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Abstract

Innovations in construction ‘time waste’ management are scarce. Construction delays are usually caused by time wastes at activity levels, and scholarly studies primarily don't deal in explaining waste at singular activity levels. Experience based heuristics play the most important role in fixing the duration of activities by managers. But, construction activities are prone to highly improbable and complex process flows, making heuristics unreliable. This happens due because the probabilities of construction uncertainties in one project being similar in forthcoming projects are meagerly low. Thus, the experience gained by the project management personnel over the years, may not be handy at predicting actual durations and costs of the forthcoming project with sufficient accuracy.

The only practical solution would be a fixation of cost and time standards for singular construction activities based on the complete history of projects completed and those personnel involved in it. In a nutshell, it would mean globalizing or at least nationalizing heuristic data of delays and wastes in order to facilitate meaningful future predictions.

This can be achieved by devising a mechanism of centralization of construction process related data into a single entity at the national/international level - Data Collection System (DCS). As part of this system, synchronization of personnel and construction site data should take place at every instance a new construction process is activated anywhere within the boundary of existing DCS. A collection of inventory data, material data, labor data, stakeholder data, activity delay data, time waste data, etc. should form the core data in this data center. Data obtained from heuristics should then be converted to mathematical distributions that could then be used for predictions in future construction scenarios. This would result in giving better and better results as the process of data entry proceeds.

The scope of this study is limited to construction activities from Indian construction sites involving core and shell in buildings. "Cost overrun" in:

(1) Beam and slab construction,
(2) Column construction and,
(3) Block work is mathematically modeled as probability distributions.

US naval code NAVFAC P-405 is employed for site independent duration calculation. As part of this study, steps on using NAVFAC – 405 in calculating delays are explored in detail. Beta, Normal, and extreme value distributions were seen to fit cost overruns in these activities. This could then be entered into the DCS.
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Introduction

Construction is one amongst the oldest continually existing engineering portfolios. And on the same parallels, construction delays exhibit a continual problem that accompanies it to this day. A large number of studies pertaining to finding the causes of these delays have been carried out by researchers [1,2]. But it is a peculiar fact that these studies were not seen to be helping in actually bringing down delays in the process.

Studies on delays can only be fruitful if only detailed construction process (which is highly complex and unique) is taken into account. It would mean singular activities, for example in a building construction:

(a) Concreting of RCC slab or
(b) Laying Steel deformed bars or
(c) Piling of concrete foundation etc. are taken separately for delay mitigation.

Delays and wastes must then be calculated focusing on these single activities. If we divide broadly divide the study on delays, it could be of two types:

(a) Project delays and
(b) Singular activity delays. It can be seen in almost all studies on delays are centered on the first category (Table 1).

A unique aspect in construction is that the process flow and
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stakeholders continuously changes from project to project. This brings us to the point of near-erratic behaviour that exist in activity durations and subsequent overruns. This results in near-impossible scenario to set a theory formulation in construction.

Thus, the only way forward would be simulating the actual process that had taken place in the past at both macro and micro level. Although construction is a continuous event, the present study assumes it as a flow of a large number of discrete events.

The scope of this current study is on developing a method which aid in collecting data pertaining to the singular discrete activities in construction from around the country/countries and integrating it.

As the primary focus is for reducing delays/wastes, a separate entity ‘activity delay’ is defined and formulated. Requirements for uniformity in delay characteristics necessitate calculation of activity durations that can be universally accepted. But, construction process, being considerably dependent on personnel characteristics/environmental aspects, the formulation for a uniform duration calculation is challenging. As part of this study, NavFac P-405, the code used by US Navy is seen to be suitable for duration fixation in construction activities (Figure 1) [3].

As the United States of America has naval bases all over the globe, construction activities in these naval bases are to be designed which is location (external factors) independent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major causes</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>Poor site management and supervision</td>
<td>Poor project management assistance</td>
<td>Financial difficulties of the owner</td>
<td>Financial difficulties of the contractor</td>
<td>Design changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>Improper Planning</td>
<td>Site management</td>
<td>Inadequate contractor experience</td>
<td>Finance and payment of completed work</td>
<td>Subcontractors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>Public interruptions</td>
<td>Changed site conditions</td>
<td>Failure to provide site</td>
<td>Unrealistic time estimation</td>
<td>Design errors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>Inadequate resources due to contractor/lack of capital</td>
<td>Unforeseen ground conditions</td>
<td>Exceptionally low bids</td>
<td>Inexperienced contractor</td>
<td>Works in conflict with existing utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAE</td>
<td>Preparation and approval of drawings</td>
<td>Inadequate early planning of project</td>
<td>Slowness of the owner’s decision making process</td>
<td>Shortage of manpower</td>
<td>Poor supervision and poor site management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>Financial difficulties faced by the contractor</td>
<td>Too many change orders from the owner</td>
<td>Poor planning and scheduling of the project by the contractor</td>
<td>Presence of unskilled labour</td>
<td>Shortage of technical professionals in the contractor’s organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>Change orders</td>
<td>Financial constraints</td>
<td>Owner’s lack of experience</td>
<td>Materials</td>
<td>Weather</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>Monthly payment difficulties</td>
<td>Poor contract management</td>
<td>Material procurement</td>
<td>Inflation</td>
<td>Contractor’s financial difficulties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>Contractor’s financial difficulties</td>
<td>Client’s cash flow problem</td>
<td>Architect’s incomplete drawings</td>
<td>Subcontractor’s slow mobilization</td>
<td>Equipment breakdown/maintenance problem</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Major Causes of Delay World Wide (studies show only project based delays).

This research has incorporated NAVFAC P-405 for calculating the standard duration of selected activities, namely:

1. Beam and slab construction,
2. Column construction and
3. Block work.

Delays are calculated as overruns from these standardized values, which are calculated based only on actual site considerations taken into account.

‘Delay’ in Construction

Construction delays form an economic pothole for worldwide economies. For instance, one example of ‘rags to riches’ in construction involve Dubai. With no great construction boom in the 1970’s, Dubai today hosts the world’s tallest tower. In thirty years, Dubai construction industry is one of the fastest in the world with roughly 14% of GDP pumped into construction alone. As far as its efficiency is considered,
Defining Wastes and Delays as Separate Entities

It could be seen that delays and time waste are separate entities that require mitigation treatments explicitly [12]. Delays are explained as time overrun at activity levels for activity delays. Time waste is the overall waste of resources due to time overruns for that activity. The sum total of wastage from various sources incurred as a result of the delay experienced form time waste. The only mathematical unit successful in quantifying the addition of time waste was seen to be in units of ‘money’.

Similarly, ‘project delays’ are defined as time overrun by the entire project from the expected date of completion. Time waste at project level involves the summation of total time waste generated at individual activities in various areas of the project. It becomes imperative to study in detail all these different entities separately for successfully developing a methodology for reducing project delays.

It is a peculiar fact that time waste and delay characteristics are not known to form any basis during fixation of activity duration by the project planners. Neither is these overruns found as an explicit entity in software packages (commonly used for project scheduling). In a large number of construction projects, planners usually employ experience based heuristics in fixing activity durations. But, this method could be seen as scientifically inaccurate or unreliable for duration fixation when it comes to planning scenarios that are not familiar to the planner. It is thus preferable for construction industry researchers to experiment in duration fixing methodologies that are reliable enough by finding a methodology for incorporating expected overruns at planning stage itself.

Existing Methodologies in Activity Scheduling

The usual methods employed for scheduling in the construction process (Hendrickson [13] and Goldratt [14]) as follows (Table 3). Scheduling Methods currently being used.

Classifying construction: Developing countries

Today in developing countries such as India, construction could be practically classified as:

a) Formal construction,
b) Informal construction.

Informal construction involves personnel who are not well qualified as per engineering standards. Formal construction may be defined as construction that involves only personnel who are technically qualified as per engineering standards.

Informal construction may also appear to be partial. Major construction firms that give utmost importance to engineering aspects may be forced to become partially informal, in cases where contractors/sub-contractors become involved (who in turn may lean towards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No</th>
<th>Author/Researcher</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Study features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1      | Abdullah, Lauri et al. [7] | 2013 | * Need for alternate research approach in construction management.  
 * Simple analysis and evaluations on delays  
 * Study revealed – Poor Project management as main cause for delay. |
| 2      | Mohamed and Tarek [8] | 2014 | * Studied causes of delay in Egypt  
 * Frequency index, severity index and importance index are developed for various factors.  
 * Ranking of delay was done. |
| 3      | Pablo, Vicente et al. [9] | 2014 | * Delays at activity levels and project levels are studies  
 * Interactions between the same are studied.  
 * Reason for non compliance analysis is carried out.  
 * Delay index (DI) for impact on critical and non critical activities are determined. |
| 4      | Muhweri, Acai et al. [10] | 2014 | * Study on factors causing delay in Ugandan buildings  
 * ‘Corruption tendencies’ is a key factor in study |
 * Study shows that bar charts are well preferred by industry professionals over CPM. |

Table 2: Recent studies on delays and delay formulations.
The algorithm contains the steps for integrating data over a location of within a specified boundary/area. The construction activities that come within this domain are taken up for investigation. The following 10 step algorithm is proposed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Scheduling Techniques</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Advantages/Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bar charts</td>
<td>Deterministic duration</td>
<td>Durations may not be accurate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Critical Path method (CPM)</td>
<td>Deterministic duration</td>
<td>Durations may not be accurate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Project evaluation review technique</td>
<td>Variable duration</td>
<td>Usually uses Beta distribution only for predicting time period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Critical chain construction management</td>
<td>Variable duration</td>
<td>Use of Buffer duration helps greatly in uncertainty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Monte-Carlo simulations</td>
<td>Variable duration</td>
<td>Advantageous is data previous data is available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>What if simulations</td>
<td>Variable duration</td>
<td>Unpredictable construction activities like foundations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Scheduling Methods currently being used.

Man-day calculation

According to NAVFAC P-405, the formula for determining the quantified time for each activity is based on Man-days required for each activity. The formulations:

$$MD = (QTY_{UNIT SIZE}) \times (MHRS/UNIT) \times DF$$

Where, MD=Man-Day Estimate which is used to determine the number of men and ratings required on a deployment, and provide the basis to schedule manpower in relation to construction progress. A man-day is a unit of work performed by one man in 8 hours. QTY=Material quantity from material take off (MTO).

UNIT SIZE=Obtained from labour estimating tables.

MHRS/UNIT=Obtained from labour estimating tab. 8=8 hours per one man-day.

DF=Delay Factor obtained from the production efficiency chart.

First locate PEF on the X-axis and determine the Y value based on the Production efficiency graph. This efficiency depends on various
factors such as workload, site area, labour, supervision, job condition, weather, equipment and tactical/logistical (Figure 5).

Duration of each activity:

\[
\text{DURATION} = \frac{\text{MD}}{\text{CS} \times \text{AF} \times \text{ME}}
\]

Where,

- MD = Man Day estimate
- AF = Availability Factor determines how much of the planned direct
- Labour is available and depends on the deployment site.
- ME = Man-Day Equivalent, Planned work hours per day divided by 8 hours (one man-day). Example: a 9-hour workday can be shown 9/8 or 1.125.
- CS = crew size which is equal to the planned direct labour (DL).

Dynamic data considered

In the site only 90% of the estimated labour and material were available, therefore an availability factor (AF) equal to 0.9 was considered.

Around 10 hours of construction was taking place per day. Therefore the man day equivalent equal to 10/8 = 1.25 was used.

The delay factor was obtained from the Production efficiency graph (Figure 5) which is based on average Seabee production efficiency. This efficiency depends on various factors such as workload, site area, labour, supervision, job condition, weather, equipment and tactical/logistical. Based on these conditions we determined the production efficiency to be in the range of 60-70%. Thus, a delay factor of 1.1 was obtained.

According to the data collected from the site, the quantified time for the selected activities is as follows:

- Quantified Time (QT)/Activity Duration – Sample Calculations
- Beam and slab construction (Tables 4-7).

Reinforcement and formwork are activities that can be done parallel. Therefore the quantified time for the activity-beam and slab is 9.830206 + 2.626486 = 12.456692.

Cost overrun estimations

Cost overrun estimations are given in Table 8.

Adequacy Test Results

The sample size required at the 90 percent confidence level has been determined. It was found from the analysis that the size of the data obtained for each activity at this confidence level is higher than the required sample size at 90% level of confidence. The minimum sample size required and the sizes of the data collected for each activity are given in Table 9.

Mathematical Distribution of Activities – Cost Overrun

Mathematical distribution of activities – cost overrun are given in Figure 6 and Table 10.

Data Generated and DCS

The cost overrun mathematical distribution could be used for prediction of cost overruns in future construction. This data is to be further updated when more number of construction take place. The most recent data is to be then made available to the project planner, which makes the planner familiar with overruns in the nearby sites. The planner has then to take decisions with a better view of things to come [15].

As the behaviour of external factors changes from place to place, these types of mathematical distributions are to be generated separately for different localities. The clubbed data then should then be made available to planners of the concerned locality.

Advantages and Limitations of the Algorithm

The algorithm has many advantages that would help in greatly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Act. No</th>
<th>Vol. of work (m³)</th>
<th>Duration from NAVFAC</th>
<th>Total cost</th>
<th>Cost Overrun</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estimated</td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Planned</td>
<td>Actual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>29.33</td>
<td>11.7967</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>29.69</td>
<td>11.8078</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>29.61</td>
<td>11.80496</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>29.61</td>
<td>11.80496</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>29.61</td>
<td>11.80496</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>29.61</td>
<td>11.80496</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>29.61</td>
<td>11.80496</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>29.61</td>
<td>11.80496</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>29.45</td>
<td>11.79965</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>29.45</td>
<td>11.79965</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>29.45</td>
<td>11.79965</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>29.45</td>
<td>11.79965</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>29.45</td>
<td>11.79965</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>29.45</td>
<td>11.79965</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>29.45</td>
<td>11.79965</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>29.45</td>
<td>11.79965</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>29.45</td>
<td>11.79965</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| median | 4.595618788 |
| SD     | 11.48501007  |
| Confidence Level | 90% |
| n      | 13.92218979  |

Table 8: Cost overrun estimation in beam and slabs.
improving the construction process. But it is also challenging so as to see its successful implementation.

**Advantages**

The data collection system develops/generates activity durations based on actual conditions and does not have the constraints of theory approximations. Hence the estimated durations will be much more reliable than all other currently employed methods.

1. The proposed system has the capability of incorporating behavioral characteristics of stakeholders. It is seen revolutionary in this direction.

2. DCS is iterative, thus improving the capacity of prediction with each construction activity being activated anywhere around the country.

3. DCS has the capability of predicting localized durations and nationalized durations separately. This means that the localized characteristic features of any small area are retained while predicting durations within a local domain.

4. The overall pressure builds on the stakeholders to improve construction process and reduce wastes. This is because of the fact that all data relating to construction are stored and is accessible scrutiny. In the long run process of eliminating low-production stakeholders can be materialized.

5. Mathematical modeling for different entities can be easily done by incorporating a mathematical engine within the system.

6. The algorithm helps in integrating construction as an entity featuring sub-entities that can be taken up for study.

**Limitations of DCS**

1. The cost of implementation is high, as it requires systems involved at the district level, state level and national level.

2. Resistance from existing industry professionals is expected.

3. Good amount of resources is to be put into the industry for understanding the working of the system.

4. Dedicated personnel would be required for data entry purpose. (Although this is not mandatory).

5. Governmental approval is a must for implementing this system. The bureaucratic delays are expected to take a toll on its actual implementation.

**Conclusions and Areas for Future Research**

The new system for storing and retrieving data on activity duration discussed in this study benefits the project personnel in predicting the effect of various stakeholders such as sub-contractors and contractors. Moreover, the database developed can be utilized to develop a more realistic benchmark that can be utilized to predict the quantity of work, labor characteristics and conditions of the specific location.

These activity level improvements would then be pivotal in finally eradicating or reducing project delays to a larger extent hence resulting in standardization of construction process. This would help project managers get additional reliable data based on the realistic nature of stakeholders and site conditions resulting in minimizing of heuristic prediction of final durations and cost requirements. These developments are expected to make construction process duration and activity duration more predictable and easier to control. The overall merits would then be in minimization of delays and cost overruns. An in-depth study need to be conducted in the following aspects to develop this model to a user-friendly prediction product.

A. Verification and validation of DCS algorithm.

B. Establish a feasible level of construction activity in work breakdown structure.

C. Developing a mathematical model based on the algorithm that can be integrated with existing project management and Building information modelling (BIM) software.

D. Developing a simulation tool to model the randomness of stakeholders, activity durations and related overrun characteristics such as delays and time wastes.
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