What is on the Horizon for Hyperthermic Cancer Therapy?
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Abstract

Cancer is a complex disease. Malignancy can often obscure the localization of the original tumor, which can mislead the patient and clinicians. Cancer means that the tumor is not benign; rather, it is malignant and thus systemic, even when dissemination or micro-metastases have not been discovered yet. Conventional hyperthermia induces tumor cell necrosis, but with isothermal heating and poor depth selection, it has multiple drawbacks. The new trend is mild hyperthermia, with support from complementary treatments. Furthermore, the trend for loco-regional treatment is developing toward immune modulation, especially toward tumor-specific immune reactions. Our objective is to present a review and discuss the trends regarding hyperthermia in oncology.
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Introduction and Interpretation of a Relative “Old Method” in New Form

Hyperthermia is an old medical treatment. The long history of hyperthermia, from the status of the only cancer treatment in ancient medicine to the present situation, where hyperthermia is rarely used in oncotherapy, was never simple. The history of hyperthermia has had great peaks and disappointing troughs.

Modern electromagnetic heating techniques were able to renew this methodology 200 years ago. The new technology used electromagnetic effects, which were expected to not only heat but provide special, up to that time unknown affects, at the very beginning of modern hyperthermia [1]. The German Electric Belt Agency advertised directly that practitioners should discontinue the use of drugs and use only electricity; “Electricity is life” was their slogan. But the double expectations led to frustration with local hyperthermia in oncology. In the first half of the 19th century, two competing concepts were formed [2] emphasized the heating process and temperature increase of the absorbed energy, while d’Arsonval focused on the electromagnetic effects of the absorbed energy [3-5] (arsonvalization, fulguration, etc.) The competition was quickly ended when Nagelschmidt [6] promoted the heating mechanism, given it a new name: diathermia. Diathermia is centered on the concept of heating by radiofrequency currents. The use of the temperature approach became robust when Siemens, one of the largest producers of electromagnetic devices at that time, marketed the first diathermia devices devoted to curing cancer [7]. This shift in thinking was easy; the explanations were clear enough. Physiology, in general, is obviously temperature dependent. One of the strongest factors of its stability is thermal homeostasis. The efforts to make the feasibility of the hyperthermia method clear were enormous. To reduce the physiological control of thermal homeostasis, pulse application was introduced [8] and applied on lung tumors. RF-diathermy led to extensive degeneration of the tumor vasculature followed by massive infiltration of lymphocytes. Despite initial success, this type of heating approach did not continue, probably because the pulse-peak created conductive channels in the tissues (like a discharge path).

With the inevitable incursion of new techniques, a clear and simple explanation was requested. The main mode of energy delivery is performed by the electric component of the electromagnetic field. This interacts with biomatter, but with huge variations in energy loss in heterogeneous systems (Figure 1).

The study of bioelectromagnetics was almost impossible at the dawn of the 20th century. The missing physical and biological knowledge, which could describe at least part of the underlying interactions and the complex feedback controls of the phenomenon, were completely missing. This hindered the bioelectric concept of thermal solutions, and oriented attention toward the final results: heat and temperature. In this heating concept, the solution of the heating effect is the conversion of the absorbed energy into heat; this concept has become popular among medical professionals. Only relatively weak attempts [9-11] were made to re-establish the electromagnetic treatments in curative therapies, which were followed later by studies on spontaneous biological charge transfers [12,13]. The concept of “biologically closed electric circuits” (BCEC) was developed by Nordenstrom at the Karolinska Institute in Sweden [14,15]. Nordenstrom also introduced clinical Electrochemotherapy (ECT) [16,17], which became a popular method for cancer treatment [18,19]. The first commercial unit for galvanic applications in tumor treatment was released to the medical community in 1992. This became known as electro-cancer therapy (ECT), and was pioneering work for later developments in nanothermia. The results were amazing, and this method was accepted in Japan and China [20-22], with results reported in several peer-reviewed journals [23-27]. These methods apply an electric field to generate currents without any remarkable increase in temperature (using less than 5 W of power), which has been found to be effective against cancer [28-30] when using galvanic (DC) current applications.

Double-faced behavior in physiology leads to frustration in developing treatment protocols. A vast amount of research and clinical papers, as well as many books, have summarized the research, efficacy and power of hyperthermia in oncology [31-33]. The method is a part of the universal and well-accepted oncology knowledge [34] I discussed in detail in large textbooks on radiology/radiotherapy [35] and general oncology [36]. The method has become a part of standard cancer care in a few countries, although limited to only a couple cancer types and...
to some types of heat delivery. There are numerous advantages of using hyperthermia in oncology [37,38]; however, the method is often questioned and is extensively criticized [39]. The success of this method is an important message, pointing to goals and visions, but the critics highlight the failures and keep us on a different path toward reaching the final goal. Dr. Storm provides one critique: “The mistakes made by the hyperthermia community may serve as lessons, not to be repeated by investigators in other novel fields of cancer treatment” [40]. The uncertainties are always there, regulating our thinking [41] “Once we accept our limits we go beyond them” [42].

Our aim is to provide an overview of the present directions of the development of oncological hyperthermia, and to summarize the challenges, the trends in development and the emerging solutions, while concentrating mainly on developments that have occurred over the last three years (since the last report [43], discussing where we are and where we must go from here with this promising methodology.

Simple, natural heating methods, such as saunas and hot-baths, are in the same spectrum as sophisticated mechanical (ultrasound) or electrical (non-ionizing radiation) techniques and their various combinations. One of the traditional therapies is the whole-body hyperthermia therapy [44]. The main technical solution here is to heat the blood in the periphery of the body, and allow the heated blood to heat the whole body via infrared application. This water-window effect in the infrared region (denoted as IR-A) is intensively used for whole-body treatments by multi-reflection filtering [45], water-filtering [46], and multilayer reflection filtering [47]. The method is used in local hyperthermia treatments [48] as well, which are also performed using an extracorporeal setup [49]. Direct contact heating for whole-body treatment (hot water, hot wax, wrapping the body in a heated blanket, etc.) is rarely applied, but water-heating at extremely high temperatures has had a renaissance recently [50].

In this review, we concentrate on local/regional hyperthermia methods, where the physiological feedback of thermal homeostasis is active. We do not discuss emerging techniques like ablation technologies. The reason is that these methods concentrate the energy in a relatively small spot, with short time of action, and so the physiological response (most of all changes to blood flow) is negligible.

Surprisingly, numerous methods of providing active hyperthermia therapy exist [51]. The classical technique is bulky heating (diathermia), by various technical solutions. These are widely applied in various physiotherapies, but most of them are generally contraindicated in oncology, due to their high metastatic risk. The more updated techniques use oriented electromagnetic waves of radiofrequency currents focused on the tumor.

The modern focused deep-heating local technologies are electromagnetic. Deep penetration is easier with a magnetic field. This penetration depth, however, has a drawback: the interaction of a magnetic field with the living tissues is poor due to the negligible magnetic permeability of living systems [52]. In natural cases, the energy absorption is induced by eddy currents [53-55] which are not focusable. A better solution is to inject magnetic materials into the targeted volume [56]. Various kinds of heating can be developed by these materials, including micro-particles2, ferrite rods3, nano-particle magnetic suspensions4 and other magnetic liquids. Development in the last few years has been oriented to nano-heating technologies [57-59].

A popular heat treatment is radiative antenna array coupling [60-64]. It uses a relatively high radio frequency (60–150 MHz). The antennas are arranged around the body in a belt-like shape, and their electromagnetic radiative properties (including their frequency) are tuned to focus on the body. Controlled clinical studies have validated the method [65-67]. Due to the sharp decrease in the penetration depth
with increased frequency, microwave solutions are mostly applied to surface lesions [68].

The dominant hyperthermia applications are a capacitive coupling, which has long history too [69-71]. It is mostly applied in the Radiofrequency (RF) range [72-74], via plane-wave radiation or a tightly matched impedance (RF current) solution [75,76]. The applied frequency could have important roles in targeting, because the frequency dispersion phenomenon targets different chemical bonds in biological systems (Figure 2).

Bio electromagnetism depends on many different factors (Figure 3).

Nowadays, many capacitive coupling techniques apply the standard medical frequency of 13.56 MHz, which is approved for hospital use (Industrial, Scientific, Medical (ISM) band of frequencies) [77]. This frequency (when it is applied well, considering the parameters in Figure 3) could be optimized for lipid-protein interactions, especially for transmembrane proteins.

The advantages of oncological hyperthermia were valuable enough keep the method alive; it is an ideal combination therapy. Hyperthermia is mostly applied as a complementary therapy with “gold standards” such as chemo- or radio-therapy. Hyperthermia provides synergies with most conventional treatment modalities, boosts their efficacy and helps to resensitize to previously non-effective treatments. Moreover, as an advantage of the treatment, increased blood flow is a positive effect, delivering more of the drug, thus increasing chemo-efficacy and delivering more oxygen for radiotherapy success. Additionally,

---

### Figure 2: The frequency-dispersion phenomenon targets different chemical bonds in biological systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy loss on water</th>
<th>General bioelectromagnetic effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>water in crystals</td>
<td>Active membrane effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hydrogen bridges</td>
<td>Charging membranes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bound water</td>
<td>Dipolar mechanisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>free water</td>
<td>Rotational relaxation of polar-side chains,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Counter-ion diffusion along small regions of membranes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 3: By changing the electromagnetic parameters, the resulting effect could be different.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excitation categories</th>
<th>Effects, results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Excitability of physiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dose &amp; safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse</td>
<td>Relaxation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near-field</td>
<td>Field-effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far-field</td>
<td>Wave impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionizing</td>
<td>Chemical bond distortion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-ionizing</td>
<td>Chemical rearrangement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
hyperthermia could effectively reduce pain [78]. Consequently, feedback control has two faces and we have to learn how to balance the two sides.

The possible vasodilatation caused by hyperthermia enhances synergy by increasing the overall blood perfusion (oxygenation) [79], creating considerable sensitization to ionizing radiation. The most active regions of tumor growth and microvolumes far from the arteriolar blood supply are usually severely hypoxic; therefore, radiation has reduced efficacy in these regions. However, hyperthermia has selectively high activity in these regions due to the relatively low cooling effect of blood flow. The heated areas furthermore develop higher blood flow as feedback due to thermal homeostasis, making these areas sensitive to ionizing radiation.

This approach was one of the first to use the modern hyperthermic effect. Its characterization was introduced by the Thermal Enhancement Ratio (TER) [80], which measures the efficacy of the treatment. Hyperthermia, however, speeds up cellular metabolism, while possibly accentuating hypoxia, which works in an opposite manner; it reduces the efficacy of radiotherapy. Here, both approaches could be used: a reduced radiotherapy dose, promoted by hyperthermia using the complex TER factor [81-83]. Different review articles have summarized the sensitizing effect on classical ionizing radiation by hyperthermia [80,84-86]. Considerable success has been presented for hyperthermia in combination with radiotherapy for deep-seated tumors [87,88-84, and success has also been observed in shallow tumors [89,90]. The multinational group of radiotherapies for oncology (RTOG) also evaluated the method as being feasible [90].

The efficacy of chemotherapy is determined by blood perfusion into the tumor. Around the arterioles, a gradient forms regarding the concentration of the drug and also of reactive oxygen species. A higher temperature promotes blood perfusion and enhances the penetration of the drug into the tissue. This effect could also be achieved by a temperature sensing drug [91]. The thermo-chemotherapy results in a more efficient therapeutic effect, increases the target specificity and reduces the systemic side effects [92]. Synergy has been observed with a combination of hyperthermia and chemotherapy for deep seated tumors [93-95].

In some cases, low dose chemotherapy can be used [96,97] and enhanced by hyperthermia, on the same way like applied in low-dose metronomic chemo-regulation [98]. A robust synergy occurs with the combination of chemotherapy and heat, as thermally increased metabolism (enhanced chemo-metabolism) increases the absorption of cytotoxins [99,100]. Cellular chemo-penetration is strongly promoted by non-equilibrium heat-flows (electro-osmosis) [101]. This optimized temperature promotes blood perfusion and enhances the penetration of the drug into the tissue. This effect could also be achieved by a temperature sensing drug [91]. The thermo-chemotherapy results in a more efficient therapeutic effect, increases the target specificity and reduces the systemic side effects [92]. Synergy has been observed with a combination of hyperthermia and chemotherapy for deep seated tumors [93-95].

Combined therapies are (radio-chemo-thermo-complementary applications) also frequently applied [103]. Hyperthermia inhibits angiogenesis, the contrast of the tumor becomes clearer, and turning previously inoperable cases to successfully operated ones [104,105]. The postoperative application of hyperthermia is also applied [106], and the minimally invasive radiofrequency ablation [107] and local hyperthermia [108] have been used to improve surgical results. Postoperative application to the brain has also been published [10], using an interstitial method (minimally invasive treatment using needles as electrodes in the tumor itself). Interstitial hyperthermia has shown surprisingly good efficacy in a randomized, controlled double-armed (with and without hyperthermia) clinical study [110]. The median survival increased from 76 to 85 weeks, and the two-year survival increased from 15% to 31%. As a consequence, the FDA certified brain hyperthermia in its interstitial form. The combination of interstitial hyperthermia with external radiation has also been tried for gliomas [111]. In one study, radiofrequency hyperthermia was also applied extra-cranially [112].

The combination of hyperthermia with gene therapies also looks promising [113-115].

Promising application of hyperthermia induces enhancement of the local rate of drug-release from thermosensitive liposomes [116].

The combination of hyperthermia with hormone therapies; enzyme therapy, photodynamic therapy, gene therapy, immune therapy and other supportive therapies are also frequent clinical applications [117-123]. The methods are compared according temperature used, assuming that the same temperature provides the same results irrespective of which technology is applied to make it. This reference approach has led to some controversies and cannot explain the controversial differences in uterine cervix results [124-126].

As a consequence of increased temperature, multiple factors are modified. Changes to the cellular membrane, by softening or melting the lipid bilayer [127,128], can change lipid-protein interactions [129]. Heat treatment causes structural alterations in transmembrane proteins, inducing changes in active membrane transport and membrane capacity [130], thus leading to a substantial changes in potassium, calcium, and sodium ion gradients, changes in membrane potential and alterations to cellular functions [131-135]. Hyperthermia increases biochemical reaction rates and, therefore, the metabolic rate as well. Accelerated metabolism quickly impoverished mitochondrial ATP sources and drives glycolysis, thereby producing lactate [136] and causing hypoxia. ATP depletion creates a severe ionic imbalance in cells [137] as well. These changes could easily destroy cancer cells, if the triggering action is selective enough.

Despite successful applications of hyperthermia, some questions remain. The central problem is the correlation between local control (remission rate, shrinking the tumor) and survival time. Most clinical studies concentrate on local control, and few compare this with survival time. Some early clinical studies indicated problems with survival time, but in fact, this was ignored. It was shown that radiotherapy and complementary hyperthermia have positive outcomes regarding local control compared to solely applied radiotherapy [138]; however, the measured survival was lower in the Complete Remission (CR) group of patients in the hyperthermia + radiotherapy arm. On the other hand, for patients having not CR, the survival rate was higher in the combined arm than in the arm where radiotherapy was solely applied. A problem with toxicity was shown in the same year [139], when combined therapy was found to be more toxic in both the acute and late stages; this was ignored again. However, this problem with the contradiction between local control and overall survival was recently measured on surface tumors in a rigorously performed clinical study [140]. This characteristic story shows that the survival time problem was ignited by a milestone publication about uterine cervix carcinoma [141]. The four-year survival time in the hyperthermia + radiotherapy treatment arm compared to radiotherapy alone was doubled, which was a breakthrough. A subsequent study [142] showed similar results, but the measured distant metastases were more than three times higher.
(as a percentage) in the combined arm, which had been observed earlier [143]. A repetition of the study, which was sponsored by a non-medical institution, i.e., the International Atomic Energy Agency, was not successful [144], showing the opposite trend, with better four-year survival in the radiotherapy alone arm. However, the remission rate was better when hyperthermia was applied as well, but again significantly more distant metastases were observed in the hyperthermia arm. The explanation of the challenge was the way the missing reference point [145]. In the framework of sponsorship by the International Atomic Energy Agency, a prospective, randomized, controlled, multicenter phase III trial was performed [146] for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC). The result was again problematic: the difference in overall survival in the two arms was statistically insignificant.

To develop this method, we first have to consider its shortcoming, which will have to be addressed in the future.

The thermal effects of electromagnetic energy absorption are much easier to study, explain, and understand than the complex bioelectromagnetic interactions dominantly characterized by an Electric Field (EF). The heating concept has become common in oncological hyperthermia, while the electromagnetic effects are considered only regarding changes in temperature. The energy is absorbed from the field has a measurable Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in units of W/kg. The absorbed energy is transformed into heat and increases the temperature of the target. However, this concept has multiple challenges.

Due to physiological thermoregulation maintaining the temperature difference, increasingly higher amounts of energy are necessary. This has initiated a race for more and more energy delivery, which is presently over 1 kW of power in some popular devices. The huge medical effort and market-oriented fight for higher temperatures in the target has focused on increasing power, instead of using temperature as a tool to destroy the tumor; this has become a goal of medical effort and market-oriented fight for higher temperatures in the case of any precise focusing is not localized, as it naturally spreads over time throughout the areas that are definitely out of focus. The focusing of temperature is illusory in longer time frames than the physiological feedback reaction time, when active cooling feedback adds a heat distribution force to natural heat conduction. By increasing the power, the whole body temperature increases, but the real selective heating of the tumor becomes more difficult. The temperature gain with a higher power is soon equalized by thermal homeostasis in real clinical use [151]; clinically, the same provided energy produces very different temperatures in a patient cohort [152].

4. There are remarkable differences between the macro and micro (meso) environments of the tumor and its vicinity. When hyperthermia is applied, the gradients of the parameters in the meso-environment increase, due to local differences in blood flow (according to body temperature) and the heated tissues in the target. The macro-environment behaves in the opposite manner; a higher temperature directly spreads throughout the environment, decreasing the differences between the parts. To keep the macro selection functional, we have to avoid massive heating, when leading to widespread temperature changes and a loss of selectivity.

5. In the conventional hyperthermia concept, the isothermal idea requires the best homogeneous temperature in the tumor [153], and also assumes that heating is ideally selective, so the healthy neighborhood is not directly heated. This ideal situation is not realistic, even in a homogeneous model system [154] and is far away from the reality in robustly heterogeneous tumors and neighboring tissues. Not only are the structures of tissues rather inhomogeneous, but the micro- and macro blood flow which regulate thermal homeostasis make cooling occur heterogeneously, so the SAR distribution and temperature mapping are not correlated due to variations in blood flow in the micro- and meso-scopic environments [155]. Homogeneous heating of the tumor is not realistic, except when the temperature is high (over 43°C), or when thermal homeostasis is compromised.

6. The leading concept of tumor control by hyperthermia is based on necrosis. It harmonizes well with the presently applied dose concept of Cumulative Equivalent Minutes (CEM) [156]. This conventionally functional dose is based on the thermal characterization measured by the Arrhenius function [157-159]. These changes have been experimentally studied by in vitro measurements of necrosis, blistering and burns to skin, or by assessing burn injuries to the skin of humans [160-164]. A dose of hyperthermia certainly induces necrosis, with 43°C as the reference (CEM 43°C). This dose concept requires isothermal heating of the target, which is formally solved by introducing the percentage of the target which is isothermal during the treatment [165]; this is denoted by the complete CEM, i.e., CEM 43°C T70, where T70 denotes the isothermal portion of the target as a percentage (70%). CEM efficacy is measured in correlation with tumor size (local control)
[166,167], which do not take into account longer outcomes such as survival time. It the case of hypertermia, this could be a crucial mistake, because local control and overall survival time are independent [168], and sometimes even contradictory [169]. A further problem with CEM-based protocols is that the dose only correlates slightly with the clinical outcome [170-172]. Hypertermia protocols using immediate necrotic cell death cannot use CEM, as it fails at higher temperatures [173]. However, solving the dosing problem is mandatory for hypertermia in oncology [174].

7. We have to consider the effect of physiological conditions, mainly blood flow, which has a double-edged sword effect. Blood flow is responsible for thermal adjustment (feedback mechanisms), and drug (chemotherapy) and oxygen delivery (radiotherapy), which are essential for the complementary applications of hypertermia. Higher temperature leads to higher blood flow, thus maintaining the thermal equilibrium. The extra blood flow could support cell killing by delivering more drugs to the tumor tissue in complementary therapy, or tumor growth could be supported by blood-delivered glucose and other nutrients. In addition, high blood flow could aid in the dissemination of malignant cells, forming micro, and later macro, metastases.

8. The central fact is that changes in blood flow are temperature dependent. Blood flow undergoes a turning point with increasing temperature, i.e., blood flow begins to decrease at high temperatures. When blood flow is strong, the temperature increase needs a high SAR; when it is low, the temperature could increase rapidly. It has been shown that an increase in temperature can cause vasoconstriction in certain tumors, leading to decreased blood perfusion and heat conduction [175-177], while causing vasodilatation in healthy tissues, leading to increased relative blood perfusion and heat conduction in this region [178,179]. However, the blood perfusion of the tumor relative to the surrounding healthy tissue is always lower, providing an effective heat trap [180]. Detailed reviews have been published showing state-of-the-art results on tumor blood flow and the effect of applied temperature.

9. A strong indication from clinical practice is that patient tolerance (safety) governs therapy. A high number of treated patients do not obtain the prescribe dose due to tolerance. The protocol of the actual treatment is based on patient tolerance, such that the increase in power stops when the patient experiences remarkable discomfort. Some authors have excluded low-tolerance patients (not-heatable) from the study [181-186].

Bioelectromagnetics could induce a vast number of variants of these effects on biological systems. Heat delivery, which causes the temperature to rise, is only the final consequence of a variety of EF interactions with cells and tissues. Increased temperature under physiological conditions depends on many factors that are triggered by the primary heating of the EF: Increased metabolic rate, changes in blood flow and other transport systems like lymph, heat conduction and impedance changes to the surrounding volumes, etc. Careful study and the use of the complex assessments may change the paradigm of hypertermia in oncology and provide solutions to the challenges listed above.

Most challenges regarding local hypertermia are connected to the necessary delivery of proper and controlled energy to the active area. The desired temperature develops via energy absorption, which is not delivered precisely enough to provide the expected outcome. Biological material is very heterogeneous (Figure 4), so to heat it up homogeneously requires a large amount of absorbed energy, more than the largest considered interaction energy, which in hypertermia is protein denaturation at 43°C. The new paradigm must avoid the complication of homogeneous (isothermal) heating. Heating must be selective microscopically, i.e. overheating malignant cells, but not directly heating the remaining mass of the tumor. In this approach, the main mass of the tumor (together with the healthy tissue) is not heated directly, and only heat conduction from selected malignant cells will cause mild hypertermia in the tumor mass.

Modulated-electro-hypertermia (mEHT; trade name, oncothermia) uses the precise delivery of energy to the membrane of malignant cells [187,188]. It is a radical change compared to conventional heating methods, and has drastically different consequences than those of isothermal hypertermia. mEHT uses certain bio-electromagnetic differences between malignant and healthy cells to select them properly. The first selection step is made considering the conductivity of the tumor mass, which is much higher than the than that of healthy tissue. The conduction difference is caused differences in the concentration of ionic metabolites, a result of intensive glycolytic activity (Warburg effect [189]). The second selection step is more microscopic: identifying the dielectric constant (cellular connections) in the immediate vicinity of the malignant and healthy cells (Szentgyorgyi effect [190]). Malignant cells have drastically different intercellular connections (they are usually autonomic) which changes the Extracellular Matrix (ECM) around them, making selection possible due to the electrical permittivity of

Figure 4: The heterogeneity of biomatter is valid on a large scale of magnification. (a) Inhomogeneity from the nucleus to the tumor. (b) Real sections showing heterogeneity. The arrows in the smallest magnification image show blood vessels cut in cross-section.
the ECM. The final and real EF absorption, i.e., the real hyperthermia step occurs via selective heating of transmembrane protein domains (rafts), which have very different electromagnetic properties than any other materials in their vicinity. The frequency dispersion specialty of cellular membranes (Schwan effect [191]), allows us to optimize the selection. The RF currents in the range of delta dispersion weakly penetrate into the cytoplasm, and their energy is robustly absorbed into the membrane and its immediate vicinity in the extracellular fluid. This could create hot spots in the nano-range at membrane rafts, which can be heated quickly. These spots heat the whole cell, which heats the tumor to the median temperature. Finally, the tumor structure and its consequent reaction kinetics (dynamism) differ robustly from those of healthy counterparts, which can be measured by noise analysis [192]. Recognizing these structural/dynamic differences (pathological pattern recognition) in malignant and healthy tissues could be discussed in the framework of fractal physiology [193]. This selection factor is promoted in mEHT by the time-fractal modulation of the carrier frequency [194,195]. The technical realization of the method is discussed elsewhere [196-198]. The approach for the selection to the nano-scopic hyperthermia step in the mEHT process is shown in Figure 5.

Nano-selection and nano-heating provides a different concept of heating than the isothermal approach (Figure 6).

Studies on mEHT application complementary to chemotherapy have shown excellent results in advanced brain gliomas, advanced NSCLC, and Small-Cell Lung Carcinoma (SCLC). Advanced sarcomas (n=13) (n=24), advanced liver tumors, and advanced inoperable pancreas tumors have also been treated without mentionable side effects. mEHT can be applied as monotherapy, when all other possibilities fail [199-213].

The success of mEHT is connected to its mechanism. It is a new type of heating: nanothermia. Using the above considerations, it concentrates on the nano-heating mechanism and the nano-manipulation of biomolecular changes [214,215]. It is supported by various experiments showing how energy absorption in the nano-range works. One study targeted the question: is nanothermia really hyperthermia? Various in vitro and in vivo experiments showed the thermal origin of the nano-effects. The U937 human lymphoma cell line was compared in Water Bath Hyperthermia (WHT) and nanothermia. It was rigorously shown that the membrane rafts were heated at least 3°C higher than the

Figure 5: Selection at different scales: (a) Selection by absorption differences [find the tumor], [higher density absorption]. After possible determination of the tumor by imaging, mEHT treatment starts, which has three selection steps? (b) Selection by metabolic differences (Warburg) [find the malignancy], [high metabolic rate=high glucose intake=high extracellular conductivity]. (c) Selection by connection differences (Szentgyorgyi) [find the cancer cell], [cellular autonomy=broken connections=disorder in the extracellular fluid]. (d) Selection by frequency dispersion (Schwan) [find the cancer cell membrane], beta/delta dispersion=membrane charges=dipole excitation.

Figure 6: Isothermal heating (a) tries to heat the complete tumor equally, irrespective of its heterogeneity and blood circulation. Nanoheating (used by mEHT) selects for the membrane rafts of malignant cells and heats them up, such that they induce mild hyperthermia in the whole tumor. In the meantime, the nano-heating initiates targeted molecular processes such as apoptosis, DAMP production and Immunogenic Cell Death (ICD).
medium, which was the reference (WHT). It should be noted that this result is in agreement with much earlier \textit{in vivo} experiments [216]. The measured Arrhenius plot showed a definite lowering of the activation energy in the case of nano-heat compared to WHT [217]. It was theoretically shown that membrane rafts were the basic target of nano-heat and Transient Receptor Potential Vanilloid (TRPV) receptors played a definite role in temperature sensing [218]. Next, Ca$^{2+}$ influx into the cell (compared to a reference) was measured, which can be connected to TRPV sensing. The results showed the start of Ca$^{2+}$ influx at least 3°C earlier in nanotherapy; the direct staining temperature measurement showed the same result [219,220].

Nanotherapy rebuilds E-cadherin/β-catenin complexes as the first step in the bystander effect, which has been independently shown [221,222]. In comparison to with WHT and conventional (plane-wave capacitive coupling) hyperthermia (CHT), cleaved caspase-3, caspase-8 and caspase-9 levels were significantly increased, together with Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) and the release of extracellular HSP70. Increased calreticulin expression was also measured compared to WHT and CHT.

Immune-oncology is an emerging science [223,224]. It was named as the breakthrough of 2013 [225], and immune therapy combined with hyperthermia therapy is emerging. In this method, tumor-specific immune absorption (like vaccination) drives the therapy. The general immune reactions support the improvement of the quality of life. The trend is moving toward immune effects and technologies that take systemic action against the malignancy. This may be readily targeted by nanotherapy: an in situ, tumor-specific adaptive immune attack against cancer.

The apoptotic process in nanotherapy provides a new type of local hyperthermia treatment. Recognizing the problem that malignancy is not a local disease and should not only be treated locally, nanotherapy concentrates on systemic effects. Nanotherapy kills cells by apoptosis [226]. As apoptotic bodies release damage-associated molecular pattern molecules, calreticulin and HMGB1 are released, and the membrane expresses HSP70, HSP90 and the DR5 death receptor. This pattern leads to immunogenic cell death [227], which could lead to the bystander and abscopal effect [228]. With this approach, local nanotherapy could be a new kind of tumor vaccination [229]. This concept has been demonstrated by extended \textit{in vivo} model experiments, where the abscopal effect of mEHT was shown on treated tumors in the femoral region as well as non-treated chest tumors in immune-competent mice. Recently, a new publication had shown the same effect, even with unsuccessful re-challenging of the animals by new tumor inoculations after the first NED (no evidence of disease) results. Promising results have also been shown with the non-tumor-specific immune-stimulant Marsdenia tenacissima, which promotes dendritic cell (DC) maturation in situ into antigen presenting cells (APC) for CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells, which act tumor-specifically and destroy cancer cells. This type of mechanism with an immunostimulatory “leukine” was shown in one human case of non-small-cell lung cancer.

Future Perspectives

We are convinced that electromagnetic technologies (a physical approach to change molecular structures and interactions in bio-systems) will rapidly emerge. Electromagnetic interactions (energy absorption) mean heat production at the nano-, micro-, meso- or macro-level. Like the chemical approach to targeting and modifying bio-interactions in the body, electromagnetism (and in general “hyperthermia”) will obtain a strong position in the weaponry of the war against cancer.

The main points which will be changed soon in hyperthermia at any scale:

1. The problem of overemphasizing the importance of temperature is a misleading aim: the goal has to be the changes needed to destroy tumor cells. The temperature is only one of the possible tools. Exchange the tool with the goal is false.
2. Technical challenges will be overcome, and better deep-heating and targeting technologies will be developed.
3. The dosing will be fixed, leaving the isothermal heating concept behind and taking into account the heterogeneity of biomatter.
4. Personalization will garner more attention. The treatment has to be close to homeostatic control, not have a contra-active effect on thermal homeostasis.
5. Cell-killing will be more natural. Heating will not force necrosis; rather it will occur in alliance with natural processes, mainly apoptotic cell destruction.
6. While isothermal heating breaks intercellular connections, the new approach will try to re-establish them, avoiding the invasion and dissemination of tumor cells.
7. Low acute and late toxicity is a characteristic of emerging hyperthermia methods, together with the suppression of side effects from complementary therapies.
8. Immune-related actions of bioelectromagnetic absorption will be more intensively studied and the locally applied treatment will have whole body action (abscopal effect), killing far-distant micro and macro metastases, similar to classical vaccination.

Conclusion

Conventional hyperthermia in oncology currently aims for isothermal heating of the tumor, irrespective of its heterogeneity and the physiological feedback of thermal homeostasis. mEHT represents a new paradigm, introducing selective heterogeneous heating, and using thermal homeostasis as a supporting factor and extending local effects to systemic by very local nano-manipulation in the primary tumor. Despite this, mEHT is a local treatment. It could be effective against systemic sequelae, and cooperate with natural systemic immune mechanisms. This is facilitated by nanothermal heating, which fits with homeostatic control, and leads to immunogenic cell death. Nanotherapy is an immune-supportive, immune modulation method. It fits the trend with earlier developed invasive techniques, which include such important treatments as ablation, electroporation and electro-chemo-therapy which exert immunomodulatory actions.
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