Assessment of Traumatic Dental Injury in Visually Impaired Children and its Association with Relevant Factors
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Abstract

Objective: To assess the prevalence of Traumatic Dental Injury (TDI) in blind children of Pune and Pimpri-Chinchwad and associate TDIs with other relevant factors.

Method: The study is a cross sectional study with a sample size of 200 children. Demographic data and TDIs were assessed for the population. Descriptive analysis was carried out for demographics. Chi square test was used to find the association between relevant parameters and TDIs.

Result: The total prevalence of TDIs found in this study of blind children was 30.54%. It was observed that males had a higher prevalence of trauma than females and children above 12 years showed more TDIs than children below 12 years.

Conclusion: There is a positive correlation between age and gender and TDIs. This study provides a baseline data for further related research and implementation of policies in this area.
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Introduction

The term “disability” has been defined as any impairment that restricts or limits daily activity in some manner. One of the common disabilities being discussed here is visual impairment. Visual impairment encompasses both “low vision and blindness” [1].

The severity and burden of the disability being discussed is estimated to be 180 million people around the world. Four out of five visually impaired people are living in developing countries. According to the census report 2011 of India a total 5.03 lakh were visually impaired, and visually impaired consisted of 18.8% of all the disabled population ranking as the 3rd highest disability in the country [2].

Traumatic injuries are more prevalent in children with disabilities compared with normal children. Blind individuals suffer from many health problems including oral health, which consist of mainly orofacial trauma, dental caries and periodontal diseases, of this orofacial trauma consist of main health hazards for these individuals [3]. Trauma along with fracture of a permanent front tooth is a disturbing experience for the young patient and is a problem whose management requires experience, judgment, and skill perhaps incomparable to any other segment of the dental practice [4].

Many national and international agencies have been set up for the welfare of the blind, this includes “National association for the blind (NAB)”, “The Royal Commonwealth Society for Blind” and “International Agency for prevention of Blindness”, and however there are no separate measures taken for dental health of visually impaired children [5]. Thus it is imperative to assess a part of dental health i.e. traumatic dental injuries (TDI) experienced by this group and associate it with oral health related factors. This is the aim of our study.

Materials and Method

The present study consists of 200 visually impaired children between 8-15 years of age from the area of Pune and Pimpri-Chinchwad [6]. The sampling technique used for selection of students was simple random sampling.

Inclusion criteria were:
• Children with visual impairment as per Revised WHO definition 2006,
• Children between the ages of 8-15 years,
• All those participants who are willing for the survey.

Exclusion criteria were:
• Children on medication that alter salivary flow,
• Children unwilling to participate in the study,
• Children with concomitant handicaps or any systemic medical conditions,
• Children undergoing orthodontic treatment or with history of similar treatment.

The schools selected were such that they were equitably distributed over the area of the city. The study was conducted in 3 schools for blind: Pune school and Home for blind boys- Koregaon Park, Pune School and Home for Blind Girls- Kothrud, Patashibai school and Home for Blind Boys- Bhosari.

Prior to the commencement of the study a permission letter was obtained from the respective school authorities to carry out the study. A Tentative date of the study was mentioned and a brief outline of methodology was verbally explained. The Scientific and Ethical clearance was obtained from the institutional review, Scientific and Ethical committee of Dr. D. Y. Patil Dental College and Hospital, Pimpri. A written informed consent was obtained for every student of the school [7].

One principle examiner was trained and calibrated for conducting oral examination using WHO Oral Health Assessment Form in the Department of Public Health Dentistry, Dr. D.Y. Patil Dental College and Hospital, Pimpri, Pune. A pilot study was carried out that was representative of the total sample and was carried out on 20 students. Complete infection control was maintained by the examiner by wearing of mouth mask, gloves, eye ware and an apron. The examination area was arranged for maximum efficiency and ease of operation. The exact arrangement was determined by the physical condition of the site, however certain features were constant. There was a table placed and 3 chair for the subject, examiner and assistant respectively. Lighting was consistent throughout the examination procedure [8,9]. The examination was carried out during the daytime and natural sunlight was used for examination. On rare occasions artificial torch light was used. Data was recorded as per ‘Oral Health assessment form 2013’.

The examination was carried out during the daytime and natural sunlight was used for examination. On rare occasions artificial torch light was used. Data was recorded as per ‘Oral Health assessment form 2013’.

Results

A total of 203 patients were examined for traumatic dental injuries and oral health status. Demographic details of the sample are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Children were in the age ranging from 8-15 years with a mean age of 12. The sample consisted of 161(79.3%) males and 42(20.7%) females. Total prevalence of traumatic dental injuries in the population was 30.54%. Descriptive statistics were applied to assess severity of trauma and numbers of teeth subjected to trauma, the values were as follows 0.83 ± 0.36 & 0.40 ± 0.66 (Figures 1 and 2).

Table 1: Severity of Traumatic Dental Experience and Age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>No. of teeth in trauma</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children &lt;12</td>
<td>Count: 74</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage: 36.50%</td>
<td>5.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children &gt;12</td>
<td>Count: 67</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage: 33.00%</td>
<td>4.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Count: 141</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage: 69.50%</td>
<td>20.70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi- Square test value: 15.011; significance: 0.001 (significant)

Table 2: Association of Traumatic Dental Experience and Age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>No fractured</th>
<th>Enamel fracture</th>
<th>Enamel and dentine fracture</th>
<th>Enamel, dentine and pulp fracture</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children &lt;12</td>
<td>Count: 74</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage: 36.50%</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>4.40%</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td>43.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children &gt;12</td>
<td>Count: 67</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage: 33.00%</td>
<td>9.40%</td>
<td>11.80%</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>56.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Count: 141</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage: 69.50%</td>
<td>11.30%</td>
<td>16.30%</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi- Square test value: 14.760; significance: 0.002 (significant)
On comparison of gender with traumatic dental injuries, males (24.1%) showed higher prevalence of dental injury than females (6.4%). The most common type of fracture for both males and females was enamel and dentin fractures [11].

**Discussion**

Prevalence of TDI in normal children differs considerably among various epidemiological studies across the country and around the world. Prevalence of TDI within the country ranges from 8.79 to 18.25%. This wide variation among the studies can be explained by differences in various factors like trauma classification used, dentition status of children, geographical variation & also behavioral difference. Incisors have an important role in aesthetics, phonation, psychological aspect and functional activities. Upper permanent incisors are the most frequently affected teeth by trauma, over 90%, according to Saroglu et al. even 95.72%. Morphology and location make them liable to traumatic injuries. The supporting tissues are more exposed in mixed dentition [12]. Crown fractures without the injury of supporting structures can be found most likely in the permanent dentition. The highlights are on non-complicated crown fractures affecting only the enamel. In the present study traumatic dental experience of the population was evaluated in terms of prevalence and severity. It was found that total prevalence of traumatic injury was 30.54%. A variety of fractures were seen which included enamel fracture, enamel and dentin fracture, and enamel dentine and pulp fractures. The prevalence of traumatic dental experience in visually impaired individuals is found to be of a wide range i.e. from 9%–37%. The prevalence of our study was in accordance with various studies conducted in India by Bhat et al. (32.5%), Agrawal et al. (34.9%), Varghese et al. (37.8%), and O'Donnell et al. (36.4%) which was a study conducted internationally. The prevalence was much higher than that found in sighted individuals. This is proven by studies conducted in India by Baldeva et al. (14.9%) and Tangade PS (4.41%). In the above study it was noted that the highest degree of fracture seen was that of enamel and dentine fracture. The most frequent fracture seen was enamel and dentine fracture, this was in accordance with an international study conducted by O’Donnell et al. where enamel and dentin fractures were more prevalent than enamel fractures. This is due to the fact that visually impaired children unlike sighted children cannot save themselves from the impact of a fall.

School-age children (7-15 years) are considered to be a risk group to traumatic injuries of the teeth. Trauma in the mixed dentition occurs most frequently between 6 and 9 and between 10 and 12 years of age, and is less frequent in older children (1-5). In our study the age of the patient was correlated with prevalence of trauma. It was found that adolescents above 12 years of age were more prone to trauma as compared to children below 12 years of age [13]. This was in accordance with study conducted by O’Donnell et al. in Hong Kong. This can be explained by the fact that children indulge in reckless and boisterous activities during this period and are not aware of personal safety.

According to the majority of authors, teeth trauma is more frequent in boys than in girls only in permanent dentition. In mixed dentition no statistically significant differences have been recorded between the genders. There are a number of factors that cause TDI’s. The dominant factors most likely to cause trauma are fall, sports injuries and accidents met at home or outside. The major risk factor for dental injuries is increased overjet and inadequate lip coverage [14]. In our study also a comparison of traumatic injuries experienced by males...
and females was compared. Males showed a higher rate of traumatic experience than females. Even in sighted population is the same ratio of greater males than females. This may be due to the reason than boys indulge in more aggressive outdoor activities than girls. Moreover, these children being in hostels and not homes do not have constant parental guidance all day long; this may also lead to traumatic dental injuries.

Limitation

The study does not include data on the orthodontic impact on trauma and corresponding links of malocclusion with dental trauma.

Conclusion

The present data suggests that TDIs are prevalent in blind in pune and pimpri chinchwad area. The prevalence is higher in males than in females. The prevalence is also higher in children above 12 years of age than below 12 years of age. There is a positive correlation between age and gender and TDIs. This study provides a baseline data for further related research and implementation of policies in this area.
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