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Abstract

Dying process is too often needlessly protracted by these medical technology is consequently marked by incapacitations, intolerable pain, and indignity. Physician assisted suicide defines as knowingly and intentionally providing a person with the knowledge or means or both required to commit suicide, including counseling about lethal doses of drugs, prescribing such lethal doses or supplying the drugs. Therefore, the aim of this paper is to create an argumentative essay to argue the use of physician assisted suicide for terminally ill patients as a last resort to end their suffer and end their life, while taking the legal and ethical points of view of opponents and proponents into consideration.
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Introduction

The recent advance of the life-saving interventions and mechanical devices, that result from the medical technology has prolong dying process, which raise need to end of life care [1]. Dying process is too often needlessly protracted by these medical technology is consequently marked by incapacitations, intolerable pain, and indignity [2]. Terminally ill patient commonly experience unremitting and intolerable pain despite optimal pain management [3]. In these situations, physician assisted suicide is sometime applied, which is controversial legally and ethically issue [4]. Physician assisted suicide used interchangeably with physician assisted death and assisted suicide, is suicide undertaken with the aid of another person, sometime a physician (American Heritage Dictionary Entry). Which means knowingly and intentionally providing a terminally ill patient with the knowledge or means or both required to commit suicide, which also usually include counseling about lethal doses of certain drugs either by prescribing, supplying such lethal drugs or both [5]. Among countries that permit physician assisted suicide, person who has been diagnosed as terminally ill and who physically suffer from unresolved symptoms with life expectancy of 6 months or less can request from the physician to prescribe and/ or supply a lethal dose to self-administered to end his/her life [6].

The main causes to request physician assisted suicide among terminally ill patients according to cohort study conducted in Switzerland, were 56.7% cancer, nervous system causes 20.6%, and mood disorder (mental or behavioral disorder) 2.8%, among terminally ill patient who aged (2564 years), compared with terminally ill patients who aged (65-94 years) cancer 40.8%, circulatory 15.2%, and nervous system causes 11.3% [7]. The Hippocratic Oath includes the unambiguous statement "I will not give a lethal drug to anyone if I am asked, nor will I advise such a plan" [8]. An argumentative essay is a writing method, challenging communication task that calls upon sophisticated cognitive and linguistic abilities, where the writer takes a position and tries to convince the reader to perform an action or to adopt a point of view regarding a controversial issue [9]. Therefore, the aim of this paper is to create an argumentative essay to argue the use of physician assisted suicide for terminally ill patients as a last resort to end their suffer and end their life, while taking the legal and ethical points of view of opponents and proponents into consideration.

Physician assisted suicide defines as "knowingly and intentionally providing a person with the knowledge or means or both required to commit suicide, including counseling about lethal doses of drugs, prescribing such lethal doses or supplying the drugs" [10]. Physician assisted suicide is considered the most controversial end of life practice according to its ethical acceptability and the desirability of legalization [11]. Netherlands's physicians have applied physician assisted suicide since 1977, then it has done legally since 1992 [12]. Also, Oregon legalized physician assisted suicide in 1997 [13]. According to Oregon's Death with Dignity Act, the patient who request physician assisted suicide should be; an adult with 18 years old or more, capable to make and communicate the health decision, diagnosed with terminal illness that will lead to death within six months, and an Oregon resident [14]. The purpose of this literature review is to discuss the opponent's and proponent's points of view related to physician assisted suicide, from the legal and ethical sides.

Legal Arguments

Opponents

Physician assisted suicide is illegal in china under Article 232 "whoever intentionally commits homicide shall be sentenced to death, life imprisonment or fixed term imprisonment of not less than 10 years; if the circumstances are relatively minor, he shall be sentenced to fixed-term imprisonment of not less than 3 years but not more than 10 years", and Article 233 "whoever negligently causes death to another person shall be sentenced to fixed-term imprisonment of not less than 3 years but not more than 7 years; if the circumstances are relatively minor, he shall be sentenced to fixed-term imprisonment of not more than 3 years, except as otherwise specifically provided in this Law" of the Criminal Law of People's Republic of China [15].
In Turkey, physician assisted suicide is illegal under Article 84 any person who commits, helps, supports, or encourages a person for suicide is punished with imprisonment from 2-5 years [16]. In United Kingdom, assisted suicide is also illegal under Suicide Act 1961 [17]. In Jordan, physician assisted suicide is not of the physician duties according to article number 3 "it is not permissible to terminate the life of a patient who is suffering from incurable and insurmountable disease and whether accompanied by pain, either directly or indirectly, except brain death, according to the scientific conditions approved by the Medical Association" [18].

Proponents

Physician assisted suicide has been legalized in Switzerland since 1942 and is accessible for noncitizens, and according to swizz law assisting suicide is punishable just if done for “whoever, from selfish motives, induces another to commit suicide or assists him therein shall be punished, if the suicide was successful or attempted, by confinement in a penitentiary for not more than five years or by imprisonment” article 115 of the penal code of Switzerland [19]. In 1994 voters in Oregon approved the Death with Dignity Act, which allowing a physician to prescribe a lethal dose that self-administers voluntarily by the terminally ill patient, the patient and the physician who follow the requirements of the act are protected from criminal prosecution [20].

In 2008, Washington Death with Dignity Act passed with the same to Oregon law [21]. Also in 2013, Vermont Patient Choice and Control at the End of Life Act has been in effect [22,23]. In 2016, California’s physician assisted dying law took effect according to the End of Life Option Act [24]. Also, in Finland, is not a crime and connected to end of life care [24].

Ethical Arguments

Opponents

The ethical principles of beneficence (working to achieve the patient's best interest), nonmaleficence (avoidance of harm), patient autonomy respect, as well as promotion of justice and fairness (American College of Physicians Ethics, Professionalism, and Human Rights Committee, 2013). Both of the profession and ethical traditions emphasize care and comfort, and physician shouldn't intentionally participate in ending a person's life [25].

Proponents

Some patients may get benefit from physician assisted suicide, and for dying patients, the motivation for requesting physician assisted suicide may not be physical pointless suffering but loss of autonomy (97.2%), in ability to engage in enjoyable activities (88.9%), loss of dignity (75%) [26]. Dying process could be beneficial in order to spiritual and existential healing through relational and personal wholeness growth, also individual learning process for the patients, their families, and those who caring for them [27].

Argumentative Statement

Physician assisted suicide is inconsistent with some of ethical principles such as nonmaleficence. Similarly, in laws of many countries physician assisted suicide is illegal. Therefore, the author refutes the use of physician assisted suicide for terminally ill patients in order to end their suffering and end their life, and use palliative care instead it.

Legal Defense

According to the position of the American Medical Association physician assisted suicide is fundamentally inconsistent with the physician's professional role, their position consistent to the medical constitution and duties of the physician and profession in Jordan. Physician assisted suicide for terminally ill patients is illegal in many countries (Figure 1). In Oregon, the incidence of physician assisted suicide has been increased from 0.6 in 1000 deaths to 3 in 1000 deaths in 1998 and 2014 respectively [28].

![Figure 1: Euthanasia and Physician Assisted suicide (PAS) around the World.](image-url)
Ethical defense

In the last revised of The International Code of Medical Ethics in the section which under the name of duties of physicians to patients states that "A physician shall always bear in mind the obligation to respect human life" [29]. Also, patient autonomy must be respect from physician but also must be balanced with other ethical principles [30]. From the religious perspectives, physician assisted suicide is morally wrong as it showed clearly in the Holy Quran in Surah 5-ayah 32 (AlMaeda) “That was why We wrote for the Children of Israel that whoever killed a soul, except for a soul slain, or for sedition in the earth, it should be considered as though he had killed all mankind; and that whoever saved it should be regarded as though he had saved all mankind. Our Messengers brought those proofs, then many of them thereafter commit excesses in the earth by the Catechism of the Catholic Church, "God is the creator and author of all life."

Physician assisted suicide is associated with female gender with situations indicate emotional vulnerability such as living alone, and being divorced [7]. Which may explain the large increase in physician assisted suicide incidence of 3 in 1000 deaths to 11 in 1000 deaths found in 2001 and 2013 respectively [31]. Furthermore, terminally ill patients with depression in Oregon have obtained prescriptions for lethal medication [32]. Also physician assisted suicide has been associated with 6.3% increase in the total suicide rates in the US [33]. All these information may indicate malpractice related to physician assisted suicide. Palliative care is intended to improve the quality of life of patients diagnosed with an incurable life-limiting illness and their families, that offered by a multidisciplinary team [34]. Palliative care is available in many countries around the world, especially those that legalized the use of physician assisted suicide (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Palliative care world map.

According to a study conducted in US in 2015 about palliative care and use it among terminally ill patients, they found that 90% of US people don't know about palliative care, after told the definition, more than 90% say they would request it for themselves and for their family members in such cases [35].

Therefore, the author composed the following recommendations related to use of palliative care for terminally ill patients instead of physician assisted suicide:

- Palliative care is a crucial medical option for treating symptoms among terminally ill patients, which also could extends to their families.
- Improve and develop palliative care programs and target vulnerable patients or groups (uninsured, the poor and elderly people).
- Adequate services related to palliative care must be made available in all setting where terminally ill patients received care.
- Respect competent patient's right to accept or reject any medical care.
- Investment to improve and develop palliative care and end-of-life care through research and training.
- Conducting courses and seminars to educate patients’ families about possible options which available in palliative care, in order to increase public awareness about palliative care options.
In addition, palliative care can address a broad range of issues, integrating an individual’s and family’s specific needs such as; emotions which accompanies the disease journey, spiritual needs, and practical needs (advance directive).

Summary and Conclusion

The purpose of this paper was to create an argumentative essay to refute the use of physician assisted suicide for terminally ill patients as a last resort to end their suffer and end their life, while taking the legal and ethical points of view of opponents and proponents into consideration, and use palliative care instead it [36-38].

Physician assisted suicide for terminally ill patients is a controversial issue, with a lot of ethical, legal, and moral concerns. In the light of material covered above, we against the use of physician assisted suicide to end patients suffering, instead of that palliative care can provide wide range of care for those patients as well as their families. So that there are need to improve and develop palliative care programs, also increase organizational and societal awareness about palliative care options for terminally ill patients.
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