Swallowing Muscle Dysfunction and Residual Factors of Dysphagia with Community-Acquired Pneumonia in the Elderly
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Abstract

Objectives: This study aimed to elucidate the characteristics of swallowing function, including swallowing muscle activity, and the residual factors of dysphagia in elderly patients with community-acquired pneumonia (CAP).

Study design: A total of 163 subjects were included in the study. The subjects were classified into an oral intake independent group (severe aspiration), which comprises subjects who have a total fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing (FEES) score of seven or more, and an oral intake restriction group (no aspiration or mild aspiration), which comprises subjects who have a total FEES score of less than seven. These two groups were compared, and the residual factors of dysphagia were analyzed.

Results: Significant differences between the two groups were found in the repetitive saliva swallowing test, geriatric nutritional risk index, pneumonia severity, swallowing muscle activity, and respiratory rate fasting period. Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that the duration of swallowing muscle activity (OR 15.7, 95% CI 1.29 to 19.66; P=0.031) and respiratory rate (OR 1.22, 95% CI 1.03 to 1.58; P=0.026) were the residual factors of dysphagia in elderly people with CAP.

Conclusions: This study highlighted the importance of an early approach that focuses on swallowing function and respiratory rate in the rehabilitation of elderly people with dysphagia and CAP. The results for the residual factors of dysphagia provide new evidence in the field of rehabilitation and will help reduce hospitalization and readmission for pneumonia.
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Introduction

The incidence of pneumonia in the United States increases with age [1], and pneumonia is the third leading cause of death in Japan [2]. The rates of treatment for pneumonia and the incidence of the disease both increase steeply with age. Therefore, with the aging of the population, the increase in the number of patients hospitalized for pneumonia is expected to continue [3].

Research articles that are related to geriatric pneumonia cover a wide range of topics. Studies from various countries report that 6.1% to 53.2% of patients with community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) suffer from aspiration pneumonia (AP) [4]. In Japan, AP and CAP with aspiration reportedly accounted for 60.1% of inpatient CAP cases in a number of joint research facilities [5]. A total of 86.7% of patients aged 70 years or older with CAP or nosocomial pneumonia have a suspect for aspiration. These findings suggest that the presence of dysphagia or aspiration in elderly patients with CAP is an important risk factor for pneumonia. In fact, dysphagia and aspiration have been reported as the most frequent causes of CAP [6]. Elderly people develop AP upon the microaspiration of oral contents due to impaired swallowing function [7]. Furthermore, the loss of swallowing-respiratory regulation [8], as well as fasting during pneumonia treatment, has been shown to further worsen dysphagia [9]. Elderly people are prone to aspiration due to dysphagia, and pneumonia is likely to develop in them because their diminished cough reflex makes it difficult to cough up aspirated material. This situation has a large effect on prognosis [10], and dysphagia complications in elderly patients with CAP are serious social concerns. Videofluoroscopic swallowing study and fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing (FEES) are considered the standard methods for the diagnostic examination of swallowing function. However, these methods are invasive and are not always available because of the lack of facilities or personnel. The repetitive saliva swallowing test (RSSST) [11,12] and the modified water swallow test [13] are simple and convenient screening tests for aspiration. In rehabilitation medicine, evaluation and treatment strategies for swallowing function based on muscle activity data have recently received attention for the implementation of dysphagia-targeted approaches [14,15]. However, there is currently no leading research on swallowing function or related factors, including swallowing muscle activity, in elderly people with CAP.

This study aimed to elucidate the characteristics of swallowing function, including swallowing muscle activity, and the residual factors of dysphagia in elderly patients with CAP.

Methods

Participants

This study was a case control study conducted on CAP patients who were 65 years of age or older and who were hospitalized in General Hospital between April 2014 and March 2017. CAP was defined as pneumonia according to the criteria of the American Thoracic Society/...
Infectious Diseases Society of America [16]. The exclusion criteria included the following: a history of central nervous system disorder, neck deformity, or cognitive decline (>23 points on the Mini-Mental State Examination) or lung disease such as interstitial pneumonia or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. For each observation and test item, data collection was performed at the start of oral intake by CAP patients (they started direct therapy using jerry within approximately seven days after hospitalization). To mitigate the risk of aspiration, oral intake was initiated after the breaking of fever and the peaking of inflammation.

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of our institution (No. 2014-4), and we obtained written informed consent from all participants after the study protocol was explained in detail. This study was conducted in accordance with the standards of the latest revision of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Evaluation**

**Data collection:** We collected data on the age, sex, respiratory rate (RR), CURB-65 Severity Score, and fasting period of the patients. Laboratory data were collected for C-reactive protein (CRP), white blood cell (WBC) count, and blood urea nitrogen (BUN). Nutritional status was evaluated by the geriatric nutritional risk index (GNRI) and was calculated as follows: (1.489 × serum albumin level, g/dl)+(41.7 × current body weight/ideal body weight). Furthermore, the RSST, FEES, and muscle activity during swallowing were obtained by surface electromyogram (sEMG).

The RSST was conducted according to published protocols [11,12]. The first and second finger pads were placed gently on the laryngeal prominence. Thereafter, the patient was asked to swallow saliva, and the evaluator confirmed laryngeal elevation as the swallowing reflex. The evaluator noted the point at which the laryngeal prominence passed the finger pad and moved further downward before returning to the original position; this was considered one swallow. The number of voluntary swallowing within 30 seconds was counted.

The basic protocols for FEES were followed [17]. FEES is a swallowing function test that is performed using a fiberscope or an electronic endoscope. The fiberscope or endoscope is passed just inferior to the laryngeal prominence. Then, the fiberscope is then deflected downward, and the scope is passed into the oropharynx. The patient is requested to dry swallow to allow the assessment of velopharyngeal competence during swallowing. The tip of the scope is then deflected downward, and the scope is passed into the oropharynx. Finally, the scope is passed to a point posterior to the epiglottis, where the general appearance of the laryngeal structures is visualized.

**EMG observation and analysis methods:** sEMG recording was performed using sEMG (Electromyograph MQ-Airs, KISSECOMTEC Co.). Recording electrodes are affixed to the suprathyroid and infrathyroid muscle groups according to previously described methods [18]. The subject assumes an upright sitting posture with the chin in the Frankfurt plane parallel position. Jelly is then used to confirm the presence of normal swallowing sound [19] in conjunction with simultaneous cervical auscultation by using a stethoscope. The consistency of the jelly (700 N/m² hardness, 300 J/m³ adhesiveness, and room temperature) is the same as that of the initially swallowed food.

Recordings from sEMG were full-wave rectified to yield wave rectification, and the duration of swallowing muscle activity and maximum muscle activity were used to calculate the muscle integral values. At this point, the maximum amplitude values at rest were used as the baseline. The swallowing start time was defined as the point at which the swallowing amplification value exceeded the resting baseline, and the swallowing completion time was defined as the point at which the value returned to resting baseline [20].

**Outcome of the residual factors of dysphagia:** We used FEES as an indicator of outcome, and FEES was investigated at the time of discharge from the hospital.

**Statistical analysis**

On the basis of a previous study [20], the subjects were classified into an oral intake independent group (severe aspiration, group A), which comprises subjects who have a total FEES score of seven or more, and an oral intake restriction group (no aspiration or mild aspiration, group B), which comprises subjects who have a total FEES score of less than seven (Figure 1). First, the Mann-Whitney U test and the chi-square test were used to assess the differences in the FEES variables of patient characteristics. After the patient was discharged from the hospital, logistic regression analysis was performed with dysphagia as the dependent variable to determine the influence of each factor on the onset of dysphagia (forced injection method). This analysis was used to select the key risk variables. Analyses were performed using SPSS 23.0 software (IBM Japan, Tokyo). Descriptive statistics (mean ± SD) were calculated. For all outcome measurements, a P value<0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

**Results**

A total of 212 subjects were targeted for investigation during the study period, and 29 subjects met the criteria for exclusion. A further 20 individuals were excluded from the analysis because of death, worsened condition, or other cause for removal from the study during the experimental period. A total of 163 subjects were included in the analysis (Figure 2).

Groups A and B had 80 (49.1%) and 83 (50.9%) subjects, respectively. Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics of the participants in both groups. There were no significant differences between the groups in age, sex ratio, or laboratory data (CRP, WBC, and BUN). There were significant differences between the groups in CURB-65 Severity Score, RR, RSST, and GNRI. Furthermore, there were significant differences between the groups in terms of fasting period length and hospital stay length.
Patients with pneumonia have disrupted airflow due to reduced effective gas transfer surface area and increased inflammatory cell excretion resulting from alveolar inflammation; this situation leads to reduced airway clearance function, reduced alveolar gas exchange, and impaired gas diffusion [21,22]. To compensate for these defects, patients exhibit polypnea (rapid, shallow breathing) [23]. The lack of synchronization between breathing and swallowing reportedly causes aspiration and dysphagia in patients with respiratory disease [8]. The relationship of the phase of respiration is associated with respiration–swallowing regulation [24]. RR was selected as a residual factor of dysphagia in agreement with other leading studies on the deficient regulation of respiration-swallowing synchronization. Furthermore, considering that respiration rates may affect respiration-swallowing regulation, the evaluation of the RR early during hospitalization was considered important for predicting dysphagia at discharge because of the significantly higher RR among patients with swallowing disorder than those without swallowing disorder.

The duration of swallowing muscle activity in elderly patients with CAP at the start of oral intake was found to be the strongest residual factor of dysphagia. A previous study suggested that the extended duration of swallowing muscle activity can be used as an indicator of dysphagia [14]. Therefore, it is important to identify the duration of swallowing muscle activity at the start of oral intake, stimulate swallowing muscle activity, and reduce the time delay of swallowing muscle contraction early during hospitalization. These measures are considered vital for reducing dysphagia prior to discharge. According to the results of the present study, oral intake can be protected from dysphagia by early introduction, which is also linked to improved nutritional status and shortened hospitalization period. Given the elevated risk of dysphagia and prolonged hospitalization, the early initiation of eating is predicted to be the key to improving nutritional status and safety.

With respect to swallowing muscle activity, previous reports indicate that the duration of activity is longer, the maximum duration of activity is achieved, and negative pharyngeal pressure is increased in effortful swallowing compared with normal swallowing in healthy people [25,26]. Moreover, swallowing function tests using the video fluoroscope have also shown that swallowing time is extended in effortful swallowing compared with normal swallowing in healthy people [25,26].

### Table 2: Risk factors of dysphagia at discharge from hospital.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P-value*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSST (times)*</td>
<td>0.94 (0.89-0.99)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNRI‡</td>
<td>0.89 (0.85-0.96)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CURB-65 score</td>
<td>8.06 (2.76-23.51)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR (times/minute)</td>
<td>1.34 (1.16-1.55)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of SMA (s)‡</td>
<td>10.27 (2.59-14.69)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM (μV)‡</td>
<td>1.04 (1.01-1.06)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM (μV)‡</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01-1.05)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting period (day)</td>
<td>1.21 (1.00-1.46)</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: OR indicates odd ratio; CI, confidence interval. Abbreviation: *NS, not significant; †RSST, repetitive saliva swallowing test; ‡GNRI, Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index; ‡Duration of SMA, Duration of swallowing muscles activity; ‡SM, The maximum amount of activity of suprahyoid muscles and ‡IM, The maximum amount of activity of infrahyoid muscles.

### Table 1: Clinical characteristics at the start oral intake (n=163).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>All (n=163)</th>
<th>A group (n=80)</th>
<th>B group (n=83)</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>82.8 ± 8.3</td>
<td>85.1 ± 7.1</td>
<td>80.9 ± 8.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (Male/Female)</td>
<td>93/70</td>
<td>46/32</td>
<td>47/38</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSST†</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNRI‡</td>
<td>84.4 ± 11</td>
<td>78.9 ± 10.8</td>
<td>89.4 ± 8.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CURB-65 score</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>3.6 ± 2.0</td>
<td>2.2 ± 6.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR (times/minute)</td>
<td>23.2 ± 5.2</td>
<td>26.2 ± 3.9</td>
<td>20.4 ± 4.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/dL)</td>
<td>8.5 ± 6.8</td>
<td>8.7 ± 6.2</td>
<td>8.3 ± 7.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC (10³/μL)</td>
<td>10.1 ± 4.5</td>
<td>10.7 ± 5.0</td>
<td>9.4 ± 4.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUN (mg/dL)</td>
<td>39.6 ± 15.7</td>
<td>41.5 ± 16.8</td>
<td>38.7 ± 15.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSST (times)</td>
<td>10.27 (2.51-14.69)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>15.7 (1.29-19.66)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM (μV)‡</td>
<td>106.8 ± 62.9</td>
<td>137.6 ± 75.5</td>
<td>78.8 ± 8.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM (μV)‡</td>
<td>53.6 ± 38.3</td>
<td>67.7 ± 42.3</td>
<td>40.9 ± 8.1</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting period (day)</td>
<td>5.2 ± 2.9</td>
<td>6.4 ± 3.6</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay (day)</td>
<td>21.5 ± 13.9</td>
<td>26.4 ± 5.1</td>
<td>17.1 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data are mean ± SD. Sex is number of people. Abbreviation: *NS, not significant; †RSST, repetitive saliva swallowing test; ‡GNRI, Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index; ‡Duration of SMA, Duration of swallowing muscles activity; ‡SM, The maximum amount of activity of suprahyoid muscles and ‡IM, The maximum amount of activity of infrahyoid muscles.
to swallowing. Therefore, swallowing muscle activity in patients with CAP and dysphagia complications might require compensation owing to effortful swallowing (i.e., greater muscular activity). Therefore, it is necessary to reduce the breathing rate, position swallowing muscle activity, and perform respiratory physiotherapy. This noninvasive, radiation-free examination has a low level of discomfort and is simple, time-saving, and inexpensive.

There are many studies related to the predictive factors of pneumonia [7,28,29] and dysphagia in patients with neuromuscular disorders [30-32]. However, no study has examined the predictive factors of residual dysphagia in patients with pneumonia. The results of the present study demonstrate that the residual factors of dysphagia provide new evidence in the field of rehabilitation and will help reduce hospitalization and readmission for CAP.

This study has some limitations. First, our study subjects only included surviving CAP patients. Moreover, swallowing function was not assessed prior to hospitalization. Considering that swallowing function was measured at the initiation of oral intake, the time of onset of dysphagia is just a conjecture. Finally, because of the small sample size, our statistical analysis yielded many independent variables with investment factors.

Conclusion

Dysphagia in CAP patients is a serious problem because it lengthens hospital stay and affects subsequent life prognoses. The evaluation and intervention for dysphagia and respiratory function during early hospitalization are important for CAP patients with dysphagia. It is necessary to reduce the breathing rate, position swallowing muscle activity, and perform respiratory physiotherapy.
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