

Yoga and Physical Therapy: Integrating as a way of Life in Cancer Patients

Shveta Seth, Sandeep Babbar and Sushma Bhatnagar*

Institute Rotary Cancer Hospital, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Delhi, India

Introduction

The International Association for the Study of Pain defined neuropathic pain as “pain initiated or caused by a primary lesion or dysfunction in the nervous system”. The prevalence of chronic pain is about 30-50% among patients with cancer and this rate increases to about 70-90% in patients with advanced disease [1]. The prevalence of neuropathic pain is estimated to be nearly 31.4% in patients with cancer pain [2,3].

The various factors that can lead to neuropathic pain in cancer patients can be classified as; (a) caused directly by cancer for instance tumour infiltration or compression of nerves, plexus, or roots, (b) caused by the treatment of cancer such as post-surgical neuropathic pain, damage due to radiation induced fibrosis and chemotherapy induced neuropathy [4].

With advancements in research, diagnostic tools, treatment modalities the life expectancy in cancer patients has been prolonged, thus increasing the need for a better quality of life. By controlling pain, we can improve the quality of life in patients with cancer and in those who are in need of palliative care.

Pharmacological Management of Neuropathic Pain

A successful treatment of neuropathic pain requires an appropriate diagnosis and assessment. The correct diagnosis of the neuropathic pain can be challenging as the diagnostic criteria are evolving and there can be other types of pain co-existing such as the nociceptive pain. Assessment of neuropathic pain should not only involve identifying the underlying cause but also assessing for other comorbidities such as anxiety, depression, sleep disturbances and adverse effects of neuropathic pain on the quality of life of patients. Thus we must establish a holistic approach towards pain management with realistic goals and involving care givers of the patients as well.

The mainstay of pain management in cancer patients is by giving analgesics as per the WHO analgesic ladder. This analgesic ladder is proven to be effective in 71% of the cases. But neuropathic cancer pain is more difficult to treat than nociceptive pain. Neuropathic pain requires higher doses of a broader range of analgesics to reduce the pain. Thus in addition to opioids antiepileptic and antidepressants need to be added [5,6].

First line medications

Three types of medications are included in the first line of management of neuropathic pain. These include antidepressants, anticonvulsants and topical lidocaine [7,8].

1. Tricyclic antidepressants such as amitriptyline have proven to be effective in managing neuropathic pain in cancer patients [9]. But the most common side effects are the result of their anticholinergic activity, which are dry mouth, blurred vision, cognitive impairment, and lethargy. Therefore, they should be started cautiously in patients with cardiac problems and especially in the elderly [10,11].

Other antidepressant drugs that can be used are selective serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SSNRIs) and among this

group of medicine venlafaxine and duloxetine are the drugs of choice in neuropathic pain [12,13]. Venlafaxine has been found to be effective in post-mastectomy pain syndrome after breast cancer surgery [12]. Venlafaxine is also found to be effective in controlling hot flashes in post mastectomy patients but the frequent side effects experienced are nausea, decrease in appetite, dryness of mouth and constipation [14]. With respect to chemotherapy induced peripheral neuropathy, duloxetine is found to be effective, and can be considered in first-line therapy [13,15]. The frequent side effects experienced with duloxetine are nausea, dizziness, headache, constipation, fatigue and somnolence and increased sweating [16].

Antiepileptic drugs – gabapentinoids: The main antiepileptic drugs utilised are gabapentinoids (gabapentin and pregabalin). They act by inhibiting calcium channels and thereby decreasing the release of glutamate, norepinephrine and substance P [7].

Gabapentin has been shown to reduce neuropathic pain in palliative care setting. A randomised trial has shown that nearly 42% of the patients experienced pain relief after the 21st day of drug administration. The most common side effects encountered were somnolence, fatigue and cognitive disturbance [17].

Pregabalin has been effectively used to manage neuropathic cancer pain. The mechanism of action is same as that of gabapentin. The use of pregabalin either reduces the need for morphine dose escalation or allows the use of lower doses, resulting in less opioid-related adverse effects, such as constipation. It also improves sleep quality [18,19]. The side effects associated are dizziness, nausea, dry mouth, somnolence and peripheral oedema [19].

Other anti-epileptics such as carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine have been used in trigeminal neuralgia but they have a limited role in neuropathic cancer pain.

Topical antineuralgics: Lidocaine, capsaicin: Lidocaine relieves pain through nonspecific blocking of sodium channels on afferent fibers. It is convenient to use as there is no systemic absorption and thereby the side effects are reduced. Lidocaine patches has shown pain relief in refractory neuropathic pain such as post thoracotomy pain, stump neuroma pain, intercostal neuralgia, diabetic polyneuropathy, meralgiaparesthetica, complex regional pain syndrome, radiculopathy, and post mastectomy pain [20,21].

Capsaicin is a naturally occurring irritant compound that binds to

*Corresponding author: Sushma Bhatnagar, Institute Rotary Cancer Hospital, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India, E-mail: sushmabhatnagar1@gmail.com

Received June 15, 2016; Accepted June 24, 2016; Published July 01, 2016

Citation: Seth S, Babbar S, Bhatnagar S (2016) Yoga and Physical Therapy: Integrating as a way of Life in Cancer Patients. J Yoga Phys Ther 6: 247. doi:10.4172/2157-7595.1000247

Copyright: © 2016 Seth S, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

neurons and reduces their response to a broad range of stimuli, i.e., leading to desensitization. This effect is utilised for the therapeutic purpose [22]. Though studies have shown its efficacy in management of neuropathic pain but caution must be followed while prescribing because literature also shows its carcinogenic attributes [22-24].

Second line medications

When the patients do not respond satisfactorily to the first line medications alone or in combination, opioid analgesics and tramadol are considered.

Tramadol is a weak opioids analgesic and it also inhibits the reuptake of noradrenaline and serotonin. Tramadol has shown significant benefit in cancer pain. Tramadol leads to less constipation and nausea than other weak opioids such as codeine.

Morphine has been shown to be an effective analgesic and is recommended as first line opioid. Studies have shown morphine, transdermal fentanyl and oral methadone to be equally effective in controlling cancer pain. The side effects associated with morphine are constipation, nausea, vomiting and confusion. Fentanyl and methadone however had reduced propensity to induce constipation [25-28].

Role of alternative therapies in managing cancer pain and other treatment related effects: As discussed the pharmacological agents used for cancer pain management are associated with a number of side effects. The intensity of side effects may vary from mild to moderate or at times might become severe to interfere with the compliance of the drugs. But complementary and alternative therapies such as yoga, physical therapy, music therapy and acupuncture can be used to improve quality of life by decreasing the adverse effects of anticancer treatments or through alleviating the symptoms of cancer [29,30].

Yoga: Texts indicate that yoga originated in India and has been practiced for approximately 4,000 years. The term yoga is derived from the Sanskrit verb *yug*, which means to bind or join. This refers to the goal of yoga, which is to unite the mind and body in a way that promotes health. The key elements of yoga include breathing exercises (pranayama), postures (asanas), and meditation (dhyana). Literature shows promising results of yoga in pain management from a biological, social and psychological perspective [31]. Yoga in cancer patients has shown positive effects such as improving the psychological well-being, reducing stress, anxiety and improving quality of life [29].

A recent randomised control trial shows positive influence of yoga on depression in breast cancer patients. The experimental group received yoga session which included a set of asanas, breathing exercises, pranayama, meditation, and yogic relaxation techniques with imagery. All the patients had undergone surgery and were receiving chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Yoga intervention decreased depressive symptoms more than the controls from their baseline. The antidepressant effects of yoga intervention could be explained by reduction in the levels of psychophysiological arousal such as decrease in sympathetic activity, balance in the autonomic nervous system responses, alterations in neuroendocrine arousal, and decrease in morning cortisol [32].

Yoga has an important role to play in palliative settings as well. As shown by Carson et al. through a pilot study on metastatic breast cancer. The study included a novel yoga based palliative intervention, the Yoga Awareness Program. The session lasted for 8 weeks and showed a decrease in pain and fatigue and a greater acceptance of the condition and relaxation. Thus the results support the role of yoga in life limiting conditions such as metastatic breast cancer [33].

In an attempt to demonstrate the efficacy of Sudarshan Kriya and Pranayama a randomised control trial was conducted by Dr. Sushma Bhatnagar (Professor and Head of Department) in the Department of Onco-anaesthesia and Palliative Medicine, at DR B.R.A IRCH in All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), New Delhi. Breast cancer patients with advanced disease were enrolled and given 18 h workshop on sudarshan kriya and pranayama. They were advised to practise the same at home daily for 20 min. This was in adjuvant to the standard pharmacological management as per the WHO analgesic ladder. The patients were assessed for pain using VAS and serum cortisol levels. The assessment was done at baseline, 3rd and 6th month. The results showed significant reduction in both the parameters as compared to the control group. Thus the study further strengthens the use of yoga in the management of neuropathic cancer pain [34] (Table 1).

Physiotherapy

Physiotherapy means a system which includes comprehensive examination, treatment, advice and instructions to any persons preparatory to or for the purpose of or in connection with movement/functional dysfunction, bodily malfunction, physical disorder, disability, healing and pain from trauma and disease, physical and mental conditions using physical agents, activities and devices including exercise, mobilization, manipulations, electrical and thermal agents and other electro therapeutics for prevention, screening, diagnosis, treatment, health promotion and fitness [35].

Pain and deconditioning accounts for nearly 90% of the functional disabilities in a palliative care setup and if utilised physiotherapy can benefit 50% of the patients. Physiotherapy in cancer patients is aimed at achieving symptom control, maximizing remaining functional abilities, providing education to care givers and contributing to interdisciplinary team communication. The various physical therapy agents used in achieving these goals are exercise therapy, massage, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) and scrambler therapy [36].

Literature shows the efficacy of exercise in reducing fatigue, improving cardiovascular fitness, quality of life and physical function in patients with breast cancer, lung cancer, Hodgkin's disease and prostate cancer [37-39]. In an attempt to demonstrate the efficacy of exercises in improving the immune status in cancer, Hutnick et al. enrolled breast cancer patients post chemotherapy and radiotherapy for an exercise regime. The exercises were continued for 6 months and consisted of resistance training, aerobic exercise, stretching exercise, upper and lower body training. These patients showed improvements in fitness as well as immunological status as depicted by greater proliferation of CD4, CD69 and T-helper cells. These findings are clinically significant as patients post chemotherapy and radiotherapy often gets secondary infections. So a moderate level of exercise regime can be effective in boosting immunity [40].

Similar results were observed by Waart et al., where they utilised exercises to minimise the decline in cardiorespiratory fitness, muscle strength and fatigue while undergoing chemotherapy. There was a decrease in chemotherapy associated side effects such as pain, nausea and vomiting. All these factors helped in improving the chemotherapy completion rates. Patients in the exercise group were more likely to return to work after a 6 month follow up, thereby enhancing the quality of life as well [41].

The reduction in the functional capacity and activity level is also seen in patients post operatively. Studies have shown beneficial effects of exercise post operatively. Literature suggests that patients post lung

| S. no | Author, year | Type of study | Diagnosis of patients | No. of patients | Type of intervention | Results |
|-------|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | Mohan and Rao et al. (2015) [32] | Randomized control trail | Stage II and III breast Cancer patients post-operative undergoing radiotherapy/chemotherapy | N=98 | A 24 weeks yoga intervention consisted of a set of asanas, breathing exercises, pranayama, meditation, and yogic relaxation techniques with imagery | Yoga intervention decreased depressive symptoms more than the controls from their baseline means by 42% following surgery, 28.1 and 28.5% during and following RT, respectively, and 39.5 and 29.2% during and following CT, respectively. |
| 2 | Carson et al. (2007) [33] | Pilot study | Metastatic breast cancer | N=13 | 8 weekly group sessions of yoga that consisted of asanas, breathing exercise, meditation, study of pertinent topics and group discussions | The intervention was significant increase in boosting daily invigoration and acceptance. There were improvements in pain and relaxation |
| 3. | Kumar and Bhatnagar et al. (2013) [34] | Randomized control trial | Breast cancer stage IIb, III, IV post treatment and in follow up for pain management | N=147 | Cognitive and behavioral stress management module of Sudarshan Kriya and pranayam | There was significant reduction in blood cortisol levels and pain perception levels in patients after sudarshan kriya and pranayama. |
| 4 | Hutnik et al. (2005) [40] | Comparative study design | Breast cancer patients | N=49 | 6 month exercise program consisting of resistance training and aerobic activity at 60-75% of functional capacity for three times in a week with personal trainer | Exercising group showed greater increase in maximal oxygen uptake and upper body strength. There was a greater percentage of CD4+CD69+ cells and greater levels of titrated thymidine incorporation in exercising group. |
| 5 | Wart et al. (2015) [41] | Randomized control trial | Primary breast or colon cancer patients | N=230 | Moderate to high intensity combined resistance and aerobic training under supervision of the physical therapist for | Exercise group showed fewer declines in cardiorespiratory fitness, better physical functioning, less nausea and vomiting and less pain. Also the exercise group returned earlier to work than control group. |
| 6 | Granger et al. (2012) [42] | A pilot randomized control trial | Lung cancer patients | N=15 | Exercise consisted of aerobic, resistance and stretching training. The exercise session was given twice daily until discharge and then twice weekly for 8 weeks on outpatient basis | Exercises were found to be safe and feasible. The exercise group had greater improvements in 6 min walk test and health related quality of life post operatively |
| 7 | Haren et al. (2013) [42] | Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized control trial. | Cancer patients undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) | 11 studies were included and the total number of patients =734 | Exercise sessions consisted of endurance, resistance, progressive relaxation and relaxation training. Exercises were performed before, during and after hospitalization for HSCT | Quality of life, psychological well-being, distress and fatigue were assessed. Exercises led to better quality of life and less fatigue |
| 8 | Loh et al. (2015) [43] | Retrospective cohort study | Cancer patients including breast, sarcoma, lymphoma, lung, renal and cervical, etc. | N=87 | High frequency TENS was applied for 30 min to 1 h 4-6 times in a day by the patients at home for 2 months | Patients were assessed on visual analog scale (VAS), numerical rating pain scale (NRP) and short form McGill questionnaire. The results showed decrease in VAS and NRP scores |
| 9 | Fiorelli et al. (2012) [44] | Randomized control trial | Lung cancer patients undergoing thoracotomy for lung resection. | N=50 | TENS therapy was given at a frequency of 80 pulses/s. It was applied after every 4 h for 30 min in the first 48 post-operative h. Then TENS was applied twice daily for 5 post-operative days | Serum cytokines levels, pain, respiratory function and intake of narcotic medication were assessed. Serum IL-6, IL-10, VAS scores were significantly lower in TENS group. Recovery of FEV1 was better and morphine requirement were lower in TENS group. |
| 10 | Searle et al. (2009) [45] | Case study | Metastatic lung cancer with bone pain. | N=1 | TENS therapy was applied at 80Hz for 1 h. Patient then continued TENS at home and was reviewed after 48 h | Visual analog scale, numeric rating scale and verbal rating scale were assessed both at rest and with movement. Short McGill questionnaire was also use at the end of treatment. VAS score reduced from 4 at rest and 7 on movements to 1 at rest and 2 on movements. McGill pain reduced from 8 at base to 1 after treatment. |
| 11 | Tilak et al. (2015) [47] | Randomized control trial | Phantom limb pain | N=26 | Group 1 received mirror therapy which lasted for 20 min daily for 4 days. Group 2 received TENS therapy which was given using Burst TENS for 20 min daily for 4 days. | Visual analog scale (VAS) and universal pain score (UPS) were used for assessment. Significant reduction in pain levels was seen within both the groups but there was no difference between the two groups. |
| 12 | Mulvey et al. (2012) [48] | Single center pilot study | Patients with trans tibial amputation persistent moderate to severe pain. | N=10 | TENS therapy was given using conventional TENS at a strong but comfortable sensation for 60 min. | Numeric rating scale (NRS) was used to assess pain intensity. A telephone follow up after 48 h of treatment was done to know of any adverse events. Significant reduction in NRS scores from 2.6 at rest and 5.7 on movement to 0.8 at rest and 1.8 on movement after treatment. |
| 13 | Darnall (2009) [50] | Case study | Left above knee amputation with phantom pain. | N=1 | Self-delivered mirror therapy for 20 min daily for 3 months. | VAS score reduced from 4 to 0 after 3 months of mirror therapy |

| | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| 14 | Foell et al. (2013) [51] | Prospective interventional study | Chronic phantom limb pain after unilateral arm amputation | N=13 | Mirror therapy was given for 4 weeks. | Phantom limb pain was measured using VAS. an average decrease of 27% was seen after 4 weeks of mirror therapy. |
| 15 | Brenda et al. (2007) [52] | Randomized control trial | Phantom limb pain after amputation of limb or foot | N=22 | Mirror therapy was given for 4 weeks. | Pain decreased from 30 mm to 5 mm on VAS after 4 weeks of treatment. |
| 16 | Marineo et al. (2012) [53] | A pilot randomized controlled trial | Chronic neuropathic pain on a VAS score of more than 6 with unsatisfactory pain management. | N= 52 | Scrambler therapy was given daily for 45 min for 5 days in a week for 2 weeks. Patients were followed up monthly for 3 months. | Pain reduced from 8 to 0.7 at 1 month and to 1.4 and 2 at 2 nd and 3 rd month. No adverse effects were observed. |
| 17 | Marianna et al. (2012) [54] | Prospective interventional study | Cancer and non-cancer pain with unsatisfactory pain management. | N=73 Cancer patients (40) and non-cancer patients (33) | Scrambler therapy was given daily for 30 min for 5 days in a week for 2 weeks. A 2 weeks follow up was done at the end of treatment. | Pain was assessed using VAS scores. The VAS score reduced from 6.2 to 1.6 at the end of 2 nd week and 2.9 at the end of 4th week. |
| 18 | Pachman et al. (2015) [55] | Pilot study | Patients with chemotherapy induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) | N= 37 | Scrambler therapy was given daily for 10 sessions for 30 min each. A follow up of 10 weeks was done after end of therapy | Pain, tingling and numbness were assessed at the baseline, at the end of therapy and after 10 weeks of follow up. The mean pain intensity reduced from 5.7 to 2.6, tingling sensation reduced from a mean of 6 to 3.3 and |
| 19 | Coyne et al. (2013) [56] | Single arm clinical trial | Patients with CIPN | N=39 | Scrambler therapy was given for 45 min daily for 5 days for 2 weeks. Followed up to 3 months. | Pain was assessed using NRS scale. Secondary measures were Brief Pain Inventory and European Organization for Treatment and Cancer QLC-CIPN-20. Pain scores reduced from 6.6 before treatment to 4.5 at 14 th day and 4.6 at 3 rd month. |

Table 1: Summary of studies.

resection due to malignancy can benefit from resistance training, aerobic exercise and stretching exercise. These exercises also help in reducing post-operative pain and fatigue [39].

Exercises have also been used to benefit patients undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Haren et al. concluded through a systematic review of trials that exercises are safe and feasible in these patients. The exercises that were included were aerobic exercise, resistance training stretching exercises, progressive relaxation training and activities of daily living training. Positive outcomes were observed on the quality of life, muscle strength, physical functioning and fatigue [42].

Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) can be used to relieve cancer pain. TENS works on the principle of pain gate mechanism. This modality provides electrical impulses that stimulate A-beta nerve fibers. These fibers further inhibit transmission via A-delta and C- fibers and thereby it reduces pain. Loh et al. demonstrated the efficacy of TENS in cancer patients. TENS when used daily over a period of 2 months showed beneficial effects. TENS can be used as an adjunct to pharmacological agents and help in reducing their associated side effects [43]. Once the pain is reduced patients' functional capacity also increases.

Fiorelli et al utilised TENS therapy in lung cancer who have undergone lung resection via postero-lateral thoracotomy. The results show that in comparison to control group those receiving TENS have greater reduction in pain and further reduced consumption of analgesics. With the reduction in pain patients demonstrated an increase in the pulmonary ventilation parameters. Thus the results support the use of TENS therapy to reduce pain and improve functional status of the patients [44].

Searle et al have made an attempt to highlight the efficacy of TENS by means of a case study. They reported the use of TENS in a 63 year old female with metastatic lung cancer. The female presented with upper extremity arm pain due to bony deposits in the proximal

humerus. She had received radiotherapy for the same. The analgesics requirement were: modified release morphine sulphate 1200 mg daily, ketamine 400 mg daily, gabapentin 1800 mg daily and oral morphine for breakthrough pain. TENS was applied for 60 min and the visual analog score (VAS) reduced from 4 at rest and 7 with movement to 1 at rest and 2 with movement. The results therefore provide promising evidence for the use of TENS in cancer bone pain [45].

Phantom limb pain is prevalent in cancer patients post amputation. In addition to the pharmacological management other alternatives such as TENS and mirror therapy can be utilised to reduce this type of pain. TENS has been shown to be an effective modality to reduce phantom limb pain [46]. Tilak et al. conducted a trial to compare the efficacy of mirror therapy and TENS. Their results showed no significant difference between the two groups. However both the groups showed significant improvements from the baseline VAS score. Thus they demonstrated both the therapies to be equally effective [47]. Similar findings were observed by Mulvey et al. in their pilot study, where they used TENS for phantom limb pain in trans-tibial amputation patients. There was significant reduction in pain scores post TENS application [48].

In the mirror therapy the patients exercise in front of the mirror in such a manner that the reflection of the intact limb appears to be the missing limb. This information tricks the brain to interpret it as coming from the amputated part and helps in reducing the phantom pain [49]. This therapy does not require very expensive equipment, it can be taught to the patient to be practised at home. Thus this therapy can give a low cost treatment option [50-52].

A novel approach for management of neuropathic pain is scrambler therapy. This therapy works on the principle of mixing or "scrambling" non-painful stimuli with pain information and thereby reducing pain intensity. It consists of a multiprocessor with five channels or set of electrodes that are placed on the skin beyond the painful site. The therapy is usually given for 30-45 min for 10 consecutive days.

Literature provides evidence for its use in neuropathic cancer pain and chronic chemotherapy induced peripheral neuropathy along with pharmacological agents [53-56].

Conclusion

Pain in cancer patients is difficult to manage because of many associated complexities such as physical co-morbidities, psychological symptoms, treatment and drugs related side effects, functional decline, financial burden and spiritual distress. When addressing pain issues all the aforesaid factors have to be kept in mind. Therefore a holistic approach involving both pharmacological and non-pharmacological agents should be followed for a successful pain control.

References

1. Merskey H, Bogduk N (1994) Classification of chronic pain: Description of chronic pain syndromes and definitions of pain terms. 2nd ed. Seattle: IASP Press.
2. Portenoy RK, Lesage P (1999) Management of cancer pain. *Lancet* 353: 1695-1700.
3. Roberto A, Deandrea S, Greco MT, Corli O, Negri E, et al. (2016) Prevalence of neuropathic pain in cancer patients: Pooled estimates from a systematic review of published literature and results from a survey conducted in 50 Italian palliative care centers. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 51: 1091-1102.
4. Fallon MT (2013) Neuropathic pain in cancer. *Br J Anaesth* 111: 105-111.
5. Ventafridda V, Tamburini M, Caraceni A, De Conno F, Naldi F (1987) A validation study of the WHO method for cancer pain relief. *Cancer* 59: 850-856.
6. Rayment C, Hjermstad MJ, Aass N, Kaasa S, Caraceni A, et al. (2013) Neuropathic cancer pain: Prevalence, severity, analgesics and impact from the European Palliative Care Research Collaborative-Computerised Symptom Assessment study. *Palliat Med* 27: 714-721.
7. Dworkin RH, O'Connor AB, Backonja M, Farrar JT, Finnerup NB, et al. (2007) Pharmacologic management of neuropathic pain: Evidence-based recommendations. *Pain* 132: 237-251.
8. Jones RC 3rd, Lawson E, Backonja M (2016) Managing neuropathic pain. *Med Clin North Am* 100: 151-167.
9. Kalso E, Tasmuth T, Neuvonen PJ (1996) Amitriptyline effectively relieves neuropathic pain following treatment of breast cancer. *Pain* 64: 293-302.
10. Wilson K, Mottram P (2004) A comparison of side effects of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and tricyclic antidepressants in older depressed patients: A meta-analysis. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 19: 754-762.
11. Cohen HW, Gibson G, Alderman MH (2000) Excess risk of myocardial infarction in patients treated with antidepressant medications: Association with use of tricyclic agents. *Am J Med* 109: 68.
12. Amr YM, Yousef AA (2010) Evaluation of efficacy of the perioperative administration of Venlafaxine or gabapentin on acute and chronic post-mastectomy pain. *Clin J Pain* 26: 381-385.
13. Kajdasz DK, Iyengar S, Desai D, Backonja MM, Farrar JT, et al. (2007) Duloxetine for the management of diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain: evidence-based findings from post hoc analysis of three multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group studies. *Clin Ther* 29 Suppl: 2536-2546.
14. Loprinzi CL, Kugler JW, Sloan JA, Mailliard JA, LaVasseur BI, et al. (2000) Venlafaxine in management of hot flashes in survivors of breast cancer: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 356: 2059-2063.
15. Hershman DL, Lacchetti C, Dworkin RH, Lavoie Smith EM, Bleeker J, et al. (2014) Prevention and management of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in survivors of adult cancers: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Oncol* 32: 1941-1967.
16. Wernicke JF, Pritchett YL, D'Souza DN, Waninger A, Tran P, et al. (2006) A randomized controlled trial of duloxetine in diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain. *Neurology* 67: 1411-1420.
17. Sanderson C, Quinn SJ, Agar M, Chye R, Clark K, et al. (2015) Pharmacovigilance in hospice/palliative care: Net effect of gabapentin for neuropathic pain. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* 5: 273-280.
18. Dou Z, Jiang Z, Zhong J (2014) Efficacy and safety of pregabalin in patients with neuropathic cancer pain undergoing morphine therapy. *Asia Pac J Clin Oncol*.
19. Mishra S, Bhatnagar S, Goyal GN, Rana SP, Upadhyay SP (2012) A comparative efficacy of amitriptyline, gabapentin, and pregabalin in neuropathic cancer pain: A prospective randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care* 29: 177-182.
20. Devers A, Galer BS (2000) Topical lidocaine patch relieves a variety of neuropathic pain conditions: An open-label study. *Clin J Pain* 16: 205-208.
21. Meier T, Wasner G, Faust M, Kuntzer T, Ochsner F, et al. (2003) Efficacy of lidocaine patch 5% in the treatment of focal peripheral neuropathic pain syndromes: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Pain* 106: 151-158.
22. Bode AM, Dong Z (2011) The two faces of capsaicin. *Cancer Res* 71: 2809-2814.
23. Epstein JB, Marcocoe JH (1994) Topical application of capsaicin for treatment of oral neuropathic pain and trigeminal neuralgia. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 77: 135-140.
24. McCleane G (2000) Topical application of doxepin hydrochloride, capsaicin and a combination of both produces analgesia in chronic human neuropathic pain: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 49: 574-579.
25. Mercadante S, Porzio G, Ferrera P, Fulfaro F, Aielli F, et al. (2008) Sustained-release oral morphine versus transdermal fentanyl and oral methadone in cancer pain management. *Eur J Pain* 12: 1040-1046.
26. De Conno F, Ripamonti C, Fagnoni E, Brunelli C, Luzzani M, et al. (2008) The MERITO study: A multicentre trial of the analgesic effect and tolerability of normal-release oral morphine during 'titration phase' in patients with cancer pain. *Palliat Med* 22: 214-221.
27. Baaklini LG, Arruda GV, Sakata RK (2015) Assessment of the analgesic effect of magnesium and morphine in combination in patients with cancer pain: A comparative randomized double-blind study. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care*: 1-5.
28. Bruera E, Palmer JL, Bosnjak S, Rico MA, Moyano J, et al. (2004) Methadone versus morphine as a first-line strong opioid for cancer pain: a randomized, double-blind study. *J Clin Oncol* 22: 185-192.
29. Lin KY, Hu YT, Chang KJ, Lin HF, Tsauo JY (2011) Effects of yoga on psychological health, quality of life, and physical health of patients with cancer: A meta-analysis. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med* 2011: 659876.
30. Cassileth B, Trevisan C, Gubili J (2007) Complementary therapies for cancer pain. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 11: 265-269.
31. Wren AA, Wright MA, Carson JW, Keefe FJ (2011) Yoga for persistent pain: New findings and directions for an ancient practice. *Pain* 152: 477-480.
32. Rao RM, Raghuram N, Nagendra HR, Usharani MR, Gopinath KS, et al. (2015) Effects of an integrated yoga program on self-reported depression scores in breast cancer patients undergoing conventional treatment: A randomized controlled trial. *Indian J Palliat Care* 21: 174-181.
33. Carson JW, Carson KM, Porter LS, Keefe FJ, Shaw H, et al. (2007) Yoga for women with metastatic breast cancer: Results from a pilot study. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 33: 331-341.
34. Kumar N, Bhatnagar S, Velpandian T, Patnaik S, Menon G, et al. (2013) Randomized controlled trial in Advance stage breast cancer patients for the effectiveness on stress marker and pain through sudarshan kriya and pranayam. *Indian J Palliat Care* 19: 180-185.
35. Model Curriculum Handbook Physiotherapy. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare 2015-2016: 28.
36. Montagnini M, Lodhi M, Born W (2003) The utilization of physical therapy in a palliative care unit. *J Palliat Med* 6: 11-17.
37. McNeely ML, Campbell KL, Rowe BH, Klassen TP, Mackey JR, et al. (2006) Effects of exercise on breast cancer patients and survivors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *CMAJ* 175: 34 - 41.

38. Watson T, Mock V (2004) Exercise as an intervention for cancer-related fatigue. *PhysTher* 84:736-743.
39. Granger CL, Chao C, McDonald CF, Berney S, Denehy L (2013) Safety and feasibility of an exercise intervention for patients following lung resection: A pilot randomized controlled trial. *Integr Cancer Ther* 12: 213-224.
40. Hutnick NA, Williams NI, Kraemer WJ, Orsega-Smith E, Dixon RH, et al. (2005) Exercise and lymphocyte activation following chemotherapy for breast cancer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 37: 1827-1835.
41. van Waart H, Stuiver MM, van Harten WH, Geleijn E, Kieffer JM, et al. (2015) Effects of low-intensity physical activity and moderate to high intensity physical exercise during adjuvant chemotherapy on physical fitness, fatigue, and chemotherapy completion rates: Results of the PACES randomized clinical trial. *J Clin Oncol* 33: 1918-1927.
42. van Haren IE, Timmerman H, Potting CM, Blijlevens NM, Staal JB, et al. (2013) Physical exercise for patients undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: Systematic review and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials. *Phys Ther* 93: 514-528.
43. Loh J, Gulati A (2015) The use of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) in a major cancer center for the treatment of severe cancer-related pain and associated disability. *Pain Med* 16: 1204-1210.
44. Fiorelli A, Morgillo F, Milione R, Pace MC, Passavanti MB, et al. (2012) Control of post-thoracotomy pain by transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation: Effect on serum cytokine levels, visual analogue scale, pulmonary function and medication. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 41: 861-868.
45. Searle RD, Bennett MI, Johnson MI, Callin S, Radford H (2009) Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for cancer bone pain. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 37: 424-428.
46. Cassileth B, Trevisan C, Gubili J (2007) Complementary therapies for cancer pain. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 11: 265-269.
47. Tilak M, Isaac SA, Fletcher J, Vasanthan LT, Subbaiah RS, et al. (2016) Mirror therapy and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for management of phantom limb pain in amputees - A single blinded randomized controlled trial. *Physiother Res Int* 21: 109-115.
48. Mulvey MR, Radford HE, Fawcner HJ, Hirst L, Neumann V, et al. (2013) Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for phantom pain and stump pain in adult amputees. *Pain Pract* 13: 289-296.
49. Cassileth BR, Keefe FJ (2010) Integrative and behavioral approaches to the treatment of cancer-related neuropathic pain. *Oncologist* 15 Suppl 2: 19-23.
50. Darnall BD (2009) Self-delivered home-based mirror therapy for lower limb phantom pain. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 88: 78-81.
51. Foell J, Bekrater-Bodmann R, Diers M, Flor H (2014) Mirror therapy for phantom limb pain: Brain changes and the role of body representation. *Eur J Pain* 18: 729-739.
52. Chan BL, Witt R, Charrow AP, Magee A, Howard R, et al. (2007) Mirror therapy for phantom limb pain. *N Engl J Med* 357: 2206-2207.
53. Marineo G, Iorno V, Gandini C, Moschini V, Smith TJ (2012) Scrambler therapy may relieve chronic neuropathic pain more effectively than guideline-based drug management: Results of a pilot, randomized, controlled trial. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 43: 87-95.
54. Ricci M, Pirotti S, Scarpi E, Burgio M, Maltoni M, et al. (2012) Managing chronic pain: Results from an open-label study using MC5-A Calmare® device. *Support Care Cancer* 20: 405-412.
55. Pachman DR, Weisbrod BL, Seisler DK, Barton DL, Fee-Schroeder KC, et al. (2015) Pilot evaluation of scrambler therapy for the treatment of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. *Support Care Cancer* 23: 943-951.
56. Coyne PJ, Wan W, Dodson P, Swainey C, Smith TJ (2013) A trial of Scrambler therapy in the treatment of cancer pain syndromes and chronic chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. *J Pain Palliat Care Pharmacother* 27: 359-364.

Citation: Fatehi D, van Rhooen GC (2016) Thermoradiochemotherapy: Trimodality Cancer Treatment. J Yoga Phys Ther 6: 246. doi:10.4172/2157-7595.1000246

OMICS International: Publication Benefits & Features

Unique features:

- Increased global visibility of articles through worldwide distribution and indexing
- Showcasing recent research output in a timely and updated manner
- Special issues on the current trends of scientific research

Special features:

- 700+ Open Access Journals
- 50,000+ editorial team
- Rapid review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
- Indexing at major indexing services
- Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled
- Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Better discount for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: <http://www.omicsonline.org/submission/>