What is AIDS - A Tragedy or Joy?
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Abstract

In this article the author analyzes the situation in medicine in the fighting against AIDS. The author proposed a new original theory of the origin, course and treatment of this disease, which, however, the international scientific community is trying to ignore or even not to analyze at all. The author was first in the world, who proposed a method for accurate diagnosis of AIDS and method of its treatment using the full human's body hyperthermia. On this method the author has the world priority as of May 22, 1989.
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Introduction

The question that is the title of the article, someone will seem very strange. However, let's not to haste to jump to conclusions. For AIDS patients and for those, who mistakenly obtained such a diagnosis, it is certainly a great tragedy. But there is another side - those professionals who treat people from AIDS. For those physicians, who treat other people from AIDS, it often turns into happiness, into the “coveted trough”, from which they can feed themselves during all their life.

It is known, that the human’s treatment of AIDS can last very long, such treatment requires a lot of money from the patient and the treatment is generally ends with the death of the patient. For such a death doctor usually does not bear any responsibility. Then he continues just as earlier treat other people from AIDS and continues to get good money for it. And this process is infinite, simply because the professionals have no the exact theory of AIDS as well as reliable, safe method of its treatment. This shows us practice.

But what are the medical theorists doing? For their searching for means to combat AIDS they receive good salaries. But are they close to a complete solution of the problem of AIDS? It turns out that they are very far from the full solving of this problem. Moreover, Vadim Pokrovsky (MD, Professor, Head of the Laboratory of Epidemiology and AIDS Prevention of the Research Institute of Epidemiology and the Federal Scientific and Methodological Centre for the Prevention and Control of AIDS), for example, said bluntly: "The problem of AIDS is not resolved and will not be solved" [1]. If we start from the theory, which is used by virologists and microbiologists, it turns out that Vadim Pokrovsky is absolutely right: the problem of AIDS fundamentally cannot be solved by their methods. Does this mean that the problem cannot be solved at all? It turned out that this is not so: the problem is solvable, but it is completely solvable only by other methods, which the infectionists or microbiologists do not possess. Moreover, the problem of AIDS has already been solved by me personally in 1989 [2]. For my work, I received no any grants and no help at all. I was and I am a solitary scientist.

I have followers in Russia. For example, experiments of MD V.P. Chekurov [3] (Moscow) and of MD Suvernev [4] (Novosibirsk) fully confirmed the validity of my AIDS theory. However, their priorities (patents) have been issued much later.

The question arises: if the AIDS problem has already been solved, then why do so many medical professionals still are solving and solving this problem (or pretend, that they are solving)? For this there are several reasons, the main of which is very simple - it is very profitable for them: to solve this problem indefinitely and get salaries for it, but really they do not want to see the problem solved. If the problem will be recognized as solved, the funding of multi-billion dollars for its solution immediately will be stopped. At the same time many physicians, who along this way received their scientific degrees and built their entire careers on the treatment of AIDS, planned whole their life, just will remain without their work.

The real scientist differs from an accidentally human in science by the constant pursuit of knowledge, by the constant movement forward in the solution of scientific problems. If someone of the colleagues turned ahead of you in solving the problem, you should immediately to examine his solution. In this way you can keep yourself at the front edge of science. And what do we have in real life?

Over the past three years I have sent several thousand letters toward various journalists, newspapers, medical institutions, the Academies of Sciences and to the individual professionals, whose work is related to the topic of AIDS. I did not send copies of the letters - to every person I addressed personally. In each letter I referred to my research papers [5-13] and two to my scientific monographs [14,15]. In these articles and books I showed my whole theory in detail and described the whole history of my research. I have described my patent search, which showed that as of May 22, 1989 I have the world priority in the method of AIDS treatment with the hyperthermia of whole human body. All references in my letters refer only to the independent from me scientific sources. In each of these sources many of professional medical reviewers many times checked my information before publishing it.

What are the results of this serious work? The outcomes of virtually none. All my correspondents simply keep silence. Newspapers did not put my messages even to the roundup. None of medical professionals answered to my letters, although I asked them to tell me their personal opinion about the issue. None of known to me sources or conferences on AIDS not discussed my method of treatment of AIDS.
Furthermore, later sending my emails with the subject "The good news: the problem of AIDS is completely solved," I often in response saw the letter of notification such as: "Your letter was deleted without being read."

What does all this mean? Are there journalists or medical professionals, which want to know how you can solve the problem of AIDS? They, apparently, are only interested in "a process of endless fight against AIDS." And they are so used to this process, that they even cannot imagine that the problem of AIDS one fine day can be completely solved. As a result of my work, I have to make a sad conclusion: real honest scholars and reporters have simply disappeared from the world. There are only those, who "swore allegiance to the pharmaceutical AIDS mafia" and now they faithfully observe their oath. Perhaps, honest scientists and journalists somewhere, after all, still exist, but I have not found them.

My theory of AIDS is already fully described in my books and articles, so I will not repeat it in this article. I note only that the official diagnostics of AIDS, which is used now, is very approximate. There are cases, when in one laboratory you can get diagnosis "AIDS", but analysis in another laboratory, immediately shows, that you have not AIDS. I have described the exact diagnostics of AIDS in [7]. The sooner medical professionals will take it into service; the sooner humanity will be able to get rid of AIDS. But whether there are among physicians and journalists really curious and really honest people? I very much hope that such people somewhere are there, and that soon we will know about it. The recognition of my theory of AIDS would enable humanity to save hundreds of billions of dollars. Whether the mankind need it, not for me to decide.
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