zubair S<sup>1</sup>, Ali H<sup>2\*</sup>, Zafar F<sup>3</sup>, Beg AE<sup>1</sup>, Sial AA<sup>1</sup>, Naveed S<sup>4</sup>, Saleem S<sup>5</sup> and Tariq A<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, Ziauddin University, Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Jinnah Sindh Medical University, Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>4</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, Jinnah University for Women, Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>5</sup>National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases (NICVD), Karachi, Pakistan

### Abstract

The clinical and economic features of Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP) are quite unclear, with an extensive array of values and contradictory results. The real challenge in this connection is to present the real estimate of the clinical and associated economic consequences of VAP. In developing countries like Pakistan, it is important to formulate an optimal institutional antimicrobial policy that can be used as a guide for empirical/prophylactic and specific therapies of antibiotics in VAP with more rational approach to lessen the rates of mortality and morbidity, decline the length of treatment and hospitalization and the significant impact in prevention of development of multidrug resistant strains and cost reduction.

**Keywords:** Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP); Multidrug resistant; Clinical; Economic consequences

### Introduction

Ventilator Associated Pneumonia (VAP) and Hospital-Acquired Pneumonia (HAP) are imperative basis of mortality and morbidity around the world. Rate of mortality has been reported up to 62%. VAP and HAP are the succeeding cause of nosocomial contagion, however rate of such infections in the intensive care settings are documented with comparatively higher frequencies. VAP is defined as a subset of hospital acquired pneumonia which incorporates all patients receiving mechanical ventilation for more than 48 h. VAP happen exclusively in the ICU settings [1]. It is the significant cause of mortality and morbidity around the globe in hospital settings. Considerable economic impact of VAP is endorsed to significant increased extent of hospital stay with high expenditures [2,3]. Diagnostic criteria of VAP are summarized in Table 1.

### Incidence

According to the National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance (NNIS) report, the rate of VAP is documented 7.6 for each 1000 ventilator-days. The recurrence of the VAP was reported to be 22.8 for each 1000 patient days, 29.6 for each 1000 patient days at risk, 35.7 for every 1000 ventilator-days, and 44.0 for every 1000 ventilator-days at peril. It was also observed from NNIS statistics that the rate of VAP was most imperative for ICUs cases (15.2 for each 1000 ventilator-days). The general pervasiveness of VAP was 9.3%. In a surveillance study carried out in Canada on a cohort of 1014 patients, who received ventilation

up to 48 h or more, depicted 17.4% (n=177) with VAP. The onset of VAP was also tabulated with respect to ICU admission in this study and reported to be 7 days [4-10].

### Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP) in Children and Neonates

A high incidence rate in children and neonates has been reported for VAP over the couple of years. Interestingly a contradictory value may also have been viewed as 1/1000 ventilator-days to 63/1000 ventilator-days in different literature across the variable geographical area. A reconnaissance study also evaluated the rate of VAP in teaching and non-teaching hospitals and reported higher rates in former [11]. The same study reported higher rates in lower-middle-income compared to upper-middle-income countries. A similar review revealed higher rates in lower-center salary contrasted with upper-center wage nations. Significantly high incidences were observed from India i.e., 36.2% and Egypt as 31.8/1000 ventilator-days [12,13]. While a lower frequency was reported from USA and Germany [14-16]. A value of 23.6% of VAP incidence was reported from European origin 41 followed by 6.6% and 6.7% from Italy and Australia [17-19]. A challenging situation in relevance to the surveillance definitions in pediatric situation is due to the amalgamation of radiological and clinical scenarios which creates an excessively huge space for elucidation.

### Risk factors for VAP

The existence of definite host, pharmacological or environmental factors can lead to increase propensity of VAP in critical care patients.

Parameters	Diagnostic Criteria
Temperature (°C)	Between 38.5-38.9 OR ≥ 39 or ≤ 36
Count of White blood cells (WBC)/mm <sup>3</sup>	<4.000 or >11.000 and 50% bands
Secretions	purulent (>25 neutrophils/high-power field)
X-ray (Chest) permeates	Disseminate (diffused)/irregular (patchy) or confined (localized)
Partial pressure of O <sub>2</sub> in arterial blood (PaO <sub>2</sub> )	Decreased; ≤240 and no ARDS
Sputum	Culture >1+ and same Gram staining organisms

Table 1: The diagnostic criteria for VAP [4].

\*Corresponding author: Huma Ali, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Jinnah Sindh Medical University, Karachi, Pakistan, Tel: 923002621917; E-mail: [humaali80@live.com](mailto:humaali80@live.com)

Received April 14, 2017; Accepted May 29, 2017; Published June 08, 2017

Citation: Zubair S, Ali H, Zafar F, Beg AE, Sial AA, et al. (2017) Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP): Clinical Strategies, Treatment Challenges and Economic Concerns. J Bioequiv Availab 9: 432-436. doi: 10.4172/jbb.1000338

Copyright: © 2017 Zubair S, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Risk factors identified from literature for the development of VAP have been detailed in Table 2. These factors can be distinguished into non-modifiable and modifiable risk factors [11,20] (Table 2).

### Pathophysiology of VAP

Pathophysiology of VAP is classified as the respiratory and digestive regions colonization and secreted micro aspiration of superior and inferior segments of airway [12]. Initiation of VAP is categorized into 2 forms:

a) Rapid onset: VAP associated with antibiotic-susceptible species and occurs within 48-96 h after intubation.

b) Late-onset: It is connected with resistant organisms and occurs more than 96 h after intubation [12].

The growing incidence of multi-resistant organisms in VAP and the mortality benefit related with initial appropriate treatment have emerged to an amplified use of wide spectrum antibiotics [13-16]. The requirement to balance such factors with augmented selection pressure for the resistance emergence has led to the development of the appropriate guidelines to optimize the diagnosis, treatment and management of VAP based on local patterns of susceptibility [17-20].

### Microbial Etiology

Transcendence of certain destructive (virulent) microorganism strains in VAP has generated the concept of core pathogens. Such pathogens ought to be considered as potential reasons for VAP amongst the patients. This group of pathogens incorporate *Streptococcus* species, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, Enterobacteriaceae, like (*Klebsiella*, *Proteus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Enterobacter*, *Serratia marcescens*), and in addition methicillin-vulnerable *Staphylococcus aureus* [21-23] (Table 3).

### Treatment Strategies

Overarching philosophy of VAP treatment is depicted by the following:

- Prompt commencement of empirical therapy.
- Association of the level of infectivity and clinical staging.
- Appropriate treatment to reduce mortality rates.
- Association of delayed onset of VAP with resistant isolates.
- Careful utilization of combination treatment for specific resistant pathogens (*P. aeruginosa* and MRSA) [24-26].

### Existing Guideline to Antimicrobial Selection in VAP

According to the American Thoracic Society (ATS) and the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) guidelines (IDSA/ATS) recommended Antibiotic management of VAP is based on multiple interconnecting principles. The core of such idea proposes that treatment of VAP should be commenced as early as the diagnosis is entertained. In an attempt to reduce patient impairment and experience to unnecessary antibiotics resistance, utilization of antibiogram data is recommended to diminish the redundant use of twofold antibiotic treatment including gram-negative empiric methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). Further stress on crash course of antimicrobial treatment for subjects with VAP sovereign of microbial etiology, in addition to de-escalation of antibiotic has been accounted for. In specific situations, the appropriate regimen may diverge from the standard protocol in the IDSA/ATS guidelines44-46. Various treatment options have been summarized in the Table 4.

<b>Host associated</b>	Non-modifiable risk factors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Age</li> <li>• Sex</li> <li>• Medical conditions (Underlying)</li> <li>• ARDS, COPD</li> <li>• Head trauma</li> </ul>
	Modifiable risk factor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intubations (number and frequency)</li> <li>• Time comparisons for circuit change (24 h vs. 48 h)</li> <li>• Body position of patient</li> <li>• Consciousness scale</li> <li>• Use of medications/antibiotic</li> </ul>
<b>Device associated</b>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Endotracheal tubing</li> <li>• Ventilator circuit</li> <li>• Orogastric or Nasogastric tubes</li> </ul>
<b>Personnel related</b>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inappropriate hand washing practice</li> <li>• Poor gloves changing protocol during patients contact</li> <li>• Lack of use of personal protective utensils when antibiotic resistant pathogens have been recognized</li> </ul>

**Table 2:** Risk factors for VAP [15-18,24,34].

Disease Condition	Categorization	Diagnostic Indications	Responsible Pathogens
<b>VAP</b>	A-Group	Absence of resistance, possible risk factors <sup>†</sup> and mild to moderate stage <sup>‡</sup>	Core-organisms (pathogens) <sup>†</sup>
	B-Group	Resistance risk factors <sup>†</sup> and severe stage <sup>§</sup>	Core pathogens <sup>†</sup> , MRSA and multi drug resistant organism <sup>¶</sup>
	C-Group	Control; ICU patients/ventilated but not developed VAP	-

<sup>†</sup>**Core pathogens:** *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Enterobacter* species, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella*, *Proteus* strains, and methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* MSSA.

<sup>¶</sup>**Multi drug resistant organism:** *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *netobacter*, *Legionella* and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* strains.

<sup>†</sup>Resistance risk factors embrace antibiotic treatment in last ninety (90) days and delayed inception >5 days during hospital stay.

<sup>‡</sup>**Mild to moderate stage:** no intubation, hypotension, sepsis, fast development of infiltrates or end organ damage.

<sup>§</sup>**Severe stage:** presence of intubation, hypotension, sepsis, quick evolution of permeates and end-organ dysfunction.

**Table 3:** Group classification with respect to diagnostic features and pathogens [22-23,26,34].

Organism Responsible	Treatment Strategies
Antibiotics (Gram-Positive): MRSA sensitive	Glycopeptide Vancomycin 15 mg/kg q8 to12h IV (consider a loading dose of 25-30 mg/kg × 1 for severe illness) OR Oxazolidinones Linezolid 600 mg q12h IV
Antibiotics (Gram-Negative): Anti-pseudomonal products: Non-β-Lactam moieties	Fluoroquinolones Ciprofloxacin 400 mg q8h IV Levofloxacin 750 mg q24h IV OR Polymyxins Colistin 5 mg/kg IV × 1 (loading dose) followed by 2.5 mg × (1.5 × CrCl + 30) IV q12h (maintenance dose) Polymyxin B 2.5-3.0 mg/kg/day divided in 2 daily IV doses OR Aminoglycosides Amikacin 15-20 mg/kg q24h IV Gentamicin 5-7 mg/kg q24h IV Tobramycin 5-7 mg/kg q24h IV
Antibiotics (Gram-Negative) Anti-pseudomonal range: β-Lactam-moieties	Antipseudomonal penicillins Piperacillin-tazobactam 4.5 g q6h IV OR Carbapenems Imipenem 500 mg q6hd IV Meropenem 1 g q8h IV OR Cephalosporins Cefepime 2 g q8h IV Ceftazidime 2 g q8h IV OR Monobactams Aztreonam 2 g q8h IV

**Table 4:** Recommended empiric treatment options for clinically suspected ventilator-associated pneumonia [47-50].

## Clinical Outcome Measures

Clinical outcome of disease comprised of percent mortality rate with respect to age, duration of disease, pathogens (isolates) and comorbidities for VAP. Physiologic measures such as laboratory examination outcomes, health status and functionality characteristics of patient may be utilized as conclusive (clinical) measures [26-30].

## Economic Features of VAP

The rate of mortality of VAP may increase from 27 to 43% if antibiotic resistant organisms are causative agent with 2-3 folds increment in hospital ICU stay [25,26]. Additional cost of VAP is calculated to be \$40000 per patient/hospital admission with specific ailment, with an approximated \$1.2 billion per annul cost [3,27]. In a recent study [23-29] the advancement of VAP was connected with an expansion of \$41,294 as average treatment charges per admission (\$104,983 ± \$91,080 vs. \$63,689 ± \$75,030 with P values <0.001). To rationalize the optimal economic outcome of specific disease, various pharmacoeconomic evaluation methods are utilized including cost utilization, cost effectiveness, cost benefit and cost utility analyses. Pharmacoeconomic is the field which assesses the experimental and economic features of health care products, services and plans to health care providers, patients and policy makers [28,29]. These methods provide the means to compare the treatment options. The decision of perspective from which the analysis should be conducted, is an important consideration in pharmacoeconomic analysis. At present the pharmacoeconomic evaluation is valuable in individual patient treatment, total cost of care, resource allocation, and effective formulary management [29-31].

## Assessment of VAP

Clinical Pulmonary Infection Score (CPIS) as a marker of diagnosis can be used to measure the progress of VAP. The value of CPIS is estimated by assigning points (0, 1, and 2) for various conditions of pneumonia including fever and level of oxygenation defacement [32]. Acute Physiological Assessment A and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE II SCORE) can be used as a vital factor to stratify the degree of risk for progression of VAP and to predict the possible rate of mortality [26,33-39].

## Measures Commonly Taken to Prevent VAP

Effective projects consolidated different mediations, for example, practice of hand sanitation, use of gloves and sterilized gown for endotracheal tube control, elevation of backrest position, use of chlorhexidine as oral care product, prophylaxis for ulcers stress, and evasion of gastric over distension, a suitable maintenance of cuff pressure, insertion of oro-gastric tubes, and disposal of unnecessary tracheal suction [40-45]. Numerous stratagems have been illustrated to accomplish the various objectives including the incidence and risk of VAP and reduction in days of ventilation including Non-invasive Positive Pressure Ventilation (NPPV), weaning trials, sedation episodes, re-intubation avoidance, and an early tracheostomy. At the point when connected as a multimodal procedure by an interdisciplinary group, these intercessions are destined to be fruitful among children's and adults and have demonstrated viability in various regions of the world [46-52].

## Conclusion

It is need of time to identify trends and set therapeutic priorities in the interests of patients with effective management of drug therapy at relatively affordable cost. Moreover, a strategic plan based on the existing statistics, specialist view and contemporary practice for the stipulation of patient care should be established to evaluate risk stratification factors and to facilitate correct diagnosis, treatment rationale and improve cure for challenging pathogens with decrease morbidity and better survival. It is also recommended to conduct related studies to assess the frequency and location of associated risks in local population. Results of these investigations may benefit the seriously ill ICU patients receiving mechanical ventilation by improving the clinical outcomes. Furthermore, pharmacoeconomic studies can also be effectively used to save the economic resources, and thus satisfy patient's needs at optimal rate.

## References

- Richards MJ, Edwards JR, Culver DH, Gaynes RP (1999) Nosocomial infections in medical intensive care units in the United States. *Crit Care Med* 27: 887-892.
- Chastre J (2005) Conference summary: ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Respir Care* 50: 975-983.
- Augustyn B (2007) Ventilator-associated pneumonia risk factors and prevention. *Crit Care Nur* 27: 32-39.
- American Thoracic Society; Infectious Diseases Society of America (2005) Guidelines for the management of adults with hospital-acquired, ventilator-associated, and healthcare-associated pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 171: 388-416.
- Lizioli A, Privitera G, Alliaia E, Banfi EA, Boselli L, et al. (2003) Prevalence of nosocomial infections in Italy: result from the Lombardy survey in 2000. *J Hosp Infect* 54: 141-148.
- National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System (2004) National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance (NNIS) System Report, data summary from January 1992 through June 2004, issued October 2004. *Am J Infect Control* 32: 470-485.

7. Eggimann P, Hugonnet S, Sax H, Touveneau S, Chevrolet JC, et al. (2003) Ventilator-associated pneumonia: caveats for benchmarking. *Intensive Care Med* 29: 2086-2089.
8. Cook DJ, Walter SD, Cook RJ, Griffith LE, Guyatt GH, et al. (1998) Incidence of and risk factors for ventilator-associated pneumonia in critically ill patients. *Ann Intern Med* 129: 433-440.
9. Rello J, Ollendorf DA, Oster G, Vera-Llonch M, Bellm L, et al. (2002) Epidemiology and outcomes of ventilator-associated pneumonia in a large US database. *Chest* 122: 2115-2121.
10. Markowicz P, Wolff M, Djedaïni K, Cohen Y, Chastre J, et al. (2000) Multicenter prospective study of ventilator-associated pneumonia during acute respiratory distress syndrome: incidence, prognosis, and risk factors. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*: 1942-1948.
11. Rosenthal VD, Jarvis WR, Jamulitrat S, Silva CP, Ramachandran B, et al. (2012) Socioeconomic impact on device-associated infections in pediatric intensive care units of 16 limited-resource countries: international Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium findings. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 13: 399-406.
12. Awasthi S, Tahazzul M, Ambast A, Govil YC, Jain A (2013) Longer duration of mechanical ventilation was found to be associated with ventilator-associated pneumonia in children aged 1 month to 12 years in India. *J Clin Epidemiol* 66: 62-66.
13. Rasslan O, Seliem ZS, Ghazi IA, El Sabour MA, El Kholy AA, et al. (2012) Device-associated infection rates in adult and pediatric intensive care units of hospitals in Egypt. *International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) findings. J Infect Public Health* 5: 394-402.
14. Geffers C, Baerwolf S, Schwab F, Gastmeier P (2008) Incidence of healthcare-associated infections in high-risk neonates: results from the German surveillance system for very-low-birthweight infants. *J Hosp Infect* 68: 214-221.
15. Leistner R, Piening B, Gastmeier P, Geffers C, Schwab F (2013) Nosocomial infections in very low birthweight infants in Germany: current data from the National Surveillance System NEO-KISS. *Klin Padiatr* 225: 75-80.
16. Edwards JR, Peterson KD, Andrus ML, Dudeck MA, Pollock DA, et al. (2008) National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) report, data summary for 2006 through 2007, issued November 2008. *Am J Infect Control* 36: 609-626.
17. Raymond J, Aujard Y (2000) Nosocomial Infections in Pediatric Patients A European, Multicenter Prospective Study. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 21: 260-263.
18. Patria MF, Chidini G, Ughi L, Montani C, Prandi E, et al. (2013) Ventilator-associated pneumonia in an Italian pediatric intensive care unit: a prospective study. *World J Pediatr* 9: 365-368.
19. Gautam A, Ganu SS, Tegg OJ, Andresen DN, Wilkins BH, et al. (2012) Ventilator-associated pneumonia in a tertiary paediatric intensive care unit: a 1-year prospective observational study. *Crit Care Resusc* 14: 283.
20. Singh N, Rogers P, Atwood CW, Wagener MM, Yu VI (2000) short-course empiric antibiotic therapy for patients with pulmonary infiltrates in the intensive care unit. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 162: 505-511.
21. Karaoglan H, Yalcin AN, Cengiz M, Ramazanoglu A, Ogunc D, et al. (2010) Analysis of ventilator-associated pneumonia in Turkish medical-surgical intensive care units. *Infez Med* 4: 248-255.
22. Rotstein C, Evans G, Born A, Grossman R, Light RB, et al. (2008) Clinical practice guidelines for hospital-acquired pneumonia and ventilator-associated pneumonia in adults. *Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol* 19: 19-53.
23. Gupta A, Agrawal A, Mehrotra S, Singh A, Malik S, et al. (2011) Incidence, risk stratification, antibiogram of pathogens isolated and clinical outcome of ventilator associated pneumonia. *Indian J Crit Care Med* 15: 96.
24. Jayanthi MK, Sushma NV (2014) Drug Utilization Pattern and Pharmaco-economic Study in Paediatric Dentistry at a Tertiary Hospital. *Inter J Pharm Pharma Sci* 6: 70-72.
25. Ruiz M, Torres A, Ewig S, Marcos MA, Alcón A, et al. (2000) Noninvasive versus invasive microbial investigation in ventilator-associated pneumonia: evaluation of outcome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 162: 119-125.
26. Lauzier F, Ruest A, Cook D, Dodek P, Albert M, et al. (2008) The value of pretest probability and modified clinical pulmonary infection score to diagnose ventilator-associated pneumonia. *J Crit Care* 23: 50-57.
27. Marya DZ, Andrew FS (2010) Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia: The Clinical Pulmonary Infection Score as a Surrogate for Diagnostics and Outcome. *Clin Infect Dis* 51: 131-135.
28. Cunnion KM, Weber DJ, Broadhead WE, Hanson LC, Pieper CF, et al. (1996) Risk factors for nosocomial pneumonia: Comparing adult critical-care populations. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 153: 158-162.
29. Kalanuria AA, Ziai W, Mirski M (2014) Ventilator-associated pneumonia in the ICU. *Crit Care* 18: 208.
30. Diaz O, Diaz E, Rello J (2003) Risk factors for pneumonia in the intubated patient. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 17: 697-705.
31. Rocha LA, Vilela CA, Cezario RC, Almeida AB, Gontijo FP (2008) Ventilator-associated pneumonia in an adult clinical-surgical intensive care unit of a Brazilian university hospital: incidence, risk factors, etiology, and antibiotic resistance. *Braz J Infect Dis* 12: 80-85.
32. Kunis KA, Puntillo KA (2003) Ventilator-associated pneumonia in the ICU: its pathophysiology, risk factors, and prevention. *Am J Nurs* 133: 64-64.
33. Tablan OC, Anderson LJ, Besser R, Bridges C, Hajjeh R (2004) Guidelines for preventing healthcare-associated pneumonia, 2003. *MMWR* 53: 1-36.
34. Kollef MH, Micek ST (2005) Strategies to prevent antimicrobial resistance in the intensive care unit. *Crit Care Med* 33: 1845-1853.
35. Marelich GP, Murin S, Battistella F, Inciardi J, Vierra T, et al. (2000) Protocol weaning of mechanical ventilation in medical and surgical patients by respiratory care practitioners and nurses: effect on weaning time and incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Chest* 118: 459-467.
36. Maquelin K, Kirschner C, Choo-Smith LP, Ngo-Thi NA, Vreeswijk V, et al. (2003) Prospective study of the performance of vibrational spectroscopies for rapid identification of bacterial and fungal pathogens recovered from blood cultures. *J Clin Microbiol* 41: 324-329.
37. Koenig SM, Truitt JD (2006) Ventilator-associated pneumonia: diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. *Clin Micro Rev* 19: 637-657.
38. Grossman RF, Fein A (2009) Evidence-based assessment of diagnostic tests for ventilator-associated pneumonia executive summary. *Chest* 117: 177-181.
39. Shorr AF, Sherner JH, Jackson WL, Kollef MH (2005) Invasive approaches to the diagnosis of ventilator-associated pneumonia: a meta-analysis. *Crit Care Med* 33: 46-53.
40. Chastre J, Wolff M, Fagon JY, Chevret S, Thomas F, et al. (2003) Comparison of 8 vs 15 days of antibiotic therapy for ventilator-associated pneumonia in adults: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 290: 2588-2598.
41. Vincent JL (2004) Ventilator-associated pneumonia. *J Hosp Infect* 57: 272-280.
42. Leroy O, Soubrier S (2004) Hospital-acquired pneumonia: Risk factors, clinical features, management, and antibiotic resistance. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 10: 171-175.
43. Chen KY, Ko SC, Hsueh PR, Luh KT, Yang PC (2001) Pulmonary fungal infection: emphasis on microbiological spectra, patient outcome, and prognostic factors. *Chest* 120: 177-184.
44. Pittet D, Zingg W (2010) Reducing ventilator-associated pneumonia: when process control allows outcome improvement and even benchmarking. *Crit care med* 38: 983-984.
45. Aelami MH, Lotfi M, Zingg W (2014) Ventilator-associated pneumonia in neonates, infants and children. *J Clin Diagn Res* 3: 30.
46. Keyt H, Faverio P, Restrepo MI (2014) Prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia in the intensive care unit: a review of the clinically relevant recent advancements. *Indian J Med Res* 139: 814.
47. Garonzik SM, Li J, Thamlikitkul V, Paterson DL, Shoham S, et al. (2011) Population pharmacokinetics of colistin methanesulfonate and formed colistin in critically ill patients from a multicenter study provide dosing suggestions for various categories of patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 55: 3284-3294.
48. Nation RL, Li J, Cars O, Couet W, Dudley MN et al. (2005) Framework for optimisation of the clinical use of colistin and polymyxin B: the Prato polymyxin consensus. *Lancet Infect Dis* 15: 225-234.
49. Sader HS, Rhomberg PR, Jones RN (2005) *In vitro* activity of  $\beta$ -Lactam antimicrobial agents in combination with Aztreonam tested against metallo- $\beta$ -lactamase-producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *J Chemother* 17: 622-627.



50. Kalil AC, Metersky ML, Klompas M, Muscedere J, Sweeney DA, et al. (2016) Management of adults with hospital-acquired and ventilator-associated pneumonia: 2016 clinical practice guidelines by the infectious diseases society of america and the american thoracic society. *Clin Infect Dis* 63: e61.
51. Bloos F, Müller S, Harz A, Gugel M, Geil D, et al. (2009) Effects of staff training on the care of mechanically ventilated patients: a prospective cohort study. *Br J Anaesth* 103: 232-237.
52. Salahuddin N, Zafar A, Sukhyani L, Rahim S, Noor MF, et al. (2004) Reducing ventilator-associated pneumonia rates through a staff education programme. *J Hosp Infect* 57: 223-227.