Exploring Relation between Previous and Recent Injury to the Opposite Lower Limb with and without Physiotherapy Intervention
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Abstract

Objective: The goal of this study was to explore relation between previous injuries and recent injury to the opposite lower limb with and without physiotherapy intervention.

Study design: This study is a retrospective cohort study.

Method: 301 patients were randomly chosen and retrospectively followed to find out if they got recent injury and a previous injury at the opposite leg.

Results: 46.2% of the patients had both new injury and a previous injury. 26.2% got injured at the opposite leg.

Conclusion: This study showed significant relationship between previous injury and occurrence of future injury at the opposite leg as well as the same leg.
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Introduction

Numerous injuries occurring in both upper and lower extremities per year for different reasons [1]. Management of these injuries consuming a lot of money directly as medical and rehabilitation costs and indirectly as sick leaves [2]. For example, in Australia, sport injuries costing $1.5 billion per year [3]. According to the report, lower extremities injuries were twice than injuries to upper extremities and knee injuries were the most common and costly one. In fact, many studies concluded that ankle and knee injuries representing the majority of sport injuries [4-6]. In 2012, the number of operations done by orthopedic departments was 9210 operations in Omani government hospitals [7]. Out of these, 1429 operations were for joint structure, 984 on muscles and tendons.

In addition to all this costs, some complications can occur in the future as a result of previous injuries and again will consume more and more of healthcare funds. Furthermore, some studies found that history of previous injuries increasing the risk of new injuries in the future [8], for lower extremity muscles [9], for lower extremity [10] at knee [11] at ankle and knee [12] and at ankle [13].

Yet, the previous studies were concentrating on previous injury as risk factor for similar future injury occurring at the same leg and or the same area. Fewer studies focused on risk of contralateral lower limb injury as a result of previous injury with and without physiotherapy intervention. On the other hand, this study will look for any association between previous injuries in one lower extremity and later injuries occurred in the other lower extremity.

Method

After obtaining the ethical approval from the Research Committee, the data were collected from the computerized hospital documentation system.

Target population

All patients with lower limbs injuries managed at the orthopedic departments of Sohar hospital from March 2014 to Sept 2014 were included. They were distributed into 3 groups according to site of injury. Knee, ankle and other injuries were grouped as 1,2,3 respectively. Sample size and randomization was obtained by using trusted specialized tools online. This can be found online as Research Randomizer.

Results

Total number of patients was 469 patients who met search criteria. Online randomization program used to obtain final randomized population from the total number. The total number of randomized population was 325 patients.

During process of collecting information from patient's clinical document system, 24 patients were excluded from the research as they don't have enough clinical documents or don't meet choosing criteria.

Simple data analysis done using SPSS program to obtain distribution of age, sex and injuries among target population (Tables 1-4 & Figures 1 and 2). Data of 301 patients were included in this study, 270 male and 31 female.

Age range was between 15 to 45 years old. Majority were between 21 to 25 years (39.5%) and between 26 to 30 years (25.9%).
Table 1: Total number of cases reviewed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Missing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Age distribution among population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(15-20)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(21-25)</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>56.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(26-30)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>82.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(31-35)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(36-40)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(41-45)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Gender distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>89.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Distribution of injuries among target population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injury</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>injury to the same leg</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>injury to the opposite leg</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no new injury</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Distribution among population.

Figure 2: Distribution of injuries.

Population of this study were randomly chosen and retrospectively followed by careful assessment of their clinical history. Almost half of the population got new injury (58% at the opposite side). Many reasons can be the underlying causes of recurrent injuries and one important reason could be insufficient rehabilitation after the first injury [8]. From total of 301 cases included, only 48 cases referred to physiotherapy or given exercise advice by doctor. Moreover, 4 of them have clinical documents showing that they received rehabilitation program after injury. In addition, injured patients tend to concentrate on the injured limb only during rehabilitation period although it’s important to strengthen both limbs to avoid future risks that can occur after overusing the normal limb. Different explanation been given by previous studies about recurrent injuries. For example, lack of functional instability or proprioception, imbalanced strength of the muscles and lack of its flexibility. Also, mechanical instability as result of ligamentous laxity and localized scar tissue can be an explanation for recurrent injuries [14]. This study can support that inadequate rehabilitation can be a risk factor for future re-injury. According to the systematic review study, previous researches identified both motor control and function changes due to alteration in strength, proprioception and kinematics. For example, alteration of muscular recruitment pattern and changes in static and dynamic stability can occur from altered neuronal firing and decreased sensory awareness within joint and muscle tissue. As a result of strength and
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proprioceptive deficits biomechanical changes can occur also. Example of it can be gait mechanics changes, intra-articular and intra-muscular forces in the injured muscle or joint [15].

Almost all the cases didn’t receive proper rehabilitation either because they didn’t have referral to physiotherapy department or because they got it but they decided not to approach physiotherapy services. Rehabilitation is a major process within injury management when doctor feel that patient is ready to go for it. Usually, patient required rehabilitation program to restore muscle strength, joint range of motion, proprioception and joint flexibility. Actually, physiotherapist should assess both legs as there is a chance of a hiding problem that takes place at the normal leg. This is because during the injury time, this limb will be overused and tends to lose its normal function by time. So, in the future patient can be surprise of getting sudden injury in it while he was busy of treating his previous affected leg. This idea might explain why many cases got injured at one side with history of old injury to the opposite leg.

Conclusion

This study concluded that previous injury in one lower limb can predict future injury in the opposite normal extremity as well as same injured one. In addition, it highlights the importance of cooperation between orthopedic department and rehabilitation department to achieve better outcome after injuries. The study found a gap between medical management and rehabilitation management. This is either because doctor don’t advice patient to seek rehabilitation support or because patient is not having idea about the importance of rehabilitation. It’s highly recommended to improve team work relationship and communication between both departments to participate effectively in management process in order to reduce risk of future recurrent injuries and improve quality of health services within the hospital. Some Cases were not having continuous follow up visits to the hospital which lead to missing data and this been countered as limitation for this study.
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