Willingness among Obese Pregnant Women to Accept MRI Scan
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Abstract

Background/Aim: Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is considered safe to perform during pregnancy. In spite of this many women are reluctant to undergo the examination. Weight gain is to be expected during pregnancy, but little is known about changes in the compartmentalization of abdominal fat. We therefore undertook a study of the willingness of obese women to undergo MRI during pregnancy.

Method: Obese pregnant women, body mass index (BMI) 30-45 kg/m², participating in a weight management intervention study, were offered three MRI scans to be performed during pregnancy. One hundred and one women were offered MRI scanning in gestational week (GW) 15, 32 and 45 in GW 40.

Results: Of 106 women offered MRI scans 102 completed (96%) at least one scan. In total 177 out of 210 possible scans were completed. The proportion of women who completed first, second and third MRI scans were 96%, 83% and 61% respectively. Primary cause for incomplete MRI scans were no-show (45%); no reported concern for safety as a cause.

Conclusion: A majority of the women accepted MRI scans in GW 15, 32 and 40. Thorough, information about the safety of the method, and the applicability of the results, encouraged participation.
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Introduction

Overweight and obese pregnant women are at increased risk of developing serious complications related to pregnancy and childbirth due to their excessive weight and gestational weight gain (GWG) [1-3]. More than 60% pregnant with BMI above 30 kg/m² exceed the BMI specific Institute of Medicine guidelines for GWG, thereby being at increased risk of developing gestational diabetes, hypertension, preeclampsia and preterm birth [4-6]. Apart from excessive GWG posing a risk during pregnancy, suboptimal maternal dietary intake is associated with fetal adiposity and abdominal fat distribution, maternal weight retention after birth, and the offspring being large for gestational age [1,7-9].

Weight gain is required during pregnancy, but little is known about concomitant change in maternal abdominal fat compartments and accompanying changes in metabolism. Magnetic resonance imaging measures body composition accurately, and it is safe to perform during pregnancy [10,11]. Many women might refuse to undergo a MRI scan during pregnancy due to concerns about impact on the fetus, so we investigated the willingness of pregnant women enrolled in a dietary intervention trial to undergo MRI.

Material and Methods

Obese pregnant women, BMI 30-45 kg/m², enrolled into the weight management intervention study approach, were offered three MRI scans to be performed in gestational week (GW) 15, 32 and 40. We registered the cause of any canceled or failed scans when possible.

All women received written and oral information before giving consent. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee for the Regional Capital of Copenhagen H-3-2013-119, and registered at Clinical trials as NCT01894139.

MRI Scan

All the women were given oral and written information of the MRI scans prior to inclusion in the study. They were informed that the MRI apparatus is an open machine using magnetic and radio waves, and that it does not emit X-rays or any other type of radiation. They were told that the large magnet causes a banging noise while the scanner is in operation, and that they will be provided with hearing protection. All current evidence indicates that measurements can be repeated without any danger to either woman or foetus, and the women were assured that the MRI scans were completely voluntary. The women were also informed of the specific purpose of MRI; that is to measure the change in subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissue, liver and muscle fat, during pregnancy, and that MRI measures these parameters precisely and reliably.

All MRI scans were performed at Copenhagen University Hospital Herlev, Department of Diagnostic Radiology, on Thursdays between the hours of 3 and 8 p.m. The measurements were performed to investigate the change in subcutaneous, visceral, liver, and muscle fat, during pregnancy, MRI measurements were performed using an open Panorama 1.0T system (Phillips, Best Netherlands) with a Sense Body XLarge coil (Figure 1). Each MRI examination took 30 minutes.
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The willingness of obese pregnant women to undergo MRI scans during pregnancy can be challenging due to various factors, including safety concerns and the large and increasing waist circumference of pregnant women. The present study aimed to investigate the willingness of pregnant women to undergo MRI scans, and if the information about the procedure increased willingness.

In the initial screening process of the present study, 106 obese pregnant women were recruited. Of the 106 women offered MRI scans, 102 completed (96%) at least one of three possible scans. A total of 177 out of 210 (82%) possible scans were completed. Causes for not completing are presented in Table 1; none were due to safety concerns. In GW 40, 45% of possible MRI scans were completed (Table 1). In GW 15 and 32, more than 80% of possible MRI scans were completed. Causes for not completing are presented in Table 2; none were due to safety concerns. In GW 40, cancellations and no-shows were due to birth, or the woman being too large to fit into the scanner.

### Discussion

The majority of the obese pregnant women who accepted to have MRI scans performed during their pregnancy, and felt safe once given the information about the procedure. We know of no previous studies of the willingness of pregnant women to undergo MRI scans, and of only a few studies in non-pregnant individuals [12-14]. Though some of the subjects in these studies were ill, fewer accepted MRI (between 60 and 70%) than in the present study [12-14].

Various reasons may influence the willingness to accept MRI scans during pregnancy. One is that MRI is typically used to image malignant growth or broken bones. MRI is therefore coupled to being ill and pain. In itself an MRI scan causes no physical pain and it is a noninvasive test. Despite this Smith et al. found a lower willingness to undergo MRI than to undergo invasive testing, such as skin biopsy, among patients with advanced malignancies [13], even though improved diagnosis of a disease would be thought to be motivational for these patients.

In the initial screening process of the present study, we experienced a certain proportion of eligible women were reluctant to undergo MRI. The anxiety was not specifically towards MRI scan but to the uncertainty of the procedure. Once subjects were informed of the method, safety and applicability of the results, none declined to undergo MRI stating safety as a cause.

Similarly to Smith et al., we found that supplying detailed information about the MRI procedure increased willingness [13]. The methods to be applied in the present investigation, including MRI, were described at information meetings with women potentially to be enrolled in the study. We found that some individuals expressed anxiety about MRI, but this diminished when they learned that it is a safe procedure. They were informed that even though MRI is not as commonly used during pregnancy as ultrasound, it gives a clear and true image. In some settings, fetal MRI is used for prenatal diagnosis and it can show anatomical structures as well as brain development [10,11,15,16].

Performing MRI in obese pregnant women can be difficult due to their large and increasing waist circumference. In the present study, two of the planned MRI scans (one in GW 32 and one in GW 40) were not completed due to the woman being too large to fit into the scanner. This was also experienced by Anblagan et al. when performing MRI scans in GW 35 to study fetal fat [17]. In the present study, we planned the third MRI to be performed from time of childbirth and up to three weeks later. In some cases, the MRI was not performed due to the women giving birth, or being too exhausted to participate in the immediate postpartum period.

Women in the present study were all included in a large dietary intervention trial, APPROACH. In this study, we investigate the effect of optimizing GWG and nutrition during pregnancy on weight and body composition and fat compartments. The MRI scan is the only method that safely and with high accuracy can identify the different compartments of body fat. The high rate of willingness to undergo MRI during pregnancy in the present study is encouraging. The MRI data, together with knowing the subjects’ dietary intake, made it possible to investigate changes during pregnancy in relation to diet, abdominal fat distribution and fetal growth.

In conclusion, of 106 obese pregnant women 96% (102) completed at least one MRI scan. Safety of MRI scans was not stated as a concern or a reason for not completing MRI. The reasons for declining were multiple, with no-show and cancellation without given cause being the most common. Thorough information about the method and the applicability of the results encouraged participation.
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