When are Endografts the Best Treatment for Aortic Infection? And When are they Not?
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Introduction

Aortic infection is an uncommon but severe condition, regardless of whether it occurs in the setting of bacteremia (mycotic aneurysm) or as a complication of atherosclerotic aneurysm or pseudoaneurysm after open aortic surgery. Frequently, aortic infection is associated with a primary or secondary fistula into the esophagus or upper airway in the thorax or the gastrointestinal system in the abdomen. In these cases the onset of symptoms could be directly an acute bleeding requiring emergency treatment. Sometimes the patients could develop sepsis. Conventional open surgical repair (OR) is still today considered the best treatment but is associated with considerable morbidity and mortality. In this setting, endovascular aneurysm repair (EVAR) appears to offer promise when used for emergency and palliative treatment especially in high-risk patients. EVAR is even more appealing when OR is complicated by the presence of inflammation, scarring, bleeding, or hemodynamic instability. In the last decade, a number of case reports of successful initial treatment of aortic infections using EVAR have been reported, suggesting wider use of this approach. But when are endografts the best treatment for aortic infection? And when are they not?

Endograft for Mycotic Aneurysm

Nowadays, mycotic aortic aneurysms represent 1% to 2% of all aortic aneurysms [1]. The “gold standard” of therapy involves surgical resection of the infected aorta, debridement of infected peri-aortic tissues, and reconstruction of aortic flow by either an in situ interposition of silver graft/homograft or extra-anatomic bypass followed by long-term antibiotic therapy [2]. Unfortunately, OR in patients in poor clinical condition, and with severe associated comorbidities, still results in high morbidity and mortality (up to 40%) [3]. During the last years EVAR has been proposed as an alternative in the management of such aneurysms. The procedure offers technical advantages compared with OR, but concerns remain about endograft placement in an infected field. The first reported case of EVAR for mycotic aneurysms was by Semba et al. [4]. After this report, several authors have described similar endovascular approaches with remarkable results [5-12]. Nevertheless, whether EVAR or TEVAR can actually be considered as definitive treatment for mycotic aneurysms remains an open question.

Endograft for Aorto-bronchial Fistula

Aortobronchial Fistulas (ABFs) are defined as communications between the thoracic aorta and the adjacent tracheobronchial system [13], generating aortic infection and acute bleeding. ABFs can develop in different thoracic aorta pathologies, including atherosclerotic aneurysms, penetrating aortic ulcers, anastomotic pseudoaneurysms after open aortic surgery, mycotic aneurysms, and traumatic thoracic aorta injuries [14]. ABFs are highly fatal if not diagnosed and treated quickly. Although clinical presentation is typical: hemoptysis, either intermittent or massive, a definitive diagnosis is not easy because there is no diagnostic test that can clearly demonstrate the presence of the fistula. In fact, computed tomography imaging rarely shows the communication between the aorta and the esophagus. Suggestive indirect signs of the fistulous tract are present in many cases and include air bubbles into the aorta, periaortic fluid collection, esophageal wall thickening, and lung parenchymal changes. Despite advances OR techniques, open repair still has a high operative mortality ranging from 15% to 41% related to the thoracotomy [15], the need for cross-clamping of the descending thoracic aorta, and mortality ranging from 15% to 41% related to the thoracotomy [15], the need for cross-clamping of the descending thoracic aorta, and severe complications, such as migration, remodeling, or disconnection. Whether TEVAR is a definitive procedure for ABF or a temporizing treatment to obtain prompt bleeding control remains to be defined. Unfortunately, little is still known about the long-term durability of TEVAR for this condition.

Endograft for Aorto-enteric Fistulas

Aortoenteric fistula (AEF) is defined as abnormal communication between the aortic and the bowel lumen with high risk of aortic infection and acute bleeding. AEF is a serious condition requiring immediate surgical intervention. We can classify AEFs in primary and secondary. The first can take place either as a primary procedure involving the aorta and the gastrointestinal tract or, more frequently, secondary to previous aortic reconstructive surgery or endovascular...
repair. Primary AEFs are extremely rare, ranging from 0.02% to 0.07% in autopsy studies. However, during the past 3 decades, erosion of the bowel (usually the distal duodenum) by prosthetic vascular grafts has become a more common cause and secondary AEFs have been reported to have reached an incidence of up to 4% in patients after aortic reconstruction, usually for aortic aneurysm. Symptoms at onset include hemodynamic instability secondary to gastrointestinal haemorrhage with or without signs of sepsis. The objective included bleeding control, maintenance of adequate distal perfusion, and control of infection. OR might achieve all three goals, but is accompanied by high morbidity and mortality rates (biblio) [20,21]. The OR strategy for revascularization included the aortic replacement with the interposition of silver graft or homograft. The extra anatomical revascularization associated with infrarenal aortic stump suture is associated with high risk of post-operative aortic bleeding due to erosion of the aortic stump suture line and long-term patency of the extra-anatomical revascularization. 

EVAR can be considering a valid therapeutic option especially in case of haemodynamic instability. In fact this strategy represents a bridge option allowing definitive repair at a later time, after optimization of the patients’ condition [22].

**When are Endografts the Best Treatment for Aortic Infection? and when are they not?**

According with the most recent reported series and with our experience the endovascular repair can be considered a valid alternative option compared with classical open surgery in case of aortic infection but in selected patients. In several cases it could be considered also as the best and definitive treatment. For example in high risk and old patients considered unfit for open repair even after the stabilization of clinical condition. In this kind of patients the only endovascular therapy associated with adequate antibiotic therapy could be enough and can decrease radically the mortality associated with the treatment of this cohort of patients.

EVAR represents also the best treatment in case of haemodynamic instability of the patients and offers the possibility to stabilize their clinical condition in order to get to the definitive treatment in better conditions.

In younger patients without significant comorbidities the best therapeutic option remain still today the classical open surgery who allows the definitive solution of the problem and the endovascular repair should be indicated as “bridge approach” only in case of haemodynamic instability. Finally a feasible emergency-treatment option for aorto-bronchial and aorto-esophageal is to stop the aortic bleeding with TEVAR, then to remedy the esophageal or bronchial defect the interposition of intercostal muscle flap could be useful.
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