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Abstract
A primary focus of Survival analysis in medicine is modelling time to surviving of a particular disease. In this paper, survival analysis was carried out on the neonatal jaundice data modeling time to surviving the disease. The data was gotten from collected from University College hospital (UCH), Ibadan, Nigeria. The Kaplan-Meier approach was used to describe the survival functions of the neonatal jaundice patients and Log-rank tests was used to compare the survival curves among groups. Different kinds of models such as Cox Proportional Hazard Model and Accelerated Failure Time (AFT) models like Weibull AFT model, Logistic AFT model, Log-normal AFT model, Log-logistic AFT model and Exponential AFT model are considered to be used for modelling the time to surviving neonatal jaundice. Models selection criteria were used as a guide to unravel the best model for modeling neonatal jaundice. The result revealed that the fitted cox proportional hazard model suggested that there were 0.2708 chances of male neonates having higher median time of surviving jaundice compared to female neonates. Based on the mother’s health history, neonates whose mother had illness during pregnancy will have 0.5329 chance of having higher median time of surviving the Jaundice compared to neonates whose mother do not have any illness during pregnancy. The log-logistic AFT model out-performed the other models since it has the lowest AIC and the highest log-likelihood value with 1131.461 and -550.7305 respectively.
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Introduction
The use of statistics in medicine provides generalization from the public to better understand their risks for certain diseases, such as links between certain behaviors and heart disease or cancer [1]. Survival analysis is an application of statistics mostly used in medicine and has over the years become a discipline itself, enriching not only medicine but statistics in general [2]. Survival analysis is generally defined as a set of methods for analyzing data where the outcome variable is the time until the occurrence of an event of interest [3]. Survival analysis aims to estimate the three survival (survivorship, density, and hazard) functions, denoted by S(t), f(t) and h(t), respectively [4]. There exist parametric as well as non-parametric methods for this purpose [5]. The survival function S(t) gives the probability of surviving beyond time t, and is the complement of the cumulative distribution function, F(t). The hazard function h(t) gives the instantaneous potential per unit time for the event to occur, given that the individual has survived up to time t [5]. White et al. studied four survival analysis models to evaluate differences in length of stay based on Phototherapy treatment of neonatal jaundice and concluded that neonates who received Phototherapy had significantly longer length of Stay than untreated neonates [6]. Folorunso et al. concluded that Term neonates are at lower risk than Preterm neonates and Rhesus compatibility are at lower risk than neonates with Rhesus incompatibility [7]. Onatunji and Folorunso studied the space-varying effects of jaundice and emphasize the importance of jaundice, which is widely the cause of neonatal mortality [8]. Their results shown a high risk of mortality associated with jaundice within the first 33 days. The estimated residual spatial effects for neonatal mortality shown clear differences between the significantly better survival chances of babies in the Northern state of Nigeria (FCT and some part of Kwara state). Modelling survival data plays an important role in the application of statistics in medicine and health science [9,10], therefore, in this study; survival analysis was carried out on the neonatal jaundice data modeling time to surviving the disease. The Kaplan-Meier approach was used to describe the survival functions of the neonatal jaundice patients and Log-rank tests was used to compare the survival curves among groups. The time to surviving neonatal jaundice was modeled by using the following models viz: Cox Proportional Hazard Model and Accelerated Failure Time (AFT) models (Weibull AFT model, Logistic AFT model, Log-normal AFT model, Log-logistic AFT model and Exponential AFT model).

Materials and Methods

Data source
Neonatal Jaundice data of 232 patients were used and the data were obtained from Children outpatients (CHOP) units, University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria between 2005 and December 2010 with diagnoses time until death in days. Others variables that were considered are as follows age, sex, gestational age, mother illness, mother education, mode and place of delivery, parity, settlement, Rhesus factor, G6PD and jaundiced neonate which forms part of the predictor variables. Considering the data used, the survival of the jaundice neonates were used as the response variable that is when a jaundice neonate is alive or dead.

Ethical approval
This study will not be completed if the potential ethical problem that may arise in the study is not addressed. The following are some of
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the anticipated ethical problems that may arise:

- Confidentiality of data: The information extracted from the medical records department of University College Hospital are used specifically for this research work, therefore all information was treated confidentially.
- Beneficence to participants: The information collected was used to evaluate the social and medical value of the study.

Data analysis

In this study, survival analysis was carried out on the data to model time to surviving neonatal jaundice. The Kaplan-Meier approach was used to describe the survival functions of the neonatal jaundice patients and the Log-rank tests was used to compare the survival curves among groups. The time to surviving neonatal jaundice was modelled by using the following models viz: Cox Proportional Hazard Model and Accelerated Failure Time (AFT) models (Weibull AFT model, Logistic AFT model, Log-normal AFT model, Log-logistic AFT model and Exponential AFT model).

The Kaplan Meier product limit method

In Kaplan Meier product limit method, survival probabilities can be obtained as:

\[ S = \prod_{k} \left( 1 - \frac{d_k}{n_k} \right), k \leq n, t_j \leq t < t_{j+1} \]

Where; \( d_k \) = the number of failure in \( t_k \), \( n_k \) is the number of incident cases at risk in \( t_k \), \( k \) is the number of sequential observations, \( n \) is the total number of incident cases.

The log rank test

The log rank test is a hypothesis test to compare the survival distributions of two samples. It is appropriate to use when the data are right skewed and censored.

H0: No difference between survival curves

H1: There is difference between survival curves

The log rank statistic for two groups is

\[ \left( \frac{O_1 - E_1}{\text{var}(O_1 - E_1)} \right)^2 \sim \chi^2_{n-1} \]

The corresponding survival functions are related as follows

\[ S(t|x) = S_0(t) \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_i x_i \right) \]

This model, also known as the Cox regression model, makes no assumptions about the form of \( h_0(t) \) (non-parametric part of model) but assumes parametric form for the effect of the predictors on the hazard (parametric part of model). The model is therefore referred to as a semi-parametric model.

The hazard ratio of two individuals with different covariates \( x \) and \( x^* \) is

\[ HR = \frac{h(t \mid x)}{h(t \mid x^*)} = \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_i (x_i - x_i^*) \right) \]

This hazard ratio is time-independent, which is why this is called the proportional hazards model.

Accelerated failure time (AFT) model

The AFT model describes the relationship between survival probabilities and a set of covariates. For a group with covariates \( (X_1, X_2, X_p) \), the AFT model is written mathematically as

\[ S(t | \eta(x)) = S_0(t \mid \eta(x)) \]

Where \( S_0(t) \) is the baseline survival function and \( h \) is an acceleration factor i.e. a ratio of survival times corresponding to any fixed value of \( S(t) \).

The acceleration factor is given according to the formula

\[ \eta(x) = \exp \left( \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \cdots + \alpha_p x_p \right) \]

According to the relationship of survival function and hazard function, the hazard function for an individual with covariate \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p \) is given by

\[ h(t \mid x) = \left[ \frac{1}{\eta(x)} \right] h_0(t \mid \eta(x)) \]

Under an accelerated failure time model, the covariate effects are assumed to be constant and multiplicative on the time scale, that is, the covariate impacts on survival by a constant factor (acceleration factor).

\[ \log T_i = \mu + \alpha_1 X_{i1} + \alpha_2 X_{i2} + \cdots + \alpha_p X_{ip} + \sigma e_i \]

Where \( \mu \) is intercept, \( \sigma \) is scale parameter and \( e_i \) is a random variable, assumed to have a particular distribution.

Weibull AFT Model

Suppose the survival time \( T \) has \( W(\gamma; \lambda) \) distribution with scale parameter and shape parameter, under AFT model, the hazard function for the ith individual is

\[ h_i(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda (\eta(x))} \exp [\lambda (\eta(x)) t] \]
Where \( \eta_i = \exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \ldots + \alpha_p x_p) \) for individual \( i \) with \( p \) explanatory variables, so the survival time is given as The Weibull distribution has the AFT property.

If \( T_i \) has a Weibull distribution, then \( e^\eta_i \) has an extreme value distribution (Gumbel distribution). The survival function of Gumbel distribution is given as

\[
S_{\eta_i}(x) = \exp\left(-\exp\left(x - \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \ldots + \alpha_p x_p}{\sigma}\right)\right)
\]

The AFT representation of the survival function of the Weibull model is given by

\[
S_i(t) = \exp\left(-\exp\left(-\frac{\mu - \alpha_0 x_1 - \ldots - \alpha_p x_p}{\sigma}\right) t^\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)
\]

The AFT representation of hazard function of the Weibull model is given by

\[
h_i(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma t^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1}} \exp\left(-\frac{\mu - \alpha_0 x_1 - \ldots - \alpha_p x_p}{\sigma}\right)
\]

The median survival time is

\[
t(50) = \exp\left(\frac{\sigma \log(\log 2) + \mu + \alpha' x_i}{\sigma}\right)
\]

The log-logistic AFT model

The log-logistic survival and hazard function are given by

\[
S(t) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\theta t}}
\]

\[
h(t) = \frac{e^{\theta t} k t^{k-1}}{1 + e^{\theta t}}
\]

Where \( \theta \) and \( k \) are unknown parameters and \( k>0 \) suppose that the survival times have a log-logistic distribution with parameter \( k \) and \( \theta \), under the AFT model, the hazard function for the \( i \)th individual is

\[
h(t) = \frac{e^{\theta t - \log \eta_i} k t^{k-1}}{1 + e^{\theta t - \log \eta_i} t^k}
\]

Where \( \eta_i = \exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \ldots + \alpha_p x_p) \) for individual \( i \) with \( p \) explanatory variables. Therefore, the survival time for the \( i \)th individual has a log-logistic distribution with parameter \( \theta - k \) log \( \eta_i \) and \( k \), log-logistic distribution has AFT property.

The AFT representation of survival function of the log-logistic model is given by

\[
S_i(t) = \left[1 + t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \exp\left(-\frac{\mu - \alpha_0 x_1 - \ldots - \alpha_p x_p}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{-1}
\]

The hazard function for the \( i \)th individual is given by

\[
h_i(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma t} \left[1 + t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \exp\left(-\frac{\mu - \alpha_0 x_1 - \ldots - \alpha_p x_p}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{-1}
\]

The median survival time is

\[
t(50) = \exp\left(\mu + \alpha' x_i\right)
\]

The Log-normal AFT model

If the survival times are assumed to have a log-normal distribution, the baseline survival function and hazard function are given by

\[
S_i(t) = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\log t - \mu}{\sigma}\right)
\]

\[
h_i(t) = \frac{\phi\left(\frac{\log t - \mu}{\sigma}\right)}{1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\log t - \mu}{\sigma}\right) t \sigma}
\]

Where \( \mu \) is intercept, \( \sigma \) is scale parameter and is a random variable; \( \phi(x) \) is the cumulative density function of the standard normal distribution. The survival function for the \( i \)th individual is

\[
S_i(t) = S_0(t^{\eta_i}) = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\log t - \alpha' X_i}{\sigma}\right)
\]

Where \( \eta_i = \exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \ldots + \alpha_p x_p) \), Therefore the log survival time for the \( i \)th individual has normal \( (\mu + \alpha' x_i, \sigma) \). The log-normal distribution has the AFT property.

The generalized gamma AFT model

The probability density function of the generalized gamma distribution with three parameters, \( \lambda, \gamma \) and \( \alpha \) is defined by

\[
f(t) = \frac{\alpha \lambda^{\alpha \gamma} t^{\alpha \gamma - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha / p)} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}\right)^{\gamma}\right], t > 0, \gamma > 0, \alpha > 0
\]

Its survival function is given as

\[
S(t) = 1 - \gamma \left(\frac{\lambda}{t}\right)^{\gamma-p} / \Gamma(\alpha / p)
\]

Where \( \gamma(t, t) = \int_t^{\infty} x^{-\lambda} e^{-x} dx \) is an incomplete gamma function, \( \gamma \) is the shape parameter of the distribution. The survival function and the hazard function do not have a closed form for the generalized gamma distribution. The exponential, Weibull and log-normal models are all special cases of the generalized gamma model. The generalized gamma distribution becomes the exponential distribution if \( \alpha = \gamma = 1 \); the Weibull distribution if \( \gamma = 1 \); and the log-normal distribution if \( \gamma \) tends to infinity.

**Results and Discussions**

In Table 1, the overall median of time to surviving neonatal jaundice is found to be 13 days, this indicates that 50% of the neonatal patients survive jaundice in less than or equal to 13 days and the other 50% survive jaundice longer than 13 days. This is the survival time at which the cumulative survival function is equal to 0.5. This is summarized in Figure 1.

To describe how to evaluate whether or not K-M curves for two or more groups are statistically significant, a popular testing method called Log-rank test is used and the test used chi-square statistic.

\[\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}\]

\[O_i\text{ is observed frequency and } E_i\text{ is expected frequency}\]

Where the observed frequency is the actual frequency and the expected frequency is the frequency determined by the null hypothesis.

**Table 1:** Kaplan Meier estimate of time to surviving neonatal jaundice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>events</th>
<th>median</th>
<th>0.95LCL</th>
<th>0.95UCL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>232</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Kaplan Meier estimate of time to surviving neonatal jaundice.
Figure 1: Kaplan Meier survival curve of time to surviving neonatal jaundice.

Table 2: Log rank test showing the difference in survival function between the groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Observed</th>
<th>Expected</th>
<th>(O - E)^2/E</th>
<th>Chi-square</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of delivery</td>
<td>Not hospital</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>0.000353*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother Education</td>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.0109*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Literate</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of Delivery</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SVD</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.911</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.0494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaundice Level</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.00115*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6PD</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.00945*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deficient</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestation Age</td>
<td>Not term/Preterm</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.0695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhesus Factor</td>
<td>Incompatible</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compatible</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother Illness</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>4.524</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.0157*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>128.5</td>
<td>0.884</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variables with * suggests that there is a significance difference between the survival probabilities of the groups.

G6PD and mother illness since their SIG. values are less than 5% level of significance. This implies that the probability of surviving jaundice of infant born in the hospital is significantly different from the infant not born in the hospital. The infant whose mother has no form of education have a significantly different probability of survival jaundice compare to infant whose mother was literate. Infant whose jaundice level was mild has a significantly different probability of surviving jaundice compare to infant with severe jaundice level. There is a significance difference in the probability of surviving jaundice between the infant whose mother has the disease and who mother did not.

In Table 3, the fitted cox proportional hazard model suggested that there was 0.2708 chance of male infant having higher median time of surviving jaundice compared to female infant. Based on the mother's health history, infant whose mother had jaundice will have 0.5329 chance of having higher median time of surviving the illness compared to infant whose mother do not have the illness. Based on the place of delivery, there was 1.0755 chance of infant born in the hospital having lower median time of surviving jaundice compared to infant not born in the hospital. Infant whose mode of delivery was CS and whose mother do not have any form of education would have 0.2024 and 0.3392 chance of having lower median time of surviving jaundice compared to infant who are born with SVD and whose mother are illiterate. There is 0.0860 chance of infant in urban settlement to have lower median time of surviving jaundice compared to infant in rural settlement. Infant whose gestation age was term and jaundice level was severe would have
### Table 3: Parameter estimate of cox proportional hazard model of time to surviving neonatal jaundice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>Value (B)</th>
<th>exp(B)</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male (RC)</td>
<td>0.270758</td>
<td>1.310957</td>
<td>0.206015</td>
<td>1.314</td>
<td>0.188758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>-0.17125</td>
<td>0.843455</td>
<td>0.1159</td>
<td>-1.468</td>
<td>1.42E-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother Illness</td>
<td>Present (RC)</td>
<td>0.532936</td>
<td>1.703928</td>
<td>0.306157</td>
<td>1.741</td>
<td>0.081731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>-0.30251</td>
<td>0.738963</td>
<td>0.1585</td>
<td>-1.908</td>
<td>5.64E-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of Delivery</td>
<td>Not hospital (RC)</td>
<td>1.075458</td>
<td>2.931335</td>
<td>0.312804</td>
<td>3.438</td>
<td>0.000586*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>-0.68343</td>
<td>0.504882</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>-3.860</td>
<td>1.13E-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother Education</td>
<td>Illiterate (RC)</td>
<td>-0.15477</td>
<td>0.856617</td>
<td>0.195494</td>
<td>-0.792</td>
<td>0.428559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Literate</td>
<td>0.202361</td>
<td>1.22429</td>
<td>0.181097</td>
<td>1.117</td>
<td>0.263815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of Delivery</td>
<td>CS (RC)</td>
<td>0.29507</td>
<td>1.343221</td>
<td>0.229669</td>
<td>1.285</td>
<td>0.198874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP6D</td>
<td>Normal (RC)</td>
<td>0.000577</td>
<td>1.000577</td>
<td>0.015513</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.970342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deficient</td>
<td>-0.19332</td>
<td>0.824219</td>
<td>0.189992</td>
<td>-1.018</td>
<td>0.30891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Rural (RC)</td>
<td>0.069102</td>
<td>1.071546</td>
<td>0.1734</td>
<td>0.3985</td>
<td>6.90E-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>0.186279</td>
<td>1.204758</td>
<td>0.1473</td>
<td>1.2642</td>
<td>2.06E-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestation Age</td>
<td>Not term/Preterm (RC)</td>
<td>0.130109</td>
<td>1.138953</td>
<td>0.1131</td>
<td>1.1382</td>
<td>2.50E-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>-0.00082</td>
<td>0.999177</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
<td>-0.0778</td>
<td>9.38E-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaundice Level</td>
<td>Mild (RC)</td>
<td>0.05417</td>
<td>1.055664</td>
<td>0.0371</td>
<td>1.4616</td>
<td>1.44E-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>0.31353</td>
<td>0.73088</td>
<td>0.0749</td>
<td>-4.1864</td>
<td>2.83E-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Rhesus Factor   | Incompatible (RC)    | -0.99032  | 0.371459 | 0.0657     | -15.0631 | 2.83E-51

### Table 4: Parameter estimate of log-logistic accelerated failure time model of time to surviving neonatal jaundice.

0.2086 and 0.1548 chance of having lower median time of surviving jaundice respectively compared to infant whose gestation age was not term and jaundice level was mild. Also, there is 0.0928 chance of infant whose rhesus factor was incompatible was expected to have lower median time of surviving jaundice compared to infant whose rhesus factor was compatible. A unit increase in the age and weight of the infant will cause 0.00058 and 0.5225 increase in the median time of surviving Jaundice. Also, a unit increase in the parity of infant will cause 0.0358 decreases in the median time of surviving jaundice.

Also, place of delivery and weight have significant effect on the median time to surviving neonatal jaundice.
In Table 4, the fitted log-logistic AFT model suggested that there was 0.17125 chance of male infant having higher median time of surviving jaundice compared to female infant. Based on the mother’s health history, infant whose mother had jaundice will have 3.0251 chance of having higher median time of surviving the illness compared to infant whose mother do not have the illness. Based on the place of delivery, there was 0.6834 chance of infant born in the hospital having lower median time of surviving jaundice compared to infant not born in the hospital. Infant whose mode of delivery was CS and whose mother do not have any form of education would have 0.0534 and 0.1052 chance of having higher median time of surviving jaundice compared to infant whose mother was literate and born with SVD. There was 0.0239 chance of infant in urban settlement to have higher median time of surviving jaundice compared to infant in rural settlement. Neonates whose gestation age was term and jaundice level was mild would have 0.1863 and 0.3135 increase in the age and weight of the infant will cause 0.00082 and 0.3135 decrease in the median time of surviving jaundice. Also, a unit increase in the parity of infant will cause 0.05417 increases in the median time of surviving jaundice (Supplementary Tables 1-4).

Table 5 showed the performance comparison of the models. The model with the lowest AIC and highest log-likelihood is chosen as the best model. The log-logistic AFT model outperformed the other models since it has the lowest AIC and the highest log-likelihood value with 1131.461 and -550.7305 respectively.

### Conclusions

From our findings, we are able to determine that the log-logistic AFT model outperformed the other models. Also, the fitted log-logistic AFT model suggested that there was chance of male neonates having higher median time of surviving jaundice compared to female neonates. There was chance of neonates in urban settlement to have higher median time of surviving jaundice compared to neonates in rural settlement. Also, there was chance of neonates whose rhesus factor was compatible to have higher median time of surviving jaundice compared to neonates whose rhesus factor was incompatible.
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